

Derivations of the non-commutative Schwartz space

Krzysztof Piszczek

Adam Mickiewicz University
Poznań, Poland
e-mail: kpk@amu.edu.pl

Banach Algebras 2013,
Gothenburg, Sweden, 29 July – 4 August, 2013.

1 Introduction

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Automatic continuity of positive functionals

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Automatic continuity of positive functionals
- 3 Automatic continuity of derivations

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|\xi\|_k^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{2k} < +\infty \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|_k^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{2k} < +\infty \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{S} \simeq C^\infty([a, b]),$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|_k^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{2k} < +\infty \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{S} \simeq C^\infty([a, b]), \quad \mathcal{S} \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$s = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|_k^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{2k} < +\infty \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\},$$

$$s \simeq C^\infty([a, b]), \quad s \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$s' = \left\{ \eta = (\eta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\eta|'_k := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\eta_j|^2 j^{-2k} < +\infty \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

$$s = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\xi|_k^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\xi_j|^2 j^{2k} < +\infty \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\},$$

$$s \simeq C^\infty([a, b]), \quad s \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$s' = \left\{ \eta = (\eta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |\eta|'_k := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\eta_j|^2 j^{-2k} < +\infty \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

$$L(s', s) := \{\text{linear and continuous maps } x: s' \rightarrow s\},$$

topology on $L(s', s)$ given by $\|x\|_k := \sup\{|x\xi|_k : |\xi|'_k \leq 1\}$.

The map $\iota: \mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is continuous.

The map $\iota: s \hookrightarrow s'$ is continuous.

For $x, y \in L(s', s)$ we define $x \cdot y := x \circ \iota \circ y$.

The map $\iota: s \hookrightarrow s'$ is continuous.

For $x, y \in L(s', s)$ we define $x \cdot y := x \circ \iota \circ y$. Since

$\|xy\|_k \leq \|x\|_k \|y\|_k$, $L(s', s)$ is lmc.

The map $\iota: s \hookrightarrow s'$ is continuous.

For $x, y \in L(s', s)$ we define $x \cdot y := x \circ \iota \circ y$. Since $\|xy\|_k \leq \|x\|_k \|y\|_k$, $L(s', s)$ is lmc. By duality

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \xi_j \bar{\eta}_j \quad \xi \in s, \eta \in s' \text{ we have}$$

The map $\iota: s \hookrightarrow s'$ is continuous.

For $x, y \in L(s', s)$ we define $x \cdot y := x \circ \iota \circ y$. Since $\|xy\|_k \leq \|x\|_k \|y\|_k$, $L(s', s)$ is lmc. By duality

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \xi_j \bar{\eta}_j \quad \xi \in s, \eta \in s' \text{ we have}$$

$$\langle x^* \xi, \eta \rangle := \langle \xi, x \eta \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in s', x \in L(s', s).$$

The map $\iota: s \hookrightarrow s'$ is continuous.

For $x, y \in L(s', s)$ we define $x \cdot y := x \circ \iota \circ y$. Since $\|xy\|_k \leq \|x\|_k \|y\|_k$, $L(s', s)$ is lmc. By duality

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \xi_j \bar{\eta}_j \quad \xi \in s, \eta \in s' \text{ we have}$$

$$\langle x^* \xi, \eta \rangle := \langle \xi, x \eta \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in s', x \in L(s', s).$$

Definition

$$\mathcal{S} := (L(s', s), \cdot, *).$$

Non-commutative Schwartz space because

$$\mathcal{S} = s \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} s \simeq s \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Non-commutative Schwartz space because

$$\mathcal{S} = s \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} s \simeq s \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Algebra of smooth operators because

$$\mathcal{S} \simeq C^{\infty}([a, b]).$$

- 1 Structure theory of Fréchet spaces: nuclearity, splitting of short exact sequences.

- 1 Structure theory of Fréchet spaces: nuclearity, splitting of short exact sequences.
- 2 K-theory – the work of Cuntz, Phillips and others.

