

Sets of multiplicity in locally compact groups and their operator versions

Ivan Todorov
(joint work with V.S. Shulman and L. Turowska)

31 July 2013
Gothenburg

- Sets of multiplicity in Harmonic Analysis

Content

- Sets of multiplicity in Harmonic Analysis
- Operator versions – why and how

- Sets of multiplicity in Harmonic Analysis
- Operator versions – why and how
- Preservation properties

- Sets of multiplicity in Harmonic Analysis
- Operator versions – why and how
- Preservation properties
- Sets of (operator) p -multiplicity

Sets of multiplicity in \mathbb{T}

The Fourier algebra of \mathbb{T} :

$$A(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{int} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n| < \infty \right\} \simeq \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Sets of multiplicity in \mathbb{T}

The Fourier algebra of \mathbb{T} :

$$A(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{int} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n| < \infty \right\} \simeq \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The space of *pseudo-measures* $PM(\mathbb{T}) = A(\mathbb{T})^*$ can be identified with $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ via Fourier transform $F \mapsto (\hat{F}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \dots$

Sets of multiplicity in \mathbb{T}

The Fourier algebra of \mathbb{T} :

$$A(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{int} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n| < \infty \right\} \simeq \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The space of *pseudo-measures* $PM(\mathbb{T}) = A(\mathbb{T})^*$ can be identified with $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ via Fourier transform $F \mapsto (\hat{F}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \dots$

... and the space of *pseudo-functions*

$PF(\mathbb{T}) = \{F \in PM(\mathbb{T}) : \hat{F}(n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$.

Sets of multiplicity in \mathbb{T}

The Fourier algebra of \mathbb{T} :

$$A(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{int} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n| < \infty \right\} \simeq \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The space of *pseudo-measures* $PM(\mathbb{T}) = A(\mathbb{T})^*$ can be identified with $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ via Fourier transform $F \mapsto (\hat{F}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \dots$

... and the space of *pseudo-functions*

$PF(\mathbb{T}) = \{F \in PM(\mathbb{T}) : \hat{F}(n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$.

If E is a closed subset of \mathbb{T} , let $PM(E)$ denote the space of all pseudo-measures supported on E , $M(E)$ the space of measures $\mu \in M(G)$ with $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq E$, and $N(E)$ the weak $*$ closure of $M(E)$.

Sets of multiplicity in \mathbb{T}

The Fourier algebra of \mathbb{T} :

$$A(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{int} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n| < \infty \right\} \simeq \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The space of *pseudo-measures* $PM(\mathbb{T}) = A(\mathbb{T})^*$ can be identified with $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ via Fourier transform $F \mapsto (\hat{F}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \dots$

\dots and the space of *pseudo-functions*

$PF(\mathbb{T}) = \{F \in PM(\mathbb{T}) : \hat{F}(n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$.

If E is a closed subset of \mathbb{T} , let $PM(E)$ denote the space of all pseudo-measures supported on E , $M(E)$ the space of measures $\mu \in M(G)$ with $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq E$, and $N(E)$ the weak * closure of $M(E)$.

A closed set $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is an M -set if $PM(E) \cap PF(\mathbb{T}) \neq \{0\} \dots$

Sets of multiplicity in \mathbb{T}

The Fourier algebra of \mathbb{T} :

$$A(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{int} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n| < \infty \right\} \simeq \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The space of *pseudo-measures* $PM(\mathbb{T}) = A(\mathbb{T})^*$ can be identified with $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ via Fourier transform $F \mapsto (\hat{F}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \dots$

\dots and the space of *pseudo-functions*

$PF(\mathbb{T}) = \{F \in PM(\mathbb{T}) : \hat{F}(n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$.

If E is a closed subset of \mathbb{T} , let $PM(E)$ denote the space of all pseudo-measures supported on E , $M(E)$ the space of measures $\mu \in M(G)$ with $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq E$, and $N(E)$ the weak $*$ closure of $M(E)$.

A closed set $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is an M -set if $PM(E) \cap PF(\mathbb{T}) \neq \{0\} \dots$

\dots and an M_1 -set if $N(E) \cap PF(\mathbb{T}) \neq \{0\}$.

Generalisation to non-commutative groups

G a locally compact second countable group.

$\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ the left regular representation.

$C_r^*(G) = \overline{\lambda(L^1(G))}^{\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}}$ the reduced C^* -algebra of G .

$\text{VN}(G) = \overline{C_r^*(G)}^{w^*}$ the von Neumann algebra of G .

