FOURIER ANALYSIS & METHODS

JULIE ROWLETT

ABSTRACT. Caveat Emptor! These are just informal lecture notes. Errors are inevitable! Read at your own risk! Also, this is by no means a substitute for the textbook, which is warmly recommended: *Fourier Analysis and Its Applications*, by Gerald B. Folland. He was the first math teacher I had at university, and he is awesome. A brilliant writer. So, why am I even doing this? Good question...

1. 2018.01.26

We don't need to start with a periodic function. We can just take any old function on any old interval and use our methods. Here's how to do that. For a function f defined on an interval $[a - \ell, a + \ell]$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and some $\ell > 0$, we begin by extending f to be 2ℓ periodic on \mathbb{R} . Next, we define

$$g(t) := f\left(\frac{t\ell}{\pi} + a\right) = f(x),$$

that is

$$\frac{t\ell}{\pi} + a = x, \quad t = \frac{(x-a)\pi}{\ell}.$$

Then, the function g(t) is 2π periodic, because

$$g(t+2\pi) = f\left(\frac{(t+2\pi)\ell}{\pi} + a\right) = f\left(\frac{t\ell}{\pi} + a + 2\ell\right) = f\left(\frac{t\ell}{\pi} + a\right).$$

Above, we used the fact that f is 2ℓ periodic. So, now that we got g, we just do all our Fourier series magic to g. Presuming g is not too terrible, we can expand g in a Fourier series,

$$g(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{int}.$$

Then, we get by substituting for t in terms of x

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{in\left(\frac{(x-a)\pi}{\ell}\right)}.$$

Here we note that

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) e^{-int} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(\frac{t\ell}{\pi} + a\right) e^{-int} dt.$$

Substituting in the integral,

$$x = \frac{t\ell}{\pi} + a, \quad dx = \frac{\ell dt}{\pi}$$
$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\pi}{\ell} \int_{a-\ell}^{a+\ell} f(x) e^{-in(x-a)\pi/\ell} dx = \frac{1}{2\ell} \int_{a-\ell}^{a+\ell} f(x) e^{-in(x-a)\pi/\ell} dx.$$

JULIE ROWLETT

So, we can work our Fourier-series magic on basically any arbitrary function we like!

1.1. Functions as infinite dimensional vectors. Let us fix an interval, for now we fix the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$, but by the observations above, we can do the same on any arbitrary *finite* interval. We have a function defined on the interval. Let's call it f. Assume that

[f12] (1.1)
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Then, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$c_n| := \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx \right| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx} \sqrt{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 1 dx} = \frac{1}{2\pi} ||f|| \sqrt{2\pi} = \frac{||f||}{2\pi}$$

So, the $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ are all finite. Do you remember what ||f|| is? Next, we recall Bessel's inequality:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n|^2 \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = \frac{||f||^2}{2\pi}.$$

So, if you forgot what ||f|| is, you can figure it out from above. In particular, since we started out by assuming f satisfies (II.I), we see that the sequence

$$(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\ell^2.$$

So, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$ on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$, we can associate a sequence in ℓ^2 . As we get into the general theory of Hilbert spaces, it's going to be useful to introduce the notation

$$c_n = \hat{f}_n = \hat{f}(n).$$

Now, let's assume that for some f in \mathcal{L}^2 and some g, they have all the same Fourier coefficients. What I am about to explain is extremely subtle. It may require some really careful thinking, or if it bothers you, just forget about it.

1.1.1. \mathcal{L}^2 convergence versus pointwise convergence. For f as above, defined on $[-\pi,\pi]$, let's define

$$F(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{inx}.$$

Note that we have *only* assumed $(\stackrel{\texttt{H} 12}{\texttt{I.I}})$. No continuity, no piecewise C^1 , none of that stuff. So, this "function" F(x) might not be defined everywhere. What we're going to prove is that:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(x) - f(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

First, we compute

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(x)|^2 dx = \int F(x)\overline{F(x)} dx = \int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{inx} \overline{\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m e^{imx}} dx$$
$$= \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \overline{c_m} \int e^{inx} \overline{e^{imx}} dx = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \overline{c_m} \langle e^{inx}, e^{imx} \rangle.$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

We computed way at the beginning that

eortho (1.2)
$$\langle e^{inx}, e^{imx} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq m \\ 2\pi & n = m. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the terms in the sum with $n \neq m$ are all zero. We only have n = m, so we can just write n for both. Thus we get

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n|^2 2\pi = 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n|^2 \le ||f||^2 < \infty.$$

This means that F(x) is also in \mathcal{L}^2 on the interval. It requires a little more machinery than what we have currently, but with the power of Hilbert spaces and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we will prove that:

$$\{e^{inx}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$$
 is an orthogonal basis for \mathcal{L}^2 on $[-\pi,\pi]$.

