A NOTE ON ROSENBLATT DISTRIBUTIONS

By J. M. P. Albin

Chalmers University of Technology & University of Göteborg

Rosenblatt processes arise as functional limits in non-central limit theorems for strongly dependent Gaussian sequences. Using local central- and χ^2 -limit techniques we show that the marginal distributions of these processes belong to the Type I-domain of attraction of extremes. This in turn makes it possible to obtain bounds on local extremes for Rosenblatt processes.

1. Introduction. A random variable Y is Rosenblatt distributed provided that

$$\mathbf{E}\{e^{i\theta Y}\} = \exp\left\{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2i\theta)^k}{2k} \int_{x \in [0,1]^k} |x_1 - x_k|^{-2\gamma} \prod_{j=2}^k |x_j - x_{j-1}|^{-2\gamma} dx\right\} \quad \text{for } \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ is a parameter, and then we write $Y \in \Re(\gamma)$. These distributions were introduced by Rosenblatt (1961), and named after him by Taqqu (1975).

Let η be an N(0,1)-distributed random variable. Then the Hermite polynomials $H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2}$ form an ON-basis in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \eta) \equiv \{G \in \mathbb{L}^0(\mathbb{R}) : \mathbb{E}\{G(\eta)^2\} < \infty\}$. Writing $J_n \equiv \mathbb{E}\{G(\eta)H_n(\eta)\}$ we thus have $\mathbb{E}\{[\sum_{n=m}^N J_n H_n(\eta) - G(\eta)]^2\} \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for $G \in \mathcal{G}_m \equiv \{G \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \eta) : J_0 = \ldots = J_{m-1} = 0\}$.

Theorem A. [TAQQU (1975), DOBRUSHIN & MAJOR (1979)]. Let $\{X_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a stationary zero-mean Gaussian sequence. Assume that the covariance

$$r(N) \equiv \mathbf{E}\{X_N X_0\} \to 0$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N r(i-j)^2 \sim L(N)^2$ as $N \to \infty$,

where L is a regularly varying function with index γ . Writing $\{B(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ for standard Brownian motion and choosing a $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$, we then have

$$\frac{1}{L(N)} \sum_{i=1}^{[Nt]} G(X_i) \to \frac{J_2}{2 K_{\gamma}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{s=0}^{s=t} [(s-x_1)^+ (s-x_2)^+]^{-(1+\gamma)/2} ds \, dB(x_1) dB(x_2)
\equiv \frac{J_2}{2 K_{\gamma}} \xi(t) \qquad weakly in \mathcal{D}([0,1]) \quad as \quad N \to \infty,$$

where $K_{\gamma}^2 = \mathbf{Var}\{\xi(1)\}$. Moreover $K_{\gamma}^{-1}t^{\gamma-1}\xi(t) \in \Re(\gamma)$ for each t > 0.

In Sections 2 and 3 we prove the following theorems:

Research supported by NFR grant M-AA/MA 9207-309.

Key words and phrases. Central Limit Theorem, χ^2 Limit Theorem, Convolution Kernel, Domain of Attraction, Extreme Values, Laplace Transform, Local Limit Theorem, Non-Central Limit Theorem, Rosenblatt Distribution, Tauberian Theorem.

Adress to author: Dept. of Math., CTH & GU, S-412 96 Gothenburg, SWEDEN.

Theorem 1. The distribution function F of a Rosenblatt distributed random variable $Y \in \Re(\gamma)$ has a density function f such that

$$\lim_{u \to -\infty} w(u) f(u - w(u)x) / F(u) = e^{-x} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

for some non-negative function w. Thus F belongs to the Type I-domain of attraction for minima with auxiliary function w, i.e.,

$$\lim_{u \to -\infty} F(u - w(u)x) / F(u) = e^{-x} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.2}$$

Theorem 2. The distribution function F of a Rosenblatt distributed random variable $Y \in \Re(\gamma)$ has a density function f such that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} W f(u+Wx) / (1-F(u)) = e^{-x} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $W \equiv \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{E}\{e^{sY}\} < \infty\} \in (0, \infty)$. Thus F belongs to the Type I-domain of attraction for maxima with constant auxiliary function W, i.e.,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \left(1 - F(u + Wx)\right) / \left(1 - F(u)\right) = e^{-x} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.4}$$

The proof of (1.1) and (1.3) is an adaption of the method of Feigin & Yashchin (1983) and Davis & Resnick (1991). Their idea is to derive a so-called strong Tauberian result by proving a local limit theorem for a suitably normalized and Esscher-transformed version of the distribution under consideration.

