

Isomorphisms Invariants for Multivariable C^* -dynamics

Evgenios Kakariadis, University of Waterloo

Banach Algebras and Applications 2013, Göteborg

(joint work with E. Katsoulis, to appear in J. Noncom. Geom.)

Main objective

Main objective

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.

Main objective

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
1. Identify an operator algebra...

Main objective

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
1. Identify an operator algebra...
 2. ...that encodes the dynamical system structure.

Main objective

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
 1. Identify an operator algebra...
 2. ...that encodes the dynamical system structure.

- This problem has been met with quite success in the non-selfadjoint context:

Main objective

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
 1. Identify an operator algebra...
 2. ...that encodes the dynamical system structure.

- This problem has been met with quite success in the non-selfadjoint context:
 1. For $n = 1$, and outer conjugacy:
Arveson-Josephson (1969), Peters (1984), Hadwin-Hoover (1988), Power (1992), Muhly-Solel (2000), Davidson-Katsoulis (2008), Davidson-K. (2012)

Main objective

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
 1. Identify an operator algebra...
 2. ...that encodes the dynamical system structure.

- This problem has been met with quite success in the non-selfadjoint context:
 1. For $n = 1$, and outer conjugacy:
Arveson-Josephson (1969), Peters (1984), Hadwin-Hoover (1988), Power (1992), Muhly-Solel (2000), Davidson-Katsoulis (2008), Davidson-K. (2012)
 2. For multivariable systems, and piecewise conjugacy:
Davidson-Katsoulis (2007).

Additional Motivation

- Cornelissen and Marcolli: number theory, graph theory, C^* -algebras
 - [1] G. Cornelissen, *Curves, Dynamical Systems and Weighted Point Counting*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc., to appear.
 - [2] G. Cornelissen and M. Marcolli, *Quantum Statistical Mechanics, L-series and Anabelian Geometry*, preprint arXiv: 1009.0736.
 - [3] G. Cornelissen and M. Marcolli, *Graph Reconstruction and Quantum Statistical Mechanics*, J. Geom. Phys., to appear.
- Link: Piecewise conjugacy of classical systems is an invariant for tensor algebras, as proved by Davidson-Katsoulis (2007).

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
-

Definition

1. Tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$:

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
-

Definition

1. *Tensor algebra* $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and the universal symbols $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ such that

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
-

Definition

1. *Tensor algebra* $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and the universal symbols $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ such that

1. $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{s}_j \cdot \alpha_j(a)$;

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
-

Definition

1. *Tensor algebra* $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and the universal symbols $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ such that

1. $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;
2. $[\mathbf{s}_1 \cdots \mathbf{s}_{n_\alpha}]$ is a row contraction.

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
-

Definition

1. *Tensor algebra* $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and the universal symbols $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ such that

1. $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;
2. $[\mathbf{s}_1 \cdots \mathbf{s}_{n_\alpha}]$ is a row contraction.

2. *Free semicrossed product* $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+$:

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
-

Definition

1. *Tensor algebra* $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and the universal symbols $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ such that

1. $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;
2. $[\mathbf{s}_1 \cdots \mathbf{s}_{n_\alpha}]$ is a row contraction.

2. *Free semicrossed product* $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+$: generated by A and the universal symbols $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ such that

1. $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- (A, α) : A is a C^* -algebra and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})$ with $\alpha_i \in \text{End}(A)$.
-

Definition

1. *Tensor algebra* $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and the universal symbols $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ such that

1. $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;
2. $[\mathbf{s}_1 \cdots \mathbf{s}_{n_\alpha}]$ is a row contraction.

2. *Free semicrossed product* $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+$: generated by A and the universal symbols $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ such that

1. $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;
 2. \mathbf{s}_i are contractions.
-

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$ and $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_{n_{\alpha}}^+$ share same properties:

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$ and $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_{n\alpha}^+$ share same properties:
 - (i) $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ are not right divisors of 0, and $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$ and $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_{n\alpha}^+$ share same properties:
 - (i) $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ are not right divisors of 0, and $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;
 - (ii) they are generated as Banach spaces by monomials of the form $\mathbf{s}_{i_1} \mathbf{s}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{s}_{i_k} a$;