$A(G) = \{s \rightarrow (\lambda_s(f), g) : f, g \in L^2(G)\}$ the Fourier algebra of G .

Note $A(G)^* \cong \text{VN}(G)$, $\langle \lambda(f), u \rangle = \int_G f(s)u(s)ds$.

Generalisation to non-commutative groups

G a locally compact second countable group.

$\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ the left regular representation.

$C_r^*(G) = \overline{\lambda(L^1(G))}^{\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}}$ the reduced C^* -algebra of G .

$\text{VN}(G) = \overline{C_r^*(G)}^{w^*}$ the von Neumann algebra of G .

$A(G) = \{s \rightarrow (\lambda_s(f), g) : f, g \in L^2(G)\}$ the Fourier algebra of G .

Note $A(G)^* \cong \text{VN}(G)$, $\langle \lambda(f), u \rangle = \int_G f(s)u(s)ds$.

Given a subset $J \subseteq A(G)$, let

$\text{null}(J) = \{s \in G : u(s) = 0, \text{ for all } u \in J\}$ be the null set of J .

Generalisation to non-commutative groups

G a locally compact second countable group.

$\lambda : L^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ the left regular representation.

$C_r^*(G) = \overline{\lambda(L^1(G))}^{\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}}$ the reduced C^* -algebra of G .

$\text{VN}(G) = \overline{C_r^*(G)}^{w^*}$ the von Neumann algebra of G .

$A(G) = \{s \rightarrow (\lambda_s(f), g) : f, g \in L^2(G)\}$ the Fourier algebra of G .

Note $A(G)^* \cong \text{VN}(G)$, $\langle \lambda(f), u \rangle = \int_G f(s)u(s)ds$.

Given a subset $J \subseteq A(G)$, let

$\text{null}(J) = \{s \in G : u(s) = 0, \text{ for all } u \in J\}$ be the null set of J .

Given a closed subset $E \subseteq G$, let $I(E)$ (resp. $J(E)$) be the maximal (resp. minimal) closed ideal of $A(G)$ with null set E .

Definition

A closed subset $E \subseteq G$ will be called

- (i) an M -set if $J(E)^\perp \cap C_r^*(G) \neq \{0\}$;
- (ii) an M_1 -set if $I(E)^\perp \cap C_r^*(G) \neq \{0\}$;

The set E will be called a U -set (resp. a U_1 -set) if it is not an M -set (resp. an M_1 -set).

(i) given by M. Bożejko in 1977.

Schur multipliers

Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be standard measure spaces.

For a function $\varphi \in L^\infty(X \times Y)$, let $S_\varphi : L^2(X \times Y) \rightarrow L^2(X \times Y)$ be the corresponding multiplication operator

$$S_\varphi \xi = \varphi \xi.$$

Schur multipliers

Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be standard measure spaces.

For a function $\varphi \in L^\infty(X \times Y)$, let $S_\varphi : L^2(X \times Y) \rightarrow L^2(X \times Y)$ be the corresponding multiplication operator

$$S_\varphi \xi = \varphi \xi.$$

The space $L^2(X \times Y)$ can be identified with the Hilbert-Schmidt class in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X), L^2(Y))$ by

$$\xi \longrightarrow T_\xi, \quad T_\xi f(y) = \int_X \xi(x, y) f(x) d\mu(x).$$

Set $\|\xi\|_{\text{op}} = \|T_\xi\|_{\text{op}}$

Schur multipliers

Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be standard measure spaces.

For a function $\varphi \in L^\infty(X \times Y)$, let $S_\varphi : L^2(X \times Y) \rightarrow L^2(X \times Y)$ be the corresponding multiplication operator

$$S_\varphi \xi = \varphi \xi.$$

The space $L^2(X \times Y)$ can be identified with the Hilbert-Schmidt class in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X), L^2(Y))$ by

$$\xi \longrightarrow T_\xi, \quad T_\xi f(y) = \int_X \xi(x, y) f(x) d\mu(x).$$

Set $\|\xi\|_{\text{op}} = \|T_\xi\|_{\text{op}}$

A function $\varphi \in L^\infty(X \times Y)$ is called a *Schur multiplier* if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|S_\varphi \xi\|_{\text{op}} \leq C \|\xi\|_{\text{op}}, \quad \xi \in L^2(X \times Y).$$

Closable multipliers

If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are Banach spaces, $D \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ a linear subspace (not necessarily closed), and $T : D \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a linear operator then T is called *closable* if for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$\|x_i\| \rightarrow 0, \|Tx_i - y\| \rightarrow 0 \implies y = 0.$$

Closable multipliers

If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are Banach spaces, $D \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ a linear subspace (not necessarily closed), and $T : D \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a linear operator then T is called *closable* if for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$\|x_i\| \rightarrow 0, \|Tx_i - y\| \rightarrow 0 \implies y = 0.$$

For a measurable function $\varphi : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $D(\varphi) = \{\xi \in L^2(X \times Y) : \varphi\xi \in L^2(X \times Y)\}$.

