

MVE165/MMG631

Linear and integer optimization with applications

Lecture 4

Linear programming duality and sensitivity
analysis

Ann-Brith Strömberg

2014-03-28

A general linear program on “standard form”

- ▶ A linear program with n non-negative variables, m equality constraints ($m < n$), and non-negative right hand sides:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \quad & z = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & x_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ On matrix form it is expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \quad & z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}, \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ ($\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{0}^m$), and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- ▶ A linear program with optimal value z^* [DRAW GRAPH!!]

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 \text{maximize} & z := & 20x_1 & +18x_2 & & \text{weights} \\
 \text{subject to} & & 7x_1 & +10x_2 & \leq 3600 & (1) & v_1 \\
 & & 16x_1 & +12x_2 & \leq 5400 & (2) & v_2 \\
 & & x_1, x_2 & \geq 0 & & &
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ How large can z^* be?
- ▶ Compute upper estimates of z^* , e.g.
 - ▶ Multiply (1) by 3 $\Rightarrow 21x_1 + 30x_2 \leq 10800 \Rightarrow z^* \leq 10800$
 - ▶ Multiply (2) by 1.5 $\Rightarrow 24x_1 + 18x_2 \leq 8100 \Rightarrow z^* \leq 8100$
 - ▶ Combine: $0.6 \times (1) + 1 \times (2) \Rightarrow 20.2x_1 + 18x_2 \leq 7560 \Rightarrow z^* \leq 7560$
- ▶ Do better than guess—compute *optimal* weights!
- ▶ Value of estimate: $w = 3600v_1 + 5400v_2 \rightarrow \min$
- ▶ Constraints on weights:

$$\left[\begin{array}{rcl}
 7v_1 + 16v_2 & \geq & 20 \\
 10v_1 + 12v_2 & \geq & 18 \\
 v_1, v_2 & \geq & 0
 \end{array} \right]$$

The best (lowest) possible upper estimate of z^*

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{minimize} & w := & 3600v_1 & + & 5400v_2 \\ \text{subject to} & & 7v_1 & + & 16v_2 & \geq & 20 \\ & & 10v_1 & + & 12v_2 & \geq & 18 \\ & & & & v_1, v_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ A linear program! [DRAW GRAPH!!]
- ▶ It is called the linear programming **dual** of the original linear program

The lego model – the market problem

- ▶ Consider the lego problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize } z = & 1600x_1 + 1000x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 6 \\ & 2x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 8 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Option: Sell bricks instead of making furniture
- ▶ $v_1(v_2)$ = price of a large (small) brick
- ▶ Market wish to minimize payment: *minimize* $6v_1 + 8v_2$
- ▶ I sell if prices are high enough:
 - ▶ $2v_1 + 2v_2 \geq 1600$ – otherwise better to make tables
 - ▶ $v_1 + 2v_2 \geq 1000$ – otherwise better to make chairs
 - ▶ $v_1, v_2 \geq 0$ – prices are naturally non-negative

Linear programming duality

- ▶ To each primal linear program corresponds a dual linear program

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[Primal]} \quad & \text{minimize} \quad z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}, \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}, \\ & \quad \quad \quad \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[Dual]} \quad & \text{maximize} \quad w = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}, \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{c}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ On component form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[Primal]} \quad & \text{minimize} \quad z = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & \quad \quad \quad x_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[Dual]} \quad & \text{maximize} \quad w = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \leq c_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

An example of linear programming duality

- ▶ A primal linear program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & z = 2x_1 + 3x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & 3x_1 + 2x_2 = 14 \\ & 2x_1 - 4x_2 \geq 2 \\ & 4x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 19 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ The corresponding dual linear program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & w = 14y_1 + 2y_2 + 19y_3 \\ \text{subject to} & 3y_1 + 2y_2 + 4y_3 \leq 2 \\ & 2y_1 - 4y_2 + 3y_3 \leq 3 \\ & y_1 \text{ free,} \\ & y_2 \geq 0, \\ & y_3 \leq 0 \end{array}$$

maximization	\Leftrightarrow	minimization
dual program	\Leftrightarrow	primal program
primal program	\Leftrightarrow	dual program
<i>constraints</i>		<i>variables</i>
\geq	\Leftrightarrow	≤ 0
\leq	\Leftrightarrow	≥ 0
$=$	\Leftrightarrow	free
<i>variables</i>		<i>constraints</i>
≥ 0	\Leftrightarrow	\geq
≤ 0	\Leftrightarrow	\leq
free	\Leftrightarrow	$=$

The dual of the dual of any linear program equals the primal

► **Weak duality** [Th. 6.1]:

Let \mathbf{x} be a feasible point in the primal (minimization) and \mathbf{y} be a feasible point in the dual (maximization). Then,

$$z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} = w$$

► **Strong duality** [Th. 6.3]:

In a pair of primal and dual linear programs, if one of them has an optimal solution, so does the other, and their optimal values are equal.

