

On Isometries Satisfying Deformed Commutation Relations

Olha Ostrovska, Roman Yakymiv

2-8 June, Kristineberg, Sweden, 2019

Institute of Mathematics, Kyiv, Ukraine

In this paper we study properties and representation theory of

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q = C^*(s_j, t, j = \overline{1, n})$$

In this paper we study properties and representation theory of

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q = C^*(s_j, t, j = \overline{1, n})$$

$$s_i^* s_j = 0, \quad i \neq j, \quad t^* s_j = q s_j t^*.$$

The Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{O}_n^0

Definition (Cuntz, 1977)

The Cuntz-Toeplitz C^* -algebra \mathcal{O}_n^0 is the universal C^* -algebra generated by family of isometries v_1, \dots, v_n with orthogonal ranges. I.e.

$$v_i^* v_i = \mathbf{1}, \quad v_i^* v_j = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

The case $|q| < 1$, $\varphi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$

Theorem

Let $|q| < 1$. Put $\hat{s}_j = (\mathbf{1} - tt^*)s_j(\mathbf{1} - |q|^2 tt^*)^{-1/2}$, $j = \overline{1, n}$. Then the family $\{t, \hat{s}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ generates $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, and

$$t^* t = \mathbf{1}, \quad t^* \hat{s}_j = 0, \quad \hat{s}_j^* \hat{s}_i = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{1}, \quad i, j = \overline{1, n}.$$

The case $|q| < 1$, $\varphi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$

Theorem

Let $|q| < 1$. Put $\hat{s}_j = (\mathbf{1} - tt^*)s_j(\mathbf{1} - |q|^2 tt^*)^{-1/2}$, $j = \overline{1, n}$. Then the family $\{t, \hat{s}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ generates $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, and

$$t^*t = \mathbf{1}, \quad t^*\hat{s}_j = 0, \quad \hat{s}_j^*\hat{s}_i = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{1}, \quad i, j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Corollary

Denote by v_i , $i = \overline{1, n+1}$, the isometries generating $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0 = \mathcal{O}_{n+1}^0$. Then Theorem 2 implies that the correspondence

$$v_1 \mapsto t, \quad v_{1+j} \mapsto \hat{s}_j, \quad j = \overline{1, n},$$

extends uniquely to a surjective homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$.

The case $|q| < 1$, $\psi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$

Let us construct the inverse homomorphism. $\psi: \mathcal{E}_{n,m}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n,m}^0$.

The case $|q| < 1$, $\psi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$

Let us construct the inverse homomorphism. $\psi: \mathcal{E}_{n,m}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n,m}^0$.

Theorem

Let v_i , $i = \overline{1, n+1}$, be the isometries generating $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$. Put

$$\tilde{w}_j = v_{1+j}(\mathbf{1} - |q|^2 v_1 v_1^*)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad w_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k v_1^k \tilde{w}_j (v_1^k)^*.$$

Then

$$w_j^* w_i = \delta_{ji} \mathbf{1}, \quad v_1^* w_j = q w_j v_1^*, \quad i, j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Moreover, the family $\{v_1, w_j\}_{j=1}^n$ generates $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$.

The case $|q| < 1$, $\psi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$

Let us construct the inverse homomorphism. $\psi: \mathcal{E}_{n,m}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n,m}^0$.

Theorem

Let v_i , $i = \overline{1, n+1}$, be the isometries generating $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$. Put

$$\tilde{w}_j = v_{1+j}(\mathbf{1} - |q|^2 v_1 v_1^*)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad w_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k v_1^k \tilde{w}_r (v_1^k)^*.$$

Then

$$w_j^* w_i = \delta_{ji} \mathbf{1}, \quad v_1^* w_j = q w_j v_1^*, \quad i, j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Moreover, the family $\{v_1, w_j\}_{j=1}^n$ generates $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$.

Corollary

The statement of Theorem 4 and the universal property of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ imply the existence of a surjective homomorphism $\psi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$ defined by

The case $|q| < 1$, $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \simeq \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$

Theorem

For any $q \in \mathbb{C}$, $|q| < 1$, one has an isomorphism $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \simeq \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^0$.