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$ and $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_{n\alpha}^+$ share same properties:
 - (i) $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ are not right divisors of 0, and $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;
 - (ii) they are generated as Banach spaces by monomials of the form $\mathbf{s}_{i_1} \mathbf{s}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{s}_{i_k} a$;
 - (iii) they admit Fourier co-efficients

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$ and $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_{n\alpha}^+$ share same properties:
 - (i) $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ are not right divisors of 0, and $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;
 - (ii) they are generated as Banach spaces by monomials of the form $\mathbf{s}_{i_1} \mathbf{s}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{s}_{i_k} a$;
 - (iii) they admit Fourier co-efficients

$$E_0 \left(\sum_w \mathbf{s}_w a_w \right) = a_0, \quad F_i \left(\sum_w \mathbf{s}_w a_w \right) = \mathbf{s}_i a_i.$$

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha)$ and $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_{n\alpha}^+$ share same properties:
 - (i) $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ are not right divisors of 0, and $a \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \alpha_i(a)$;
 - (ii) they are generated as Banach spaces by monomials of the form $\mathbf{s}_{i_1} \mathbf{s}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{s}_{i_k} a$;
 - (iii) they admit Fourier co-efficients

$$E_0 \left(\sum_w \mathbf{s}_w a_w \right) = a_0, \quad F_i \left(\sum_w \mathbf{s}_w a_w \right) = \mathbf{s}_i a_i.$$

Definition

Let's denote such an algebra by $\text{alg}(A, \alpha)$.

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- They are not isometrically isomorphic.

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- They are not isometrically isomorphic.

Example $(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})$

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- They are not isometrically isomorphic.

Example $(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})$

- $\mathcal{T}_+(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_2$

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- They are not isometrically isomorphic.

Example $(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})$

- $\mathcal{T}_+(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow \text{C}_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{T}_+(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})) \simeq \mathcal{O}_2$.

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- They are not isometrically isomorphic.

Example $(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})$

- $\mathcal{T}_+(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{T}_+(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})) \simeq \mathcal{O}_2$.
- $\mathbb{C} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_2^+ \simeq \text{alg}(\mathbb{F}_2^+)$

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- They are not isometrically isomorphic.
-

Example $(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})$

- $\mathcal{T}_+(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{T}_+(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})) \simeq \mathcal{O}_2$.
- $\mathbb{C} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_2^+ \simeq \text{alg}(\mathbb{F}_2^+) \Rightarrow C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathbb{C} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_2^+) \simeq C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Main objective 1: Algebras associated with (A, α)

- They are not isometrically isomorphic.

Example $(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})$

- $\mathcal{T}_+(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{T}_+(\mathbb{C}, \text{id}, \text{id})) \simeq \mathcal{O}_2$.
 - $\mathbb{C} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_2^+ \simeq \text{alg}(\mathbb{F}_2^+) \Rightarrow C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathbb{C} \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_2^+) \simeq C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$.
 - And \mathcal{O}_2 is not $*$ -isomorphic to $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$.
-

Main Objective 2: Piecewise Conjugacy

- For classical systems: $(C(X), \alpha) \equiv (X, \sigma)$.

Main Objective 2: Piecewise Conjugacy

- For classical systems: $(C(X), \alpha) \equiv (X, \sigma)$.
-

Definition (Davidson-Katsoulis 2007)

(X, σ) and (Y, τ) are *piecewise conjugate* if $n = n_\alpha = n_\beta$ and there exists a homeomorphism $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ and an open cover $\{U_g \mid g \in S_n\}$ of Y s.t.

$$\tau_i|_{U_g} = \phi \circ \sigma_{g(i)} \circ \phi^{-1}|_{U_g}.$$

Main Objective 2: Piecewise Conjugacy

- For classical systems: $(C(X), \alpha) \equiv (X, \sigma)$.

Definition (Davidson-Katsoulis 2007)

(X, σ) and (Y, τ) are *piecewise conjugate* if $n = n_\alpha = n_\beta$ and there exists a homeomorphism $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ and an open cover $\{U_g \mid g \in S_n\}$ of Y s.t.

$$\tau_i|_{U_g} = \phi \circ \sigma_{g(i)} \circ \phi^{-1}|_{U_g}.$$

Theorem (Davidson-Katsoulis 2007)

If the tensor algebras of two classical systems (X, σ) and (Y, τ) are algebraically isomorphic, then (X, σ) and (Y, τ) are piecewise conjugate.