Closable multipliers

If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are Banach spaces, $D \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ a linear subspace (not necessarily closed), and $T : D \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a linear operator then T is called *closable* if for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$\|x_i\| \rightarrow 0, \|Tx_i - y\| \rightarrow 0 \implies y = 0.$$

For a measurable function $\varphi : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $D(\varphi) = \{\xi \in L^2(X \times Y) : \varphi\xi \in L^2(X \times Y)\}$.

Let $S_\varphi : D(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(X \times Y)$ be given by

$$S_\varphi\xi = \varphi\xi.$$

Closable multipliers

If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are Banach spaces, $D \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ a linear subspace (not necessarily closed), and $T : D \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a linear operator then T is called *closable* if for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$\|x_i\| \rightarrow 0, \|Tx_i - y\| \rightarrow 0 \implies y = 0.$$

For a measurable function $\varphi : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $D(\varphi) = \{\xi \in L^2(X \times Y) : \varphi\xi \in L^2(X \times Y)\}$.

Let $S_\varphi : D(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(X \times Y)$ be given by

$$S_\varphi\xi = \varphi\xi.$$

Set $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{cl}} = \{\varphi : S_\varphi \text{ is closable}\}$.

Call the element of \mathfrak{S}_{cl} *closable multipliers*.

A class of closable multipliers

Theorem (Peller)

φ is a Schur multiplier iff $\exists a_k, b_k$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right\|_{\infty} < \infty, \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k|^2 \right\|_{\infty} < \infty,$$

$$\varphi(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(x) b_k(y), \quad \text{a.e. on } X \times Y.$$

A class of closable multipliers

Theorem (Peller)

φ is a Schur multiplier iff $\exists a_k, b_k$ such that
 $\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2\|_{\infty} < \infty, \quad \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k|^2\|_{\infty} < \infty,$

$$\varphi(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(x)b_k(y), \quad \text{a.e. on } X \times Y.$$

Theorem (Shulman-T-Turowska)

Let \mathcal{V} be the set of all φ that can be represented as above but under the more general conditions

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k(x)|^2 < \infty, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k(y)|^2 < \infty \quad (x \in X, y \in Y).$$

Then \mathfrak{S}_{cl} contains all quotients $\frac{\varphi}{\psi}$, with $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{V}$.

Pseudo-topologies and supports

W. Arveson (1974), J. Erdos, A. Katavolos, V.S. Shulman (1998)
Let $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$.

Pseudo-topologies and supports

W. Arveson (1974), J. Erdos, A. Katavolos, V.S. Shulman (1998)

Let $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$.

(i) κ is called *marginally null* (denoted $\kappa \simeq \emptyset$) if $\kappa \subseteq (M \times Y) \cup (X \times N)$, where M and N are null.

Pseudo-topologies and supports

W. Arveson (1974), J. Erdos, A. Katavolos, V.S. Shulman (1998)

Let $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$.

- (i) κ is called *marginally null* (denoted $\kappa \simeq \emptyset$) if $\kappa \subseteq (M \times Y) \cup (X \times N)$, where M and N are null.
- (ii) κ is said to be *marginally equivalent* to another subset $\kappa' \subseteq X \times Y$ if $\kappa \Delta \kappa'$ is marginally null.

Pseudo-topologies and supports

W. Arveson (1974), J. Erdos, A. Katavolos, V.S. Shulman (1998)

Let $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$.

- (i) κ is called *marginally null* (denoted $\kappa \simeq \emptyset$) if $\kappa \subseteq (M \times Y) \cup (X \times N)$, where M and N are null.
- (ii) κ is said to be *marginally equivalent* to another subset $\kappa' \subseteq X \times Y$ if $\kappa \Delta \kappa'$ is marginally null.
- (iii) κ is called *ω -open* if κ is marginally equivalent to subset of the form $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \kappa_i$, where the sets κ_i are rectangles.

Pseudo-topologies and supports

W. Arveson (1974), J. Erdos, A. Katavolos, V.S. Shulman (1998)

Let $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$.