The case $|q| = 1$

In this case the generating relations imply the relation of the form

$$s_j t = q t s_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Theorem

The C^ -algebra $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ is nuclear.*

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Definition

The Fock representation, π_F^q , of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, is the unique up to unitary equivalence irreducible $*$ -representation having the vacuum vector Ω , $\|\Omega\| = 1$, such that

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Definition

The Fock representation, π_F^q , of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, is the unique up to unitary equivalence irreducible $*$ -representation having the vacuum vector Ω , $\|\Omega\| = 1$, such that

$$\pi_F^q(s_j^*)\Omega = 0, \quad \pi_F^q(t^*)\Omega = 0, \quad j = \overline{1, n}.$$

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Let

$$S: l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \rightarrow l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+), \quad Se_n = e_{n+1},$$

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Let

$$S: l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \rightarrow l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+), \quad S e_n = e_{n+1},$$

$$d_1(q): l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \rightarrow l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+), \quad d_1(q)e_k = q^n e_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Let

$$S: l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \rightarrow l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+), \quad S e_n = e_{n+1},$$

$$d_1(q): l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \rightarrow l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+), \quad d_1(q) e_k = q^n e_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where e_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are vectors of standard orthonormal basis.

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Denote by $\pi_{F,n}$ the Fock representation of $\mathcal{O}_n^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ acting on the space

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_n) = \mathbb{C}\Omega_n \oplus \bigoplus_{d=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n^{\otimes d}, \quad \mathcal{H}_n = \mathbb{C}^n,$$

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Denote by $\pi_{F,n}$ the Fock representation of $\mathcal{O}_n^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ acting on the space

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_n) = \mathbb{C}\Omega_n \oplus \bigoplus_{d=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n^{\otimes d}, \quad \mathcal{H}_n = \mathbb{C}^n,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{F,n}(s_j)\Omega_n &= e_j, & \pi_{F,n}(s_j)e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \cdots \otimes e_{i_d} &= e_j \otimes e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \cdots \otimes e_{i_d}, \\ \pi_{F,n}(s_j^*)\Omega &= 0, & \pi_{F,n}(s_j^*)e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_d} &= \delta_{ji_1} e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_d}. \end{aligned}$$

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Let $d_n(q): \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n$ the unitary operator, such that

$$d_n(q): \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n, \quad d_n(q)\Omega_n = \Omega_n, \quad d_n(q)X = q^d X, \quad X \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\otimes d}.$$

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Let $d_n(q): \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n$ the unitary operator, such that

$$d_n(q): \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n, \quad d_n(q)\Omega_n = \Omega_n, \quad d_n(q)X = q^d X, \quad X \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\otimes d}.$$

Theorem

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ exists. Up to a unitary equivalence, the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^q = l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ and

$$\pi_F^q(t) = S \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}_n},$$

$$\pi_F^q(s_j) = d_1(q) \otimes \pi_{F,n}(s_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Remark

Notice that π_F^q is faithful on $*$ -subalgebra $E_{1,n}^q \subset \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ generated by t and s_j , $j = \overline{1, n}$.

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Remark

Below we present two another forms of generators of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ in the Fock representation,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_F^q(s_j) &= \mathbf{1}_{l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \otimes \pi_{F,n}(s_j), \quad j = \overline{1, n}, \\ \pi_F^q(t) &= S \otimes d_n(q^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Remark

Below we present two another forms of generators of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ in the Fock representation,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_F^q(s_j) &= \mathbf{1}_{l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+)} \otimes \pi_{F,n}(s_j), \quad j = \overline{1, n}, \\ \pi_F^q(t) &= S \otimes d_n(q^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_F^q(s_j) &= d(q^{1/2}) \otimes \pi_{F,n}(s_j), \quad j = \overline{1, n}, \\ \pi_F^q(t) &= S \otimes d_n(q^{-1/2}).\end{aligned}$$

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Next we show that π_F^q is faithful representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$. To this end we consider the action α of \mathbb{T}^2 on $\mathcal{E}_{n,m}^q$,

$$\alpha_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(s_j) = e^{2\pi i \varphi_1} s_j, \quad \alpha_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(t) = e^{2\pi i \varphi_2} t.$$

In the following we denote by Λ_n the set of all words in alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ including the empty word.

