

# Properties of derivations on some convolution algebras

Banach Algebras and Applications 2013, Gothenburg, Sweden

Thomas Vils Pedersen  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Copenhagen  
vils@math.ku.dk

# Overview

## 1 Introduction

(Weakly) compact derivations on  $L^1(\omega)$

## 2 Derivations on $L^1[0, 1)$

(Weakly) compact derivations on  $L^1[0, 1)$

## 3 Derivations on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

(Weakly) compact derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

(Weakly) Montel derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

## 4 Derivations on $A(\omega)$ – briefly

## 5 Weak-star continuity

## Motivation

- **Definition** A *derivation* on an algebra: a linear map  $D : A \rightarrow A$  with

$$D(ab) = aD(b) + D(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

## Motivation

- **Definition** A *derivation* on an algebra: a linear map  $D : A \rightarrow A$  with

$$D(ab) = aD(b) + D(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

- [Despić, Ghahramani and Grabiner, 1995]:  
Characterisation of (weakly) compact derivations on the weighted convolution algebra

$$L^1(\omega) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|f\| = \int_0^\infty |f(t)|\omega(t) dt < \infty\}$$

for a continuous weight  $\omega$ .

## Motivation

- **Definition** A *derivation* on an algebra: a linear map  $D : A \rightarrow A$  with

$$D(ab) = aD(b) + D(a)b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

- [Despić, Ghahramani and Grabiner, 1995]:  
Characterisation of (weakly) compact derivations on the weighted convolution algebra

$$L^1(\omega) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|f\| = \int_0^\infty |f(t)|\omega(t) dt < \infty\}$$

for a continuous weight  $\omega$ .

- Characterisation of (weakly) compact derivations from various Banach algebras to their dual spaces:

[Choi and Heath, 2010]:  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ .

[Choi and Heath, 2011]: The disc algebra.

[Pedersen, 2013]:  $L^1(\omega)$ .

## Derivations on $L^1(\omega)$

- For  $f \in L^1(\omega)$  let

$$(Xf)(t) = tf(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

- For a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f \in L^1(\omega)$  let

$$D_\mu f = Xf * \mu.$$

## Derivations on $L^1(\omega)$

- For  $f \in L^1(\omega)$  let

$$(Xf)(t) = tf(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

- For a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f \in L^1(\omega)$  let

$$D_\mu f = Xf * \mu.$$

- [Ghahramani, 1980]

- There is a non-zero derivation on  $L^1(\omega)$  iff  $\exists b > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{t\omega(t+b)}{\omega(t)} < \infty.$$

- The derivations on  $L^1(\omega)$  are exactly  $D_\mu$  where  $\mu$  satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \left\{ \frac{t}{\omega(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \omega(t+s) d|\mu|(s) \right\} < \infty.$$

## Derivations on $L^1(\omega)$

- For  $f \in L^1(\omega)$  let

$$(Xf)(t) = tf(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

- For a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f \in L^1(\omega)$  let

$$D_\mu f = Xf * \mu.$$

- [Ghahramani, 1980]

- There is a non-zero derivation on  $L^1(\omega)$  iff  $\exists b > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{t\omega(t+b)}{\omega(t)} < \infty.$$

- The derivations on  $L^1(\omega)$  are exactly  $D_\mu$  where  $\mu$  satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \left\{ \frac{t}{\omega(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \omega(t+s) d|\mu|(s) \right\} < \infty.$$

- $\bar{D}_\mu v = Xv * \mu$  ( $v \in M(\omega)$ ) extends  $D_\mu$  to a derivation on  $M(\omega)$ .



## (Weakly) compact derivations on $L^1(\omega)$

### Theorem [Despić, Ghahramani and Grabiner, 1995]

Let  $D_\mu$  be a derivation on  $L^1(\omega)$ . The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $D_\mu$  is a compact derivation on  $L^1(\omega)$ .
- (b)  $D_\mu$  is a weakly compact derivation on  $L^1(\omega)$ .
- (c)  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous and

$$\frac{t}{\omega(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \omega(t+s) d|\mu|(s) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

- (d)  $\overline{D}_\mu$  is a compact derivation on  $M(\omega)$ .
- (e)  $\overline{D}_\mu$  is a weakly compact derivation on  $M(\omega)$ .

