Partial Differential Equations with Numerical Methods

Stig Larsson and Vidar Thomée, Springer 2003, 2005

August 18, 2015.

p. 44, l. 17: $a_j \pm \frac{1}{2}hb_j \ge 0$ should be $a_j \pm \frac{1}{2}hb_j > 0$ **p. 44, l. 6-:** nonnegative should be positive

List of corrections, October 10, 2006. Page numbers refer to the second corrected printing 2005.

p. 94, l. Problem 6.6: Problem A.15 should be Problem A.14

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p. 83, l. (6.16):} \quad \left\| v - \sum_{j=1}^{N} (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j \right\| &\leq C \lambda_{N+1}^{-1/2} \text{ should be } \left\| v - \sum_{j=1}^{N} (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j \right\| \leq \lambda_{N+1}^{-1/2} \| \nabla v \| \\ \mathbf{p. 40, l. 1-:} \quad \int_{\Omega} f \, \mathrm{d}x \text{ should be } \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \mathbf{p. 31, l. 2:} \quad L_1(\mathbf{R}^d) \text{ should be } L_1(B) \text{ in view of } (3.14) \\ \mathbf{p. 31, l. 5-:} \quad \int_{|x|=\epsilon} \varphi \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} \, \mathrm{d}s \text{ should be } - \int_{|x|=\epsilon} \varphi \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} \, \mathrm{d}s \end{aligned}$$

List of corrections, February 13, 2006. Page numbers refer to the second corrected printing 2005.

p. 88, l. 4: $N_{\rho} \approx \rho^2 b^2/\pi$ should be $N_{\rho} \approx \rho^2 b^2/(4\pi)$ p. 88, l. 5: $\lambda_n = \lambda_{ml} \approx \rho^2 \approx \pi N_{\rho}/b^2 \approx \pi n/b^2$ should be $\lambda_n = \lambda_{ml} \approx \rho^2 \approx 4\pi N_{\rho}/b^2 \approx 4\pi n/b^2$ p. 158, l. 4: $n \ge 1$ should be $n \ge 0$ p. 236, l. 2: if it should be if it is

List of corrections, August 24, 2005.

Most of the following errors have been corrected in the second corrected printing 2005.

