

MVE165/MMG631
Linear and integer optimization with applications
Lecture 5
Linear programming duality

Ann-Brith Strömberg

2019-04-03

A linear program with optimal value z^*

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 z^* = \max & z := & 20x_1 & +18x_2 & & \text{weights} \\
 \text{subject to} & & 7x_1 & +10x_2 & \leq 3600 & (1) & v_1 \\
 & & 16x_1 & +12x_2 & \leq 5400 & (2) & v_2 \\
 & & & & x_1, x_2 & \geq 0 &
 \end{array}$$

[DRAW GRAPH]

- What is the largest possible value of z (i.e., z^*)?

Compute upper estimates of z^* , e.g.:

- Multiply (1) by 3:
 $\Rightarrow 21x_1 + 30x_2 \leq 10800 \quad \Rightarrow z^* \leq 10800$
- Multiply (2) by 1.5:
 $\Rightarrow 24x_1 + 18x_2 \leq 8100 \quad \Rightarrow z^* \leq 8100$
- Combine: $0.6 \times (1) + 1 \times (2)$:
 $\Rightarrow 20.2x_1 + 18x_2 \leq 7560 \quad \Rightarrow z^* \leq 7560$

A linear program with optimal value z^*

maximize	$z :=$	$20x_1 + 18x_2$			weights
subject to		$7x_1 + 10x_2 \leq 3600$	(1)		v_1
		$16x_1 + 12x_2 \leq 5400$	(2)		v_2
		$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$			

[DRAW GRAPH]

- Do better than guess—compute *optimal* weights!
- Value of estimate: $w = 3600v_1 + 5400v_2 \rightarrow \min$

Constraints on the weights

$$\begin{aligned}
 7v_1 + 16v_2 &\geq 20 \\
 10v_1 + 12v_2 &\geq 18 \\
 v_1, v_2 &\geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

The best (lowest) possible upper estimate of z^*

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{minimize} & w := & 3600v_1 & + & 5400v_2 \\ \text{subject to} & & 7v_1 & + & 16v_2 & \geq & 20 \\ & & 10v_1 & + & 12v_2 & \geq & 18 \\ & & & & v_1, v_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

- A linear program! [DRAW GRAPH!!]
- It is called the *linear programming dual* of the original linear program

The lego model – the market problem

Consider the lego problem

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{maximize} & z = & 1600x_1 & + & 1000x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & & 2x_1 & + & x_2 \leq 6 \\ & & 2x_1 & + & 2x_2 \leq 8 \\ & & & & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- Option: Sell bricks instead of making furniture
- $v_1(v_2)$ = price of a large (small) brick
- The market wishes to *minimize the payment*: $\min 6v_1 + 8v_2$

Sell only if prices are high enough

- $2v_1 + 2v_2 \geq 1600$ – otherwise better to make tables
- $v_1 + 2v_2 \geq 1000$ – otherwise better to make chairs
- $v_1, v_2 \geq 0$ – don't sell at a negative price

A general linear program on “standard form”

A linear program with n non-negative variables, m equality constraints ($m < n$), and non-negative right-hand-sides

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \quad & z = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & x_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &\in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \\ a_{ij} &\in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ &\quad j = 1, \dots, n, \\ b_i &\geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ c_j &\in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Or, on matrix form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \quad & z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &\in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \mathbf{A} &\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \\ \mathbf{b} &\in \mathbb{R}_+^m, \\ \mathbf{c} &\in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Linear programming duality

To each primal linear program corresponds a dual linear program

(Primal)

$$\text{minimize } z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\text{subject to } \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$$

$$\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n$$

(Dual)

$$\text{maximize } w = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$$

$$\text{subject to } \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{c}$$

The component forms of the primal and dual programs

(Primal)

$$\min z = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$x_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

(Dual)

$$\max w = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \leq c_j,$$

$$j = 1, \dots, n$$

An example of linear programming duality

A primal linear program

minimize	$z =$	$2x_1$	$+3x_2$		weights/duals
subject to		$3x_1$	$+2x_2$	$= 14$	y_1
		$2x_1$	$-4x_2$	≥ 2	y_2
		$4x_1$	$+3x_2$	≤ 19	y_3
			x_1, x_2	≥ 0	

The corresponding dual linear program

maximize	$w =$	$14y_1$	$+2y_2$	$+19y_3$	weights/primals	
subject to		$3y_1$	$+2y_2$	$+4y_3$	≤ 2	x_1
		$2y_1$	$-4y_2$	$+3y_3$	≤ 3	x_2
		y_1			free	
			y_2		≥ 0	
				y_3	≤ 0	

maximization \iff minimization

dual program	\iff	primal program
primal program	\iff	dual program

<i>constraints</i>	\iff	<i>variables</i>
--------------------	--------	------------------

\geq	\iff	≤ 0
--------	--------	----------

\leq	\iff	≥ 0
--------	--------	----------

$=$	\iff	free
-----	--------	------

<i>variables</i>	\iff	<i>constraints</i>
------------------	--------	--------------------

≥ 0	\iff	\geq
----------	--------	--------

≤ 0	\iff	\leq
----------	--------	--------

free	\iff	$=$
------	--------	-----

The dual of the dual of any linear program equals the primal

Primal		Dual	
minimize	$z = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}$ (1a)	maximize	$w = \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{y}$ (2a)
subject to	$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ (1b)	subject to	$\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{c}$ (2b)
	$\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n$ (1c)		

Weak duality

[Th. 6.1]

Let \mathbf{x} be a feasible point in the primal (minimization) and \mathbf{y} be a feasible point in the dual (maximization). Then, it holds that

$$z = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{y} = w$$

Proof: $z \underbrace{=}_{(1a)} \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} \underbrace{\geq}_{(2b), (1c)} \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{Ax} \underbrace{=}_{(1b)} \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{b} \underbrace{=}_{(2a)} w. \quad \square$

In the course book, the primal is formulated with [inequality](#) constraints in (1b): adjust the dual and the proof for that case!

Primal

minimize $z = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}$
subject to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$
 $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n$

Dual

maximize $w = \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{y}$
subject to $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{c}$

Corollary

[Th. 6.2]

If $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is feasible in the primal and $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ is feasible in the dual, and it holds that

$$\mathbf{c}^\top \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}^\top \bar{\mathbf{y}},$$

then $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is optimal in the primal and $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ is optimal in the dual.

Primal

minimize $z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$
subject to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$
 $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n$

Dual

maximize $w = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$
subject to $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{c}$

Strong duality

[Th. 6.3]

In a pair of primal and dual linear programs, if one of them has a bounded optimal solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ (or $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$), so does the other, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ (or $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$), and their optimal values are equal, i.e. $\mathbf{c}^T \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}^T \hat{\mathbf{y}}$.

Primal

minimize $z = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}$
 subject to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$
 $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n$

Dual

maximize $w = \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{y}$
 subject to $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{c}$

Complementary slackness [Th. 6.5; proof in the course book]

If \mathbf{x} is *optimal in the primal* and \mathbf{y} is *optimal in the dual*, then it holds that

$$\mathbf{x}^\top (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}) = 0.$$

If \mathbf{x} is *feasible in the primal*, \mathbf{y} is *feasible in the dual*, and $\mathbf{x}^\top (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}) = 0$, then

\mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are optimal in their respective problems.

Primal

$$z^* := \min z = \mathbf{c}_B^\top \mathbf{x}_B + \mathbf{c}_N^\top \mathbf{x}_N$$

subject to $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_B + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{b}$

$$\mathbf{x}_B \geq \mathbf{0}^m, \mathbf{x}_N \geq \mathbf{0}^{n-m}$$

Dual

$$w^* := \max w = \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{y}$$

subject to $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{c}_B$

$$\mathbf{N}^\top \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{c}_N$$

Duality theorem

[Th. 6.4]

Assume that $\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ is an optimal basic (feasible) solution to the primal problem. Then $\mathbf{y}^\top = \mathbf{c}_B^\top \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ is an optimal solution to the dual problem and $z^* = w^*$.

Proof structure

[full proof in the course book]

- 1 $\mathbf{y}^\top = \mathbf{c}_B^\top \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ is feasible in the dual problem
- 2 The optimal objective values z^* and w^* are equal
- 3 Follows from complementarity: $(\mathbf{x}_B, \mathbf{x}_N)$ and \mathbf{y} are feasible in the primal and dual respective problem and $z^* = w^*$

Relations between primal and dual optimal solutions

primal (dual) problem \iff dual (primal) problem

unique and non-degenerate solution \iff unique and non-degenerate solution

unbounded solution \implies no feasible solutions

no feasible solutions \implies unbounded solution *or* no feasible solutions

degenerate solution \iff alternative solutions

Exercises on linear programming duality

- Formulate and solve graphically the dual of:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & z = 6x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \\ \text{subject to} & 6x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 \geq 2 \\ & 3x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 \geq 5 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- Then find the optimal primal solution
- Verify that the dual of the dual equals the primal