

# A FOURIER INVERSION THEOREM FOR NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

YING-FEN LIN

Queen's University Belfast

Groups and Operators

August 15, 2016

# A classical result

Let  $G$  be a locally compact *abelian* group.

## Theorem (The Fourier inversion theorem)

*If  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\widehat{G})$ , then  $f(x) = (\hat{f})^\wedge(x^{-1})$  for a.e.  $x$  in  $G$ .  
If  $f$  is continuous, then the above relation holds for every  $x$  in  $G$ .*

For non-abelian groups, say if  $G = \exp(\mathfrak{g})$  is a nilpotent Lie group, then there is an one-to-one correspondence between  $\widehat{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$  (Kirillov, 1962).

# A classical result

Let  $G$  be a locally compact *abelian* group.

## Theorem (The Fourier inversion theorem)

*If  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\widehat{G})$ , then  $f(x) = (\hat{f})^\wedge(x^{-1})$  for a.e.  $x$  in  $G$ .  
If  $f$  is continuous, then the above relation holds for every  $x$  in  $G$ .*

For non-abelian groups, say if  $G = \exp(\mathfrak{g})$  is a nilpotent Lie group, then there is an one-to-one correspondence between  $\widehat{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$  (Kirillov, 1962).

If  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  and  $\pi_\ell = \text{ind}_{P(\ell)}^G \chi_\ell \in \widehat{G}$ , then  $\pi_\ell(f)$  can be viewed as an integral operator on  $L^1(G)$  and is hence determined by an operator kernel  $F_\ell$ . More precisely, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$ ,

$$(\pi_\ell(f)\xi)(g) = \int_{G/P(\ell)} F_\ell(g, u)\xi(u)du,$$

where  $F_\ell$  is the operator kernel given by

$$F_\ell(g, u) = \int_{P(\ell)} f(ghu^{-1})\chi_\ell(h)dh \text{ for } g, u \in G,$$

and  $F_\ell \in \mathcal{S}(G/P(\ell) \times G/P(\ell), \ell)$ .

If  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  and  $\pi_\ell = \text{ind}_{P(\ell)}^G \chi_\ell \in \widehat{G}$ , then  $\pi_\ell(f)$  can be viewed as an integral operator on  $L^1(G)$  and is hence determined by an operator kernel  $F_\ell$ . More precisely, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$ ,

$$(\pi_\ell(f)\xi)(g) = \int_{G/P(\ell)} F_\ell(g, u)\xi(u)du,$$

where  $F_\ell$  is the operator kernel given by

$$F_\ell(g, u) = \int_{P(\ell)} f(ghu^{-1})\chi_\ell(h)dh \text{ for } g, u \in G,$$

and  $F_\ell \in \mathcal{S}(G/P(\ell) \times G/P(\ell), \ell)$ .

If  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  and  $\pi_\ell = \text{ind}_{P(\ell)}^G \chi_\ell \in \widehat{G}$ , then  $\pi_\ell(f)$  can be viewed as an integral operator on  $L^1(G)$  and is hence determined by an operator kernel  $F_\ell$ . More precisely, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$ ,

$$(\pi_\ell(f)\xi)(g) = \int_{G/P(\ell)} F_\ell(g, u)\xi(u)du,$$

where  $F_\ell$  is the operator kernel given by

$$F_\ell(g, u) = \int_{P(\ell)} f(ghu^{-1})\chi_\ell(h)dh \text{ for } g, u \in G,$$

and  $F_\ell \in \mathcal{S}(G/P(\ell) \times G/P(\ell), \ell)$ .

If  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  and  $\pi_\ell = \text{ind}_{P(\ell)}^G \chi_\ell \in \widehat{G}$ , then  $\pi_\ell(f)$  can be viewed as an integral operator on  $L^1(G)$  and is hence determined by an operator kernel  $F_\ell$ . More precisely, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$ ,

$$(\pi_\ell(f)\xi)(g) = \int_{G/P(\ell)} F_\ell(g, u)\xi(u)du,$$

where  $F_\ell$  is the operator kernel given by

$$F_\ell(g, u) = \int_{P(\ell)} f(ghu^{-1})\chi_\ell(h)dh \text{ for } g, u \in G,$$

and  $F_\ell \in \mathcal{S}(G/P(\ell) \times G/P(\ell), \ell)$ .