Main Objective 2: Piecewise Conjugacy

- For classical systems: $(C(X), \alpha) \equiv (X, \sigma)$.
-

Definition (Davidson-Katsoulis 2007)

(X, σ) and (Y, τ) are *piecewise conjugate* if $n = n_\alpha = n_\beta$ and there exists a homeomorphism $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ and an open cover $\{U_g \mid g \in S_n\}$ of Y s.t.

$$\tau_i|_{U_g} = \phi \circ \sigma_{g(i)} \circ \phi^{-1}|_{U_g}.$$

Theorem (Davidson-Katsoulis 2007)

If the tensor algebras of two classical systems (X, σ) and (Y, τ) are algebraically isomorphic, then (X, σ) and (Y, τ) are piecewise conjugate.

- It is not assumed a priori that they have the same multiplicity.

Main Objective 2: Piecewise Conjugacy

- Do piecewise conjugate systems have isomorphic tensor algebras?

Main Objective 2: Piecewise Conjugacy

- Do piecewise conjugate systems have isomorphic tensor algebras?

Theorem (Davidson-Katsoulis 2007)

Yes, if

1. $n \leq 3$; or
 2. X has covering dimension at most 1.
-

Main Objective 2: Piecewise Conjugacy

- Do piecewise conjugate systems have isomorphic tensor algebras?

Theorem (Davidson-Katsoulis 2007)

Yes, if

1. $n \leq 3$; or
2. X has covering dimension at most 1.

Theorem (Ramsey 2009)

Yes, for $n = 4$.

Main Objective 2: Piecewise Conjugacy

- Do piecewise conjugate systems have isomorphic tensor algebras?

Theorem (Davidson-Katsoulis 2007)

Yes, if

1. $n \leq 3$; or
2. X has covering dimension at most 1.

Theorem (Ramsey 2009)

Yes, for $n = 4$.

-
- The general case is an open problem.

Main objective 2: Encoding

Main objective 2: Encoding

- Outer conjugacy extends in two ways in the multivariable context:

Main objective 2: Encoding

- Outer conjugacy extends in two ways in the multivariable context:

Definition

- (i) $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ (i.e., *unitarily equivalent*) if there is a $*$ -isomorphism $\gamma: A \rightarrow B$ and a unitary $U \in M_{n_\beta, n_\alpha}(B)$ s.t.

Main objective 2: Encoding

- Outer conjugacy extends in two ways in the multivariable context:

Definition

- (i) $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ (i.e., *unitarily equivalent*) if there is a $*$ -isomorphism $\gamma: A \rightarrow B$ and a unitary $U \in M_{n_\beta, n_\alpha}(B)$ s.t.

$$\text{diag}\{\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) : 1 \leq i \leq n_\beta\} \cdot U = U \cdot \text{diag}\{\gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot) : 1 \leq j \leq n_\alpha\}.$$

Main objective 2: Encoding

- Outer conjugacy extends in two ways in the multivariable context:

Definition

- (i) $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ (i.e., *unitarily equivalent*) if there is a $*$ -isomorphism $\gamma: A \rightarrow B$ and a unitary $U \in M_{n_\beta, n_\alpha}(B)$ s.t.

$$\text{diag}\{\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) : 1 \leq i \leq n_\beta\} \cdot U = U \cdot \text{diag}\{\gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot) : 1 \leq j \leq n_\alpha\}.$$

- (ii) $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta)$ (i.e., *conjugate*) if U above is a diagonal up to a permutation ($n_\alpha = n_\beta$).
-

Main objective 2: Encoding

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{n_\beta} \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_{n_\alpha}(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

Main objective 2: Encoding

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{n_\beta} \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_{n_\alpha}(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta) : n_\alpha = n_\beta = n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_n \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_n(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

Main objective 2: Encoding

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta) :$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{n_\beta} \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_{n_\alpha}(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta) : n_\alpha = n_\beta = n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_n \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_n(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta) \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta).$

Main objective 2: Encoding

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{n_\beta} \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_{n_\alpha}(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta) : n_\alpha = n_\beta = n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_n \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_n(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta) \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$.
- $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta) \Rightarrow A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq A \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+$.

Main objective 2: Encoding

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta) :$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{n_\beta} \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_{n_\alpha}(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta) : n_\alpha = n_\beta = n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_n \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_n(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta) \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta).$
- $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta) \Rightarrow A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq A \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+.$
- Converse?