# Oversigt

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Derivations on  $L^1[0, 1)$   
(Weakly) compact derivations on  $L^1[0, 1)$
- 3 Derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$
- 4 Derivations on  $A(\omega)$  – briefly
- 5 Weak-star continuity

## Derivations on $L^1[0, 1)$

- For  $f \in L^1[0, 1)$  let  $(Xf)(t) = tf(t)$  ( $t \in [0, 1)$ ).
- For a measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1)$  and  $f \in L^1[0, 1)$  let  $D_\mu f = Xf * \mu$ .

## Derivations on $L^1[0, 1)$

- For  $f \in L^1[0, 1)$  let  $(Xf)(t) = tf(t)$  ( $t \in [0, 1)$ ).
- For a measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1)$  and  $f \in L^1[0, 1)$  let  $D_\mu f = Xf * \mu$ .
- [Kamowitz and Scheiberg, 1969]:  
The derivations on  $L^1[0, 1)$  are exactly  $D_\mu$  where

$$t|\mu|([0, 1 - t)) \quad \text{is bounded as } t \rightarrow 0_+.$$

## Derivations on $L^1[0, 1)$

- For  $f \in L^1[0, 1)$  let  $(Xf)(t) = tf(t)$  ( $t \in [0, 1)$ ).
- For a measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1)$  and  $f \in L^1[0, 1)$  let  $D_\mu f = Xf * \mu$ .
- [Kamowitz and Scheiberg, 1969]:  
The derivations on  $L^1[0, 1)$  are exactly  $D_\mu$  where

$$t|\mu|([0, 1 - t)) \quad \text{is bounded as } t \rightarrow 0_+.$$

- $\bar{D}_\mu v = Xv * \mu$  ( $v \in M[0, 1)$ ) extends  $D_\mu$  to a derivation on  $M[0, 1)$ .

## (Weakly) compact derivations on $L^1[0, 1)$

The result of Despić, Ghahramani and Grabiner can be adapted to  $L^1[0, 1)$ :

### Theorem

Let  $D_\mu$  be a derivation on  $L^1[0, 1)$ . The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $D_\mu$  is a compact derivation on  $L^1[0, 1)$ .
- (b)  $D_\mu$  is a weakly compact derivation on  $L^1[0, 1)$ .
- (c)  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous and

$$t|\mu|([0, 1 - t)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0_+.$$

- (d)  $\overline{D}_\mu$  is a compact derivation on  $M[0, 1)$ .
- (e)  $\overline{D}_\mu$  is a weakly compact derivation on  $M[0, 1)$ .

# Oversigt

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Derivations on  $L^1[0, 1)$
- 3 Derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ 
  - (Weakly) compact derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$
  - (Weakly) Montel derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$
- 4 Derivations on  $A(\omega)$  – briefly
- 5 Weak-star continuity

## The algebra $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

- Let  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  be the space of locally integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .  
(Let  $M_{\text{loc}}$  be the space of locally finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .)

- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$R_n : L^1_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow L^1[0, n) \quad \text{and} \quad S_n : L^1[0, n) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$$

be the restriction and inclusion maps.

- $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  is a Fréchet convolution algebra equipped with the seminorms  $f \mapsto \|R_n f\|$  ( $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ ) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Can also be regarded as the projective limit of the spaces  $L^1[0, n)$ .



# The algebra $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

- Let  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  be the space of locally integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .  
(Let  $M_{\text{loc}}$  be the space of locally finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .)
- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$R_n : L^1_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow L^1[0, n) \quad \text{and} \quad S_n : L^1[0, n) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$$

be the restriction and inclusion maps.

- $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  is a Fréchet convolution algebra equipped with the seminorms  $f \mapsto \|R_n f\|$  ( $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ ) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Can also be regarded as the projective limit of the spaces  $L^1[0, n)$ .

- [Ghahramani and McClure, 1992]:  
The derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  are exactly  $D_\mu$  where  $\mu \in M_{\text{loc}}$ .

# The algebra $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

- Let  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  be the space of locally integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .  
(Let  $M_{\text{loc}}$  be the space of locally finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .)

- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$R_n : L^1_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow L^1[0, n] \quad \text{and} \quad S_n : L^1[0, n] \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$$

be the restriction and inclusion maps.

- $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  is a Fréchet convolution algebra equipped with the seminorms  $f \mapsto \|R_n f\|$  ( $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ ) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Can also be regarded as the projective limit of the spaces  $L^1[0, n]$ .