- 1 Structure theory of Fréchet spaces: nuclearity, splitting of short exact sequences.
- 2 K-theory – the work of Cuntz, Phillips and others.
- 3 Connecion to C^* -dynamical systems and non-commutative geometry.

- 1 Structure theory of Fréchet spaces: nuclearity, splitting of short exact sequences.
- 2 K-theory – the work of Cuntz, Phillips and others.
- 3 Connecion to C^* -dynamical systems and non-commutative geometry.
- 4 Locally convex operator spaces – the work of Effros et al.

$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) [\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ (continuous inclusions),
reason: $\ell_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \ell_2$.

$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) [\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ (continuous inclusions),

reason: $\ell_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \ell_2$.

Proposition (Domański, 2012)

If $\mathcal{S}_1 := \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ then $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_1}(x) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}(x)$.

$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) [\mathcal{K}(\ell_2)]$ (continuous inclusions),
 reason: $\ell_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \ell_2$.

Proposition (Domański, 2012)

If $\mathcal{S}_1 := \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ then $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}_1}(x) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2)}(x)$.

Approximate identities

Definition

$(u_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an a.i. if $u_\alpha x \rightarrow x$ and $xu_\alpha \rightarrow x$ for all x . It is bounded if the set of u_α 's is bounded. It is sequential if $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition

If $u_n := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $(u_n)_n$ is a sequential a.i.

Proposition

If $u_n := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $(u_n)_n$ is a sequential a.i. \mathcal{S} does not have a b.a.i.

Proposition

If $u_n := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $(u_n)_n$ is a sequential a.i. \mathcal{S} does not have a b.a.i.

Proof.

$\|x\|_{k,p} := \|(x_{ij}(ij)^k)_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_p}$ gives the topology of \mathcal{S} ($1 \leq p \leq +\infty$).

Proposition

If $u_n := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $(u_n)_n$ is a sequential a.i. \mathcal{S} does not have a b.a.i.

Proof.

$\|x\|_{k,p} := \|(x_{ij}(ij)^k)_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_p}$ gives the topology of \mathcal{S} ($1 \leq p \leq +\infty$).
 $\|u_n x - x\|_{k,p}, \|x u_n - x\|_{k,p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition

If $u_n := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $(u_n)_n$ is a sequential a.i. \mathcal{S} does not have a b.a.i.

Proof.

$\|x\|_{k,p} := \|(x_{ij}(ij)^k)_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_p}$ gives the topology of \mathcal{S} ($1 \leq p \leq +\infty$).

$\|u_n x - x\|_{k,p}, \|x u_n - x\|_{k,p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $(u_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a b.a.i. then $u_\alpha \rightarrow u =: \text{unit in } \mathcal{S}$. But $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$. \square

Definition

$$\mathcal{S}_{sa} \ni x \geq 0 \text{ if } \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_1}(x) \subset [0, +\infty).$$

Definition

$$\mathcal{S}_{sa} \ni x \geq 0 \text{ if } \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_1}(x) \subset [0, +\infty).$$

Proposition

① $\mathcal{S} = \text{span } \mathcal{S}_+$.

Definition

$$\mathcal{S}_{sa} \ni x \geq 0 \text{ if } \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_1}(x) \subset [0, +\infty).$$

Proposition

- 1 $\mathcal{S} = \text{span } \mathcal{S}_+$.
- 2 $x \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y^*y \text{ for some } y \in \mathcal{S} \Leftrightarrow \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0 \forall \xi \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Definition

$$\mathcal{S}_{sa} \ni x \geq 0 \text{ if } \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_1}(x) \subset [0, +\infty).$$

Proposition

- 1 $\mathcal{S} = \text{span } \mathcal{S}_+$.
- 2 $x \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y^*y$ for some $y \in \mathcal{S} \Leftrightarrow \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0 \forall \xi \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Key ingredient: functional calculus of Ciaś.