**Question:** Can we recover the original function  $f$ , starting only with  $F$ ? Is there any good correspondence between the functions  $f$  and  $F$ ? That is, can we specify precisely which functions  $F$  arise as operator kernels for such  $\pi_\ell(f)$ 's with Schwartz functions  $f$ ?

Let  $G$  be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group.

Howe (1977)

For any irreducible unitary representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  of  $G$  and any  $a \in B^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ , smooth bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ , there is  $f_a \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $\pi(f_a) = a$ .

Moreover,  $a \mapsto f_a : B^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \mapsto \mathcal{S}(G)$  is linear and continuous w.r.t. the Fréchet topology.



**Question:** Can we recover the original function  $f$ , starting only with  $F$ ? Is there any good correspondence between the functions  $f$  and  $F$ ? That is, can we specify precisely which functions  $F$  arise as operator kernels for such  $\pi_\ell(f)$ 's with Schwartz functions  $f$ ?

Let  $G$  be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group.

Howe (1977)

For any irreducible unitary representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  of  $G$  and any  $a \in B^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ , smooth bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ , there is  $f_a \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $\pi(f_a) = a$ .

Moreover,  $a \mapsto f_a : B^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \mapsto \mathcal{S}(G)$  is linear and continuous w.r.t. the Fréchet topology.

**Question:** Can we recover the original function  $f$ , starting only with  $F$ ? Is there any good correspondence between the functions  $f$  and  $F$ ? That is, can we specify precisely which functions  $F$  arise as operator kernels for such  $\pi_\ell(f)$ 's with Schwartz functions  $f$ ?

Let  $G$  be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group.

Howe (1977)

For any irreducible unitary representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  of  $G$  and any  $a \in B^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ , smooth bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ , there is  $f_a \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $\pi(f_a) = a$ .

Moreover,  $a \mapsto f_a : B^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \mapsto \mathcal{S}(G)$  is linear and continuous w.r.t. the Fréchet topology.

We study a version of the Fourier inversion theorem for nilpotent Lie groups which generalised Howe's result by constructing a continuous retract from the space of "adapted" smooth kernel functions defined on a smooth  $G$ -invariant manifold of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with certain property into the space  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ .

### Definition

A subset  $M$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is called  *$G$ -invariant* if for every  $\ell \in M$ , the element

$$g \cdot \ell := \text{Ad}^*(g)\ell$$

is also contained in  $M$ .

We study a version of the Fourier inversion theorem for nilpotent Lie groups which generalised Howe's result by constructing a continuous retract from the space of "adapted" smooth kernel functions defined on a smooth  $G$ -invariant manifold of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with certain property into the space  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ .

### Definition

A subset  $M$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is called  *$G$ -invariant* if for every  $\ell \in M$ , the element

$$g \cdot \ell := \text{Ad}^*(g)\ell$$

is also contained in  $M$ .

We study a version of the Fourier inversion theorem for nilpotent Lie groups which generalised Howe's result by constructing a continuous retract from the space of "adapted" smooth kernel functions defined on a smooth  $G$ -invariant manifold of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with certain property into the space  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ .

### Definition

A subset  $M$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is called  *$G$ -invariant* if for every  $\ell \in M$ , the element

$$g \cdot \ell := \text{Ad}^*(g)\ell$$

is also contained in  $M$ .

We study a version of the Fourier inversion theorem for nilpotent Lie groups which generalised Howe's result by constructing a continuous retract from the space of "adapted" smooth kernel functions defined on a smooth  $G$ -invariant manifold of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with certain property into the space  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ .

### Definition

A subset  $M$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is called  *$G$ -invariant* if for every  $\ell \in M$ , the element

$$g \cdot \ell := \text{Ad}^*(g)\ell$$

is also contained in  $M$ .

# A Fourier inversion theorem

## Theorem (L., Ludwig, Molitor-Braun)

*Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra and  $M$  be a smooth  $G$ -invariant submanifold of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Let  $\pi_\ell$  be an induced unitary representations for  $\ell \in M$ . Then there is an open relatively compact subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M$  such that for any kernel function  $F$  supported in  $G \cdot \mathcal{M}$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $\pi_\ell(f)$  has  $F(\ell, \cdot, \cdot)$  as an operator kernel for all  $\ell \in M$ . Moreover, the mapping  $F \mapsto f$  is continuous w.r.t the corresponding function space topologies.*

Remark: We have a similar Fourier inversion theorem for smooth variable nilpotent Lie groups.

# A Fourier inversion theorem

## Theorem (L., Ludwig, Molitor-Braun)

*Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra and  $M$  be a smooth  $G$ -invariant submanifold of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Let  $\pi_\ell$  be an induced unitary representations for  $\ell \in M$ . Then there is an open relatively compact subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M$  such that for any kernel function  $F$  supported in  $G \cdot \mathcal{M}$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $\pi_\ell(f)$  has  $F(\ell, \cdot, \cdot)$  as an operator kernel for all  $\ell \in M$ . Moreover, the mapping  $F \mapsto f$  is continuous w.r.t the corresponding function space topologies.*

Remark: We have a similar Fourier inversion theorem for smooth variable nilpotent Lie groups.



# A Fourier inversion theorem

## Theorem (L., Ludwig, Molitor-Braun)

*Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra and  $M$  be a smooth  $G$ -invariant submanifold of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Let  $\pi_\ell$  be an induced unitary representations for  $\ell \in M$ . Then there is an open relatively compact subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M$  such that for any kernel function  $F$  supported in  $G \cdot \mathcal{M}$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $\pi_\ell(f)$  has  $F(\ell, \cdot, \cdot)$  as an operator kernel for all  $\ell \in M$ . Moreover, the mapping  $F \mapsto f$  is continuous w.r.t the corresponding function space topologies.*

Remark: We have a similar Fourier inversion theorem for smooth variable nilpotent Lie groups.

# A Fourier inversion theorem

## Theorem (L., Ludwig, Molitor-Braun)

*Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra and  $M$  be a smooth  $G$ -invariant submanifold of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Let  $\pi_\ell$  be an induced unitary representations for  $\ell \in M$ . Then there is an open relatively compact subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M$  such that for any kernel function  $F$  supported in  $G \cdot \mathcal{M}$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $\pi_\ell(f)$  has  $F(\ell, \cdot, \cdot)$  as an operator kernel for all  $\ell \in M$ . Moreover, the mapping  $F \mapsto f$  is continuous w.r.t the corresponding function space topologies.*

Remark: We have a similar Fourier inversion theorem for smooth variable nilpotent Lie groups.

# Variable nilpotent Lie groups (Leptin and Ludwig, 1994)

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real vector space with  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = n$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a nonempty set. Then  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathfrak{g})$  is called a *variable nilpotent Lie algebra* if

- for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\beta$  defined on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta := (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\beta)$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra; and
- there is Jordan-Hölder basis  $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ . That is,  $\exists$  a fixed basis  $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the constants  $a_{ij}^k(\beta)$  defined by

$$[Z_i, Z_j]_\beta := \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k(\beta) Z_k$$

has the property that  $a_{ij}^k(\beta) = 0$  for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $k \leq \max\{i, j\}$ .

# Variable nilpotent Lie groups (Leptin and Ludwig, 1994)

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real vector space with  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = n$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a nonempty set. Then  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathfrak{g})$  is called a *variable nilpotent Lie algebra* if

- for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\beta$  defined on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta := (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\beta)$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra; and
- there is Jordan-Hölder basis  $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ . That is,  $\exists$  a fixed basis  $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the constants  $a_{ij}^k(\beta)$  defined by

$$[Z_i, Z_j]_\beta := \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k(\beta) Z_k$$

has the property that  $a_{ij}^k(\beta) = 0$  for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $k \leq \max\{i, j\}$ .