Proposition

The fixed point C^ -subalgebra $(\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q)^\alpha \subset \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ with respect to α is an AF-algebra and the restriction of π_F^q to $(\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q)^\alpha$ is faithful.*

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Corollary

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ is faithful.

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, $|q| = 1$

Corollary

The Fock representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ is faithful.

Proof.

It is easy to see that π_F^q is equivariant homomorphism between C^* -algebras $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ and $\pi_F^q(\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q)$. It remains to notice that equivariant homomorphism between C^* -algebras with group action is faithful iff it is faithful on fixed point subalgebras. \square

Analog of Wold decomposition

Denote

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j s_j^*, \quad P = tt^*.$$

Analog of Wold decomposition

Denote

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j s_j^*, \quad P = tt^*.$$

Theorem[Generalised Wold decomposition] Let $\pi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $*$ -representation. Then

Analog of Wold decomposition

Denote

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j s_j^*, \quad P = tt^*.$$

Theorem[Generalised Wold decomposition] Let $\pi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $*$ -representation. Then

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{H}_3 \oplus \mathcal{H}_4,$$

each \mathcal{H}_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, is invariant with respect to π .

Analog of Wold decomposition

Denote

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j s_j^*, \quad P = tt^*.$$

Theorem[Generalised Wold decomposition] Let $\pi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $*$ -representation. Then

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{H}_3 \oplus \mathcal{H}_4,$$

each \mathcal{H}_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, is invariant with respect to π .

For $\pi_j = \pi \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{H}_j}$ one has

- $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , and $\pi_1 = \pi_F^q \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$;
- $\mathcal{H}_2 = l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \otimes \mathcal{G}_2$, $\pi_2(\mathbf{1} - Q) = 0$, $\pi_2(\mathbf{1} - P) \neq 0$,

$$\pi_1(t) = S \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \pi_1(s_j) = d_1(q) \otimes \tilde{\pi}_1(s_j), \quad j = \overline{1, n},$$

where operators $\tilde{\pi}_1(s_j)$ determine representation of \mathcal{O}_n on \mathcal{G}_2 ;

- $\mathcal{H}_3 = \mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathcal{G}_3$, $\pi_3(\mathbf{1} - P) = 0$, $\pi_3(\mathbf{1} - Q) \neq 0$,

$$\pi(s_j) = \pi_{F,n}(s_j) \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \pi(t) = d_n(q^{-1}) \otimes U,$$

where U is unitary on \mathcal{G}_3 ;

- $\pi_4(\mathbf{1} - Q) = 0$, $\pi_4(\mathbf{1} - P) = 0$;

where any of \mathcal{H}_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, could be zero.

Representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$

Here we describe exactly the classes of unitary equivalence of representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ such that the unitary part in the Wold decomposition of isometry corresponding to some of s_j , $j = \overline{1, n}$, is non-zero.

Representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$

Here we describe exactly the classes of unitary equivalence of representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ such that the unitary part in the Wold decomposition of isometry corresponding to some of s_j , $j = \overline{1, n}$, is non-zero.

For $\pi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$:

$$\pi(t) := T, \quad \pi(s_j) := S_j, \quad j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$

Here we describe exactly the classes of unitary equivalence of representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ such that the unitary part in the Wold decomposition of isometry corresponding to some of s_j , $j = \overline{1, n}$, is non-zero.

For $\pi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$:

$$\pi(t) := T, \quad \pi(s_j) := S_j, \quad j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Put

$$\Lambda_n^j = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \mid 1 \leq \lambda_j \leq n, \lambda_k \neq j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$

Here we describe exactly the classes of unitary equivalence of representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ such that the unitary part in the Wold decomposition of isometry corresponding to some of s_j , $j = \overline{1, n}$, is non-zero.