Definition

$$\mathcal{S}_{sa} \ni x \geq 0 \text{ if } \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_1}(x) \subset [0, +\infty).$$

Proposition

- 1 $\mathcal{S} = \text{span } \mathcal{S}_+$.
- 2 $x \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y^*y$ for some $y \in \mathcal{S} \Leftrightarrow \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0 \forall \xi \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Key ingredient: functional calculus of Ciaś.

Definition

A linear $\phi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *positive* ($\phi \geq 0$) if $\phi(\mathcal{S}_+) \subset [0, +\infty)$.

Theorem (Dixon, 1981; Namioka, 1957)

Let A be a Fréchet algebra with involution. If A^2 is closed, $\text{codim}_A A^2 < +\infty$ and A_+ is closed then every positive functional on A is continuous.

Theorem (Dixon, 1981; Namioka, 1957)

Let A be a Fréchet algebra with involution. If A^2 is closed, $\text{codim}_A A^2 < +\infty$ and A_+ is closed then every positive functional on A is continuous.

Reminder.

$$A^2 := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j : x_j, y_j \in A, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Question

Suppose $B \subset \mathcal{S}_{sa}$ is bounded. What about $B_+ := \{x_+ : x \in B\}$?

Question

Suppose $B \subset \mathcal{S}_{sa}$ is bounded. What about $B_+ := \{x_+ : x \in B\}$?

Lemma

If $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{S}_+$ then $\|A\|_{k,\infty} = \sup\{a_{jj}j^{2k} : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Question

Suppose $B \subset \mathcal{S}_{sa}$ is bounded. What about $B_+ := \{x_+ : x \in B\}$?

Lemma

If $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{S}_+$ then $\|A\|_{k,\infty} = \sup\{a_{jj}j^{2k} : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof.

Let $P, Q, T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $P, Q \geq 0$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} P & T \\ T^* & Q \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ in

$\mathcal{B}(H \oplus H)$ if and only if $|\langle Tx, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle Px, x \rangle \langle Qy, y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in H$.

Question

Suppose $B \subset \mathcal{S}_{sa}$ is bounded. What about $B_+ := \{x_+ : x \in B\}$?

Lemma

If $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{S}_+$ then $\|A\|_{k,\infty} = \sup\{a_{jj}j^{2k} : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof.

Let $P, Q, T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $P, Q \geq 0$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} P & T \\ T^* & Q \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ in

$\mathcal{B}(H \oplus H)$ if and only if $|\langle Tx, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle Px, x \rangle \langle Qy, y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in H$.

Decompose A into block matrices. □

Corollaries

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S}, n \in \mathbb{N}: \|x\|_{n,\infty}^2 \leq \max\{\|x^*x\|_{2n,\infty}, \|xx^*\|_{2n,\infty}\}.$$

Corollaries

- 1 $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}, n \in \mathbb{N}: \|x\|_{n,\infty}^2 \leq \max\{\|x^*x\|_{2n,\infty}, \|xx^*\|_{2n,\infty}\}.$
- 2 $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}, n \in \mathbb{N}: \| |x| \|_{n,\infty} \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\|_{2n,\infty}.$

Corollaries

- 1 $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}, n \in \mathbb{N}: \|x\|_{n,\infty}^2 \leq \max\{\|x^*x\|_{2n,\infty}, \|xx^*\|_{2n,\infty}\}.$
- 2 $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}_+, n \in \mathbb{N}: \|x_+\|_{n,\infty} \leq \sqrt{2}\|x\|_{n,\infty}, \|x_-\|_{n,\infty} \leq \sqrt{2}\|x\|_{2n,\infty}.$

Corollaries

- 1 $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}, n \in \mathbb{N}: \|x\|_{n,\infty}^2 \leq \max\{\|x^*x\|_{2n,\infty}, \|xx^*\|_{2n,\infty}\}.$
- 2 $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}_+, n \in \mathbb{N}: \|x_+\|_{n,\infty} \leq \sqrt{2}\|x\|_{n,\infty}, \|x_-\|_{n,\infty} \leq \sqrt{2}\|x\|_{2n,\infty}.$
- 3 *A subset $A \subset \mathcal{S}$ is bounded if and only if the sets $\{x^*x: x \in A\}$ and $\{xx^*: x \in A\}$ are both bounded.*

