

### Exam in MMA 100 Topology, 7.5 HEC.

1. Let  $X$  be a topological space. Prove or disprove the following statements for subsets of  $X$ :

(a)  $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ . ( $\overline{A}$  stands for the closure of  $A$ ).

(b)  $\partial A \cap \partial B = \partial(A \cap B)$ . ( $\partial A = \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c}$  stands for the boundary of  $A$ ).

**Solution.** (a) True statement. We have  $A \subset A \cup B, B \subset A \cup B$ , and thus  $\overline{A} \subset \overline{A \cup B}, \overline{B} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$ , implying  $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$ . Conversely if  $x \notin \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$  then  $x \notin \overline{A}$  and  $x \notin \overline{B}$ . There exist  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  such that  $U_1 \cap A = \emptyset, U_2 \cap B = \emptyset$ . Take  $U = U_1 \cap U_2$ , a neighborhood of  $x$ . Then

$$U \cap (A \cup B) = (U \cap A) \cup (U \cap B) \subset (U_1 \cap A) \cup (U_2 \cap B) = \emptyset.$$

Thus  $x \notin \overline{A \cup B}$ . This finished the proof.

(b) False. Take  $A = (-1, 0), B = (0, 1)$ .

2. Let  $\mathbb{R}^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; x \neq 0\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; x > 0\}$  be the set of non-zero respectively positive real numbers. Consider the map  $f : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, f(x) = x^2$ . We define a new topology  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^*$  be requiring that the open sets are of the form  $f^{-1}(O)$ , where  $O \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  are open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  (equipped with the standard Euclidean topology). Prove that  $(\mathbb{R}^*, \mathcal{N})$  is connected and non-Hausdorff.

**Solution.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^* = A \cup B$  be a disjoint union of two non-empty open sets. We shall prove this leads to a contradiction. By the definition of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^*$  and that any open set in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is a disjoint union of open intervals  $(a, b), 0 < a < b$ , we see that  $A$  is a disjoint union of open intervals of the form  $(a, b), (-b, -a)$ . In other words  $f$  is an open map, and we have  $\mathbb{R}^+ = f(A) \cup f(B)$  and  $f(A)$  and  $f(B)$  are open sets. Now if  $f(a) = f(b)$  for  $a \in A, b \in B$  we have that  $a = \pm b$  but  $A$  is open and contains  $\pm a$  thus  $b = \pm a$  is in  $A$ , contradicting  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . In other words, we have  $\mathbb{R}^+ = f(A) \cup f(B)$ , a union of two disjoint open sets, contradicting that  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is connected.

Take the points  $1, -1$ . They are distincts but are always in one neighborhood. Thus it is not Hausdorff.

3. Let  $S$  be the unit circle in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $X$  be the subset of the product  $S \times S$  consisting of non-parallel unit vectors  $(x, y)$ . We equip  $S \times S$  with the product topology and  $X$  the subset topology. Answer the following questions with proofs: (a) Is  $X$  a compact set? (b) Is the map  $f : X \rightarrow S, f(u, v) = u$ , a closed map (i.e., mapping closed sets to closed sets)?

**Solution.** (a)  $X$  is not compact.  $S \times S$  is a compact set and Hausdorff. Thus  $X \subset S \times S$  is compact iff it is closed. But the complement of  $X$  is the set  $\{(x, \pm x) \in S \times S, x \in S\}$ , and is closed (in fact compact). Thus  $X$  is open in  $S \times S$  and is not closed (as the only open and closed non-empty set in  $S \times S$  is the total space since it is connected.) (Alternatively we may take a sequence of the form  $(e_1, p_n)$  in  $X$  with  $p_n$  approaching  $1$  and see that  $X$  is not closed.)

(b) Not a closed map. Let  $e_1$  be the unit basis vector in  $S$  and consider  $U = \{(p, e_1) \in X; p \neq e_1\}$  then  $U$  is a closed set since its closure in  $X$  is itself. However the projection  $p$  maps  $U$  onto the set  $\{p; p \neq e_1\}$  in  $S$  which is clearly not closed.

4. Prove that the orthogonal group  $O(2n + 1)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times SO(2n + 1)$  are homeomorphic as topological spaces and isomorphic as groups. Prove that  $O(2)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times SO(2)$  are not isomorphic.

**Solution.** We realize  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  as  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Notice first each element  $x \in O(m)$  has its determinant being  $\pm 1$ . Now we consider the map  $f : O(2n + 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times SO(2n + 1), x \mapsto (\det x, (\det x)x)$ . Then  $\det((\det x)x) = (\det x)^{2n+1} \det x = 1$ , so it is well-defined, and is a homomorphism. It is also onto since any element  $(\epsilon, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times SO(2n + 1)$  can be written as  $(\det x, (\det x)x)$  for  $x = \epsilon y$ . Thus they are isomorphic as groups. Now both spaces are compact we have  $f$  is also homeomorphism.

However  $O(2)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times SO(2)$  are not isomorphic, since the former is non-commutative, e.g. a reflection in  $y$ -axis and a rotation  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  are not commuting, and the latter is commutative.

5. Let  $X$  be a topological space. Prove the following: (a) If  $C$  is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then any two maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow C$  are homotopic to each other. (b) If  $f, g : X \rightarrow S^2$  are maps to the unit sphere such that  $f(x) + g(x) \neq 0$  then they are homotopic to each other.

**Solution.** (a) We choose  $h(x, t) = (1 - t)f(x) + tg(x)$ . Then  $h : X \times I \rightarrow X$  is well-defined since  $C$  is convex, and is a homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$ .