For $\pi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$:

$$\pi(t) := T, \quad \pi(s_j) := S_j, \quad j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Put

$$\Lambda_n^j = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \mid 1 \leq \lambda_j \leq n, \lambda_k \neq j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We also denote by

$$S_\lambda := S_{\lambda_1} \cdots S_{\lambda_k}.$$

Proposition

Let $\pi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be representation such that the unitary part of $S_j = \pi(s_j)$ is non-zero. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_{u,j} \subset \mathcal{H}$ the largest subspace invariant with respect to S_j, S_j^* , such that the restriction of S_j is unitary. Then $\mathcal{H}_{u,j}$ is invariant with respect to T, T^* ,

$$S_k^*(\mathcal{H}_{u,j}) = \{0\}, \quad S_\lambda(\mathcal{H}_{u,j}) \perp S_\mu(\mathcal{H}_{u,j}), \quad k \neq j, \quad \lambda \neq \mu, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_n^j.$$

Representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$

Let \tilde{T} and V_j be restrictions of T and S_j resp. to $\mathcal{H}_{u,j}$.

Representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$

Let \tilde{T} and V_j be restrictions of T and S_j resp. to $\mathcal{H}_{u,j}$.

Proposition

Let $\pi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\pi(s_j)$ has non-trivial unitary part, and $\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ introduced above. Then π is irreducible iff the family $\{\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^, V_j\}$ is irreducible on $\mathcal{H}_{u,j}$.*

Representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$

Let \tilde{T} and V_j be restrictions of T and S_j resp. to $\mathcal{H}_{u,j}$.

Proposition

Let $\pi: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\pi(s_j)$ has non-trivial unitary part, and $\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ introduced above. Then π is irreducible iff the family $\{\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^, V_j\}$ is irreducible on $\mathcal{H}_{u,j}$.*

Proposition

Let $\pi_k: \mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k$, $k = 1, 2$, be irreducible representations such that $\pi_k(s_j)$ have non-trivial unitary part. Then π_1 is unitary equivalent to π_2 iff the corresponding families $\mathfrak{F}_k = \{\tilde{T}_k, \tilde{T}_k^, V_{j,k}\}$, $k = 1, 2$, acting on $\mathcal{H}_{u,j}^{(k)}$ are unitary equivalent.*

Combining results above we get the following

Combining results above we get the following **Theorem** Let π be irreducible representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ on the space \mathcal{H} , such that for a fixed $j = \overline{1, n}$ the isometry $S_j = \pi(s_j)$ has non-trivial unitary part in its Wold decomposition.

Combining results above we get the following **Theorem** Let π be irreducible representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ on the space \mathcal{H} , such that for a fixed $j = \overline{1, n}$ the isometry $S_j = \pi(s_j)$ has non-trivial unitary part in its Wold decomposition.

Then π is determined uniquely, up to the unitary equivalence, by irreducible family of operators $\{\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}^*, V_j\}$ on Hilbert space \mathcal{G} , satisfying the relations

$$\tilde{T}^* \tilde{T} = \mathbf{1}, \quad V_j^* V_j = V_j V_j^* = \mathbf{1}, \quad \tilde{T}^* V_j = q V_j \tilde{T}^*, \quad V_j \tilde{T} = q \tilde{T} V_j.$$

Namely, let $f^{(i)}$, $i \in \mathcal{J}$ be orthonormal basis of $S_k \mathcal{G}$. Then the orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset}, f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda}, \quad i \in \mathcal{J}, \lambda \in \Lambda_n^j, \\
 & S_j f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset} = (V_j f^{(i)}) \otimes f_{\emptyset} \\
 & T f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset} = (\tilde{T} f^{(i)}) \otimes f_{\emptyset}, \quad i \in \mathcal{J}, \\
 & S_k f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset} = f^{(i)} \otimes f_{(k)}, \quad k \neq j, \\
 & S_k f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda} = f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\sigma_k(\lambda)}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad i \in \mathcal{J} \\
 & S_k^* f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset} = 0, \quad k \neq j, \quad S_k^* \\
 & f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda} = \delta_{k\lambda_1} f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\sigma(\lambda)}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad i \in \mathcal{J}, \\
 & T f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda} = q^{-|\lambda|} (\tilde{T} f^{(i)}) \otimes f_{\lambda}, \\
 & T^* f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda} = q^{|\lambda|} (\tilde{T}^* f^{(i)}) \otimes f_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda_n^j,
 \end{aligned}$$

