

Lecture 2: Convexity

0-0

1

Convexity of sets

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. The set S is *convex* if

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{x}^2 \in S \\ \lambda \in (0, 1) \end{array} \right\} \implies \lambda \mathbf{x}^1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}^2 \in S$$

A set S is convex if, from anywhere in S , all other points are “visible.” (See Figure 1)




Figure 1: A convex set. (For the intermediate vector shown, the value of λ is $\approx 1/2$)

Examples

- The empty set is a convex set
- The set $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq a\}$ is convex for every value of $a \in \mathbb{R}$
- The set $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|\mathbf{x}\| = a\}$ is non-convex for every $a > 0$
- The set $\{0, 1, 2\}$ is non-convex

Two non-convex sets are shown in Figure 2




Figure 2: Two non-convex sets

Intersections of convex sets

Suppose that S_k , $k \in \mathcal{K}$, is any collection of convex sets. Then, the intersection $\bigcap_{k \in \mathcal{K}} S_k$ is a convex set

Proof.

Convex and affine hulls

The *affine hull* of a finite set $V = \{\mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the set

$$\text{aff } V := \left\{ \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}^1 + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{v}^k \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}; \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1 \right\}$$

The *convex hull* of a finite set $V = \{\mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the set

$$\text{conv } V := \left\{ \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}^1 + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{v}^k \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \geq 0; \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1 \right\}$$

The sets are defined by all possible *affine (convex) combinations* of the k points

Examples




Figure 3: (a) The set V (b) The set $\text{aff } V$ (c) The set $\text{conv } V$

Carathéodory's Theorem

- The convex hull of $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the smallest convex set containing V
- Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, $\text{conv } V$ is the set of all convex combinations of points of V
- Every point of the convex hull of a set can be written as a convex combination of points from the set. How many do we need?
- [Car.:] *Let $\mathbf{x} \in \text{conv } V$, where $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then \mathbf{x} can be expressed as a convex combination of $n + 1$ or fewer points of V*
- Proof by contradiction: if more than $n + 1$ points are needed then these points must be affinely dependent \implies can remove at least one such point. Etcetera

Polytope

- A subset P of \mathbb{R}^n is a *polytope* if it is the convex hull of finitely many points in \mathbb{R}^n
- The set shown in Figure 4 is a polytope




Figure 4: The convex hull of five points in \mathbb{R}^2

- A cube and a tetrahedron are polytopes in \mathbb{R}^3

Extreme points

- A point \mathbf{v} of a convex set P is called an *extreme point* if whenever $\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}^2$, where $\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{x}^2 \in P$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x}^1 = \mathbf{x}^2$
- Examples: The set shown in Figure 3(c) has the extreme points \mathbf{v}^1 and \mathbf{v}^2 . The set shown in Figure 4 has the extreme points \mathbf{v}^1 , \mathbf{v}^2 , and \mathbf{v}^3 . The set shown in Figure 3(b) does not have any extreme points
- Let P be the polytope $\text{conv } V$, where $V = \{\mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then P is equal to the convex hull of its extreme points

Polyhedra

- A subset P of \mathbb{R}^n is a *polyhedron* if there exist a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$P = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \}$$

- $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \iff \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{x} \leq b_i$ for all i (\mathbf{a}_i is row i of \mathbf{A})
- Intersection of half-spaces. [*Hyperplane*: $\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{x} = b_i \}$]
- Examples: (a) Figure 5 shows the bounded polyhedron $P = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 \geq 2; x_1 + x_2 \leq 6; 2x_1 - x_2 \leq 4 \}$
- (b) The unbounded polyhedron $P = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 + x_2 \geq 2; x_1 - x_2 \leq 2; 3x_1 - x_2 \geq 0 \}$ is shown in Figure 6




Figure 5: Illustration of the bounded polyhedron $P = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 \geq 2; x_1 + x_2 \leq 6; 2x_1 - x_2 \leq 4 \}$




Figure 6: Illustration of the unbounded polyhedron $P = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 + x_2 \geq 2; x_1 - x_2 \leq 2; 3x_1 - x_2 \geq 0 \}$

Algebraic characterizations of extreme points

- Let $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in P = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \}$, where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = n$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Further, let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ be the equality subsystem of $\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \leq \mathbf{b}$. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is an extreme point of P if and only if $\text{rank } \tilde{\mathbf{A}} = n$
- Of great importance in Linear Programming: \mathbf{A} then always has full rank! Hence, can solve special subsystem of linear equalities to obtain an extreme point
- Corollary: The number of extreme points of P is finite
- Corollary: Since the number of extreme points is finite, the convex hull of the extreme points of a polyhedron is a polytope
- Consequence: Algorithm for linear programming!

