Chalmers University of Technology University of Gothenburg Mathematical Sciences Optimization Ann-Brith Strömberg Zuzana Nedělková Caroline Granfeldt $\begin{array}{c} {\rm MVE165} \\ {\rm MMG631} \\ {\rm Linear~and~integer~optimization} \\ {\rm with~applications} \\ {\rm Assignment~information} \end{array}$

April 19, 2018

Assignment 2: Maintenance scheduling

Given below is a mathematical model for finding a maintenance schedule such that the costs of maintaining a system during a limited time period is at minimum. The system consists of several components with economic dependencies. The model together with its problem background are described in the notes of Lecture 8b.

Implementations of the model in AMPL are found on the course homepage: www.math.chalmers.se/Math/Grundutb/CTH/mve165/1718/

Study the AMPL files carefully to get some hints before you start solving the exercises. Call AMPL/CPLEX using the command 'ampl uh.run' in a Linux command window. The file uh.run should be edited in order to solve the different instances of the model, as described in the exercises below.

To pass the assignment you should (in groups of two persons) (i) write a self-contained report (around four pages excluding figures/illustrations) that describes and discusses the issues presented in the exercises and questions below. You should write the report on a computer, preferably using LaTeX and presented in the form of a PDF file. You shall also estimate the number of hours spent on this assignment and note this in your report. You may discuss the problem with other students. However, each group must hand in their own solution. The report will be checked for plagiarism via http://www.urkund.com.

The questions 1–3 below are mandatory. In addition, students aiming at grade 3 or G must answer at least one of the questions 4–5, while students aiming at grade 4, 5, or VG must answer all the questions.

The file containing your report shall be called Name1-Name2-Ass2.pdf, where "Namek", k=1,2, is your respective family name. Do not forget to write the authors' names also inside the report. The report should be submitted in PingPong (Assignment 2) not later than Thursday, May 3rd, 2018 at 9:30.

Each individual student should also

- for the peer review, submit the same report in PingPong (Ass 2—opposition), on Thursday the 3rd of May 2018 between 12:00 and 17:00;
- submit in PingPong, on Thursday, May 3rd, 2018 between 12:00 and 23:55, an individually written report describing the distribution of the project work within the group and how the cooperation has worked out.

You should then (ii), individually, write an opposition (maximum 1/2 page) to another group's report (which is chosen randomly and sent to you via Ping-Pong). The opposition report should be submitted in PingPong (Ass 2—opposition) at the latest on Monday the 7th of May 2018 at 23:55.

The mathematical model

The model (1) below is developed in the article by Almgren et al. (2012).

Sets and parameters

- $\mathcal{N} = \text{the set of components in the system.}$ (in AMPL: Components)
- T =the number of time steps in the planning period. (in AMPL: T)
- T_i = the life of a new component of type $i \in \mathcal{N}$ (measured in number of time steps). It is assumed that $2 \le T_i \le T - 1$. (in AMPL: U)
- c_{it} = the cost of a spare component of type $i \in \mathcal{N}$ at time t (measured in \in). For some instances it is assumed that c_{it} is constant over time, i.e., $c_{it} = c_i, t = 1, ..., T$. (in AMPL: c)
- d_t = the cost for a maintenance occasion at time t (measured in \in). For some instances it is assumed that d_t is constant over time, i.e., $d_t = d$, $t = 1, \ldots, T$. (in AMPL: d)

Decision variables

- $x_{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if component } i \text{ is replaced at time } t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ $i \in \mathcal{N}, t \in \{1, \dots, T\}.$
- $z_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if maintenance is made at time } t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}.$

The model

minimize
$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} c_{it} x_{it} + d_t z_t \right), \tag{1a}$$

subject to
$$\sum_{t=\ell+1}^{\ell+T_i} x_{it} \geq 1, \qquad \ell = 0, \dots, T - T_i, \quad i \in \mathcal{N}, \text{ (1b)}$$

$$x_{it} \leq z_t, \qquad t = 1, \dots, T, \ i \in \mathcal{N},$$
 (1c)

$$x_{it}, z_t \in \{0, 1\}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \ i \in \mathcal{N}.$$
 (1d)

Description of the model

- (1a) The objective is to minimize the total cost for the maintenance during the planning period (the time steps $1, \ldots, T-1$) (in AMPL: Cost).
- (1b) Each component i must be replaced at least once within each T_i time steps (in AMPL: ReplaceWithinLife).
- (1c) Components can only be replaced at maintenance occasions (in AMPL: ReplaceOnlyAtMaintenance).
- (1d) All the variables are required to be binary.

¹T. Almgren, N. Andréasson, M. Patriksson, A.-B. Strömberg, A. Wojciechowski, and M. Önnheim: The opportunistic replacement problem: theoretical analyses and numerical tests, Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 289–319, 2012. Reachable from Chalmers' domain, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00186-012-0400-y

Exercises to perform and questions to answer

- 1. (a) Solve the model (1) as implemented in the file uh-stor.mod with data from uh-stor.dat, letting T=125, and with integer requirements on the variables x_{it} and z_t . Note that in this instance all costs are time independent, i.e., $c_{it}=c_i$ and $d_t=d$, $t=1,\ldots,T$. Relax the integrality requirements on the variables x_{it} and resolve the problem. Then relax the integrality on all variables and resolve the model. Compare the solutions obtained and discuss their interpretations. Compare also the computation times (CPU) and explain the differences.
 - (b) Solve the model (1) as implemented in uh-small.mod with data from uh-small.dat. Relax the integrality constraints on the variables and resolve. Then add the constraint given in cgcut.mod and resolve. Compare the solutions obtained and explain their differences
 - (c) Prove that the constraint implemented in cgcut.mod is a valid inequality (i.e., it does not cut away any feasible solution) to the instance of (1) defined by uh-small.mod and uh-small.dat.
- 2. Solve the model (1) as implemented in uh-stor.mod and uh-stor.dat with d = 20.
 - (a) Vary the time horizon between T=50 and approximately T=200 and draw a graph of the computing time (in CPU seconds) as a function of T (use a log-scale). If needed, use the options for limiting the size of the branch—and—bound tree—keeping track of upper and lower bounds on the optimal value (see the file uh.run).
 - (b) Make an analogous graph for the case when the integrality requirements on the variables are relaxed; vary the time horizon between T=50 and approximately T=700.
 - (c) Compare and comment on the complexity properties of the two models solved in 2a and 2b.
 - (d) Cplex uses the branch-and-bound algorithm, possibly employing presolve steps including heuristics and cutting plane generation. On what does it seem to spend most of the solution time: presolve, finding an optimal (feasible) solution, or verifying its optimality?
- 3. Implement in AMPL the model (1a)–(1f) from the article² by Gustavsson et al. (2014).
 - (a) Repeat the tests made in exercise 2a–2c for this model. Illustrate the results with suitable graphs.
 - (b) Compare the outcomes from the two models and make relevant conclusions. Illustrate with suitable graphs.

²E. Gustavsson, M. Patriksson, A.-B. Strömberg, A. Wojciechowski, and M. Önnheim: Preventive maintenance scheduling of multi-component systems with interval costs, Computers & Industrial Engineering, vol. 76, pp. 390–400, 2014.

Open access, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2014.02.009

(c) Discuss the characterization of the mathematical model from the article in terms of, e.g., integrality property and network flows. Explain your findings.

4. Heuristics³

- (a) Define a constructive heuristic for the model (1). Implement in Matlab or AMPL and find a feasible solution to the instance in uh-stor.mod and uh-stor.dat (letting d=20 and T=100). Apply your algorithm also to the instance of (1) given by T=100, $|\mathcal{N}|=4$, $(c_{it})_{i\in\mathcal{N}}=(5,6,7,9)$, $d_t=10$, $t=1,\ldots,T$, and $(T_i)_{i\in\mathcal{N}}=(3,4,5,7)$. [Hints on how to write AMPL-code in the .run-file are found at
 - www.ampl.com/NEW/LOOP2/index.html and www.ampl.com/NEW/LOOP2/index.html]
- (b) Define a neighbourhood of a feasible solution to the model (1). A neighbourhood may be defined, e.g., with respect to all the variables or just the variables z_t , t = 1, ..., T. The search of the neighbourhood involves the solution of subproblems—a well chosen neighbourhood results in subproblems that are "easy" to solve (i.e., in polynomial time). Identify the subproblems resulting from your choice of neighbourhood and describe how these can be efficiently solved.
- (c) Define and implement a local search algorithm for the model (1) and use it to improve the respective solutions found in 4a.
- (d) Draw illustrating schedules of the solutions found in 4a and 4c and compare with the optimal solution to this instance. Also, draw diagrams showing the objective value as a function of the number of iterations performed in the local search algorithm and CPU seconds, respectively.
- 5. Assume that it is required that the system (including all of its components) has a remaining life which is at least r > 0 time steps at the end of the planning period (i.e., at time t = T).⁴
 - (a) Add and/or modify constraints to/in the model to accomplish this and solve the resulting model. Start by the model in uh-stor.mod with data from uh-stor.dat (with d=20 and T=100). Verify that the solution fulfills the requirement stated.
 - (b) For five different relevant values of r (these values should be chosen such that the respective solutions become significantly different), compare the total cost for maintenance according to the schedule computed in 5a with that of the "original" (with r=0) one. Comment on the number of maintenance occasions and the number of replaced components and compare with the corresponding numbers from the "original" model (with r=0).
 - (c) Which values of r are relevant for this study and why?

³You may carry out this exercise using the model from the article from 2014 as well.

⁴You may carry out this exercise using the model from the article from 2014 as well.