p. 3, l. 12-: $\rightarrow \infty$ should be $t \rightarrow \infty$ p. 6, l. 1-: $\left(\int_{\Omega} vw \, dx\right)^{1/2}$ should be $\int_{\Omega} vw \, dx$ p. 7, l. 15: we we should be we p. 9, l. 1-: definition of *b* should be $b = \frac{v_{\rm f}\sigma_{\rm f}L}{\lambda_{\rm f}} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\rm f}} \frac{v}{v_{\rm f}}$ p. 10, l. 1.21: $b - \nabla \cdot a$ should be $b - \nabla a$ p. 16, l. 2-: for ϵ should be for $\epsilon > 0$ p. 23, l. Problem 2.2: where *c* is a positive constant p. 27, l. 1: \leq should be = (in two places)p. 27, l. 3: $\min_{\Omega} u \leq \min\{\min_{\Gamma} u, 0\}$ should be $\min_{\Omega} u \geq \min\{\min_{\Gamma} u, 0\}$ p. 30, l. 5: by parts should be by parts twice p. 30, l. 6: . should be , p. 31, l. 3-: $\left|\int_{|x|=\epsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} U \, ds\right| = \left|\frac{1}{2\pi}\log(\epsilon)\int_{|x|=\epsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \, ds\right| \leq \epsilon |\log(\epsilon)| ||\nabla \varphi||_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 0$ p. 33, l. 14-: formulation (3.23) should be formulation (3.20) **p. 35, l. 5-:** $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ should be $a\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ **p. 38, l. 14:** m, k = 1 should be j, k = 1**p. 39, l. 11-:** Hint: $v(x) = v(y) + \int_{y_1}^{x_1} D_1 v(s, x_2) \, ds + \int_{y_2}^{x_2} D_2 v(y_1, s) \, ds.$ of the $\ {\bf should} \ {\bf be} \$ of the absolute values of the p. 44, l. 13: **p. 44, l. 12-:** $\min_{i} U_j \le \min \{U_0, U_M, 0\}$ should be $\min_{i} U_j \ge \min \{U_0, U_M, 0\}$ **p.** 45, l. 2-: delete $+b_i(u'(x_i) - \hat{\partial}u(x_i))$ inter should be interior p. 46, l. 12-: p. 49, l. 17: dominant should be dominant, i.e., $\sum_{i \neq i} |a_{ij}| \leq a_{ii}$ **p. 49, l. 17:** Hint: assume $a_j \pm \frac{1}{2}hb_j \ge 0$. **p. 54, l. 5:** with $||v||_{K_j} = ||v||_{L_2(K_j)}$ and $|v|_{2,K_j} = |v|_{H^2(K_j)}$ p. 54, l. 10: $)^{1/2}$ should be $)^{1/2}$ **p. 55, l. 9:** v should be up. 56, l. 21: $\leq s$ should be $\leq k$ p. 61, l. 11-: . should be , **p. 65, l. 12:** We then find **should be** We then find, for $2 \le s \le r$, p. 65, l. 13: r should be s p. 65, l. 14: These ... should be These estimates thus show a reduced convergence rate $O(h^s)$ if $v \in H^s$ with s < r. **p. 73, l. 20-:** $||I_hv - v||_{\mathcal{C}(K_i)}$ should be $||I_hv - v||_{\mathcal{C}(K_i)} = ||I_h(v - Q_1v) + (Q_1v - Q_1v)||_{\mathcal{C}(K_i)}$ $v)\|_{\mathcal{C}(K_i)}$ p. 81, l. 11: dimension n should be dimension mp. 87, l. Example 6.2: \int_0^1 should be \int_0^b **p. 88, l. 1:** a_0 should be $a_0 > 0$ **p. 88, l. 9:** $a_{j+1/2}U_{j+1} + (a_{j+1/2} + a_{j-1/2})U_j - a_{j-1/2}U_{j-1}$ should be $a_{j+1/2}U_{j+1} - (a_{j+1/2} + a_{j-1/2})U_j + a_{j-1/2}U_{j-1}$ **p. 93, l. Problem 6.3:** Assume that Ω is such that (3.36) holds. p. 96, l. 3: he should be the **p.** 97, l. 7-: $g = P^{-1}u$ should be $g = P^{-1}f$ p. 112, l. 11: Bu should be By p. 112, l. 18: has should be have p. 115, l. 11: $\hat{v}_j^k e^{-\lambda_j t}$ should be $\hat{v}_i e^{-\lambda_i t}$ **p. 115, l. 3-:** C_1 should be $\frac{1}{2}C_1$ p. 117, l. 8: t^{-k} should be $t^{-m-s/2}$ **p. 117, l. 3-:** $D_t^m E(t)v(\cdot,t)$ should be $D_t^m E(t)v$ p. 117, l. 15: (6.4) should be Theorem 6.4 p. 119, l. 4: $D_t e$ should be $D_t E$ p. 119, l. (8.27): = should be \leq **p. 123, l. 3:** (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) should be (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) **p. 124, l. 15:** $|u(x,t)| \le e^{c|x|^2}$ should be $|u(x,t)| \le M e^{c|x|^2}$ p. 133, l. 3: $\sum a_p e^{i(j-p)\xi_0}$ should be $\epsilon \sum a_p e^{i(j-p)\xi_0}$ **should be** Since $u_h(t) \in S_h$ we may choose $\chi = u_h(t) \dots$ p. 150, l. 1-:

p. 150, l. 1-: $U^n \in S_h$ should be $u_h \in S_h$ **p. 150, l. 1-:** $\chi = u$ should be $\chi = u_h$ p. 154, l. 7: 10.1 should be 10.3 **p. 155, l. 1:** $\left(\int_0^t \|\rho_t\|_2 \,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2}$ should be $\left(\int_0^t \|\rho_t\|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2}$ p. 155, l. 9-: v should be w (four times) p. 155, l. 3-: v should be wp. 156, l. 12: Φ should be Φ_i p. 158, l. 4-: method should be a method **p. 160, l. 2-:** and (8.18). **should be** (8.18), and Problem 8.10. p. 165, l. 9: **delete** which we may assume to be symmetric, p. 169, l. 1: 11.2 should be 11.3 p. 169, l. 10-: bounded should be bounded or unbounded **p. 179, l. 16:** +||f||||u|| should be +2||f||||u|| and $C_1 = 1$ p. 204, l. 5: 13.3 should be 13.1 p. 226, l. 6: $w = \lambda v$ should be $w = \lambda v$ or $v = \lambda w$ p. 227, l. (A.4): w should be u**p. 233, l. 14:** for $1 \le p < \infty$. should be for $1 \le p < \infty$, if Γ is sufficiently smooth. **p. 232, l. 3:** The latter **should be** If Ω is bounded, then the latter **p. 233, l. 8:** $1 \le p \le \infty$, and **should be** $1 \le p \le \infty$ if Ω is bounded, and p. 234, l. 9: C^1 should be C^1 **p. 235, l. 10:** for any *l*. should be for any $l \ge k$, if Γ is sufficiently smooth. p. 237, l. 14-: $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset H^k(\Omega)$ should be $H^k(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$ p. 237, l. 4-: $\mathcal{C}^{\ell}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset H^k(\Omega)$ should be $H^k(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{C}^{\ell}(\bar{\Omega})$ **p. 239, l. 4:** $L_2(\mathbf{R})$ should be $L_2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ p. 240, l. 3: $e^{-ix\cdot\xi}$ should be $e^{-iz\cdot\xi}$ **p. 242, l. 5:** $\|v\|_{W_1^2} \leq |\Omega|^{1/2} \|v\|_{H^2}$ should be $\|v\|_{W_1^2} \leq C \|v\|_{H^2}$ p. 242, l. 12: $\nabla \hat{v}$ should be $\hat{\nabla} \hat{v}$

Here is an improved version of Theorem 6.4.

Theorem 1. The eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of (6.5) form an orthonormal basis for L_2 . The series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (v, \varphi_j)^2$ is convergent if and only if $v \in H_0^1$. Moreover,

$$\|\nabla v\|^2 = a(v,v) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (v,\varphi_j)^2, \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1.$$
(1)

Proof. By our above discussion it follows that for the first statement it suffices to show (6.13) for all v in H_0^1 , which is a dense subspace of L_2 . We shall demonstrate that

$$\left|v - \sum_{j=1}^{N} (v, \varphi_j)\varphi_j\right\| \le \lambda_{N+1}^{-1/2} \|\nabla v\|, \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1, \tag{2}$$

which then implies (6.13) in view of Theorem 6.3.

To prove (2), set $v_N = \sum_{j=1}^N (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j$ and $r_N = v - v_N$. Then $(r_N, \varphi_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, N$, so that

$$\frac{\|\nabla r_N\|^2}{\|r_N\|^2} \ge \inf\left\{\|\nabla v\|^2 : v \in H_0^1, \|v\| = 1, (v, \varphi_j) = 0, j = 1, \dots, N\right\} = \lambda_{N+1},$$

and hence

$$||r_N|| \le \lambda_{N+1}^{-1/2} ||\nabla r_N||.$$

It now suffices to show that the sequence $\|\nabla r_N\|$ is bounded. We first recall from Theorem 6.1 that $a(\varphi_i, \varphi_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, so that $a(r_N, v_N) = 0$. Hence $a(v, v) = a(v_N, v_N) + 2a(v_N, r_N) + a(r_N, r_N) = a(v_N, v_N) + a(r_N, r_N)$ and

$$\|\nabla r_N\|^2 = a(r_N, r_N) = a(v, v) - a(v_N, v_N) \le a(v, v) = \|\nabla v\|^2,$$

which completes the proof of (2).

For the proof of the second statement, we first note that, for $v \in H_0^1$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j (v, \varphi_j)^2 = a(v_N, v_N) = a(v, v) - a(r_N, r_N) \le a(v, v),$$

and we conclude that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (v, \varphi_j)^2 < \infty$. Conversely, we assume that $v \in L_2$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (v, \varphi_j)^2 < \infty$. We already know that $v_N \to v$ in L_2 as $N \to \infty$. To obtain convergence in H^1 we note that, with M > N,

$$\alpha \|v_N - v_M\|_1^2 \le \|\nabla (v_N - v_M)\|^2 = \sum_{j=N+1}^M \lambda_j (v, \varphi_j)^2 \to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty.$$

Hence, v_N is a Cauchy sequence in H^1 and converges to a limit in H^1 . Clearly, this limit is the same as v. By the trace theorem (Theorem A.4) v_N is also a Cauchy sequence in $L_2(\Gamma)$, and since $v_N = 0$ on Γ we conclude that v = 0 on Γ . Hence, $v \in H_0^1$. Finally, (1) is obtained by letting $N \to \infty$ in $a(v_N, v_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j (v, \varphi_j)^2$. \Box

Here is an improved version of Theorem 13.1.