As a consequence, we will prove that Bessel's inequality is actually an *equality* in this case. For now, please just accept this as a fact. A consequence is that

$$||F||^2 = 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n|^2 = ||f||^2.$$

So, F and f have the same \mathcal{L}^2 norm.

Next, we compute the \mathcal{L}^2 distance between F and f:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{inx} - f(x) \right) \overline{\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m e^{imx} - f(x) \right)} dx$$
$$= \int (\sum_n c_n e^{inx} - f(x)) (\sum_m \overline{c_m} e^{-imx} - \overline{f(x)}) dx$$
$$= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int \left(c_n e^{inx} \overline{c_m} e^{-imx} - c_n e^{inx} \overline{f(x)} - \overline{c_m} e^{-imx} f(x) \right) dx + \int |f(x)|^2 dx$$
$$= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \overline{c_m} \int e^{inx} e^{-imx} dx - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \int e^{inx} \overline{f(x)} dx - \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{c_m} \int e^{-imx} f(x) dx + \int |f(x)|^2 dx.$$
Note that

$$\int e^{inx} \overline{f(x)} = \int \overline{e^{-inx} f(x)} = \overline{\int f(x) e^{-inx}} = 2\pi \overline{c_n}.$$

Hence, this together with the definition of Fourier coefficients shows that what we have above is

$$\sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}} c_n \overline{c_m} \int e^{inx} e^{-imx} dx - \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} 2\pi c_n \overline{c_n} - \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} 2\pi \overline{c_m} c_m + \int |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

By the same calculation as before, (1.2), this is

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2\pi c_n \overline{c_n} - 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2\pi c_n \overline{c_n} + \int |f(x)|^2 dx$$
$$= ||f||^2 - 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n|^2 = 0.$$

This shows that the \mathcal{L}^2 distance between f and F is zero. Just like with vectors, the distance on a Hilbert space is

$$|f - F|| = \sqrt{\int |f(x) - F(x)|^2}.$$

So, f is the same as its Fourier series, as elements of \mathcal{L}^2 . The elements of \mathcal{L}^2 are not actually functions, but rather, equivalence classes of functions. To understand this, let's think about the equivalence class of the zero function. This consists of all functions such that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

This is the case precisely when

$$f(x) = 0$$
 for almost every $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

What does almost every mean? It means that there is a set which has onedimensional Lebesgue measure equal to zero, call it \mathcal{N} , and

$$f(x) = 0 \forall x \in [-\pi, \pi] \setminus \mathcal{N}$$

What does it mean to have one-dimensional Lebesgue measure equal to zero? Well, it means that the set, \mathcal{N} has no length. For example, a single point has no length. Two points together also have no length. Any countably infinite set of points also has no length. So, \mathcal{N} is some such set which has no length.

So, similarly,

$$F(x) = f(x)$$
 for almost every $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

This shows that we can uniquely identify the elements of \mathcal{L}^2 on the interval with the elements of ℓ^2 . The identification is

$$f \leftrightarrow (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

On the one hand, for $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$, it gives us a sequence (the sequence of its Fourier coefficients) which is in ℓ^2 . The sequence is unique, because if g has all the same Fourier coefficients as f, then

$$g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{inx}$$
 almost everywhere, and $f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{inx}$ almost everywhere,

 \mathbf{SO}

$$g(x) = f(x)$$
 almost everywhere,

thus

$$g = f$$
 as elements of \mathcal{L}^2 .

So, each element of \mathcal{L}^2 has a unique sequence associated to it. On the other hand, let $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2$. Then, we can use it to define $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$ via

$$f(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{inx}.$$

By definition of ℓ^2 ,

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|c_n|^2<\infty$$

By our above calculations

$$||f|| = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n|^2 < \infty.$$

So, indeed $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,1}$

In summary, we can legitimately identify \mathcal{L}^2 functions with infinite dimensional but finite length vectors. Pretty cool. So, now we shall proceed with the general theory of (possibly infinite dimensional) Hilbert spaces.

1.2. Hilbert spaces. A Hilbert space is a complete², normed vector space whose norm is defined by a scalar product. The definition of a vector space means that if u and v are elements in your Hilbert space, then for all complex numbers a and b,

$$au + bv$$
 is in your Hilbert space.