(b) We can take

$$h(x, t) = \frac{(1 - t)f(x) + tg(x)}{\|(1 - t)f(x) + tg(x)\|}, (x, t) \in X \times I$$

$h(x, t)$  is well-defined if  $(1 - t)f(x) + tg(x) \neq 0$ . However if  $(1 - t)f(x) + tg(x) = 0$  then  $(1 - t)f(x) = -tg(x)$ , and further by taking norm,  $1 - t = t$ , i.e.  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ , which in turns gives  $\frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}g(x) = 0$ , and  $f(x) + g(x) = 0$  and is excluded by our assumption. Now  $h$  defines a homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$ .

6. Let  $S^1$  be the unit circle in the plane written in complex coordinates as  $S^1 = \{e^{i\theta}; 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$ . Consider the action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $X := \mathbb{R} \times S^1, n : (x, u) \mapsto (x + n, e^{in\frac{\pi}{2}}u)$ . Find the homotopy group  $\pi_1(X/\mathbb{Z})$  of the orbit space  $X/\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Solution.** Consider the map

$$f : X \rightarrow X, (x, u) \mapsto (x, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}x u)$$

Then  $f$  is an homeomorphism of  $X$  with the inverse given by

$$f^{-1} : X \rightarrow X, (x, v) \mapsto (x, e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}}x v)$$

Denote the action by  $\alpha$ . The conjugation  $n \rightarrow f^{-1} \circ \alpha(n) \circ f$  defines an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $X$ . The corresponding orbit space is homeomorphic to the orbit space  $X/(\mathbb{Z}, \alpha)$ , which is given by the map  $[x] \rightarrow [f^{-1}x]$ , sending the orbits of  $\alpha$  to orbits of  $f^{-1} \circ \alpha(n) \circ f$ . However  $f^{-1} \circ \alpha(n) \circ f$  is

$$\begin{aligned} (x, u) &\rightarrow (x, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}x u) \rightarrow (x + n, e^{in\frac{\pi}{2}}e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}x u) = (x + n, e^{i(x+n)\frac{\pi}{2}}u) \\ &\rightarrow (x + n, e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(x+n)}e^{i(x+n)\frac{\pi}{2}}u) = (x + n, u), \end{aligned}$$

and is acting on  $x$  along. Thus the corresponding orbit space  $X/(\mathbb{Z}, f^{-1} \circ \alpha(n) \circ f)$  is  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times S^1 = S^1 \times S^1$ . The homotopy group is thus  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

7. Formulate and prove the Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem (for a disk in the plane).

See the textbook.

8. Let  $\mathbb{P}^n$  be the projective space of lines  $[x] = \mathbb{R}x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We consider the map  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $x = [x_1, x_2] \mapsto [x_1, x_2, x_1 + x_2]$ . Prove that  $f$  is well-defined and find the homotopy group of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus f(\mathbb{P}^1)$ .

**Solution.**  $f$  is linear and thus maps line to line or to the zero vector. However  $f(x) \neq 0$  if  $x \neq 0$ . Thus  $f$  well-defined on the projective space.

The image  $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$  consists of all lines in the plane  $x_3 = x_1 + x_2$ . Thus its complement  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus f(\mathbb{P}^1)$  consists of all lines  $[x] = [(x_1, x_2, x_3)]$  with  $x_3 \neq x_1 + x_2$ . We prove first that this is a path-connected set. Each point in the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^2$  can be viewed as a pair of antipodal points on the sphere  $S^2$ . Thus  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus f(\mathbb{P}^1)$  consists of pairs of antipodal points  $\pm x$  on the sphere such that  $x_3 \neq x_1 + x_2$ . The plane  $x_3 = x_1 + x_2$  cuts the sphere in two path connected pieces, an upper sphere with  $x_3 > x_1 + x_2$ , and lower sphere  $x_3 < x_1 + x_2$ . However each point  $x_3 > x_1 + x_2$  has its antipodal points  $(y_1, y_2, y_3) = -x$  satisfying  $y_3 < y_1 + y_2$ , and the two points represent the same point on the projective space. Thus  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus f(\mathbb{P}^1)$  is path-connected, as any two points can be connected by a path in the upper half-sphere considered as path in the projective space. (This can also be obtained from the following arguments using the homotopy.)

We claim that the homotopy group  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus f(\mathbb{P}^1)$  is trivial. (Indeed it is just the upper-half sphere, intuitively.) We consider the map

$$g : \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus f(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, \quad [x_1, x_2, x_3] \mapsto |x_3 - x_1 - x_2|$$

and

$$j : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus f(\mathbb{P}^1), \quad s \mapsto [0, 0, s]$$

By the definition we see that  $g, j$  is well-defined and continuous. Clearly  $g \circ j = Id$ , the identity map. On the other hand,

$$j \circ g : [x_1, x_2, x_3] \mapsto [0, 0, |x_3 - x_1 - x_2|]$$

To prove this is homotopic to the identity we take

$$h(t, x) = [(1-t)x_1, (1-t)x_2, x_3 - tx_1 - tx_2], x \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus f(\mathbb{P}^1), 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

Now to check  $h$  is well-defined we observe that if the vector  $((1-t)x_1, (1-t)x_2, x_3 - tx_1 - tx_2) = 0$ , then  $x_3 = x_1 + x_2$  and  $x$  is zero or is on the plane so defined, which is in the image of  $f$ . Furthermore  $h(0, x) = Id$  and  $h(1, x) = [0, 0, x_3 - x_1 - x_2] = [0, 0, |x_3 - x_1 - x_2|] = j \circ g$ , proving the claim and thus the homotopy group is the same as  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , which is the trivial group 0.