- [Ghahramani and McClure, 1992]:  
The derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  are exactly  $D_\mu$  where  $\mu \in M_{\text{loc}}$ .
- $\overline{D}_\mu v = Xv * \mu$  ( $v \in M_{\text{loc}}$ ) extends  $D_\mu$  to a derivation on  $M_{\text{loc}}$ .

## (Weakly) compact derivations on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

**Definition** A linear operator between Fréchet spaces is called *(weakly) compact* if it maps some neighbourhood to a (weakly) relatively compact set.

## (Weakly) compact derivations on $L^1_{loc}$

**Definition** A linear operator between Fréchet spaces is called *(weakly) compact* if it maps some neighbourhood to a (weakly) relatively compact set.

**Observation** A set  $E \subseteq L^1_{loc}$  is (weakly) compact iff  $R_n(E)$  is (weakly) compact in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## (Weakly) compact derivations on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

**Definition** A linear operator between Fréchet spaces is called (weakly) compact if it maps some neighbourhood to a (weakly) relatively compact set.

**Observation** A set  $E \subseteq L^1_{\text{loc}}$  is (weakly) compact iff  $R_n(E)$  is (weakly) compact in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Proposition

For a continuous linear operator  $T$  on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $T$  is weakly compact.
- (b)  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  the operator  $T_{nm} = R_n T S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1[0, n)$  is weakly compact and  $Tf = 0$  for every  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$  with  $f = 0$  on  $[0, m)$ .
- (c)  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  the operator  $T_{nm} = R_n T S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1[0, n)$  is weakly compact and satisfies  $R_n T = T_{nm} R_m$ .

## (Weakly) compact derivations on $L^1_{loc}$

**Definition** A linear operator between Fréchet spaces is called (weakly) compact if it maps some neighbourhood to a (weakly) relatively compact set.

**Observation** A set  $E \subseteq L^1_{loc}$  is (weakly) compact iff  $R_n(E)$  is (weakly) compact in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Proposition

For a continuous linear operator  $T$  on  $L^1_{loc}$  the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $T$  is weakly compact.
- (b)  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  the operator  $T_{nm} = R_n T S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1[0, n)$  is weakly compact and  $Tf = 0$  for every  $f \in L^1_{loc}$  with  $f = 0$  on  $[0, m)$ .
- (c)  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  the operator  $T_{nm} = R_n T S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1[0, n)$  is weakly compact and satisfies  $R_n T = T_{nm} R_m$ .

### Corollary

There are no non-zero weakly compact derivations on  $L^1_{loc}$ .

## Problem with (weakly) compact operators on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

Let  $T$  be a (weakly) compact operator on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . Then:

- There exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 such that  $T(U)$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .

## Problem with (weakly) compact operators on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

Let  $T$  be a (weakly) compact operator on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . Then:

- There exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 such that  $T(U)$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .
- $R_n(T(U))$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1[0, n]$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



## Problem with (weakly) compact operators on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

Let  $T$  be a (weakly) compact operator on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . Then:

- There exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 such that  $T(U)$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .
- $R_n(T(U))$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- There exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} : \|R_m f\| < \delta\} \subseteq U$ .

## Problem with (weakly) compact operators on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

Let  $T$  be a (weakly) compact operator on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . Then:

- There exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 such that  $T(U)$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .
- $R_n(T(U))$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- There exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} : \|R_m f\| < \delta\} \subseteq U$ .
- $R_n T(\{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} : \|R_m f\| < \delta\})$  is (weakly) relatively compact and in particular bounded in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Problem with (weakly) compact operators on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

Let  $T$  be a (weakly) compact operator on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . Then:

- There exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 such that  $T(U)$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .
- $R_n(T(U))$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- There exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} : \|R_m f\| < \delta\} \subseteq U$ .
- $R_n T(\{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} : \|R_m f\| < \delta\})$  is (weakly) relatively compact and in particular bounded in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists K_n : \|R_n T f\| \leq K_n \|R_m f\|$  ( $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ ).  
[Compare with continuity.]

## Problem with (weakly) compact operators on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

Let  $T$  be a (weakly) compact operator on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . Then:

- There exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 such that  $T(U)$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .
- $R_n(T(U))$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- There exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} : \|R_m f\| < \delta\} \subseteq U$ .
- $R_n T(\{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} : \|R_m f\| < \delta\})$  is (weakly) relatively compact and in particular bounded in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists K_n : \|R_n T f\| \leq K_n \|R_m f\|$  ( $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ ).  
[Compare with continuity.]