## Variable nilpotent Lie groups (Leptin and Ludwig, 1994)

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real vector space with  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = n$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a nonempty set. Then  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathfrak{g})$  is called a *variable nilpotent Lie algebra* if

- for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\beta$  defined on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta := (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\beta)$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra; and
- there is Jordan-Hölder basis  $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ . That is,  $\exists$  a fixed basis  $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the constants  $a_{ij}^k(\beta)$  defined by

$$[Z_i, Z_j]_\beta := \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k(\beta) Z_k$$

has the property that  $a_{ij}^k(\beta) = 0$  for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $k \leq \max\{i, j\}$ .

## Variable nilpotent Lie groups (Leptin and Ludwig, 1994)

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real vector space with  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = n$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a nonempty set. Then  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathfrak{g})$  is called a *variable nilpotent Lie algebra* if

- for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\beta$  defined on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta := (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\beta)$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra; and
- there is Jordan-Hölder basis  $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ . That is,  $\exists$  a fixed basis  $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the constants  $a_{ij}^k(\beta)$  defined by

$$[Z_i, Z_j]_\beta := \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k(\beta) Z_k$$

has the property that  $a_{ij}^k(\beta) = 0$  for  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $k \leq \max\{i, j\}$ .

# A retract for smooth variable nilpotent Lie groups

## Theorem (L., Ludwig, Molitor-Braun)

Let  $\mathcal{B} \times G$  be a smooth variable nilpotent Lie group,  $I$  be an index set and let  $M$  be a smooth  $G$ -invariant submanifold of  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  contained in  $(\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{g}^*)_{\leq I}$  such that  $M_I := M \cap (\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{g}^*)_I \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\pi(\beta, I)$  be the corresponding family of induced unitary representations for  $(\beta, I) \in M$ . Then there exists an open relatively compact subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M_I$  such that the following holds: for any adapted kernel function  $F$  supported in  $G \cdot \mathcal{M}$ , there is a function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^r, \mathcal{B}, G)$  such that  $\pi_{(\beta, I)}(f(\alpha, \beta, \cdot))$  has  $F(\alpha, (\beta, I), \cdot, \cdot)$  as an operator kernel for all  $(\alpha, (\beta, I)) \in \mathbb{R}^r \times M$ . Moreover, the mapping  $F \mapsto f$  is continuous w.r.t the corresponding function space topologies.

## A retract for smooth variable nilpotent Lie groups

## Theorem (L., Ludwig, Molitor-Braun)

Let  $\mathcal{B} \times G$  be a smooth variable nilpotent Lie group,  $I$  be an index set and let  $M$  be a smooth  $G$ -invariant submanifold of  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  contained in  $(\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{g}^*)_{\leq I}$  such that  $M_I := M \cap (\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{g}^*)_I \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\pi(\beta, I)$  be the corresponding family of induced unitary representations for  $(\beta, I) \in M$ . Then there exists an open relatively compact subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M_I$  such that the following holds: for any adapted kernel function  $F$  supported in  $G \cdot \mathcal{M}$ , there is a function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^r, \mathcal{B}, G)$  such that  $\pi_{(\beta, I)}(f(\alpha, \beta, \cdot))$  has  $F(\alpha, (\beta, I), \cdot, \cdot)$  as an operator kernel for all  $(\alpha, (\beta, I)) \in \mathbb{R}^r \times M$ . Moreover, the mapping  $F \mapsto f$  is continuous w.r.t the corresponding function space topologies.