Corollaries

- 1 $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}, n \in \mathbb{N}: \|x\|_{n,\infty}^2 \leq \max\{\|x^*x\|_{2n,\infty}, \|xx^*\|_{2n,\infty}\}.$
- 2 $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}_+, n \in \mathbb{N}: \|x_+\|_{n,\infty} \leq \sqrt{2}\|x\|_{n,\infty}, \|x_-\|_{n,\infty} \leq \sqrt{2}\|x\|_{2n,\infty}.$
- 3 *A subset $A \subset \mathcal{S}_{sa}$ is bounded if and only if the sets $\{x_+ : x \in A\}$ and $\{x_- : x \in A\}$ are both bounded.*

Theorem

Every positive functional on \mathcal{S} is continuous.

Theorem

Every positive functional on \mathcal{S} is continuous.

Proof.

Let $\phi \geq 0$ and suppose $\sup\{\phi(x) : x \in B\} = +\infty$ for some bounded $B \subset \mathcal{S}_+$.

Theorem

Every positive functional on \mathcal{S} is continuous.

Proof.

Let $\phi \geq 0$ and suppose $\sup\{\phi(x) : x \in B\} = +\infty$ for some bounded $B \subset \mathcal{S}_+$. This leads to a bounded $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $\phi(x_n) \geq n^3$.

Theorem

Every positive functional on \mathcal{S} is continuous.

Proof.

Let $\phi \geq 0$ and suppose $\sup\{\phi(x) : x \in B\} = +\infty$ for some bounded $B \subset \mathcal{S}_+$. This leads to a bounded $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $\phi(x_n) \geq n^3$. Define $y := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_n}{n^2}$,

Theorem

Every positive functional on \mathcal{S} is continuous.

Proof.

Let $\phi \geq 0$ and suppose $\sup\{\phi(x) : x \in B\} = +\infty$ for some bounded $B \subset \mathcal{S}_+$. This leads to a bounded $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $\phi(x_n) \geq n^3$. Define $y := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_n}{n^2}$, $y_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{j^2}$.

Theorem

Every positive functional on \mathcal{S} is continuous.

Proof.

Let $\phi \geq 0$ and suppose $\sup\{\phi(x) : x \in B\} = +\infty$ for some bounded $B \subset \mathcal{S}_+$. This leads to a bounded $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $\phi(x_n) \geq n^3$. Define $y := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_n}{n^2}$, $y_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{j^2}$. Since $0 \leq y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y$,

Theorem

Every positive functional on \mathcal{S} is continuous.

Proof.

Let $\phi \geq 0$ and suppose $\sup\{\phi(x) : x \in B\} = +\infty$ for some bounded $B \subset \mathcal{S}_+$. This leads to a bounded $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $\phi(x_n) \geq n^3$. Define $y := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_n}{n^2}$, $y_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{j^2}$. Since $0 \leq y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y$, we get $0 \leq \phi(y_1) \leq \phi(y_2) \leq \dots \leq \phi(y)$.

Theorem

Every positive functional on \mathcal{S} is continuous.

Proof.

Let $\phi \geq 0$ and suppose $\sup\{\phi(x) : x \in B\} = +\infty$ for some bounded $B \subset \mathcal{S}_+$. This leads to a bounded $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $\phi(x_n) \geq n^3$. Define $y := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_n}{n^2}$, $y_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{j^2}$. Since $0 \leq y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y$, we get $0 \leq \phi(y_1) \leq \phi(y_2) \leq \dots \leq \phi(y)$. Consequently, $(\phi(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges but $\phi(y_n) \geq n$. □

Theorem

Every positive functional on \mathcal{S} is continuous.

Proof.