Main objective 2: Encoding

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta) :$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{n_\beta} \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1n_\alpha} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_{n_\beta 1} & \cdots & u_{n_\beta n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_{n_\alpha}(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta) : n_\alpha = n_\beta = n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \circ \gamma(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_n \circ \gamma(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \circ \alpha_1(\cdot) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \circ \alpha_n(\cdot) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta) \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta).$
- $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta) \Rightarrow A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq A \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+.$
- Converse? Difficult even for $n_\alpha = n_\beta = 1.$

Summary

Summary

1. unitary equivalence \Rightarrow isomorphic tensor algebras

Summary

1. unitary equivalence \Rightarrow isomorphic tensor algebras
2. conjugacy \Rightarrow isomorphic free semicrossed products

Summary

1. unitary equivalence \Rightarrow isomorphic tensor algebras
2. conjugacy \Rightarrow isomorphic free semicrossed products
3. piecewise conjugacy \Leftarrow isomorphic tensor algebras for classical systems

Summary

1. unitary equivalence \Rightarrow isomorphic tensor algebras
 $\stackrel{?}{\Leftarrow}$
2. conjugacy \Rightarrow isomorphic free semicrossed products
 $\stackrel{?}{\Leftarrow}$
3. piecewise conjugacy \Leftarrow isomorphic tensor algebras for classical systems

Summary

1. unitary equivalence \Rightarrow isomorphic tensor algebras
 $\stackrel{?}{\Leftarrow}$
2. conjugacy \Rightarrow isomorphic free semicrossed products
 $\stackrel{?}{\Leftarrow}$
3. piecewise conjugacy \Leftarrow isomorphic tensor algebras for classical systems
 $\stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow}$ Yes, in some cases.

Questions

Questions

1. Motivated by the work of Cornelissen and Marcolli, can we extend piecewise conjugacy for non-commutative systems?

Questions

1. Motivated by the work of Cornelissen and Marcolli, can we extend piecewise conjugacy for non-commutative systems?
2. Identify a complete invariant for isomorphisms between operator algebras associated with C^* -dynamical systems

Questions

1. Motivated by the work of Cornelissen and Marcolli, can we extend piecewise conjugacy for non-commutative systems?
2. Identify a complete invariant for isomorphisms between operator algebras associated with C^* -dynamical systems (At least for classical systems and isometric isomorphisms.)

Question 2

A Complete Invariant.

Stably finite C^* -algebras

Stably finite C^* -algebras

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) with B being stably finite (in particular a commutative) C^ -algebra.*

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) with B being stably finite (in particular a commutative) C^* -algebra. Then

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) with B being stably finite (in particular a commutative) C^* -algebra. Then

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
 2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.
-

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) with B being stably finite (in particular a commutative) C^* -algebra. Then

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
 2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.
-

Proof.

- (A, α) and (B, β) .

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) with B being stably finite (in particular a commutative) C^* -algebra. Then

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
 2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.
-

Proof.

- (A, α) and (B, β) .

$\text{alg}(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{n_\alpha}$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) with B being stably finite (in particular a commutative) C^* -algebra. Then

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
 2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.
-

Proof.

- (A, α) and (B, β) .

$\text{alg}(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{n_\alpha}$.

$\text{alg}(B, \beta)$: generated by B and $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{n_\beta}$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) with B being stably finite (in particular a commutative) C^* -algebra. Then

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
 2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.
-

Proof.

- (A, α) and (B, β) .

$\text{alg}(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{n_\alpha}$.

$\text{alg}(B, \beta)$: generated by B and $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{n_\beta}$.

- $\gamma: \text{alg}(A, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{alg}(B, \beta)$ isometric isomorphism.

Stably finite C^* -algebras

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) with B being stably finite (in particular a commutative) C^* -algebra. Then

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
 2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.
-

Proof.

- (A, α) and (B, β) .

$\text{alg}(A, \alpha)$: generated by A and $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{n_\alpha}$.

$\text{alg}(B, \beta)$: generated by B and $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{n_\beta}$.

- $\gamma: \text{alg}(A, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{alg}(B, \beta)$ isometric isomorphism. Then $\gamma|_A: A \rightarrow B$ is a $*$ -isomorphism (fixes the coefficients).