- (i) κ is called *marginally null* (denoted $\kappa \simeq \emptyset$) if $\kappa \subseteq (M \times Y) \cup (X \times N)$, where M and N are null.
- (ii) κ is said to be *marginally equivalent* to another subset $\kappa' \subseteq X \times Y$ if $\kappa \Delta \kappa'$ is marginally null.
- (iii) κ is called *ω -open* if κ is marginally equivalent to subset of the form $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \kappa_i$, where the sets κ_i are rectangles.
- (iv) κ is called *ω -closed* if κ^c is ω -open.

Pseudo-topologies and supports

W. Arveson (1974), J. Erdos, A. Katavolos, V.S. Shulman (1998)

Let $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$.

- (i) κ is called *marginally null* (denoted $\kappa \simeq \emptyset$) if $\kappa \subseteq (M \times Y) \cup (X \times N)$, where M and N are null.
- (ii) κ is said to be *marginally equivalent* to another subset $\kappa' \subseteq X \times Y$ if $\kappa \Delta \kappa'$ is marginally null.
- (iii) κ is called *ω -open* if κ is marginally equivalent to subset of the form $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \kappa_i$, where the sets κ_i are rectangles.
- (iv) κ is called *ω -closed* if κ^c is ω -open.
- (v) An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X), L^2(Y))$ is *supported on* κ if

$$(\alpha \times \beta) \cap \kappa \simeq \emptyset \Rightarrow P(\beta)TP(\alpha) = 0,$$

where $P(\alpha)$ is the projection from $L^2(X)$ onto $L^2(\alpha)$.

Masa-bimodules

If $f \in L^\infty(X)$, let $M_f \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X))$ be the operator of multiplication by f . Set

$$\mathcal{D}_X = \{M_f : f \in L^\infty(X)\};$$

similarly \mathcal{D}_Y .

Masa-bimodules

If $f \in L^\infty(X)$, let $M_f \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X))$ be the operator of multiplication by f . Set

$$\mathcal{D}_X = \{M_f : f \in L^\infty(X)\};$$

similarly \mathcal{D}_Y .

$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(X), L^2(Y))$ *masa-bimodule* if $\mathcal{D}_Y \mathcal{U} \mathcal{D}_X \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

Masa-bimodules

If $f \in L^\infty(X)$, let $M_f \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X))$ be the operator of multiplication by f . Set

$$\mathcal{D}_X = \{M_f : f \in L^\infty(X)\};$$

similarly \mathcal{D}_Y .

$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(X), L^2(Y))$ *masa-bimodule* if $\mathcal{D}_Y \mathcal{U} \mathcal{D}_X \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

The weak* closed masa-bimodules are precisely the weak* closed invariant spaces of Schur multipliers.

Masa-bimodules

If $f \in L^\infty(X)$, let $M_f \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X))$ be the operator of multiplication by f . Set

$$\mathcal{D}_X = \{M_f : f \in L^\infty(X)\};$$

similarly \mathcal{D}_Y .

$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(X), L^2(Y))$ *masa-bimodule* if $\mathcal{D}_Y \mathcal{U} \mathcal{D}_X \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

The weak* closed masa-bimodules are precisely the weak* closed invariant spaces of Schur multipliers.

Theorem (Arveson)

Given an ω -closed subset $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$, there exists a maximal weak* closed masa-bimodule $\mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa)$ and a minimal weak* closed masa-bimodule $\mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa)$ with support κ .

Conditions related to closability of multipliers

Given $\varphi : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let

$$D^*(\varphi) = \{h \in L^2(X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y) : \varphi h \in h \in L^2(X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y)\}.$$

Conditions related to closability of multipliers

Given $\varphi : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let

$$D^*(\varphi) = \{h \in L^2(X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y) : \varphi h \in h \in L^2(X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y)\}.$$

Let $\kappa_\varphi \subseteq X \times Y$ be the zero set of $D^*(\varphi)$:

$$\kappa_\varphi = \{(x, y) : h(x, y) = 0, \text{ for all } h \in D^*(\varphi)\}.$$

Conditions related to closability of multipliers

Given $\varphi : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let

$$D^*(\varphi) = \{h \in L^2(X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y) : \varphi h \in h \in L^2(X) \hat{\otimes} L^2(Y)\}.$$

Let $\kappa_\varphi \subseteq X \times Y$ be the zero set of $D^*(\varphi)$:

$$\kappa_\varphi = \{(x, y) : h(x, y) = 0, \text{ for all } h \in D^*(\varphi)\}.$$

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}(X \times Y)$.