Namely, let $f^{(i)}$, $i \in \mathcal{J}$ be orthonormal basis of $S_k \mathcal{G}$. Then the orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset}, f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda}, \quad i \in \mathcal{J}, \lambda \in \Lambda_n^j, \\
 & S_j f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset} = (V_j f^{(i)}) \otimes f_{\emptyset} \\
 & T f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset} = (\tilde{T} f^{(i)}) \otimes f_{\emptyset}, \quad i \in \mathcal{J}, \\
 & S_k f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset} = f^{(i)} \otimes f_{(k)}, \quad k \neq j, \\
 & S_k f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda} = f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\sigma_k(\lambda)}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad i \in \mathcal{J} \\
 & S_k^* f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\emptyset} = 0, \quad k \neq j, \quad S_k^* \\
 & f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda} = \delta_{k\lambda_1} f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\sigma(\lambda)}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad i \in \mathcal{J}, \\
 & T f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda} = q^{-|\lambda|} (\tilde{T} f^{(i)}) \otimes f_{\lambda}, \\
 & T^* f^{(i)} \otimes f_{\lambda} = q^{|\lambda|} (\tilde{T}^* f^{(i)}) \otimes f_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda_n^j,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_k(\lambda) = (k\lambda), \quad \sigma((\lambda_1)) = \emptyset, \quad \sigma((\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)) = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m).$$

Commutative model for representations

The generalized Wold decomposition for representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, implies in particular, that any irreducible representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ contains only one component \mathcal{H}_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

In $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3$ all representations are described either explicitly or in terms of representations of \mathcal{O}_n .

Commutative model for representations

The generalized Wold decomposition for representations of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$, implies in particular, that any irreducible representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ contains only one component \mathcal{H}_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

In $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3$ all representations are described either explicitly or in terms of representations of \mathcal{O}_n . Here, we give a general form of representations in \mathcal{H}_4 (commutative model with respect to a commutative subalgebra).

Commutative model for representations

The defining relations in $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ imply that in $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_4$, the operator T is unitary, and the operators $P_\lambda = S_\lambda S_\lambda^*$ form a commutative family of projections, all of them commute with T , and

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=k} P_\lambda = I, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Commutative model for representations

Write the joint spectral decomposition of the commuting family $(T, P_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \{1, \dots, n\}_0^\infty)$ as

$$\mathbb{T} \times \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty \ni (t, \lambda) = (t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots),$$

$$T = \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty} \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty t \, dE(t, \lambda),$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda &= \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty} \chi_{\lambda \times \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty}(\lambda) \, d\mu(t, \lambda) = \\ &= E(\mathbb{T} \times \mu \times \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Commutative model for representations

For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$TS_j = \bar{q}S_jT, \quad P_\lambda S_j = \delta_{\lambda_1, j} S_j P_{\sigma(\lambda)},$$

Commutative model for representations

For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$TS_j = \bar{q}S_jT, \quad P_\lambda S_j = \delta_{\lambda_1, j} S_j P_{\sigma(\lambda)},$$

Applying general commutative model formalism we get

Commutative model for representations

For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$TS_j = \bar{q}S_jT, \quad P_\lambda S_j = \delta_{\lambda_1, j} S_j P_{\sigma(\lambda)},$$

Applying general commutative model formalism we get

Theorem For any representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ in the component \mathcal{H}_4 of the generalized Wold decomposition, the following holds. The space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_4$ decomposes into a direct integral

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty}^\oplus \mathcal{H}_{t, \lambda} d\mu(t, \lambda) \quad (t, \lambda) = (t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots),$$

and the operators act by the following formula

$$(Uf)(t, \lambda) = tf(t, \lambda),$$

$$(S_j f)(t, \lambda) = \delta_{j, \lambda_1} U_j(\bar{q}t, \sigma(\lambda)) \left(\frac{d\delta_j(\lambda_1) \otimes \mu(\bar{q}t, \sigma(\lambda))}{d\mu(t, \lambda)} \right)^{1/2} f(\bar{q}t, \sigma(\lambda)),$$

$$(S_j^* f)(t, \lambda) = U_j^*(qt, \lambda) \left(\frac{d\mu(qt, \sigma_j(\lambda))}{d\mu(t, \lambda)} \right)^{1/2} f(qt, \sigma_j(\lambda)).$$

- μ is a probability measure defined on the cylinder σ -algebra, quasi-invariant with respect to the transformations

$$\mu(t, \lambda) \mapsto (qt, \sigma_j(\lambda)), \quad j = 1, \dots, n;$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{t,\lambda}$ is a measurable field of Hilbert spaces such that

$$\dim H_{t,\lambda} = \dim H_{qt, \sigma_j(\lambda)}, \mu - \text{a.e.};$$

- $U_j(t, \lambda)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, are measurable unitary operator-valued functions.

