## TMA947/MMG621 OPTIMIZATION, BASIC COURSE

Date:	15 - 04 - 14		
Time:	House V, morning, $8^{30}-13^{30}$		
Aids:	Text memory-less calculator, English–Swedish dictionary		
Number of questions:	7; passed on one question requires 2 points of 3.		
	Questions are <i>not</i> numbered by difficulty.		
	To pass requires 10 points and three passed questions.		
Examiner:	Michael Patriksson		
Teacher on duty:	Anders Martinsson (0703-088304)		
Result announced:	15-05-06		
	Short answers are also given at the end of		
	the exam on the notice board for optimization		
	in the MV building.		

## Exam instructions

#### When you answer the questions

Use generally valid theory and methods. State your methodology carefully.

Only write on one page of each sheet. Do not use a red pen. Do not answer more than one question per page.

#### At the end of the exam

Sort your solutions by the order of the questions. Mark on the cover the questions you have answered. Count the number of sheets you hand in and fill in the number on the cover.

#### Question 1

(the simplex method)

Consider the following linear program:

maximize 
$$z = 2x_1 + x_2$$
,  
subject to  $-x_1 + x_2 \le 1$ ,  
 $-x_1 + 2x_2 \ge -2$ .  
 $x_1, \quad x_2 \ge 0$ .

(2p) a) Solve the problem using phase I and phase II of the simplex method.Aid: Utilize the identity

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}a&b\\c&d\end{array}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \left(\begin{array}{cc}d&-b\\-c&a\end{array}\right).$$

(1p) b) If an optimal solution exists, then use your calculations to decide whether it is unique or not. If the problem is unbounded, then use your calculations to specify a direction of unboundedness of the objective value.

### (3p) Question 2

(consistency of linear systems)

Consider the following system of linear inequalities:

$$Ax \leq b$$
.

Suppose that this system has at least one solution. Let d be a given scalar. Use linear programming duality to establish the equivalence of the following two statements:

- (a) Every solution x to the system  $Ax \leq b$  satisfies  $c^{\mathrm{T}}x \leq d$ .
- (b) There exists some vector  $\boldsymbol{y} \geq \boldsymbol{0}^n$  such that  $\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{c}$  and  $\boldsymbol{b}^T \boldsymbol{y} \leq d$ .

#### (3p) Question 3

(global optimality conditions)

The following result characterizes every optimal primal and dual solution. It is however applicable only in the presence of Lagrange multipliers; in other words, the below system (1) is consistent if and only if there exists a Lagrange multiplier vector and there is no duality gap.

THEOREM 1 (global optimality conditions in the absence of a duality gap) The vector  $(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\mu}^*)$  is a pair of primal optimal solution and Lagrange multiplier vector if and only if

$\boldsymbol{\mu}^* \geq \boldsymbol{0}^m,$	(Dual feasibility)	(1a)
$\boldsymbol{x}^* \in rg\min_{x \in X} L(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^*),$	(Lagrangian optimality)	(1b)
$\boldsymbol{x}^* \in X,  \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \leq \boldsymbol{0}^m,$	(Primal feasibility)	(1c)
$\mu_i^* g_i(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = 0,  i = 1, \dots, m.$	(Complementary slackness)	(1d)

Establish this theorem.

# (3p) Question 4

(modelling)

A company can produce two products, A and B. To produce one unit of product A takes two hours, while the corresponding time for product B is three hours. The profit for each unit of product A is 200 kr and for product B 400 kr. There are 40 hours of production time available. However, by paying a fixed cost of 1200 kr another 8 extra hours of production can be used. If more than 10 units of product A is produced, then at least five units of product B must be produced. It is only possible to produce integer number of products.

Formulate a linear integer program (a linear objective function, linear constraints, and integrality restrictions on the variables) to determine the optimal production plan to maximize the profit.

#### Question 5

#### (true or false)

The below three claims should be assessed. Are they true or false? Provide an answer, together with a short motivation.

(1p) a) Consider a convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that at some vector  $\boldsymbol{x}$  the directional derivative of f in the direction of a given vector  $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is non-negative.

Claim: The vector  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is a minimizer of f over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(1p) b) Consider solving a linear program (call it "P") through the process of utilizing "phase I" and "phase II" of the Simplex method. Suppose that the optimal value in the phase I-problem is zero.

Claim: There exists an optimal solution to the linear program P.

(1p) c) Consider the problem of minimizing a differentiable convex function f:  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  over a bounded polyhedral set. Suppose further that we attack this problem by utilizing the Frank–Wolfe method. Suppose then that having solved the linear subproblem of the algorithm we find that the linearized objective function has an optimal value in the linear subproblem that is equal to the objective value at the current iteration.

*Claim:* Then the last iterate of the Frank–Wolfe method is optimal in the problem.

## (3p) Question 6

(nonlinear programming) Consider the problem to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(\boldsymbol{x}), \\ \text{subject to} & \boldsymbol{x} \in X \end{array}$$

where f is in  $C^1$  and where

$$X = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \, \middle| \, \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = r; \quad x_j \ge 0, \ j = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

where r > 0. Suppose that  $x^*$  is a local optimum in this problem.

Show that

$$x_j^* > 0 \implies \frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)}{\partial x_j} \le \frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)}{\partial x_i}, \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

that is, that variables with non-zero optimal values have the same (and minimal) partial derivatives.

#### (3p) Question 7

(gradient projection algorithm) Solve the following problem, utilizing the gradient projection method:

minimize 
$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) := 3x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2,$$
  
subject to  $0 \le x_1 \le 2,$   
 $-3 \le x_2 \le -1.$ 

Initiate the algorithm at  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (1, -2)^{\mathrm{T}}$ , and utilize the Armijo criterion to determine the step length. Apply the gradient projection method for at most three iterations. When the algorithm terminates, either because of the iteration limit or a termination criterion being met, can you show whether the final iterate is indeed an optimal solution for this problem?

The Armijo criterion for step length determination is as follows: let f denote the objective function, and X denote the feasible set. Accept as step length (for iteration k)  $\alpha^k = \bar{\alpha}\beta^i$ , where i is the first nonnegative integer (starting with  $0, 1, \ldots$ ) such that

$$f(\operatorname{Proj}_{X}[\boldsymbol{x}^{k} - \bar{\alpha}\beta^{i}\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^{k})]) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}^{k}) + \mu\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\operatorname{Proj}_{X}[\boldsymbol{x}^{k} - \bar{\alpha}\beta^{i}\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^{k})] - \boldsymbol{x}^{k}\right),$$
(1)

where  $\bar{\alpha} = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0.5$  and  $\mu = 0.2$ . To clarify, in (1)  $\boldsymbol{x}^k$  should be interpreted as the iterate at iteration k, while  $\beta^i$  should be interpreted as  $\beta$  to the *i*-th power.