- (i) If $\mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa_\varphi)$ does not contain a compact operator then φ is a closable multiplier;
- (ii) If $\mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa_\varphi)$ contains a compact operator then φ is a not closable multiplier.

Sets of operator multiplicity

Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be standard measure spaces, $H_1 = L^2(X)$, $H_2 = L^2(Y)$, \mathcal{K} the space of all compact operators from H_1 to H_2 .

Definition

An ω -closed set $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$ is called

- (i) an *operator M -set* if $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa) \neq \{0\}$;
- (ii) an *operator M_1 -set* if $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa) \neq \{0\}$.

Sets of operator multiplicity

Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be standard measure spaces, $H_1 = L^2(X)$, $H_2 = L^2(Y)$, \mathcal{K} the space of all compact operators from H_1 to H_2 .

Definition

An ω -closed set $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$ is called

- (i) an *operator M -set* if $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa) \neq \{0\}$;
- (ii) an *operator M_1 -set* if $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa) \neq \{0\}$.

Studied first by Froelich (1988) in relation with problems in invariant subspace theory.

Sets of operator multiplicity

Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be standard measure spaces, $H_1 = L^2(X)$, $H_2 = L^2(Y)$, \mathcal{K} the space of all compact operators from H_1 to H_2 .

Definition

An ω -closed set $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$ is called

- (i) an *operator M -set* if $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa) \neq \{0\}$;
- (ii) an *operator M_1 -set* if $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa) \neq \{0\}$.

Studied first by Froelich (1988) in relation with problems in invariant subspace theory.

The space \mathcal{K} is a suitable substitute of $C_r^*(G)$ because of the Stone-von Neumann Theorem:

$$\mathcal{K}(L^2(G)) = \overline{\{M_a T M_b : a \in C_0(G), T \in C_r^*(G)\}}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

Sets of Toeplitz type

If $E \subseteq G$, let $E^* = \{(s, t) : ts^{-1} \in E\} \subseteq G \times G$.

Sets of Toeplitz type

If $E \subseteq G$, let $E^* = \{(s, t) : ts^{-1} \in E\} \subseteq G \times G$.

Theorem

Let $E \subseteq G$ be a closed set.

- (i) E is an M -set if and only if E^* is an operator M -set;
- (ii) E is an M_1 -set if and only if E^* is an operator M_1 -set.

Sets of Toeplitz type

If $E \subseteq G$, let $E^* = \{(s, t) : ts^{-1} \in E\} \subseteq G \times G$.

Theorem

Let $E \subseteq G$ be a closed set.

- (i) E is an M -set if and only if E^* is an operator M -set;
- (ii) E is an M_1 -set if and only if E^* is an operator M_1 -set.

Let $T(G) = L^2(G) \hat{\otimes} L^2(G) \equiv (\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)))_*$.

If $\varphi \in T(G)$, there exists a (unique) normal completely bounded map $E_\varphi : \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \rightarrow \text{VN}(G)$ such that

$$\langle E_\varphi(T), u \rangle = \langle T, \varphi N(u) \rangle, \quad u \in A(G).$$

Sets of Toeplitz type

If $E \subseteq G$, let $E^* = \{(s, t) : ts^{-1} \in E\} \subseteq G \times G$.

Theorem

Let $E \subseteq G$ be a closed set.

- (i) E is an M -set if and only if E^* is an operator M -set;
- (ii) E is an M_1 -set if and only if E^* is an operator M_1 -set.

Let $T(G) = L^2(G) \hat{\otimes} L^2(G) \equiv (\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)))_*$.

If $\varphi \in T(G)$, there exists a (unique) normal completely bounded map $E_\varphi : \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \rightarrow \text{VN}(G)$ such that

$$\langle E_\varphi(T), u \rangle = \langle T, \varphi N(u) \rangle, \quad u \in A(G).$$

Here $N(u)(s, t) = u(ts^{-1})$.

Sets of Toeplitz type

If $E \subseteq G$, let $E^* = \{(s, t) : ts^{-1} \in E\} \subseteq G \times G$.

Theorem

Let $E \subseteq G$ be a closed set.

- (i) E is an M -set if and only if E^* is an operator M -set;
- (ii) E is an M_1 -set if and only if E^* is an operator M_1 -set.

Let $T(G) = L^2(G) \hat{\otimes} L^2(G) \equiv (\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)))_*$.

If $\varphi \in T(G)$, there exists a (unique) normal completely bounded map $E_\varphi : \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \rightarrow \text{VN}(G)$ such that

$$\langle E_\varphi(T), u \rangle = \langle T, \varphi N(u) \rangle, \quad u \in A(G).$$

Here $N(u)(s, t) = u(ts^{-1})$.