So, taking a = b = 0, there is always a 0 vector in your Hilbert space. The fact that it is normed means that every element of the Hilbert space has a *length*, which is equal to its norm. To define this, we describe the scalar product. For a Hilbert space H, the scalar product satisfies:

$$\begin{split} u, v \in H \implies \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{C}, \\ c \in \mathbb{C} \implies \langle cu, v \rangle = c \langle u, v \rangle, \\ u, v, w \in H \implies \langle u + w, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle, \\ \langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle}, \\ \langle u, u \rangle \geq 0, \quad = 0 \iff u = 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we can define the norm of a vector as

$$||u|| := \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}.$$

The norm of a vector is also equal to its distance from the 0 element of the Hilbert space. Similarly,

$$|u - v|| = \sqrt{\langle u - v, u - v \rangle}$$

is the distance between the elements \boldsymbol{u} and \boldsymbol{v} in your Hilbert space. We say that a set of elements

$$\{u_{\alpha}\} \subset H$$

is an orthonormal basis (ONB) for H if for any $v \in H$ there exist complex numbers (c_{α}) such that

$$v = \sum c_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}, \quad \langle u_{\alpha}, u_{\beta} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha = \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

This is the Kronecker δ . You may be wondering why we haven't written an index for α . Well, that's because à priori, they could be uncountable.

Theorem 1. A Hilbert space is separable if and only if it has either a finite ONB or a countable ONB.

¹If you're the type of person to worry about measurability, *don't*. The function defined this way is indeed measurable. If you're skeptical, I leave it as an **Exercise** to prove it!

 $^{^2\}mathrm{Every}$ Cauchy sequence converges. Do you remember what a Cauchy sequence is? If not, please look it up or ask!

There is a cute proof here:

http://www.polishedproofs.com/relationship-between-a-countable-orthonormal-basis-and-a-courwe're only going to be working with Hilbert spaces which have either a finite

ONB or a countable ONB. The dimension of a Hilbert space is the number of elements in an ONB. Any finite dimensional Hilbert space is in bijection with the standard one

$$\mathbb{C}^n$$
, $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n \implies \langle u, v \rangle = u \cdot \overline{v}$.

Thus, writing

 $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n),$ with each component $u_k \in \mathbb{C}, k = 1, \ldots, n$

and similarly for v,

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k \overline{v_k}.$$

The bijection between any finite (n) dimensional Hilbert space and \mathbb{C}^n comes from taking an ONB of the Hilbert space and mapping the elements of the ONB to the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{C}^n . Here are some useful basic results for Hilbert spaces.

Proposition 2. Let H be a Hilbert space. For any u and v in H,

$$||u+v||^2 = ||u||^2 + 2\Re\langle u,v\rangle + ||v||^2.$$

Proof: Compute:

$$\begin{split} ||u+v||^2 &= \langle u+v, u+v \rangle = \langle u, u+v \rangle + \langle v, u+v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle \\ &= ||u||^2 + \langle u, v \rangle + ||v||^2 + \overline{\langle u, v \rangle}. \end{split}$$

We all know that for a complex number z,

$$z + \overline{z} = 2\Re(z).$$

So,

$$\langle u, v \rangle + \overline{\langle u, v \rangle} = 2 \Re \langle u, v \rangle.$$



Proposition 3. For any Hilbert space, H, for any u and v in H,

$$|\langle u,v\rangle|\leq ||u||||v||.$$

Proof: Assume that at least one of the two is non-zero. WLOG let's assume $v \neq 0$. Let's play around with

$$||u+tv||^{2} = ||u||^{2} + 2t\Re\langle u,v\rangle + t^{2}||v||^{2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is a real valued function of t. It's a quadratic function of t in fact. The derivative is

$$2t||v||^2 + 2\Re\langle u,v\rangle.$$

It's an up quadratic function, so its unique minimum is when

$$t = -\frac{\Re\langle u, v \rangle}{||v||^2}.$$

If we then check out what happens at this value of t,

$$||u+tv||^{2} = ||u||^{2} - 2\frac{\Re\langle u, v\rangle}{||v||^{2}} \Re\langle u, v\rangle + \Re\langle u, v\rangle^{2} \frac{||v||^{2}}{||v||^{4}} = ||u||^{2} - \frac{\Re\langle u, v\rangle^{2}}{||v||^{2}} = \frac{\Re\langle u, v\rangle^{2}}{||v||^{2}} = \frac{2}{||v||^{2}} + \frac$$

 $\mathbf{6}$

We know that

$$0 \le ||u + tv||^2$$

so we get

$$0 \le ||u||^2 - \frac{\Re \langle u, v \rangle^2}{||v||^2} \implies 0 \le ||u||^2 ||v||^2 - \Re \langle u, v \rangle^2.$$