Stably finite C^* -algebras

- $\gamma(\mathbf{s}_j) = b_{0j} + \mathbf{t}_1 b_{1j} + \cdots + \mathbf{t}_{n_\beta} b_{n_\beta j} + Y_j.$

Stably finite C^* -algebras

- $\gamma(\mathbf{s}_j) = b_{0j} + \mathbf{t}_1 b_{1j} + \cdots + \mathbf{t}_{n_\beta} b_{n_\beta j} + Y_j$.
- γ is an algebraic homomorphism $\Rightarrow \beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$.

Stably finite C^* -algebras

- $\gamma(\mathbf{s}_j) = b_{0j} + \mathbf{t}_1 b_{1j} + \cdots + \mathbf{t}_{n_\beta} b_{n_\beta j} + Y_j$.
- γ is an algebraic homomorphism $\Rightarrow \beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$.
- $\text{diag}\{\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) : 1 \leq i \leq n_\beta\} \cdot [b_{ij}] = [b_{ij}] \cdot \text{diag}\{\gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot) : 1 \leq j \leq n_\alpha\}$.

Stably finite C^* -algebras

- $\gamma(\mathbf{s}_j) = b_{0j} + \mathbf{t}_1 b_{1j} + \cdots + \mathbf{t}_{n_\beta} b_{n_\beta j} + Y_j$.
- γ is an algebraic homomorphism $\Rightarrow \beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$.
- $\text{diag}\{\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) : 1 \leq i \leq n_\beta\} \cdot [b_{ij}] = [b_{ij}] \cdot \text{diag}\{\gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot) : 1 \leq j \leq n_\alpha\}$.

Key-Lemma

The matrix $[b_{ij}]$ is right invertible.

Stably finite C^* -algebras

- $\gamma(\mathbf{s}_j) = b_{0j} + \mathbf{t}_1 b_{1j} + \cdots + \mathbf{t}_{n_\beta} b_{n_\beta j} + Y_j$.
- γ is an algebraic homomorphism $\Rightarrow \beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$.
- $\text{diag}\{\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) : 1 \leq i \leq n_\beta\} \cdot [b_{ij}] = [b_{ij}] \cdot \text{diag}\{\gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot) : 1 \leq j \leq n_\alpha\}$.

Key-Lemma

The matrix $[b_{ij}]$ is right invertible.

- B is stably finite $\Rightarrow [b_{ij}]$ is invertible.

Stably finite C^* -algebras

- $\gamma(\mathbf{s}_j) = b_{0j} + \mathbf{t}_1 b_{1j} + \cdots + \mathbf{t}_{n_\beta} b_{n_\beta j} + Y_j$.
- γ is an algebraic homomorphism $\Rightarrow \beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$.
- $\text{diag}\{\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) : 1 \leq i \leq n_\beta\} \cdot [b_{ij}] = [b_{ij}] \cdot \text{diag}\{\gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot) : 1 \leq j \leq n_\alpha\}$.

Key-Lemma

The matrix $[b_{ij}]$ is right invertible.

- B is stably finite $\Rightarrow [b_{ij}]$ is invertible.
- Substitute $[b_{ij}]$ with the unitary of its polar decomposition. □

C^* -algebras with trivial centre by automorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.

In fact TFAE:

C^* -algebras with trivial centre by automorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$.

In fact TFAE:

1. $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq B \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+$;

C^* -algebras with trivial centre by automorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.

In fact TFAE:

1. $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq B \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+$;
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$;

C^* -algebras with trivial centre by automorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.

In fact TFAE:

1. $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq B \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+$;
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$;
3. $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$;

C^* -algebras with trivial centre by automorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.

In fact TFAE:

1. $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq B \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+$;
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$;
3. $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$;
4. $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

C^* -algebras with trivial centre by automorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$.

In fact TFAE:

1. $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq B \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+$;
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$;
3. $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$;
4. $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

Recall that $\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$, for all i, j .

C^* -algebras with trivial centre by automorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.

In fact TFAE:

1. $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq B \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+$;
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$;
3. $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$;
4. $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

Recall that $\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$, for all i, j . Therefore $b_{ij} = 0$ or invertible.

C^* -algebras with trivial centre by automorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic systems with A having trivial centre. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$.