If $T \in \mathcal{K}$ then $E_\varphi(T) \in C_r^*(G)$, for every $\varphi \in T(G)$.

Preservation properties – unions

Theorem

If $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \subseteq X \times Y$ are not operator M -sets (resp. not operator M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$ is not an operator M -set (resp. not an operator M_1 -set).

Preservation properties – unions

Theorem

If $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \subseteq X \times Y$ are not operator M -sets (resp. not operator M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$ is not an operator M -set (resp. not an operator M_1 -set).

Thus, if $E_1, E_2 \subseteq G$ are not M -sets (resp. not M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$ is not an M -set (resp. not an M_1 -set).

Preservation properties – unions

Theorem

If $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \subseteq X \times Y$ are not operator M -sets (resp. not operator M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$ is not an operator M -set (resp. not an operator M_1 -set).

Thus, if $E_1, E_2 \subseteq G$ are not M -sets (resp. not M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$ is not an M -set (resp. not an M_1 -set).

Question

Does the same hold for countable unions?

Preservation properties – unions

Theorem

If $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \subseteq X \times Y$ are not operator M -sets (resp. not operator M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$ is not an operator M -set (resp. not an operator M_1 -set).

Thus, if $E_1, E_2 \subseteq G$ are not M -sets (resp. not M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$ is not an M -set (resp. not an M_1 -set).

Question

Does the same hold for countable unions?

The proof is based on an intersection result for subspaces of $T(G)$:

Preservation properties – unions

Theorem

If $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \subseteq X \times Y$ are not operator M -sets (resp. not operator M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$ is not an operator M -set (resp. not an operator M_1 -set).

Thus, if $E_1, E_2 \subseteq G$ are not M -sets (resp. not M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$ is not an M -set (resp. not an M_1 -set).

Question

Does the same hold for countable unions?

The proof is based on an intersection result for subspaces of $T(G)$:

If $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \subseteq T(G)$ are dense masa-bimodules then their intersection $\mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{V}_2$ is also dense.

Preservation properties – products

Theorem

If $\kappa_i \subseteq X_i \times Y_i$ are operator M -sets, $i = 1, 2$, (resp. operator M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \times \kappa_2$ is an operator M -set (resp. an operator M_1 -set).

Preservation properties – products

Theorem

If $\kappa_i \subseteq X_i \times Y_i$ are operator M -sets, $i = 1, 2$, (resp. operator M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \times \kappa_2$ is an operator M -set (resp. an operator M_1 -set).

Thus, if $E_i \subseteq G_i$ are M -sets, $i = 1, 2$ (resp. M_1 -sets) then $E_1 \cup E_2$ is an M -set (resp. an M_1 -set).

Preservation properties – products

Theorem

If $\kappa_i \subseteq X_i \times Y_i$ are operator M -sets, $i = 1, 2$, (resp. operator M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \times \kappa_2$ is an operator M -set (resp. an operator M_1 -set).

Thus, if $E_i \subseteq G_i$ are M -sets, $i = 1, 2$ (resp. M_1 -sets) then $E_1 \cup E_2$ is an M -set (resp. an M_1 -set).

The proof of (ii) is based on the following tensor product formula:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa_1) \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa_2) = \mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa_1 \times \kappa_2),$$

which answers a question of Froelich's (1988).

Preservation properties – products

Theorem

If $\kappa_i \subseteq X_i \times Y_i$ are operator M -sets, $i = 1, 2$, (resp. operator M_1 -sets) then $\kappa_1 \times \kappa_2$ is an operator M -set (resp. an operator M_1 -set).

Thus, if $E_i \subseteq G_i$ are M -sets, $i = 1, 2$ (resp. M_1 -sets) then $E_1 \cup E_2$ is an M -set (resp. an M_1 -set).

The proof of (ii) is based on the following tensor product formula:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa_1) \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa_2) = \mathfrak{M}_{\min}(\kappa_1 \times \kappa_2),$$

which answers a question of Froelich's (1988).

We use the notion of *pseudo-integral operators*: for measures σ on $Y \times X$ with $|\sigma|_X \leq c\mu$ and $|\sigma|_Y \leq c\nu$,

$$(T_\sigma \xi, \eta) = \int_{Y \times X} \xi(x) \overline{\eta(y)} d\sigma(y, x).$$

Preservation properties – inverse images

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X_1$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ be measurable maps.

Preservation properties – inverse images

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X_1$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ be measurable maps.