► **Complementary slackness** [Th. 6.5]:

If \mathbf{x} is optimal in the primal and \mathbf{y} is optimal in the dual,
 \Rightarrow then $\mathbf{x}^T(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}^T(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}) = 0$.

If \mathbf{x} is feasible in the primal, \mathbf{y} is feasible in the dual,
and $\mathbf{x}^T(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}^T(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}) = 0$,

\Rightarrow then \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are optimal for their respective problems.

Relations between primal and dual optimal solutions

primal (dual) problem	\iff	dual (primal) problem
unique and non-degenerate solution	\iff	unique and non-degenerate solution
unbounded solution	\implies	no feasible solutions
no feasible solutions	\implies	unbounded solution or no feasible solutions
degenerate solution	\iff	alternative solutions

HOMEWORK!

- ▶ Formulate and solve graphically the dual of:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & z = 6x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \\ \text{subject to} & 6x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 \geq 2 \\ & 3x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 \geq 5 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Then find the optimal primal solution
- ▶ Verify that the dual of the dual equals the primal

Derivation of the simplex method (repetition) (Ch. 4.8)

- ▶ B = set of basic variables, N = set of non-basic variables
- ⇒ $|B| = m$ and $|N| = n - m$
- ▶ Partition matrix/vectors: $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N})$, $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_B, \mathbf{x}_N)$, $\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{c}_B, \mathbf{c}_N)$
- ▶ The matrix \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{N}) contains the columns of \mathbf{A} corresponding to the index set B (N) — Analogously for \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{c}
- ▶ Rewrite the linear program:

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{minimize } z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{minimize } z = \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{x}_B + \mathbf{c}_N^T \mathbf{x}_N \\ \text{subject to } \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_B + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbf{x}_B \geq \mathbf{0}^m, \mathbf{x}_N \geq \mathbf{0}^{n-m} \end{array} \right]$$

- ▶ Substitute: $\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{x}_N \implies$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & z = \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} + [\mathbf{c}_N^T - \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{N}]\mathbf{x}_N \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{x}_N \geq \mathbf{0}^m, \\ & \mathbf{x}_N \geq \mathbf{0}^{n-m} \end{array}$$

Optimality and feasibility (repetition)

- ▶ **Optimality condition** (for minimization)

The basis B is optimal if $\mathbf{c}_N^T - \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N} \geq \mathbf{0}^{n-m}$
(marginal values = reduced costs ≥ 0)

If not, choose as entering variable $j \in N$ the one with the largest negative value of the reduced cost $c_j - \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j$

- ▶ **Feasibility condition**

For all $i \in B$ it holds that $x_i = (\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b})_i - (\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j)_i x_j$

Choose the leaving variable $i^* \in B$ according to

$$i^* = \arg \min_{i \in B} \left\{ \frac{(\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b})_i}{(\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j)_i} \mid (\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_j)_i > 0 \right\}$$

In the simplex tableau, we have

basis	$-z$	\mathbf{x}_B	\mathbf{x}_N	\mathbf{s}	RHS
$-z$	1	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{c}_N^T - \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N}$	$-\mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{s}$	$-\mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$
\mathbf{x}_B	$\mathbf{0}$	\mathbf{I}	$\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N}$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{s}$	$\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$

- ▶ \mathbf{s} denotes possible slack variables (columns for \mathbf{s} are copies of certain columns for $(\mathbf{x}_B, \mathbf{x}_N)$)
 - ▶ The computations performed by the simplex algorithm involve matrix inversions and updates of these
 - ▶ A non-basic (basic) variable enters (leaves) the basis \Rightarrow one column, \mathbf{A}_j , of \mathbf{B} is replaced by another, \mathbf{A}_k
 - ▶ Row operations \Leftrightarrow Updates of \mathbf{B}^{-1} (and $\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}$)
- \Rightarrow Efficient numerical computations are crucial for the performance of the simplex algorithm

- ▶ How does the optimum change when the right hand sides (resources, e.g.) change?
- ▶ When the objective coefficients (prices, e.g.) change?
- ▶ Assume that the basis B is optimal:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & z = \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} + [\mathbf{c}_N^T - \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N}] \mathbf{x}_N \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{x}_N \geq \mathbf{0}^m, \\ & \mathbf{x}_N \geq \mathbf{0}^{n-m} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{x}_N$