In fact TFAE:

1. $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{F}_{n_\alpha}^+ \simeq B \times_\beta \mathbb{F}_{n_\beta}^+$;
2. $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$;
3. $(A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$;
4. $(A, \alpha) \sim (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

Recall that $\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$, for all i, j . Therefore $b_{ij} = 0$ or invertible. Apply Gaussian Elimination to $[b_{ij}]$. □

C^* -algebras by $*$ -epimorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be $*$ -epimorphic systems. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
 2. $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.
-

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be $*$ -epimorphic systems. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be $*$ -epimorphic systems. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

- Recall that $[b_{ij}]$ has a right inverse $[d_{ij}]$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be $*$ -epimorphic systems. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

- Recall that $[b_{ij}]$ has a right inverse $[d_{ij}]$.
- Recall that $\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$, for all i, j .

C^* -algebras by $*$ -epimorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be $*$ -epimorphic systems. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

- Recall that $[b_{ij}]$ has a right inverse $[d_{ij}]$.
- Recall that $\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$, for all i, j .
- Therefore $\rho(b_{ij}) = 0$ or invertible, for the irreducible rpns ρ of B .

C^* -algebras by $*$ -epimorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be $*$ -epimorphic systems. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

- Recall that $[b_{ij}]$ has a right inverse $[d_{ij}]$.
- Recall that $\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$, for all i, j .
- Therefore $\rho(b_{ij}) = 0$ or invertible, for the irreducible rpns ρ of B .
- Apply Gaussian Elimination to $[\rho(b_{ij})]$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be $*$ -epimorphic systems. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\sim} (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

- Recall that $[b_{ij}]$ has a right inverse $[d_{ij}]$.
- Recall that $\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$, for all i, j .
- Therefore $\rho(b_{ij}) = 0$ or invertible, for the irreducible rpns ρ of B .
- Apply Gaussian Elimination to $[\rho(b_{ij})]$. The inverse must be $[\rho(d_{ij})]$.

C^* -algebras by $*$ -epimorphisms

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be $*$ -epimorphic systems. Then:

1. $\text{alg}(A, \alpha) \simeq \text{alg}(B, \beta) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$ and $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.
2. $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}(B, \beta) \Leftrightarrow (A, \alpha) \stackrel{ue}{\simeq} (B, \beta)$.

Proof.

- Recall that $[b_{ij}]$ has a right inverse $[d_{ij}]$.
- Recall that $\beta_i \circ \gamma(\cdot) \cdot b_{ij} = b_{ij} \cdot \gamma \circ \alpha_j(\cdot)$, for all i, j .
- Therefore $\rho(b_{ij}) = 0$ or invertible, for the irreducible rpns ρ of B .
- Apply Gaussian Elimination to $[\rho(b_{ij})]$. The inverse must be $[\rho(d_{ij})]$.
- Use the full atomic representation of B to reconstruct the inverse which must be $[d_{ij}]$. □

General Case?

General Case?

- All our results imply that $n_\alpha = n_\beta$.

General Case?

- All our results imply that $n_\alpha = n_\beta$. This may not happen in general!

General Case?

- All our results imply that $n_\alpha = n_\beta$. This may not happen in general!
-

Example

Let $A = B = \mathcal{O}_2$

General Case?

- All our results imply that $n_\alpha = n_\beta$. This may not happen in general!
-

Example

Let $A = B = \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \text{id}$,

General Case?

- All our results imply that $n_\alpha = n_\beta$. This may not happen in general!
-

Example

Let $A = B = \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \text{id}$, $\beta_1(x) = s_1 x s_1^* + s_2 x s_2^*$.

General Case?

- All our results imply that $n_\alpha = n_\beta$. This may not happen in general!
-

Example

Let $A = B = \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \text{id}$, $\beta_1(x) = s_1 x s_1^* + s_2 x s_2^*$. Then

$$[\beta_1(x)] \cdot [s_1 \quad s_2] = [s_1 \quad s_2] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

$[s_1 \quad s_2]$ is a unitary.

General Case?

- All our results imply that $n_\alpha = n_\beta$. This may not happen in general!
-

Example

Let $A = B = \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \text{id}$, $\beta_1(x) = s_1 x s_1^* + s_2 x s_2^*$. Then

$$[\beta_1(x)] \cdot [s_1 \quad s_2] = [s_1 \quad s_2] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

$[s_1 \quad s_2]$ is a unitary.