For $\kappa_1 \subseteq X_1 \times Y_1$ let

$$\kappa = \{(x, y) : (\varphi(x), \psi(y)) \in \kappa_1\}.$$

Preservation properties – inverse images

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X_1$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ be measurable maps.

For $\kappa_1 \subseteq X_1 \times Y_1$ let

$$\kappa = \{(x, y) : (\varphi(x), \psi(y)) \in \kappa_1\}.$$

There are relations between the operator multiplicity properties of κ_1 and those of κ .

Preservation properties – inverse images

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X_1$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ be measurable maps.

For $\kappa_1 \subseteq X_1 \times Y_1$ let

$$\kappa = \{(x, y) : (\varphi(x), \psi(y)) \in \kappa_1\}.$$

There are relations between the operator multiplicity properties of κ_1 and those of κ .

Roughly speaking,

- If κ_1 is an M -set (resp. an M_1 -set) then κ is an M -set (resp. an M_1 -set);

Preservation properties – inverse images

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X_1$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ be measurable maps.

For $\kappa_1 \subseteq X_1 \times Y_1$ let

$$\kappa = \{(x, y) : (\varphi(x), \psi(y)) \in \kappa_1\}.$$

There are relations between the operator multiplicity properties of κ_1 and those of κ .

Roughly speaking,

- If κ_1 is an M -set (resp. an M_1 -set) then κ is an M -set (resp. an M_1 -set);
- If κ_1 is not an M -set (resp. not an M_1 -set) and φ, ψ are injective, then κ is not an M -set (resp. not an M_1 -set)

An example

$$f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g_i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

An example

$$f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g_i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$\kappa = \{(x, y) : f_i(x) \leq g_i(y), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

An example

$$f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g_i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$\kappa = \{(x, y) : f_i(x) \leq g_i(y), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Theorem

Every compact operator in $\mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa)$ is in the closure of the span of the rank one operators in $\mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa)$.

An example

$$f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g_i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$\kappa = \{(x, y) : f_i(x) \leq g_i(y), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Theorem

Every compact operator in $\mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa)$ is in the closure of the span of the rank one operators in $\mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa)$.

The following are equivalent:

- κ is an M -set;
- κ is an M_1 -set;
- κ has positive product measure;
- κ supports a non-trivial Hilbert-Schmidt operator;
- $\mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa)$ contains a rank one operator.

Closable multipliers on group C^* -algebras – the setting

Let $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function.

Closable multipliers on group C^* -algebras – the setting

Let $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function.

It is well-known that pointwise multiplication on $L^1(G)$ by the function ψ defines a completely bounded map on $C_r^*(G)$ if and only if the function $N(\psi)$ is a Schur multiplier.

Let

$$D(\psi) = \{f \in L^1(G) : \psi f \in L^1(G)\};$$

it is easy to see that the operator $f \rightarrow \psi f$, $f \in D(\psi)$, viewed as a densely defined operator on $L^1(G)$, is closable.

Closable multipliers on group C^* -algebras – the setting

Let $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function.

It is well-known that pointwise multiplication on $L^1(G)$ by the function ψ defines a completely bounded map on $C_r^*(G)$ if and only if the function $N(\psi)$ is a Schur multiplier.

Let

$$D(\psi) = \{f \in L^1(G) : \psi f \in L^1(G)\};$$

it is easy to see that the operator $f \rightarrow \psi f$, $f \in D(\psi)$, viewed as a densely defined operator on $L^1(G)$, is closable.

Since $\lambda(L^1(G))$ is dense in $C_r^*(G)$ and $\|\lambda(f)\| \leq \|f\|_1$, $f \in L^1(G)$, the space $\lambda(D(\psi))$ is dense in $C_r^*(G)$ in the operator norm.

Closable multipliers on group C^* -algebras – the setting

Let $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function.

It is well-known that pointwise multiplication on $L^1(G)$ by the function ψ defines a completely bounded map on $C_r^*(G)$ if and only if the function $N(\psi)$ is a Schur multiplier.

Let

$$D(\psi) = \{f \in L^1(G) : \psi f \in L^1(G)\};$$

it is easy to see that the operator $f \rightarrow \psi f$, $f \in D(\psi)$, viewed as a densely defined operator on $L^1(G)$, is closable.

Since $\lambda(L^1(G))$ is dense in $C_r^*(G)$ and $\|\lambda(f)\| \leq \|f\|_1$, $f \in L^1(G)$, the space $\lambda(D(\psi))$ is dense in $C_r^*(G)$ in the operator norm.