Cones

- A subset C of \mathbb{R}^n is a *cone* if $\lambda \mathbf{x} \in C$ whenever $\mathbf{x} \in C$ and $\lambda > 0$
- Example: Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. The set $\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}^m \}$ is a cone
- Figure 7(a) illustrates a convex cone and Figure 7(b) illustrates a non-convex cone in \mathbb{R}^2




Figure 7: (a) A convex cone in \mathbb{R}^2 (b) A non-convex cone in \mathbb{R}^2

Representation Theorem

- Let $Q = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \}$, P be the convex hull of the extreme points of Q , and $C := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}^m \}$. If $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = n$ then
 $Q = P + C = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \text{ for some } \mathbf{u} \in P \text{ and } \mathbf{v} \in C \}$
 In other words, every polyhedron (that has at least one extreme point) is the direct sum of a polytope and a polyhedral cone
- Proof by induction on the rank of the subsystem matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$
- Central in Linear Programming. Can be used to establish:
Optimal solutions to LP problems are found at extreme points!




Figure 8: Illustration of the Representation Theorem (a) in the bounded case, and (b) in the unbounded case

Separation Theorem

- “If a point \mathbf{y} does not lie in a closed and convex set C , then there exists a hyperplane that separates \mathbf{y} from C ”
- Suppose that the set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, and that the point \mathbf{y} does not lie in C . Then there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi} \neq \mathbf{0}^n$ such that $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T \mathbf{y} > \alpha$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \alpha$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in C$
- Proof later—requires existence and optimality conditions
- Consequence: A set P is a polytope if and only if it is a bounded polyhedron. [\Leftarrow trivial; \Rightarrow constructive]
- A finitely generated cone has the form

$$\text{cone} \{ \mathbf{v}^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^m \} := \{ \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}^1 + \dots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}^m \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0 \}$$
- A convex cone is finitely generated iff it is polyhedral




Figure 9: Illustration of the Separation Theorem: the unit disk is separated from \mathbf{y} by the line $\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 + x_2 = 2 \}$

Farkas' Lemma

- Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, exactly one of the systems

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n,$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\pi} \leq \mathbf{0}^n, \quad (\text{II})$$

$$\mathbf{b}^T \boldsymbol{\pi} > 0,$$

has a feasible solution, and the other system is inconsistent

- Farkas' Lemma has many forms. "Theorems of the alternative"
- Crucial for LP theory and optimality conditions
- Simple proof later!

Convexity of functions

- Suppose that $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is *convex at* $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in S$ if

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{x} \in S \\ \lambda \in (0, 1) \end{array} \right\} \implies f(\lambda \bar{\mathbf{x}} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) + (1 - \lambda)f(\mathbf{x})$$

- The function f is *convex on* S if it is convex at every $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in S$
- The function f is *strictly convex on* S if $<$ holds in place of \leq above for every $\mathbf{x} \neq \bar{\mathbf{x}}$
- A convex function is such that a linear interpolation never is lower than the function itself. For a strictly convex function the linear interpolation lies above the function
- (Strict) concavity of $f \iff$ (strict) convexity of $-f$

- Figure 10 illustrates a convex function




Figure 10: A convex function

- The function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{x}\|$ is convex on \mathbb{R}^n ; $f(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ is strictly convex in \mathbb{R}^n

- Let $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The linear function $\mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j$ is both convex and concave on \mathbb{R}^n
- Figure 11 illustrates a non-convex function




