# An algebraic derivation of the pricing step

$$z^* = ext{infimum } oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{x} = ext{infimum } oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{x}_B + oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{x}_N \ ext{subject to } oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{x} = oldsymbol{b}, \quad ext{subject to } oldsymbol{B} oldsymbol{x}_B + oldsymbol{N} oldsymbol{x}_N = oldsymbol{b}, \ oldsymbol{x}_B \geq oldsymbol{0}^m; \ oldsymbol{x}_N \geq oldsymbol{0}^{n-m} \ = oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{b} + ext{ infimum } oldsymbol{[c_N^{ ext{T}} - c_B^{ ext{T}} B^{-1} N] oldsymbol{x}_N \ & ext{subject to } oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{b} - oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{N} oldsymbol{x}_N \geq oldsymbol{0}^m, \ oldsymbol{x}_N \geq oldsymbol{0}^{n-m} \ \end{pmatrix}$$

- ullet  $oldsymbol{x}_{oldsymbol{N}} = oldsymbol{0}^{n-m}$  feasible. Let  $ilde{oldsymbol{c}}_{oldsymbol{N}}^{\mathrm{T}} := oldsymbol{c}_{oldsymbol{N}}^{\mathrm{T}} oldsymbol{c}_{oldsymbol{B}}^{\mathrm{T}} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{N}$
- ullet If reduced cost  $\tilde{m{c}}_{m{N}} \geq m{0}^{n-m}$  then  $m{x}_{m{N}} = m{0}^{n-m}$  is optimal

1

3

- If  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{N} \not\geq \mathbf{0}^{n-m}$  then  $\exists j \in N$  with  $\tilde{c}_{j} < 0$ . Then the current point  $\boldsymbol{x}_{N} = \mathbf{0}^{n-m}$  may be non-optimal
- Generate a feasible descent direction
- Choose one that leads to a neighboring extreme point
- Swap one variable in B for one in N
- $\bullet$  Increase one variable in N from zero
- Choose  $j^*$  to be among arg minimum<sub> $j \in N$ </sub>  $\tilde{c}_j$
- We have then decided on the search direction

## The basis change

- What is this direction?
- ullet In  $oldsymbol{x_N}$ -space:  $oldsymbol{p_N} = oldsymbol{e_{J^*}}$  (unit vector)
- ullet In  $m{x}_B$ -space:  $m{x}_B = m{B}^{-1}m{b} m{B}^{-1}m{N}m{x}_N \Longrightarrow m{p}_B = -m{B}^{-1}m{N}m{p}_N = -m{B}^{-1}m{N}m{p}_N^*$
- So, search direction in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$oldsymbol{p} = egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{p}_B \ oldsymbol{p}_N \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} -oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{N}_{\mathtt{J}^*} \ oldsymbol{e}_{\mathtt{J}^*} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Descent? Yes, because  $\boldsymbol{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{p} = \tilde{c}_{\mathsf{J}^*} < 0!$ 

- Feasible? Must check that  $Ap = 0^m$  and that  $p_i \ge 0$  if  $x_i = 0, i \in B$ . The first true by construction:
- (a)  $Ap = Bp_B + Np_N = -BB^{-1}N_{1*} + Ne_{1*} = 0^m$
- (b) Suppose that  $x_B > 0^m$ . Then, at least a small step in p keeps  $x_B \ge 0^m$ . But if there is an  $1^*$  with  $(x_B)_{1^*} = 0$  and  $(p_B)_{1^*} < 0$  then it is not a feasible direction
- Must then perform a basis change without moving! A degenerate basis change: swap  $x_{J^*}$  for  $x_{I^*}$  in the basis
- Otherwise (and normally), we utilize the unit direction

- Line search? Linear objective; move the maximum step!
- Maximum step: If  $p_B \geq 0^m$  there is no finite maximum step! We have found an extreme direction p along which  $c^T x$  tends to  $-\infty$ ! Unbounded solution
- Otherwise: Some basic variables will reach zero eventually. Choose as the outgoing variable a variable  $i \in B$  with minimum in

$$x_{\mathtt{J}^*} := \underset{i \in B}{\operatorname{minimum}} \left. \left\{ \left. \frac{(\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b})_i}{(\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{N}_{\mathtt{J}^*})_i} \right| (\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{N}_{\mathtt{J}^*})_i > 0 \right. \right\}$$

- Done. In the basis, replace 1\* by J\*; goto pricing step
- If  $x_{j^*} = 0$  then the above corresponds to a "degenerate basis change"

5

7

# Computational notes—how do we do all of this?

• Given basis matrix  $\boldsymbol{B}$ , solve

$$Bx_B = b$$

- Gives us BFS:  $\boldsymbol{x_B} = \boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b}$
- Pricing step: (a) Solve

$$oldsymbol{B}^{ ext{T}}oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{c}_{B} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad oldsymbol{y}^{ ext{T}} = oldsymbol{c}_{B}^{ ext{T}}oldsymbol{B}^{-1}$$

- ullet (b) Calculate  $\tilde{m{c}}_{m{N}}^{\mathrm{T}} = m{c}_{m{N}}^{\mathrm{T}} m{y}^{\mathrm{T}}m{N}$ , the reduced cost vector
- Choose the incoming variable,  $x_{1*}$

• Outgoing variable: Solve

$$oldsymbol{B}oldsymbol{p}_B=-oldsymbol{N}_{\mathtt{J}^*}$$

- Quotient rule for  $(\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b})_i/(-\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{B}})_i$  gives outgoing variable,  $x_{1^*}$ , and value of the new basic variable,  $x_{1^*}$
- ullet Note: Three similar linear systems in  ${m B}!$  LU factorization + three triangular substitutions
- Factorizations can be updated after basis change rather than done from scratch
- LP solvers like Cplex and XPRESS-MP have excellent numerical solvers for linear systems
- Linear systems the bulk of the work in solving an LP

### Convergence

- If all of the basic feasible solutions are non-degenerate, then the Simplex algorithm terminates after a finite number of iterations
- Proof: (Rough argument) Non-degeneracy implies that the step length is > 0; hence, we cannot return to an old BFS once we have left it. There are finitely many BFSs

q

- Degeneracy: Can actually lead to cycling—the same sequence of BFSs is returned to indefinitely!
- Remedy: Change the incoming/outgoing criteria!

  Bland's rule: Sort variables according to some index ordering. Take the first possible index in the list.

  Incoming variable first in the list with the right sign of the reduced cost; outgoing variable the first in the list among the minima in the quotient rule

#### Initial BFS: Phase I of the Simplex method

- If a starting BFS cannot be found, do the following:
- Suppose  $b \geq 0^m$ . Introduce artificial variables  $a_i$  in every row (or rows without a unit column)
- Solve the following Phase-I problem:

minimize 
$$w = (\mathbf{1}^m)^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{a}$$
 subject to  $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{I}^m \boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{b},$   $\boldsymbol{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n,$   $\boldsymbol{a} \geq \mathbf{0}^m$ 

• Possible cases: (a)  $w^* = 0$ , meaning that  $\mathbf{a}^* = \mathbf{0}^m$  must hold. There is then a BFS in the *original* problem

- Start Phase-II, to solve the original problem, starting from this BFS
- (b)  $w^* > 0$ . The optimal basis then has some  $a_i^* > 0$ ; due to the objective function construction, there exists no BFS in the original problem. The problem is then infeasible!
- What to do then? Modelling errors? Can be detected from the optimal solution. In fact, some LP problems are pure feasibility problems

11