

# Solutions Exercises 1)

To solve the exercises, the following tools are required:

- Dominance principle (Ref. [1], pag. 22)
- Ref. [2], Theorem 1.1.1

## Ref. [2], Sec. 1.1, Ex. 1 (Butterfly Spread Strategy)

Suppose  $\Delta K > 0$ . A butterfly spread on call options pays at the maturity  $T$  the amount

$$\max(0, S(T) - K + \Delta K) - 2 \max(0, S(T) - K) + \max(0, S(T) - K - \Delta K).$$

Show that the value of this option is non-negative at any point of time.

### Solution

Consider the following assets:

- $\mathcal{U}_1 \equiv$  European Call with strike  $K - \Delta K$  and maturity  $T$
- $\mathcal{U}_2 \equiv$  European Call with strike  $K$  and maturity  $T$
- $\mathcal{U}_3 \equiv$  European Call with strike  $K + \Delta K$  and maturity  $T$

and set up the portfolio position

$$\mathcal{A} = (1, -2, 1).$$

This means that the investor buys one share of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_3$  and sell 2 shares of the call  $\mathcal{U}_2$ . The value at maturity of this portfolio is

$$V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = (S(T) - (K - \Delta K))_+ - 2(S(T) - K)_+ + (S(T) - (K + \Delta K))_+$$

where  $(x)_+ = \max(0, x)$ . Hence

- If  $S(T) \leq K - \Delta K$ ,  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$
- If  $K - \Delta K < S(T) \leq K$ ,  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = S(T) - (K - \Delta K) + 0 + 0 = S(T) - K + \Delta K > 0$

- If  $K < S(T) < K + \Delta K$ ,  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = S(T) - (K - \Delta K) - 2(S(T) - K) + 0 = -S(T) + K + \Delta K > 0$
- If  $S(T) \geq K + \Delta K$ ,  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = S(T) - (K - \Delta K) - 2(S(T) - K) + S(T) - (K + \Delta K) = 0$

It follows that this portfolio is lucrative if and only if  $S(T) \in (K - \Delta K, K + \Delta K)$ . Moreover  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) \geq 0$ , and so  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(t) \geq 0$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , by the dominance principle.

## Ref. [2], Sec. 1.1, Ex. 3

The price of a contract at time  $t$  is  $N$  units of currency and it pays at the maturity date  $T > t$  the amount  $N + \alpha N(S(T) - K)_+$ . Show that

$$\alpha = \frac{1 - e^{-r(T-t)}}{C(t, S(t), K, T)} \quad (1)$$

if  $C(t, S(t), K, T) > 0$  and  $N \neq 0$ .

### Solution

Consider the following assets:

- $\mathcal{U}_1 \equiv$  Contract
- $\mathcal{U}_2 \equiv$  Risk-free asset with initial value 1
- $\mathcal{U}_3 \equiv$  European Call with strike  $K$  and maturity  $T$

Consider the two portfolios

$$\mathcal{A} = (0, Ne^{-rT}, \alpha N), \quad \mathcal{B} = (1, 0, 0).$$

We want to show that the portfolio  $\mathcal{A}$  on the call and the risk-free asset replicates the value of the contract. To this purpose we observe that

$$V_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = Ne^{-r(T-t)} + \alpha NC(t, S(t), K, T), \quad (2)$$

while  $V_{\mathcal{B}}(t) = N$  by assumption. Letting  $t = T$  in (2) we obtain

$$V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = N + \alpha N(S(T) - K)_+ = V_{\mathcal{B}}(T),$$

hence, by the dominance principle,  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = V_{\mathcal{B}}(t)$ . Using (2) and  $V_{\mathcal{B}}(t) = N$  we obtain

$$Ne^{-r(T-t)} + \alpha NC(t, S(t), K, T) = N$$

and since  $C(t, S(t), K, T) > 0$  and  $N \neq 0$ , we obtain (1).

## Ref. [2], Sec. 1.1, Ex. 4

Suppose  $H$  is the Heaviside function, i.e.,  $H(x) = 0$ , if  $x < 0$  and  $H(x) = 1$  if  $x \geq 0$ . Moreover suppose that  $K$  and  $\Delta K$  are positive and  $K - \Delta K > 0$ . A digital call option with cash settlement has the pay-off function  $Y_0 = H(S(T) - K)$  at the time of maturity  $T$  and a digital call option with physical settlement has the pay-off function  $Y_1 = S(T)H(S(T) - K)$  at time of maturity  $T$ . Digital options are also known as binary or bet options. Below we assume that the contracts are of European type.

(a) Show that

$$C(t, S(t), K, T) - C(t, S(t), K + \Delta K, T) \leq \Delta K \Pi_{Y_0}(t) \leq C(t, S(t), K - \Delta K, T) - C(t, S(t), K, T) \quad (3)$$

and conclude that

$$\Pi_{Y_0}(t) = -\frac{\partial C}{\partial K}(t, S(t), K, T)$$

if the derivative exists.