Figure 11: A non-convex function

- Sums of convex functions are convex
- Composite function: $\mathbf{x} \mapsto f(g(\mathbf{x}))$
- Suppose that $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let further $g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which is convex on S , and $f : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex and non-decreasing ($y \geq x \implies f(y) \geq f(x)$) on P . Then, the composite function $f(g)$ is convex on the set $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g(\mathbf{x}) \in P\}$
- The function $\mathbf{x} \mapsto -\log(-g(\mathbf{x}))$ is convex on the set $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g(\mathbf{x}) < 0\}$

Epigraphs

- Characterize convexity of a function on \mathbb{R}^n by the convexity of its epigraph in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . [Note: the graph of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the boundary of $\text{epi } f$]




Figure 12: A convex function and its epigraph

- The epigraph of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is the set

$$\text{epi } f := \{ (\mathbf{x}, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid f(\mathbf{x}) \leq \alpha \}$$

The epigraph of the function f restricted to the set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$\text{epi}_S f := \{ (\mathbf{x}, \alpha) \in S \times \mathbb{R} \mid f(\mathbf{x}) \leq \alpha \}$$

- Connection between convex sets and functions; in fact the definition of a convex function stems from that of a convex set!
- Suppose that $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex set. Then, the function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is convex on S if, and only if, its epigraph restricted to S is a convex set in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}

Convexity characterizations in C^1

- C^1 : Differentiable once, gradient continuous
- Let $f \in C^1$ on an open convex set S
 - (a) f is convex on $S \iff f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S$
 - (b) f is convex on $S \iff [\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})]^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \geq 0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S$
- (a): “Every tangent plane to the function surface lies on, or below, the epigraph of f ”, or, that “a first-order approximation is below f ”
- (b) ∇f is “monotone on S .” [Note: when $n = 1$, the result states that f is convex if and only if its derivative f' is non-decreasing, that is, that it is monotonically increasing]
- Proofs use Taylor expansion, convexity and Mean-value Theorem

- Figure 13 illustrates part (a)




Figure 13: A tangent plane to the graph of a convex function

Convexity characterizations in C^2

- Let f be in C^2 on an open, convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$
 - (a) f is convex on $S \iff \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$ is positive semidefinite for all $\mathbf{x} \in S$
 - (b) $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$ is positive definite for all $\mathbf{x} \in S \implies f$ is strictly convex on S
- Note: $n = 1$, S is an open interval: (a) f is convex on S if and only if $f''(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in S$; (b) f is strictly convex on S if $f''(x) > 0$ for every $x \in S$
- Proofs use Taylor expansion, convexity and Mean-value Theorem
- Not the direction \Leftarrow in (b)! [$f(x) = x^4$ at $x = 0$]
- Difficult to check convexity; matrix condition for every \mathbf{x}
- Quadratic function: $f(\mathbf{x}) = (1/2)\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x}$ convex on \mathbb{R}^n iff \mathbf{Q} is psd (\mathbf{Q} is the Hessian of f , and is independent of \mathbf{x})

Convexity of feasible sets

- Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. The *level set* of g with respect to the value $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is the set

$$\text{lev}_g(b) := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g(\mathbf{x}) \leq b \}$$

- Figure 14 illustrates a level set of a convex function




Figure 14: A level set of a convex function

- Suppose that the function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex. Then, for every value of $b \in \mathbb{R}$, the level set $\text{lev}_g(b)$ is a convex set. It is moreover closed

Proof.

- We speak of a *convex problem* when f is convex (minimization) and for constraints $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$, the functions g_i are convex; and for constraints $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, the functions h_j are affine

Euclidean projection

- The Euclidean projection of $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the nearest (in Euclidean norm) vector in S to \mathbf{w} . The vector $\mathbf{w} - \text{Proj}_S(\mathbf{w})$ is *normal* to S




Figure 15: The projection of two vectors onto a convex set

The distance function below is convex:

$$\text{dist}_S(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{x} - \text{Proj}_S(\mathbf{x})\|, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$




Figure 16: From the intermediate vector $\lambda \mathbf{x}^1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}^2$ shown the distance to the vector $\lambda \text{Proj}_S(\mathbf{x}^1) + (1 - \lambda) \text{Proj}_S(\mathbf{x}^2)$ [dotted line segment] clearly is longer than to its projection on S [solid line]