Thus, the operator $S_\psi : \lambda(D(\psi)) \rightarrow C_r^*(G)$ given by $S_\psi(\lambda(f)) = \lambda(\psi f)$ is a densely defined operator on $C_r^*(G)$.

More on closable multipliers – some results

Theorem

Let G be a second countable locally compact group (satisfying a mild approximation property), $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function and $\varphi = N(\psi)$. The following are equivalent:

- the operator S_ψ is closable;
- the operator S_φ is closable.

More on closable multipliers – some results

Theorem

Let G be a second countable locally compact group (satisfying a mild approximation property), $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function and $\varphi = N(\psi)$. The following are equivalent:

- the operator S_ψ is closable;
- the operator S_φ is closable.

$E_\psi = \{t \in G : \psi \text{ does not almost belong to } A(G) \text{ at } t\}$.

More on closable multipliers – some results

Theorem

Let G be a second countable locally compact group (satisfying a mild approximation property), $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function and $\varphi = N(\psi)$. The following are equivalent:

- the operator S_ψ is closable;
- the operator S_φ is closable.

$E_\psi = \{t \in G : \psi \text{ does not almost belong to } A(G) \text{ at } t\}$.

Theorem

Let G be a second countable locally compact group (satisfying the same approximation property), $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function. Then

- if E_ψ is an U -set then S_ψ is closable;
- if E_ψ is an M_1 -set then S_ψ is not closable.

Let \mathcal{S}_p be the Schatten p -class ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$).

Let \mathcal{S}_p be the Schatten p -class ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$).

$$\mathcal{S}_p(G) = \{T \in C_r^*(G) : M_a T M_b \in \mathcal{S}_p, \forall a, b \in C_c(G)\}.$$

Let \mathcal{S}_p be the Schatten p -class ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$).

$$\mathcal{S}_p(G) = \{T \in C_r^*(G) : M_a T M_b \in \mathcal{S}_p, \forall a, b \in C_c(G)\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}_\infty(G) = C_r^*(G)$.

Let \mathcal{S}_p be the Schatten p -class ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$).

$$\mathcal{S}_p(G) = \{T \in C_r^*(G) : M_a T M_b \in \mathcal{S}_p, \forall a, b \in C_c(G)\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}_\infty(G) = C_r^*(G)$.

For $T \in \mathcal{S}_p(G)$, let

$$\|T\|_{G,p} = \sup\{\|M_a T M_b\|_p : \|a\|_\infty \leq 1, \|b\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

Proposition

The space $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ is complete, when equipped with $\|\cdot\|_{G,p}$.
Moreover, if G is compact then $\mathcal{S}_p(G) = C_r^*(G) \cap \mathcal{S}_p$ and
 $\|\cdot\|_{G,p} = \|\cdot\|_p$.

Definition

A closed subset $E \subseteq G$ will be called an M^p -set (or a set of p -multiplicity) if $J(E)^\perp \cap \mathcal{S}_p(G) \neq \{0\}$.

Definition

A closed subset $E \subseteq G$ will be called an M^p -set (or a set of p -multiplicity) if $J(E)^\perp \cap \mathcal{S}_p(G) \neq \{0\}$.

Definition

An ω -closed subset $\kappa \subseteq X \times Y$ will be called an operator M^p -set (or a set of operator p -multiplicity) if $\mathfrak{M}_{\max}(\kappa) \cap \mathcal{S}_p \neq \{0\}$.

Results about sets of p -multiplicity

Theorem

Let G be compact. Then $E \subseteq G$ is a set of p -multiplicity if and only if E^* is a set of operator p -multiplicity.

Results about sets of p -multiplicity

Theorem

Let G be compact. Then $E \subseteq G$ is a set of p -multiplicity if and only if E^* is a set of operator p -multiplicity.

For a general G , the same statement holds if $p = 1$ or $p = 2$.

Results about sets of p -multiplicity

Theorem

Let G be compact. Then $E \subseteq G$ is a set of p -multiplicity if and only if E^* is a set of operator p -multiplicity.

For a general G , the same statement holds if $p = 1$ or $p = 2$.

Theorem

If $p \in \{1, 2\}$ and $E_i \subseteq G$ is not an M^p -set, $i = 1, 2$, then $E_1 \cup E_2$ is not an M^p -set.

Theorem

Let $p \in \{1, 2\}$ and $E_i \subseteq G_i$ be an M^p -set, $i = 1, 2$. Then $E_1 \times E_2 \subseteq G_1 \times G_2$ is an M^p -set.

Moreover, if G is compact the same conclusion holds for arbitrary $p \geq 1$.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH