

Homework assignment 3

① $A(f)(x) = \int_0^\pi \sin(x-y) f(y) dy, \quad x \in [0, \pi]$

Calculate $\|A\|_{C([0, \pi]) \rightarrow C([0, \pi])}$ and $\|A\|_{L^2([0, \pi]) \rightarrow L^2([0, \pi])}$

1) $E = C([0, \pi])$ with $\|f\| = \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} |f(x)|$.

From $|A(f)(x)| \leq \int_0^\pi |\sin(x-y)| |f(y)| dy \leq \int_0^\pi |\sin(x-y)| dy \cdot \|f\| = 2\|f\|$ we conclude $\|A\| \leq 2$

For $f=1$ we note $A(f)(\pi) = \int_0^\pi \sin(\pi-y) dy = 2$

and hence $\|A\| \geq 2$. Answer: $\|A\| = 2$

2) $E = L^2([0, \pi])$ with $\|f\|_2 = \left(\int_0^\pi |f(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}$.

We observe that iA is a self-adjoint compact operator on the Hilbert space $L^2([0, \pi])$. Hence

$$\|A\| = \|iA\| = \sup \{ |\lambda| \in [0, \infty) : \lambda \text{ eigenvalue of } iA \}$$

Since $\sin(x-y) = \sin x \cdot \cos y - \cos x \cdot \sin y$ every eigenfunction must have the form $a \cos x + b \sin x$

Inserting this into $A(f) = \lambda f, f \neq 0$ gives $\lambda = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$

Answer: $\|A\| = \frac{\pi}{2}$

② T self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space E (clearly $T \in \mathcal{B}(E, E)$). Show that T is an orthogonal projection operator if $T^3 = T^2$. Show that T is an orthogonal projection operator if $T^4 = T^2$ instead.

Solution: $T \in \mathcal{B}(E, E)$ is an orthogonal projection operator iff T is self-adjoint and $T^2 = T$.

Assume $T^3 = T^2$. For $x \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T^2 - T)(x)\|^2 &= \langle (T^2 - T)(x), (T^2 - T)(x) \rangle = \{ T \text{ self-adjoint} \} = \\ &= \langle \underbrace{(T^3 - T^2)}_{=0}(x), (T - I)(x) \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(T^2 - T)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in E$ and so $T^2 = T$.

Assume $T^4 - T^3 = 0$. For $x \in E$ we have

$$\|(T^3 - T^2)(x)\|^2 = \langle (T^3 - T^2)(x), (T^3 - T^2)(x) \rangle =$$

$$= \underbrace{\langle (T^4 - T^3)x, (T^2 - T)x \rangle}_{=0} = 0$$

Hence $(T^3 - T^2)x = 0$ for all $x \in E$ and so $T^3 = T^2$.

The previous result gives $T^2 = T$. \square

③ $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ complete ON-sequence for Hilbert space E .

$(\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ sequence of complex numbers

$$\text{Set } Ax = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad x \in E$$

1) $Ax \in E$ for every $x \in E \iff \sup_n |\alpha_n| < \infty$

Pf: \Leftarrow : Assume $\sup_n |\alpha_n| = M < \infty$. Fix $x \in E$.

$$\text{Then } \|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2 \quad \text{by Parseval's formula.}$$

Moreover $(\alpha_n \langle x, e_n \rangle)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2$ since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n \langle x, e_n \rangle|^2 \leq M^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2 = M^2 \|x\|^2 < \infty$$

Then $Ax \in E$.

\Rightarrow : Assume $\sup_n |\alpha_n| = \infty$. Then there exists

a sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ s.t.

$$|\alpha_{n_k}| \geq k, \quad k=1, 2, \dots \quad \text{Set } x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} e_{n_k}$$

Then $x \in E$ since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{k})^2 < \infty$ but

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n_k} \frac{1}{k} e_{n_k} \notin E \quad \text{since } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n_k} \frac{1}{k}|^2 = \infty$$

Hence $Ax \notin E$.

2) $A \in \mathcal{B}(E, E) \iff \sup_n |\alpha_n| < \infty$. Moreover if

$A \in \mathcal{B}(E, E)$ then $\|A\| = \sup_n |\alpha_n|$.

Pf: The equivalence is straightforward and omitted.

Assume $A \in \mathcal{B}(E, E)$. Clearly $\|A\| \leq \sup_n |\alpha_n|$.

Moreover $\|Ae_{n_k}\| = |\alpha_{n_k}| \|e_{n_k}\| \quad n_k=1, 2, \dots$ and so

$$\|A\| \geq \sup_n |\alpha_n|. \quad \text{This yields } \|A\| = \sup_n |\alpha_n|.$$

3) A self-adjoint $\iff \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ all n

Pf: A is assumed to be in $\mathcal{B}(E, E)$.

$$\text{Fix } x, y \in E. \quad \langle Ax, y \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle y, e_k \rangle e_k \right\rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \dots = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^M \langle \alpha_k \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k, \langle y, e_k \rangle e_k \rangle = \\
&= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^M \langle \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k, \bar{\alpha}_k \langle y, e_k \rangle e_k \rangle = \dots = \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_m \rangle e_m, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}_k \langle y, e_k \rangle e_k \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $A^*(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}_m \langle x, e_m \rangle e_m$

So $(A-A^*)x = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_m - \bar{\alpha}_m) \langle x, e_m \rangle e_m = 0$

which gives $A=A^* \Leftrightarrow \alpha_m = \bar{\alpha}_m$ all $m \Leftrightarrow \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$ all m

4) $A \in \mathcal{K}(E, E) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m = 0$

Pf: \Rightarrow : Assume A compact operator.

Since $e_m \rightarrow 0$ in E , since $(e_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is m.o.n.-s.f., we have $A(e_m) \rightarrow A(0) = 0$ in E .

But $A(e_m) = \alpha_m e_m$ all m so

$$|\alpha_m| = \|A(e_m)\| \rightarrow 0, m \rightarrow \infty$$

\Leftarrow : Assume $\alpha_m \rightarrow 0, m \rightarrow \infty$. Define $A_m: E \rightarrow E$

by $A_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k, x \in E$.

Then $(A-A_m)x = \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k, x \in E$ and

by 2) above $\|A-A_m\| = \sup_{k>m} |\alpha_k|, m=1, 2, \dots$

and hence $\|A-A_m\| \rightarrow 0, m \rightarrow \infty$.

But A_m has dim $\mathcal{R}(A_m) \leq m$, i.e. A_m is finite rank operator, for all m so $A_m \in \mathcal{K}(E, E)$. Since $\mathcal{K}(E, E)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(E, E)$ we conclude that $A \in \mathcal{K}(E, E)$.

5) $A^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \alpha_m \neq 0$ all m .

Pf: Assume $A \in \mathcal{B}(E, E)$ and $Ax_1 = Ax_2$.

Then $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m (\langle x_1, e_m \rangle - \langle x_2, e_m \rangle) e_m = 0$ which is

equivalent to $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m \langle x_1 - x_2, e_m \rangle e_m = 0$ which is

equivalent to $\alpha_m \langle x_1 - x_2, e_m \rangle = 0$ for all m .

This implies that $\forall x_1, x_2 \in E: Ax_1 = Ax_2 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$

is equivalent to $\alpha_m \neq 0$ all m .

$$\textcircled{4} \begin{cases} u''(x) - u(x) = \lambda \arctan(u(x^2)), & x \in [0,1] \\ u(0) = 2, u(1) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Show that the BVP has a solution for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and that this solution is unique if $|\lambda|$ small.

Solution: Step 1: Remove the inhomogeneity in BC.

Set e.g. $v(x) = 2-x$, which satisfies $v(0) = 2$ and $v(1) = 1$.

Set $u(x) = w(x) + v(x)$. Then $(*)$ can be written

$$\begin{cases} w''(x) - w(x) = v(x) + \lambda \arctan(w(x^2) + v(x^2)) \\ w(0) = w(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (**)$$

Step 2: Set $Lw = w'' - w$ with $R_1 w = w(0)$, $R_2 w = w(1)$.

Calculate the corresponding Green's function

A basis for $N(L)$ is given by e.g. $u_1(x) = e^x$, $u_2(x) = e^{-x}$

Set $g(x,t) = \Theta(x-t)e(x,t) + b_1(t)u_1(x) + b_2(t)u_2(x)$,

where $e(x,t) = a_1(t)u_1(x) + a_2(t)u_2(x)$, satisfying

$$\begin{cases} e(t,t) = 0: & a_1(t)e^t + a_2(t)e^{-t} = 0 \\ e'_x(t,t) = 0: & a_1(t)e^t - a_2(t)e^{-t} = 1 \\ R_1 g(\cdot, t) = 0: & b_1(t) + b_2(t) = 0 \\ R_2 g(\cdot, t) = 0: & e(1,t) + b_1(t)e + b_2(t)e^{-1} = 0 \end{cases} \quad t \in [0,1]$$

This implies

$$g(x,t) = \Theta(x-t) \left(\frac{1}{2} (e^{x-t} - e^{t-x}) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{e}{e^2 - 1} \left(e^{x+t-1} - e^{x-t+1} + e^{-x-t+1} - e^{-x+t-1} \right)$$

Here one can observe that $g(x,t) \leq 0$ all $x, t \in [0,1]$.

Step 3: We can rewrite $(**)$ as

$$w(x) = \int_0^1 g(x,t) \left[\lambda \arctan(w(x^2) + 2 - t^2) + 2 - t^2 \right] dt$$

Set the RHS = $T(w)(x)$. Then

$T: C([0,1]) \rightarrow C([0,1])$, where $C([0,1])$ is

equipped with the max-norm so that

$C([0,1])$ becomes a Banach space.

If T is a contraction on $C([0,1])$ we get that T has a unique fixed point $w \in C([0,1])$ i.e. $w(x) = T(w)(x)$ where the RHS $\in C^2([0,1])$ and satisfies the homogeneous BC.

Fix $w_1, w_2 \in C([0,1])$.

$$|T(w_1)(x) - T(w_2)(x)| \leq |\lambda| \int_0^1 |g(x,t)| dt \cdot \|w_1 - w_2\|$$

where we used the mean value theorem to get

$$|\arctan(w_1(t^2) + 2 - t^2) - \arctan(w_2(t^2) + 2 - t^2)| \leq |w_1(t^2) - w_2(t^2)| \leq \|w_1 - w_2\|$$

We see that T is a contraction on $C([0,1])$ if

$$|\lambda| \int_0^1 |g(x,t)| dt \leq c < 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in [0,1]$$

for some $c < 1$. To get an estimate for $|\lambda|$ we note that $g(x,t) \leq 0$ all $x, t \in [0,1]$. We have

$$\int_0^1 |g(x,t)| dt = \int_0^1 g(x,t) \cdot (-1) dt \equiv j(x)$$

where $j'' - j = -1$, $j(0) = j(1) = 0$ i.e. $j(x) = -1 + \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{e+1}$

where $\max_{x \in [0,1]} j(x) = j(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{(\sqrt{e}-1)^2}{e+1}$

Conclusion: Banach's fixed point theorem implies that

T has a unique fixed point for $|\lambda| < \frac{e+1}{(\sqrt{e}-1)^2}$, and

hence (*) has a unique solution $w \in C^2([0,1])$,

For $|\lambda| \geq \frac{e+1}{(\sqrt{e}-1)^2}$ we use Schauder's fixed point theorem to get the existence of a solution $w \in C^2([0,1])$ for (*) (without uniqueness claim)

For $w \in C([0,1])$ we have $\|T(w)\| \leq \frac{(\sqrt{e}-1)^2}{e+1} \cdot (|\lambda| \frac{1}{2} + 2) \equiv N$

Set $S = \{w \in C([0,1]) : \|w\| \leq N\}$ which is a closed convex

set in $C([0,1])$. $T(S) \subset S$ so $T(S)$ is (uniformly)

bounded. Moreover, $T: S \rightarrow S$ is continuous, since

$$\|T(w_1) - T(w_2)\| \leq |\lambda| \frac{(\sqrt{e}-1)^2}{e+1} \|w_1 - w_2\| \quad \text{for } w_1, w_2 \in S,$$

and equicontinuity for the functions in $T(S)$

follows from the fact that $g \in C([0,1] \times [0,1])$, and hence uniformly continuous on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$, and

$$|T(w)(x_1) - T(w)(x_2)| \leq N \int_0^1 |g(x_1, t) - g(x_2, t)| dt, \quad x_1, x_2 \in [0,1]$$

and $w \in C([0,1])$. We can then conclude that $T(S)$ is relatively compact in $C([0,1])$ from Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. Schauder's (generalized) fixed-point theorem implies that $T: S \rightarrow S$ has a fixed point, and hence (4) has a solution $u \in C^2([0,1])$ (not necessarily unique).