

## Tentamenskrivning i Algebraisk talteori 01-04-07

### Lösningar

**F.1** Firstly, if  $n$  is a prime, then  $k_n = n - 1$ , that is

$$(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

PROOF : The numbers  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$ , with the exception of  $p-1$ , are grouped in pairs  $x, x^{-1} \pmod{p}$ .

Next, if  $n = 4$  then  $k_4 = 2$ , that is  $3! \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Finally I claim that, if  $n$  is composite and  $> 4$ , then  $k_n = 0$ , i.e.: that for  $n > 4$  and composite we have

$$(n - 1)! \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

PROOF : Let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $n$  and suppose  $p^l \parallel n$ . We must show that  $p^l$  divides  $(n - 1)!$ . If  $l = 1$  then, since  $n$  is not prime, we have  $p < n$  and indeed  $p$  divides  $(n - 1)!$ . So suppose that  $l > 1$ . It clearly suffices to have  $lp < n$ , and hence suffices to have

$$lp < p^l. \tag{1}$$

It's easy to prove (by induction, for example), that (1) holds unless  $p = l = 2$ , which corresponds to the exceptional case  $n = 4$ . q.e.d.

**F.2 (i)** Dirichlet's approximation theorem, p.43 in Baker or p.128 in my notes.

**F.3 (i)** Sats 16, s.21 in my notes.

(ii) Suppose otherwise. We have

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \sum \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} = \sum \frac{\mu(n)/\sqrt[4]{n}}{n^{s-1/4}}.$$

If the partial sums of the numerator are bounded, then Dirichlet's criterion implies that the series converges uniformly in any half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/4 + \delta$ , and hence, by Weierstraß' theorem, defines an analytic function in  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/4$ . That is,  $1/\zeta(s)$  is analytic in  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/4$ , hence has no poles there, which means that  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeroes in the region. But this contradicts our knowledge that  $\zeta$  has zeroes along the line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1/2$ .

**F.4** p.28-9 in Baker.

**F.5 (i)** We first of all seek a solution to the congruence

$$h^2 \equiv 185 \pmod{4 \cdot 17}$$

and find that

$$7^2 = 185 - 2 \cdot (4 \cdot 17).$$

This implies (see Sats 47, p.63 and its' proof) that the form  $17x^2 + 7xy - 2y^2$  has discriminant 185 and represents 17 in  $(x \ y) = (1 \ 0)$ . It remains to reduce the form. Its' matrix is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 7/2 \\ 7/2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we take

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then one checks that

$$(M_1 M_2)^T A (M_1 M_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 23 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the matrix of the reduced form  $-2x^2 + xy + 23y^2$ . This form represents 17 in

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = (M_1 M_2)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(ii) For example, the form  $f(x, y) = xy$  represents every positive integer, with  $f(n, 1) = n$ .

REMARK : It is perhaps interesting to note that there is no such form of a non-square discriminant. For suppose the discriminant is  $d$ . By Prop. 48, p.68, it suffices to find an odd prime  $p$  such that  $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$ . By quadratic reciprocity, this is equivalent to finding a prime satisfying a finite number of congruences. Such primes exist, by Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions (See F.1 on the January exam).

**F.6** Theorem 73, p.95 in my notes.

**F.7 (i)** Theorem 55, p.75 in my notes, and Baker p.39-40 for a complete proof.

(ii) For any natural number  $x$ , we have  $x^2 \equiv 0, 1$  or  $4 \pmod{8}$ . It follows easily that no number of the form  $8n + 7$  can be written as the sum of three or fewer squares.

**F.8 (i)** A ring  $A$  is *Noetherian* if it has no infinite ascending chains of ideals or, equivalently, if every ideal is finitely-generated as an  $A$ -module.

A ring  $A$  is *local* if it has exactly one maximal ideal.

(ii) (a)  $A = \mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}$  where  $N = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37$ .

(b) A polynomial ring over  $\mathbf{C}$  in infinitely many variables is non-Noetherian (See supplementary exercise no. 17(ii)). Localising at an appropriate maximal ideal gives a non-Noetherian local ring.

(c) The polynomial ring  $\mathbf{C}[x]$  in one variable is Noetherian (from algebraic structures you know it is a PID). But it has the infinite descending chain of ideals

$$(x) \supset (x^2) \supset (x^3) \supset \cdots$$