**Problem** Loosely speaking neighbourhoods in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  are too large and (weakly) compact sets in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  are too small.

## Problem with (weakly) compact operators on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

Let  $T$  be a (weakly) compact operator on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . Then:

- There exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 such that  $T(U)$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .
- $R_n(T(U))$  is (weakly) relatively compact in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- There exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} : \|R_m f\| < \delta\} \subseteq U$ .
- $R_n T(\{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} : \|R_m f\| < \delta\})$  is (weakly) relatively compact and in particular bounded in  $L^1[0, n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists K_n : \|R_n T f\| \leq K_n \|R_m f\|$  ( $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ ).  
[Compare with continuity.]

**Problem** Loosely speaking neighbourhoods in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  are too large and (weakly) compact sets in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  are too small.

**“Solution”** Balls in a Banach space are prototypes of neighbourhoods but also of bounded sets.

## (Weakly) Montel derivations on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

**Definition** A linear operator between Fréchet spaces is called (*weakly*) *Montel* if it maps bounded sets to (weakly) relatively compact sets.

**Observation** A set  $E \subseteq L^1_{\text{loc}}$  is bounded iff  $R_n(E)$  is bounded in  $L^1[0, n]$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## (Weakly) Montel derivations on $L^1_{\text{loc}}$

**Definition** A linear operator between Fréchet spaces is called (*weakly*) *Montel* if it maps bounded sets to (weakly) relatively compact sets.

**Observation** A set  $E \subseteq L^1_{\text{loc}}$  is bounded iff  $R_n(E)$  is bounded in  $L^1[0, n]$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Theorem

For  $\mu \in M_{\text{loc}}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $D_\mu$  is a Montel derivation on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .
- (b)  $D_\mu$  is a weakly Montel derivation on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .
- (c)  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous.
- (d)  $\overline{D}_\mu$  is a Montel derivation on  $M_{\text{loc}}$ .
- (e)  $\overline{D}_\mu$  is a weakly Montel derivation on  $M_{\text{loc}}$ .

## Sketch of proof

- **(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c):**

$D_\mu$  weakly Montel on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$



## Sketch of proof

- **(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c):**

$D_\mu$  weakly Montel on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

$D_\mu S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$  weakly compact,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

## Sketch of proof

- **(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c):**

$D_\mu$  weakly Montel on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

$D_\mu S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$  weakly compact,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\tilde{D}_{R_m\mu} = R_m D_\mu S_m$  weakly compact on  $L^1[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

## Sketch of proof

- **(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c):**

$D_\mu$  weakly Montel on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

$D_\mu S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$  weakly compact,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\tilde{D}_{R_m\mu} = R_m D_\mu S_m$  weakly compact on  $L^1[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

## Sketch of proof

- **(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c):**

$D_\mu$  weakly Montel on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

$D_\mu S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$  weakly compact,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\tilde{D}_{R_m\mu} = R_m D_\mu S_m$  weakly compact on  $L^1[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

## Sketch of proof

- **(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c):**

$D_\mu$  weakly Montel on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

$D_\mu S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$  weakly compact,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\tilde{D}_{R_m\mu} = R_m D_\mu S_m$  weakly compact on  $L^1[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

- **(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d):**

$\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m$  compact on  $M[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

## Sketch of proof

- **(b)⇒(c):**

$D_\mu$  weakly Montel on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

$D_\mu S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$  weakly compact,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\tilde{D}_{R_m\mu} = R_m D_\mu S_m$  weakly compact on  $L^1[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

- **(c)⇒(d):**

$\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m$  compact on  $M[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

Since  $R_m \bar{D}_\mu = R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m R_m : M_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow M[0, m)$  we have

$R_m(\bar{D}_\mu(B)) = (R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m)(R_m(B))$  relatively compact in  $M[0, m)$ ,

$\forall B$  bounded in  $M_{\text{loc}}$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

## Sketch of proof

- **(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c):**

$D_\mu$  weakly Montel on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

$D_\mu S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$  weakly compact,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\tilde{D}_{R_m \mu} = R_m D_\mu S_m$  weakly compact on  $L^1[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m \mu$  absolutely continuous on  $[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

- **(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d):**

$\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m$  compact on  $M[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

Since  $R_m \bar{D}_\mu = R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m R_m : M_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow M[0, m)$  we have

$R_m(\bar{D}_\mu(B)) = (R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m)(R_m(B))$  relatively compact in  $M[0, m)$ ,

$\forall B$  bounded in  $M_{\text{loc}}$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\bar{D}_\mu(B)$  relatively compact in  $M_{\text{loc}}$ ,  $\forall B$  bounded in  $M_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

## Sketch of proof

- **(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c):**

$D_\mu$  weakly Montel on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

$D_\mu S_m : L^1[0, m) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}$  weakly compact,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\tilde{D}_{R_m\mu} = R_m D_\mu S_m$  weakly compact on  $L^1[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

- **(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d):**

$\mu$  absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$   $\Rightarrow$

$R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m$  compact on  $M[0, m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

Since  $R_m \bar{D}_\mu = R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m R_m : M_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow M[0, m)$  we have

$R_m(\bar{D}_\mu(B)) = (R_m \bar{D}_\mu S_m)(R_m(B))$  relatively compact in  $M[0, m)$ ,

$\forall B$  bounded in  $M_{\text{loc}}$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\bar{D}_\mu(B)$  relatively compact in  $M_{\text{loc}}$ ,  $\forall B$  bounded in  $M_{\text{loc}}$   $\Rightarrow$

$\bar{D}_\mu$  Montel on  $M_{\text{loc}}$ .



# Oversigt

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Derivations on  $L^1[0, 1)$
- 3 Derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$
- 4 Derivations on  $A(\omega)$  – briefly**
- 5 Weak-star continuity

## Derivations on $A(\omega)$ – briefly

- For an increasing sequence  $(\omega_n)$  of semisimple weights [plus some other conditions] let

$$A(\omega) = \bigcap_n L^1(\omega_n).$$

Then  $A(\omega)$  is a Fréchet convolution algebra.

## Derivations on $A(\omega)$ – briefly

- For an increasing sequence  $(\omega_n)$  of semisimple weights [plus some other conditions] let

$$A(\omega) = \bigcap_n L^1(\omega_n).$$

Then  $A(\omega)$  is a Fréchet convolution algebra.

- [Pedersen, 2009]:
  - Characterisation of the derivations  $D_\mu$  on  $A(\omega)$ .
  - $\bar{D}_\mu v = Xv * \mu$  ( $v \in B(\omega)$ ) extends  $D_\mu$  to  $B(\omega) = \bigcap_n M(\omega_n)$ .

## Derivations on $A(\omega)$ – briefly

- For an increasing sequence  $(\omega_n)$  of semisimple weights [plus some other conditions] let

$$A(\omega) = \bigcap_n L^1(\omega_n).$$

Then  $A(\omega)$  is a Fréchet convolution algebra.

- [Pedersen, 2009]:
  - Characterisation of the derivations  $D_\mu$  on  $A(\omega)$ .
  - $\bar{D}_\mu v = Xv * \mu$  ( $v \in B(\omega)$ ) extends  $D_\mu$  to  $B(\omega) = \bigcap_n M(\omega_n)$ .
- Same results as for  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ :
  - There are no non-zero weakly compact derivations on  $A(\omega)$ .
  - The (weakly) Montel derivations  $D_\mu$  on  $A(\omega)$  correspond to  $\mu$  absolutely continuous.

# Oversigt

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Derivations on  $L^1[0, 1)$
- 3 Derivations on  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$
- 4 Derivations on  $A(\omega)$  – briefly
- 5 Weak-star continuity**

# Weak-star continuity

## Theorem

All the extensions  $\overline{D}_\mu$  on

$$M(\omega), \quad M[0, 1), \quad M_{\text{loc}} \quad \text{resp.} \quad B(\omega)$$

are weak-star continuous.

# Weak-star continuity

## Theorem

All the extensions  $\overline{D}_\mu$  on

$$M(\omega), \quad M[0, 1), \quad M_{\text{loc}} \quad \text{resp.} \quad B(\omega)$$

are weak-star continuous.

## Sketch of proof

- For  $h \in \text{predual}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  (or  $[0, 1)$ ) let

$$(T_\mu h)(t) = t \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \text{ (or } [0, 1))} h(t+s) d\mu(s).$$

- Show that  $T_\mu(\text{predual}) \subseteq \text{predual}$ .
- Show that  $\overline{D}_\mu = T_\mu^*$ .