Theorem 2. Let u_h and u be the solutions of (13.2) and (13.1). Then we have, for $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{h,t}(t) - u_t(t)\| &\leq C\Big(\|v_h - R_h v\|_1 + \|w_h - R_h w\|\Big) \\ &+ Ch^2\Big(\|u_t(t)\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_{tt}\|_2 \, ds\Big), \\ \|u_h(t) - u(t)\| &\leq C\Big(\|v_h - R_h v\|_1 + \|w_h - R_h w\|\Big) \\ &+ Ch^2\Big(\|u(t)\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_{tt}\|_2 \, ds\Big), \\ \|u_h(t) - u(t)\|_1 &\leq C\Big(\|v_h - R_h v\|_1 + \|w_h - R_h w\|\Big) \\ &+ Ch\Big(\|u(t)\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_{tt}\|_1 \, ds\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Writing as usual

$$u_h - u = (u_h - R_h u) + (R_h u - u) = \theta + \rho,$$

we may bound ρ and ρ_t as in the proof of Theorem 10.1 by

$$\|\rho(t)\| + h|\rho(t)|_1 \le Ch^2 \|u(t)\|_2, \quad \|\rho_t(t)\| \le Ch^2 \|u_t(t)\|_2.$$
(3)

For $\theta(t)$ we have, after a calculation analogous to that in (10.14),

$$(\theta_{tt},\chi) + a(\theta,\chi) = -(\rho_{tt},\chi), \quad \forall \chi \in S_h, \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$
(4)

Imitating the proof of Lemma 13.1, we choose $\chi = \theta_t$:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\theta_t\|^2 + |\theta|_1^2) \le \|\rho_{tt}\| \, \|\theta_t\|$$

After integration in t we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\theta_t(t)\|^2 + |\theta(t)|_1^2 &\leq \|\theta_t(0)\|^2 + |\theta(0)|_1^2 + 2\int_0^t \|\rho_{tt}\| \|\theta_t\| \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \|\theta_t(0)\|^2 + |\theta(0)|_1^2 + 2\int_0^t \|\rho_{tt}\| \,\mathrm{d}s \max_{s \in [0,t]} \|\theta_t\| \\ &\leq \|\theta_t(0)\|^2 + |\theta(0)|_1^2 + 2\Big(\int_0^T \|\rho_{tt}\| \,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\max_{s \in [0,T]} \|\theta_t\|\Big)^2, \end{split}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. This implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \Big(\max_{s \in [0,T]} \|\theta_t\| \Big)^2 \le \|\theta_t(0)\|^2 + |\theta(0)|_1^2 + 2\Big(\int_0^T \|\rho_{tt}\| \,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^2$$

and hence

$$\|\theta_t(t)\|^2 + |\theta(t)|_1^2 \le 2\|\theta_t(0)\|^2 + 2|\theta(0)|_1^2 + 4\left(\int_0^T \|\rho_{tt}\| \,\mathrm{d}s\right)^2,$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. In particular this holds with t = T where T is arbitrary. Using also bounds for ρ_{tt} similar to (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta_t(t)\| + \|\theta(t)\| &\leq C\Big(\|\theta_t(t)\| + |\theta(t)|_1\Big) \\ &\leq C\Big(\|w_h - R_h w\| + |v_h - R_h v|_1\Big) + Ch^2 \int_0^t \|u_{tt}\|_2 \,\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\theta(t)|_1 \le C \Big(||w_h - R_h w|| + |v_h - R_h v|_1 \Big) + Ch \int_0^t ||u_{tt}||_1 \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

Together with the bounds in (3) this completes the proof.

We remark that the choices $v_h = R_h v$ and $w_h = R_h w$ in Theorem 2 give optimal order error estimates for all the three quantities considered, but that other optimal choices of v_h could cause a loss of one power of h, because of the gradient in the first term on the right. This can be avoided by a more refined argument. The regularity requirement on the exact solution can also be reduced.

/stig