Hence $\mathcal{T}_+(\mathcal{O}_2, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(\mathcal{O}_2, \beta)$

General Case?

- All our results imply that $n_\alpha = n_\beta$. This may not happen in general!

Example

Let $A = B = \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \text{id}$, $\beta_1(x) = s_1 x s_1^* + s_2 x s_2^*$. Then

$$[\beta_1(x)] \cdot [s_1 \quad s_2] = [s_1 \quad s_2] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

$[s_1 \quad s_2]$ is a unitary.

Hence $\mathcal{I}_+(\mathcal{O}_2, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{I}_+(\mathcal{O}_2, \beta)$, yet they have different multiplicities.

Question 1

Piecewise conjugacy for non-classical systems.

Piecewise Conjugacy for Noncommutative Systems

Piecewise Conjugacy for Noncommutative Systems

- \widehat{A} : the space of un.eq. classes of irreducible rpns of A .

Piecewise Conjugacy for Noncommutative Systems

- \widehat{A} : the space of un.eq. classes of irreducible rpns of A .
- If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ then it induces a homeomorphism $\widehat{\alpha}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$.

Piecewise Conjugacy for Noncommutative Systems

- \widehat{A} : the space of un.eq. classes of irreducible rpns of A .
- If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ then it induces a homeomorphism $\widehat{\alpha}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic. If $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$ then the systems are piecewise conjugate over their Fell spectra.

Piecewise Conjugacy for Noncommutative Systems

- \widehat{A} : the space of un.eq. classes of irreducible rpns of A .
- If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ then it induces a homeomorphism $\widehat{\alpha}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic. If $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$ then the systems are piecewise conjugate over their Fell spectra.

- Converse?

Piecewise Conjugacy for Noncommutative Systems

- \widehat{A} : the space of un.eq. classes of irreducible rpns of A .
- If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ then it induces a homeomorphism $\widehat{\alpha}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic. If $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$ then the systems are piecewise conjugate over their Fell spectra.

- Converse?
- No.

Piecewise Conjugacy for Noncommutative Systems

- \widehat{A} : the space of un.eq. classes of irreducible rpn's of A .
- If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ then it induces a homeomorphism $\widehat{\alpha}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic. If $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$ then the systems are piecewise conjugate over their Fell spectra.

- Converse?
- No.

Kadison-Ringrose: (A, α) with $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ universally weakly inner but not inner.

If the converse was true then $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(A, \text{id}) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \sim (A, \text{id})$ (Davidson-K.)

Piecewise Conjugacy for Noncommutative Systems

- \widehat{A} : the space of un.eq. classes of irreducible rpn's of A .
- If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ then it induces a homeomorphism $\widehat{\alpha}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$.

Theorem (K.-Katsoulis 2012)

Let (A, α) and (B, β) be automorphic. If $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(B, \beta)$ then the systems are piecewise conjugate over their Fell spectra.

- Converse?
- No.

Kadison-Ringrose: (A, α) with $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ universally weakly inner but not inner.

If the converse was true then $\mathcal{T}_+(A, \alpha) \simeq \mathcal{T}_+(A, \text{id}) \Rightarrow (A, \alpha) \sim (A, \text{id})$ (Davidson-K.) $\Rightarrow \alpha$ inner. Contradiction.

Conclusion

Questions

Questions

Question 1: Are tensor algebras a complete isometric isomorphic invariant for general systems?

Questions

Question 1: Are tensor algebras a complete isometric isomorphic invariant for general systems?

Question 2: By Davidson-Katsoulis, Ramsey: for classical systems there are cases where piecewise conjugacy coincides with unitary equivalence (e.g., $n \leq 4$).

Questions

Question 1: Are tensor algebras a complete isometric isomorphic invariant for general systems?

Question 2: By Davidson-Katsoulis, Ramsey: for classical systems there are cases where piecewise conjugacy coincides with unitary equivalence (e.g., $n \leq 4$). Is this true in general?

Questions

Question 1: Are tensor algebras a complete isometric isomorphic invariant for general systems?

Question 2: By Davidson-Katsoulis, Ramsey: for classical systems there are cases where piecewise conjugacy coincides with unitary equivalence (e.g., $n \leq 4$). Is this true in general?

Motivation: For the particular systems in the work of Cornelissen and Marcolli piecewise conjugacy is shown also to be a complete invariant.

Thank You.