Conversely, any

- quasi-invariant measure μ ,
- measurable field $\mathcal{H}_{t,\lambda}$ with $\dim H_{t,\lambda} = \dim H_{qt,\sigma_j(\lambda)}$ μ -a.e.,
- a collection of measurable unitary $U_j(t, \lambda)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$,

give rise to a representation of $\mathcal{E}_{1,n}^q$ in the component \mathcal{H}_4 of the generalized Wold decomposition.

Commutative model. Example

The simplest class of representations arise as follows.

Commutative model. Example

The simplest class of representations arise as follows.

- Take any point $(t_0, \lambda_0) \in \mathbb{T} \times \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty$

Commutative model. Example

The simplest class of representations arise as follows.

- Take any point $(t_0, \lambda_0) \in \mathbb{T} \times \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty$
- consider its orbit O_{t_0, λ_0} under the mappings

$$(t, \lambda) \mapsto (\bar{q}t, \sigma(\lambda)), \quad (t, \lambda) \mapsto (qt, \sigma_j(\lambda)), \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Commutative model. Example

The simplest class of representations arise as follows.

- Take any point $(t_0, \lambda_0) \in \mathbb{T} \times \{1, \dots, n\}^\infty$
- consider its orbit O_{t_0, λ_0} under the mappings

$$(t, \lambda) \mapsto (\bar{q}t, \sigma(\lambda)), \quad (t, \lambda) \mapsto (qt, \sigma_j(\lambda)), \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

- Put μ to be the atomic measure uniformly distributed over the points of O_{t_0, λ_0} and

$$H_{t, \lambda} = \mathbb{C}, \quad U_j(t, \lambda) = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Commutative model. Example

Then the basis of \mathcal{H} is $\{e_{t,\lambda}, (t, \lambda) \in O_{t_0, \lambda_0}\}$,

Commutative model. Example

Then the basis of \mathcal{H} is $\{e_{t,\lambda}, (t, \lambda) \in O_{t_0, \lambda_0}\}$,
and for any $j = 1, \dots, n$ one has

$$Te_{t,\lambda} = te_{t,\lambda}, \quad S_j e_{t,\lambda} = e_{qt, \sigma_j(\lambda)}, \quad S_j^* e_{t,\lambda} = \delta_{j, \lambda_1} e_{\bar{q}t, \sigma(\lambda)}.$$

Commutative model. Example

Then the basis of \mathcal{H} is $\{e_{t,\lambda}, (t, \lambda) \in O_{t_0, \lambda_0}\}$,
and for any $j = 1, \dots, n$ one has

$$Te_{t,\lambda} = te_{t,\lambda}, \quad S_j e_{t,\lambda} = e_{qt, \sigma_j(\lambda)}, \quad S_j^* e_{t,\lambda} = \delta_{j, \lambda_1} e_{\bar{q}t, \sigma(\lambda)}.$$

- All such representations are irreducible.
- The representations corresponding to (t_0, λ_0) and (t'_0, λ'_0) are unitarily equivalent iff these points belong to the same orbit.

Commutative model. Example

Then the basis of \mathcal{H} is $\{e_{t,\lambda}, (t, \lambda) \in O_{t_0, \lambda_0}\}$,
and for any $j = 1, \dots, n$ one has

$$Te_{t,\lambda} = te_{t,\lambda}, \quad S_j e_{t,\lambda} = e_{qt, \sigma_j(\lambda)}, \quad S_j^* e_{t,\lambda} = \delta_{j, \lambda_1} e_{\bar{q}t, \sigma(\lambda)}.$$

- All such representations are irreducible.
- The representations corresponding to (t_0, λ_0) and (t'_0, λ'_0) are unitarily equivalent iff these points belong to the same orbit.

Remark

Notice that representations corresponding to the points $(t, (j, j, \dots))$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, fall in, but does not cover the class of representations with non-trivial unitary part.

THANK YOU!