# A retract for smooth variable nilpotent Lie groups

## Theorem (L., Ludwig, Molitor-Braun)

Let  $\mathcal{B} \times G$  be a smooth variable nilpotent Lie group,  $I$  be an index set and let  $M$  be a smooth  $G$ -invariant submanifold of  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  contained in  $(\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{g}^*)_{\leq I}$  such that  $M_I := M \cap (\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{g}^*)_I \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\pi(\beta, I)$  be the corresponding family of induced unitary representations for  $(\beta, I) \in M$ . Then there exists an open relatively compact subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M_I$  such that the following holds: for any adapted kernel function  $F$  supported in  $G \cdot \mathcal{M}$ , there is a function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^r, \mathcal{B}, G)$  such that  $\pi_{(\beta, I)}(f(\alpha, \beta, \cdot))$  has  $F(\alpha, (\beta, I), \cdot, \cdot)$  as an operator kernel for all  $(\alpha, (\beta, I)) \in \mathbb{R}^r \times M$ . Moreover, the mapping  $F \mapsto f$  is continuous w.r.t the corresponding function space topologies.

# Prime ideals in $L^1(G)$

As an application, we can study the  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals of  $L^1(G)$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a Lie subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  with the property that  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are all locally closed.

## Definition

A two-sided closed ideal  $I$  in  $L^1(G)$  is called  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime if  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant and for all  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant ideals  $I_1, I_2$  in  $L^1(G)$  with the property that  $I_1 * I_2 \subset I$ , then either  $I_1 \subset I$  or  $I_2 \subset I$ .

Note that the kernels of  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits are  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals.

# Prime ideals in $L^1(G)$

As an application, we can study the  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals of  $L^1(G)$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a Lie subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  with the property that  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are all locally closed.

## Definition

A two-sided closed ideal  $I$  in  $L^1(G)$  is called  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime if  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant and for all  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant ideals  $I_1, I_2$  in  $L^1(G)$  with the property that  $I_1 * I_2 \subset I$ , then either  $I_1 \subset I$  or  $I_2 \subset I$ .

Note that the kernels of  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits are  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals.

# Prime ideals in $L^1(G)$

As an application, we can study the  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals of  $L^1(G)$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a Lie subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  with the property that  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are all locally closed.

## Definition

A two-sided closed ideal  $I$  in  $L^1(G)$  is called  **$\mathbf{G}$ -prime** if  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant and for all  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant ideals  $I_1, I_2$  in  $L^1(G)$  with the property that  $I_1 * I_2 \subset I$ , then either  $I_1 \subset I$  or  $I_2 \subset I$ .

Note that the kernels of  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits are  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals.

# Prime ideals in $L^1(G)$

As an application, we can study the  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals of  $L^1(G)$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a Lie subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  with the property that  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are all locally closed.

## Definition

A two-sided closed ideal  $I$  in  $L^1(G)$  is called  **$\mathbf{G}$ -prime** if  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant and for all  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant ideals  $I_1, I_2$  in  $L^1(G)$  with the property that  $I_1 * I_2 \subset I$ , then either  $I_1 \subset I$  or  $I_2 \subset I$ .

Note that the kernels of  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits are  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals.

Prime ideals in  $L^1(G)$ 

As an application, we can study the  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals of  $L^1(G)$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a Lie subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  with the property that  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are all locally closed.

## Definition

A two-sided closed ideal  $I$  in  $L^1(G)$  is called  **$\mathbf{G}$ -prime** if  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant and for all  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant ideals  $I_1, I_2$  in  $L^1(G)$  with the property that  $I_1 * I_2 \subset I$ , then either  $I_1 \subset I$  or  $I_2 \subset I$ .

Note that the kernels of  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbits are  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideals.