Let $\phi \geq 0$ and suppose $\sup\{\phi(x) : x \in B\} = +\infty$ for some bounded $B \subset \mathcal{S}_+$. This leads to a bounded $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $\phi(x_n) \geq n^3$. Define $y := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x_n}{n^2}$, $y_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{j^2}$. Since $0 \leq y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y$, we get $0 \leq \phi(y_1) \leq \phi(y_2) \leq \dots \leq \phi(y)$. Consequently, $(\phi(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges but $\phi(y_n) \geq n$. □

Proposition

$\phi_\xi(x) := \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle$ is extendable if and only if $\xi \in \ell_2$.

Definition

A linear $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a *derivation* if $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$.

Definition

A linear $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a *derivation* if $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$.

(E, \cdot) is a left A -module if $(a, x) \mapsto a \cdot x$, $A \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfies

$$a \cdot (b \cdot x) = ab \cdot x, \quad a, b \in A, x \in E.$$

Definition

A linear $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a *derivation* if $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$.
 (E, \cdot) is a left A -module if $(a, x) \mapsto a \cdot x$, $A \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfies

$$a \cdot (b \cdot x) = ab \cdot x, \quad a, b \in A, x \in E.$$

Examples

- 1 A is an A -bimodule.

Definition

A linear $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a *derivation* if $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$.
 (E, \cdot) is a left A -module if $(a, x) \mapsto a \cdot x$, $A \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfies

$$a \cdot (b \cdot x) = ab \cdot x, \quad a, b \in A, x \in E.$$

Examples

- 1 A is an A -bimodule.
- 2 Ideals are modules.

Definition

A linear $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a *derivation* if $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$.
 (E, \cdot) is a left A -module if $(a, x) \mapsto a \cdot x$, $A \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfies

$$a \cdot (b \cdot x) = ab \cdot x, \quad a, b \in A, x \in E.$$

Examples

- 1 A is an A -bimodule.
- 2 Ideals are modules.
- 3 E is a left $L(E)$ -module with $(T, x) \mapsto Tx$, $L(E) \times E \rightarrow E$.

Definition

A linear $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ is a *derivation* if $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$.
 (E, \cdot) is a left A -module if $(a, x) \mapsto a \cdot x$, $A \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfies

$$a \cdot (b \cdot x) = ab \cdot x, \quad a, b \in A, x \in E.$$

Examples

- 1 A is an A -bimodule.
- 2 Ideals are modules.
- 3 E is a left $L(E)$ -module with $(T, x) \mapsto Tx$, $L(E) \times E \rightarrow E$.
- 4 If E is a left A -module then E^* becomes a right A -module with

$$\phi \cdot a(x) := \phi(a \cdot x), \quad \phi \in E^*, x \in E a \in A.$$

Theorem

If D is a continuous derivation on a Banach algebra then e^D is an automorphism of this algebra.

Theorem

If D is a continuous derivation on a Banach algebra then e^D is an automorphism of this algebra.

Definition

A locally compact group is *amenable* if there exists an invariant mean on $L^\infty(G)$.

Theorem

If D is a continuous derivation on a Banach algebra then e^D is an automorphism of this algebra.

Definition

A locally compact group is *amenable* if there exists an invariant mean on $L^\infty(G)$.

All compact and abelian groups are amenable.

Theorem

If D is a continuous derivation on a Banach algebra then e^D is an automorphism of this algebra.

Definition

A locally compact group is *amenable* if there exists an invariant mean on $L^\infty(G)$.

All compact and abelian groups are amenable.

Theorem (B.E. Johnson, 1972)

TFAE for a locally compact group G :

- 1 G is amenable,

Theorem

If D is a continuous derivation on a Banach algebra then e^D is an automorphism of this algebra.

Definition

A locally compact group is *amenable* if there exists an invariant mean on $L^\infty(G)$.

All compact and abelian groups are amenable.

Theorem (B.E. Johnson, 1972)

TFAE for a locally compact group G :

- ① G is amenable,
- ② for every $L^1(G)$ -bimodule E and every continuous derivation $D: L^1(G) \rightarrow E'$ there is an $x \in E'$ with $D(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$.