Changes in the right hand side coefficients

- ▶ The *shadow price* [Def. 5.3] of a constraint is defined as the change in the optimal value as a function of the (marginal) change in the RHS. It equals the optimal value of the corresponding dual variable.
 - ▶ Suppose \mathbf{b} changes to $\mathbf{b} + \Delta\mathbf{b}$
- ⇒ New optimal value:

$$z^{\text{new}} = \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{b} + \Delta\mathbf{b}) = z + \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \Delta\mathbf{b}$$

- ▶ The current basis is feasible if $\mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{b} + \Delta\mathbf{b}) \geq 0$
- ▶ If not: negative values will occur in the RHS of the simplex tableau
- ▶ The reduced costs are unchanged (positive, at optimum)
⇒ this can be resolved using the *dual simplex method*

Changes in the right hand side coefficients

- ▶ Consider the linear program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & z = -x_1 - 2x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & -2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2 \\ & -x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 7 \\ & x_1 \leq 3 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

DRAW GRAPH!!

- ▶ The optimal solution is given by

basis	$-z$	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS
$-z$	1	0	0	0	1	2	13
x_2	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	5
x_1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
s_1	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	3

Changes in the right hand side coefficients

- ▶ Change the right hand side according to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & z = -x_1 - 2x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & -2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2 \\ & -x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 7 + \delta \\ & x_1 \leq 3 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ The change in the right hand side is given by $\mathbf{B}^{-1}(0, \delta, 0)^T = (\frac{1}{2}\delta, 0, -\frac{1}{2}\delta)^T \Rightarrow$ new optimal tableau:

basis	-z	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS
-z	1	0	0	0	1	2	$13 + \delta$
x_2	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$5 + \frac{1}{2}\delta$
x_1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
s_1	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$3 - \frac{1}{2}\delta$

- ▶ The current basis is feasible if $-10 \leq \delta \leq 6$

Changes in the right hand side coefficients

- ▶ Suppose $\delta = 8$:

basis	$-z$	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS
$-z$	1	0	0	0	1	2	21
x_2	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	9
x_1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
s_1	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	-1

- ▶ Dual simplex iteration:
- ▶ $s_1 = -1$ has to leave the basis
- ▶ Find the smallest ratio between reduced costs (for non-basic columns) and (negative) elements in the “ s_1 -row” (to stay optimal)
- ▶ s_2 will enter the basis — **New optimal** tableau:

basis	$-z$	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS
$-z$	1	0	0	2	0	5	19
x_2	0	0	1	1	0	2	8
x_1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
s_2	0	0	0	-2	1	-3	2

Changes in the objective coefficients

- ▶ The *reduced cost* of a non-basic variable defines the change in the objective value when the value of the corresponding variable is (marginally) increased.

The basis B is optimal if $\mathbf{c}_N^T - \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N} \geq \mathbf{0}^{n-m}$ (marginal values = reduced costs ≥ 0)

- ▶ Suppose \mathbf{c} changes to $\mathbf{c} + \Delta \mathbf{c}$
- ▶ The new optimal value:

$$z^{\text{new}} = (\mathbf{c}_B + \Delta \mathbf{c}_B)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} = z + \Delta \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

- ▶ The current basis is optimal if $(\mathbf{c}_N + \Delta \mathbf{c}_N)^T - (\mathbf{c}_B + \Delta \mathbf{c}_B)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N} \geq \mathbf{0}$
- ▶ If not: more simplex iterations to find the optimal solution

Changes in the objective coefficients

- ▶ Change the objective according to

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{minimize} & z = & -x_1 & +(-2 + \delta)x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & & -2x_1 & +x_2 \leq 2 \\ & & -x_1 & +2x_2 \leq 7 \\ & & x_1 & \leq 3 \\ & & x_1, x_2 & \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ The changes in the reduced costs are given by $-(\delta, 0, 0)\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{N} = (-\frac{1}{2}\delta, -\frac{1}{2}\delta) \Rightarrow$ new optimal tableau:

basis	-z	x ₁	x ₂	s ₁	s ₂	s ₃	RHS
-z	1	0	0	0	$1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta$	$2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta$	$13 - 5\delta$
x ₂	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	5
x ₁	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
s ₁	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	3

- ▶ The current basis is optimal if $\delta \leq 2$

Changes in the objective coefficients

- Suppose $\delta = 4$: new tableau:

basis	$-z$	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS
$-z$	1	0	0	0	-1	0	-7
x_2	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	5
x_1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
s_1	0	0	0	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	3

- Let s_2 enter and x_2 leave the basis. New optimal tableau:

basis	$-z$	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	RHS
$-z$	1	0	2	0	0	1	3
s_2	0	0	2	0	1	1	10
x_1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
s_1	0	0	1	1	0	2	8