(b) Show that

$$\Pi_{Y_1}(t) = C(t, S(t), K, T) + K \Pi_{Y_0}(t).$$

## Solution

(a) Consider the following assets:

- $\mathcal{U}_1 \equiv$  European Call with strike  $K$  and maturity  $T$
- $\mathcal{U}_2 \equiv$  European Call with strike  $K + \Delta K$  and maturity  $T$
- $\mathcal{U}_3 \equiv$  European Call with strike  $K - \Delta K$  and maturity  $T$
- $\mathcal{U}_4 \equiv$  Digital option with pay-off  $Y_0$  at maturity  $T$

and the following portfolios positions:

$$\mathcal{A} = (1, -1, 0, 0), \quad \mathcal{B} = (0, 0, 0, \Delta K), \quad \mathcal{C} = (-1, 0, 1, 0).$$

We have

$$V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = (S(T) - K)_+ - (S(T) - (K + \Delta K))_+ = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } S(T) \leq K \\ S(T) - K, & \text{if } K < S(T) \leq K + \Delta K \\ \Delta K, & \text{if } S(T) > K + \Delta K \end{cases}$$

$$V_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \Delta K H(S(T) - K) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } S(T) \leq K \\ \Delta K, & \text{if } S(T) > K \end{cases}$$

$$V_C(T) = -(S(T)-K)_+ + (S(T)-(K-\Delta K))_+ = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } S(T) \leq K - \Delta K \\ S(T) - K + \Delta K, & \text{if } K - \Delta K < S(T) \leq K \\ \Delta K, & \text{if } S(T) > K \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$V_A(T) \leq V_B(T) \leq V_C(T)$$

(draw pictures of the portfolios values as functions of  $S(T)$ ). Hence, by the dominance principle,

$$V_A(t) \leq V_B(t) \leq V_C(t)$$

which is equivalent to (3). Dividing by  $-\Delta K$  we obtain

$$\frac{C(t, S(t), K, T) - C(t, S(t), K - \Delta K, T)}{\Delta K} \leq -\Pi_{Y_0}(t) \leq \frac{C(t, S(t), K + \Delta K, T) - C(t, S(t), K, T)}{\Delta K}.$$

Taking the limit  $\Delta K \rightarrow 0$  and assuming that  $c$  is differentiable in  $K$ , the first and last member of the previous inequality converge to  $\partial C / \partial K$ . Hence  $\Pi_{Y_0}(t) = -\partial C / \partial K$  as claimed.

(b) Consider the assets

- $\mathcal{U}_1 \equiv$  Digital option with pay-off  $Y_1$
- $\mathcal{U}_2 \equiv$  European Call with strike  $K$  and maturity  $T$
- $\mathcal{U}_3 \equiv$  Digital option with pay-off  $Y_0$

and the portfolios

$$\mathcal{A} = (1, 0, 0), \quad \mathcal{B} = (0, 1, K)$$

Then  $V_A(t) = S(t)H(S(t) - K)$  and

$$V_B(t) = (S(t) - K)_+ + K H(S(t) - K) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } S(t) < K \\ S(t), & \text{if } S(t) \geq K \end{cases}$$

Hence  $V_A(T) = V_B(T)$  and by the dominance principle,  $V_A(t) = V_B(t)$ , which is the claim.

## 1 Ref. [1], Ex. 1.7

Assume that the dominance principle holds. Consider a European derivative  $\mathcal{U}$  with maturity time  $T$  and pay-off  $Y$  given by  $Y = \min[(S(T) - K_1)_+, (K_2 - S(T))_+]$ , where  $K_2 > K_1$  and  $(x)_+ = \max(0, x)$ . Find a constant portfolio consisting of European calls and puts expiring at time  $T$  whose value at any time  $t < T$  equals the value of  $\mathcal{U}$  (i.e., which replicates the value of  $\mathcal{U}$ ).

## Solution

To solve this exercise it is useful to begin by drawing the pay-off as a function of  $S(T)$ . Since

$$Y = \min [(S(T) - K_1)_+, (K_2 - S(T))_+],$$

where  $K_2 > K_1$  and  $(x)_+ = \max(0, x)$ , then we first draw the functions  $S(T) \rightarrow (S(T) - K_1)_+$  and  $S(T) \rightarrow (K_2 - S(T))_+$  and then we take their minimum.

Now let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a portfolio that consists of one share of the derivative and let  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$  be its value at time  $t \in [0, T]$ . The exercise asks to derive a portfolio  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of European calls and puts which replicates the value of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., such that  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = V_{\mathcal{B}}(t)$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . By the dominance principle (in particular by (b) of Theorem 1.1.1 in Borell's notes) it is enough to find the portfolio  $\mathcal{B}$  in such a way that  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = V_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ . By definition,  $V_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = Y$ , since the value of a derivative at the expiration date always equals the pay-off. So we have to find a combination of pay-off functions of puts and calls such that their sum equal  $Y$ . By using the graph constructed before it is easy to see that

$$Y = (S(T) - K_1)_+ - 2(S(T) - \frac{K_1 + K_2}{2})_+ + (S(T) - K_2)_+$$

Even without making any drawing, the previous identity can be verified by direct calculation. Hence, letting

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_1 &= \text{European call with strike } K_1 \\ \mathcal{U}_2 &= \text{European call with strike } (K_1 + K_2)/2 \\ \mathcal{U}_3 &= \text{European call with strike } K_2\end{aligned}$$

where for all calls the expiration date is  $T$ , we take

$$\mathcal{B} = (1, -2, 1).$$

This concludes the solution of the exercise.