Definition

A (Banach, Fréchet,...) algebra A is *amenable* if for every (Banach, Fréchet,...) A -bimodule E any continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow E'$ is inner.

Definition

A (Banach, Fréchet,...) algebra A is *amenable* if for every (Banach, Fréchet,...) A -bimodule E any continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow E'$ is inner.

First Fundamental Question

When a continuous derivation is inner?

Definition

A (Banach, Fréchet,...) algebra A is *super-amenable* if for every (Banach, Fréchet,...) A -bimodule E any continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow E$ is inner.

First Fundamental Question

When a continuous derivation is inner?

Definition

A (Banach, Fréchet,...) algebra A is *super-amenable* if for every (Banach, Fréchet,...) A -bimodule E any continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow E$ is inner.

First Fundamental Question

When a continuous derivation is inner?

Theorem

Let A be a super-amenable Banach algebra.

- 1 If A is commutative then $A \cong \mathbb{C}^n$.

Definition

A (Banach, Fréchet,...) algebra A is *super-amenable* if for every (Banach, Fréchet,...) A -bimodule E any continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow E$ is inner.

First Fundamental Question

When a continuous derivation is inner?

Theorem

Let A be a super-amenable Banach algebra.

- 1 If A is commutative then $A \cong \mathbb{C}^n$.
- 2 If A has the AP then $A \cong M_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_k}$.

Theorem (\Rightarrow Connes, 1978; \Leftarrow Haagerup, 1983)
A C^ -algebra is amenable if and only if it is nuclear.*

Theorem (\Rightarrow Connes, 1978; \Leftarrow Haagerup, 1983)

A C^ -algebra is amenable if and only if it is nuclear.*

Theorem (Pirkovskii, 2009)

The non-commutative Schwartz space is not amenable.

Second Fundamental Question

When a derivation is automatically continuous?

Second Fundamental Question

When a derivation is automatically continuous?

Theorem (Ringrose, 1971)

Every derivation from a C^ -algebra into any of its bimodules is automatically continuous.*

Second Fundamental Question

When a derivation is automatically continuous?

Theorem

Every derivation from the non-commutative Schwartz space into any of its bimodules is automatically continuous.

Second Fundamental Question

When a derivation is automatically continuous?

Theorem

Every derivation from the non-commutative Schwartz space into any of its bimodules is automatically continuous.

Proof.

Let $\delta: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow E$ be given.

Enough to take Banach bimodules (due to Pirkovskii, 2008).

Second Fundamental Question

When a derivation is automatically continuous?

Theorem

Every derivation from the non-commutative Schwartz space into any of its bimodules is automatically continuous.

Proof.

Let $\delta: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow E$ be given.

Enough to take Banach bimodules (due to Pirkovskii, 2008).

Consider $J := \{x \in \mathcal{S} : y \mapsto \delta(xy) \text{ is continuous}\}$.

Second Fundamental Question

When a derivation is automatically continuous?

Theorem

Every derivation from the non-commutative Schwartz space into any of its bimodules is automatically continuous.

Proof.

Let $\delta: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow E$ be given.

Enough to take Banach bimodules (due to Pirkovskii, 2008).

Consider $J := \{x \in \mathcal{S} : y \mapsto \delta(xy) \text{ is continuous}\}$.

J is a closed two-sided ideal and $\delta|_J$ is continuous.

Second Fundamental Question

When a derivation is automatically continuous?

Theorem

Every derivation from the non-commutative Schwartz space into any of its bimodules is automatically continuous.

Proof.

Let $\delta: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow E$ be given.

Enough to take Banach bimodules (due to Pirkovskii, 2008).

Consider $J := \{x \in \mathcal{S} : y \mapsto \delta(xy) \text{ is continuous}\}$.

J is a closed two-sided ideal and $\delta|_J$ is continuous.

$\text{codim}_{\mathcal{S}} J < +\infty$. If not, $x \mapsto x\delta(a)$ is discontinuous for a suitably chosen $a \in \mathcal{S}$. □