# Known results

Ludwig (1983)

closed prime ideals of  $L^1(G)$  coincide with the kernels of irreducible unitary representations

Poguntke (1983)

for a nilpotent action on a nilpotent Lie group

Poguntke (1984)

for the action of  $K \times M$ , where  $K$  is compact abelian and  $M$  a nilpotent Lie group, on a nilpotent Lie group  $N$  and characterised the  $K$ -prime ideals of  $L^1(N)$

Lahiani and Molitor-Braun (2011)

identified the  $K$ -prime ideals with hull contained in the generic part of  $\widehat{G}$  for general compact Lie subgroup  $K$  of  $\text{Aut}(G)$

Prime ideals in  $L^1(G)$ 

## Theorem (L., Ludwig, Molitor-Braun)

*Let  $G$  be a simply connected, connected nilpotent Lie group and  $\mathbf{G}$  be a Lie group of automorphisms of  $G$  containing the inner automorphisms such that every  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is locally closed. Then every  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal in  $L^1(G)$  is the kernel of an  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbit.*



Prime ideals in  $L^1(G)$ 

## Theorem (L., Ludwig, Molitor-Braun)

*Let  $G$  be a simply connected, connected nilpotent Lie group and  $\mathbf{G}$  be a Lie group of automorphisms of  $G$  containing the inner automorphisms such that every  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is locally closed. Then every  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal in  $L^1(G)$  is the kernel of an  $\mathbf{G}$ -orbit.*

# Notations and remarks

Let  $\text{Prim}^*(G) := \{\ker \pi : \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ . For  $I \subseteq L^1(G)$ , the hull of  $I$  is given by

$$h(I) := \{P \in \text{Prim}^*(G) : I \subseteq P\}.$$

For connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G$ ,

$$\pi \mapsto \ker \pi : \widehat{G} \mapsto \text{Prim}^*(G)$$

is a homeomorphism.

Note that for any closed orbit  $\Omega$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ,

- $\overline{\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker(\Omega)}^{L^1(G)} = \ker(\Omega)$  (from the **retract** theorem);
- there is a minimal ideal  $J(\Omega)$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $h(J(\Omega)) = \Omega$  and  $J(\Omega) \subset I$  for all ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  with  $h(I) \subset \Omega$ .

# Notations and remarks

Let  $\text{Prim}^*(G) := \{\ker \pi : \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ . For  $I \subseteq L^1(G)$ , the hull of  $I$  is given by

$$h(I) := \{P \in \text{Prim}^*(G) : I \subseteq P\}.$$

For connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G$ ,

$$\pi \mapsto \ker \pi : \widehat{G} \mapsto \text{Prim}^*(G)$$

is a homeomorphism.

Note that for any closed orbit  $\Omega$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ,

- $\overline{\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker(\Omega)}^{L^1(G)} = \ker(\Omega)$  (from the **retract** theorem);
- there is a minimal ideal  $J(\Omega)$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $h(J(\Omega)) = \Omega$  and  $J(\Omega) \subset I$  for all ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  with  $h(I) \subset \Omega$ .

# Notations and remarks

Let  $\text{Prim}^*(G) := \{\ker \pi : \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ . For  $I \subseteq L^1(G)$ , the hull of  $I$  is given by

$$h(I) := \{P \in \text{Prim}^*(G) : I \subseteq P\}.$$

For connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G$ ,

$$\pi \mapsto \ker \pi : \widehat{G} \mapsto \text{Prim}^*(G)$$

is a homeomorphism.

Note that for any closed orbit  $\Omega$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ,

- $\overline{\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker(\Omega)}^{L^1(G)} = \ker(\Omega)$  (from the **retract** theorem);
- there is a minimal ideal  $J(\Omega)$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  such that  $h(J(\Omega)) = \Omega$  and  $J(\Omega) \subset I$  for all ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  with  $h(I) \subset \Omega$ .

## Proof

Let  $I$  be a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $L^1(G)$ . Then  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = I \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$  is a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  which is closed in the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm. By Molitor-Braun, there is an orbit  $\Omega \in \text{Prim}^*(G)$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = \ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$ . Hence,

$$h(I) = h(I_{\mathcal{S}}) = h(\ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)) = h(\ker \Omega) = \overline{\Omega}$$

and  $I \subset \ker \Omega$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker \Omega$  is dense in  $\ker \Omega$ , we have

$$(\ker \Omega)^N \subset J(\Omega) \subset I$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime, we have that  $I = \ker \Omega$ .