This gives us

$$\Re \langle u, v \rangle^2 \le ||u||^2 ||v||^2.$$

Well, this is annoying because of that silly \Re . I wonder how we could make it turn into $|\langle u, v \rangle|$? Also, we don't want to screw up the $||u||^2 ||v||^2$ part. Well, we know how the scalar product interacts with complex numbers, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\langle \lambda u, v \rangle = \lambda \langle u, v \rangle$$

So, if for example

$$\langle u, v \rangle = r e^{i\theta}, r = |\langle u, v \rangle|$$
 and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

We can modify u, without changing ||u||,

$$||e^{-i\theta}u|| = ||u||.$$

Moreover

$$\langle e^{-i\theta}u,v\rangle = e^{-i\theta}\langle u,v\rangle = e^{-i\theta}re^{i\theta} = |\langle u,v\rangle|.$$

So, if we repeat everything above replacing u with $e^{-i\theta}u$ we get

$$\Re \langle e^{-i\theta} u, v \rangle^2 \le ||e^{-i\theta} u||^2 ||v||^2 = ||u||^2 ||v||^2,$$

and by the above calculation

$$\langle e^{-i\theta}u,v\rangle = |\langle u,v\rangle| \in \mathbb{R} \implies \Re \langle e^{-i\theta}u,v\rangle^2 = |\langle u,v\rangle|^2 \,.$$

So, we have

$$|\langle u, v \rangle|^2 \le ||u||^2 ||v||^2.$$

Taking the square root of both sides completes the proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

5

We also have a triangle inequality.

Proposition 4. For any u and v in a Hilbert space H,

$$|u + v|| \le ||u|| + ||v||.$$

Proof: We just use the previous two results:

 $||u+v||^2 = ||u||^2 + 2\Re\langle u,v\rangle + ||v||^2 \le ||u||^2 + 2||u|||v|| + ||v||^2 = (||u|| + ||v||)^2$ so rooting we get the triangle inequality.

Proposition 5. We have the Pythagorean theorem: if u and v are orthogonal, then $||u + v||^2 = ||u||^2 + ||v||^2.$

Moreover, if $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ are an ONB for the Hilbert space H, then for any $v \in H$,

$$||v||^2 = \sum_{n\geq 1} |\langle v, u_n \rangle|^2.$$

Proof: The first statement follows from

$$||u+v||^{2} = ||u||^{2} + 2\Re\langle u,v\rangle + ||v||^{2} = ||u||^{2} + ||v||^{2},$$

if u and v are orthogonal, because in that case their scalar product is zero. Moreover, for any collection of orthogonal vectors $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ we proceed by induction. Assume that

$$||u_1 + \ldots + u_{n-1}||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ||u_k||^2.$$

Then, if u_n is orthogonal to all of u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} we also have

$$\langle u_n, u_1 + \ldots + u_{n-1} \rangle = \langle u_n, u_1 \rangle + \ldots + \langle u_n, u_{n-1} \rangle = 0 + \ldots + 0$$

Hence u_n is also orthogonal to the sum,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k.$$

By the Pythagorean theorem,

$$||u_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k||^2 = ||u_n||^2 + ||\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k||^2.$$

By the induction assumption

$$= ||u_n||^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ||u_k||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n ||u_k||^2.$$

If $\{u_n\}$ are an ONB, then we can write any $v \in H$ as

$$v = \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n u_n, \quad c_n \in \mathbb{C}, \ n \ge 1.$$

In other words,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n = v.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} || \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n - v || = 0.$$

By the triangle inequality

$$||v|| = ||v - \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n + \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n|| \le ||v - \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n|| + ||\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n||,$$

and

$$||\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n|| = ||\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n - v + v|| \le ||\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n - v|| + ||v||.$$

Hence,

$$\left| ||v|| - ||\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n|| \right| \le ||\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n - v||.$$

So,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left| || \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n || - || v || \right| \implies \lim_{N \to \infty} || \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n || = || v ||,$$

and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} || \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n ||^2 = ||v||^2.$$

By the Pythagorean theorem

$$|\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n||^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} ||c_n u_n||^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |c_n|^2.$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |c_n|^2 = \sum_{n \ge 1} |c_n|^2 = ||v||^2.$$

Finally, we note that

$$\langle v, u_m \rangle = \langle \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n u_n, u_m \rangle = \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n \langle u_n, u_m \rangle = c_m,$$

because of the assumption that u_n are orthonormal.