## Proof

Let  $I$  be a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $L^1(G)$ . Then  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = I \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$  is a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  which is closed in the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm.

By Molitor-Braun, there is an orbit  $\Omega \in \text{Prim}^*(G)$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = \ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$ . Hence,

$$h(I) = h(I_{\mathcal{S}}) = h(\ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)) = h(\ker \Omega) = \overline{\Omega}$$

and  $I \subset \ker \Omega$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker \Omega$  is dense in  $\ker \Omega$ , we have

$$(\ker \Omega)^N \subset J(\Omega) \subset I$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime, we have that  $I = \ker \Omega$ .

## Proof

Let  $I$  be a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $L^1(G)$ . Then  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = I \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$  is a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  which is closed in the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm. By Molitor-Braun, there is an orbit  $\Omega \in \text{Prim}^*(G)$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = \ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$ . Hence,

$$h(I) = h(I_{\mathcal{S}}) = h(\ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)) = h(\ker \Omega) = \overline{\Omega}$$

and  $I \subset \ker \Omega$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker \Omega$  is dense in  $\ker \Omega$ , we have

$$(\ker \Omega)^N \subset J(\Omega) \subset I$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime, we have that  $I = \ker \Omega$ .

## Proof

Let  $I$  be a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $L^1(G)$ . Then  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = I \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$  is a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  which is closed in the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm. By Molitor-Braun, there is an orbit  $\Omega \in \text{Prim}^*(G)$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = \ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$ . Hence,

$$h(I) = h(I_{\mathcal{S}}) = h(\ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)) = h(\ker \Omega) = \overline{\Omega}$$

and  $I \subset \ker \Omega$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker \Omega$  is dense in  $\ker \Omega$ , we have

$$(\ker \Omega)^N \subset J(\Omega) \subset I$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime, we have that  $I = \ker \Omega$ .



## Proof

Let  $I$  be a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $L^1(G)$ . Then  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = I \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$  is a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  which is closed in the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm. By Molitor-Braun, there is an orbit  $\Omega \in \text{Prim}^*(G)$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = \ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$ . Hence,

$$h(I) = h(I_{\mathcal{S}}) = h(\ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)) = h(\ker \Omega) = \overline{\Omega}$$

and  $I \subset \ker \Omega$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker \Omega$  is dense in  $\ker \Omega$ , we have

$$(\ker \Omega)^N \subset J(\Omega) \subset I$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime, we have that  $I = \ker \Omega$ .

## Proof

Let  $I$  be a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $L^1(G)$ . Then  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = I \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$  is a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  which is closed in the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm. By Molitor-Braun, there is an orbit  $\Omega \in \text{Prim}^*(G)$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = \ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$ . Hence,

$$h(I) = h(I_{\mathcal{S}}) = h(\ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)) = h(\ker \Omega) = \overline{\Omega}$$

and  $I \subset \ker \Omega$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker \Omega$  is dense in  $\ker \Omega$ , we have

$$(\ker \Omega)^N \subset J(\Omega) \subset I$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime, we have that  $I = \ker \Omega$ .

## Proof

Let  $I$  be a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $L^1(G)$ . Then  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = I \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$  is a proper  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime ideal of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  which is closed in the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm. By Molitor-Braun, there is an orbit  $\Omega \in \text{Prim}^*(G)$  such that  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = \ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)$ . Hence,

$$h(I) = h(I_{\mathcal{S}}) = h(\ker \Omega \cap \mathcal{S}(G)) = h(\ker \Omega) = \overline{\Omega}$$

and  $I \subset \ker \Omega$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{S}(G) \cap \ker \Omega$  is dense in  $\ker \Omega$ , we have

$$(\ker \Omega)^N \subset J(\Omega) \subset I$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $I$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -prime, we have that  $I = \ker \Omega$ .

THANK YOU for YOUR ATTENTION!!