Strong Tauberian theorems origin in the asymptotic treatment of convolution kernels by Hirschmann & Widder (1955, Chapter V). Feigin & Yashchin (1983) gave a generalization to more general Laplace transforms (than convolution kernels), but under rather restrictive technical conditions. As did Davis & Resnick (1991) in their study of sums of non-negative random variables, we found that these conditions are not met by our framework. Thus a treatment adapted to the specific situation is required. But the scheme of Feigin & Yashchin (1983) remains the main inspiration.

Application of results from extreme value theory requires belongance to a domain of attraction: Methods in statistics for extremes are directly linked to these domains [e.g., Resnick (1987)], and extremal theory for stochastic processes also relies on attraction [e.g., Berman (1982) and Albin (1990, 1998)]. In Section 4 we show how Theorems 1 and 2 combine with a results of Albin (1998) to yield bounds on the probability of a local extrema for the Rosenblatt process $\xi(t)$ in Theorem A.

Theorem A suggests that $\Re(\gamma)$ -distributions are related to χ^2 -distributions: Letting η_1, η_2, \ldots denote independent N(0, 1)-variables the precise statement becomes [Taqqu (1975, Section 6), Dobrushin & Major (1979, Proposition 2)]

$$Y = \underset{j=1}{\mathcal{L}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (\eta_j^2 - 1) \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{j_0} > \lambda_{j_0 + 1} \ge \dots > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^2 < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

In (1.5) we have $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = \infty$. This is important since otherwise we could write $Y =_{\mathcal{L}} \|\hat{Y}\|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j$ where $\hat{Y} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_j} \eta_j e_j$ is Gaussian with values in the Hilbert space spanned by an ON-basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. But then the fact that Y belongs to the Type I-domain of attraction would follow from the corresponding result for $\|\hat{Y}\|^2$ [e.g., Albin (1992, pp. 139-140) and Albin (1996, Proposition 1)].

Now note that in view of (1.5) the Laplace transform ϕ of Y is given by

$$\phi(s) = \mathbf{E}\{\exp[-sY]\} = \exp\{-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\ln(1+2\lambda_{j}s) - \lambda_{j}s\right)\} \text{ for } s \in (-(2\lambda_{1})^{-1}, \infty).$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1. The Esscher-transform Y_s of Y at $s \in (-(2\lambda_1)^{-1}, \infty)$ is a random variable with distribution $dF_{Y_s}(x) = e^{-sx}dF(x)/\phi(s)$. Here we have

$$m(s) \equiv \mathbf{E}\{Y_s\} = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\lambda_j^2 s}{1 + 2\lambda_j s}$$
 and $\sigma(s)^2 \equiv \mathbf{Var}\{Y_s\} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\lambda_j^2}{(1 + 2\lambda_j s)^2}$.

The normalized variable $Z_s \equiv (Y_s - m(s))/\sigma(s)$ has characteristic function

$$\mu_s(x) \equiv \mathbf{E}\{\exp[ixZ_s]\}$$

$$= \phi(s - ix/\sigma(s)) \exp\{-ix \, m(s)/\sigma(s)\} / \phi(s)$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln\left(1 - \frac{2\lambda_j ix}{(1 + 2\lambda_j s)\sigma(s)}\right) + \frac{\lambda_j ix}{(1 + 2\lambda_j s)\sigma(s)}\right]\right\} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\lim_{s\to\infty} s\,\sigma(s) = \infty$ it follows readily that

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \mu_s(x) = e^{-x^2/2} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ so that } Z_s \to_{\mathcal{L}} N(0,1) \text{ as } s \to \infty.$$
 (2.1)

To proceed we observe the easy fact that

$$|\mu_s(x)| = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(1 + \frac{4\lambda_j^2 x^2}{(1+2\lambda_j s)^2 \sigma(s)^2}\right)\right\} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (2.2)

Choosing a c>0 such that $\ln(1+y^2) \ge cy^2$ for $|y| \le 1$, we therefore get

$$\frac{\overline{\lim}}{s \to \infty} \int_{K < |x| \le s\sigma(s)} |\mu_s(x)| dx$$

$$\le \overline{\lim}_{s \to \infty} \int_{K}^{s\sigma(s)} 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c\lambda_j^2 x^2}{(1+2\lambda_j s)^2 \sigma(s)^2}\right\} dx \le \int_{K}^{\infty} 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{8} cx^2\right\} dx \to 0$$
(2.3)

as $K \to \infty$ [recall that $s\sigma(s) \to \infty$]. Invoking the trivial facts that

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{4}{9}x^{2}\right)^{-\nu} dx \le \left(1 + \frac{4}{9}\right)^{1 - \nu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{4}{9}x^{2}\right)^{-1} dx = \frac{\pi}{3} \left(\frac{13}{9}\right)^{1 - \nu} \quad \text{for } \nu > 1,$$

and that $n(s) \equiv \#\{j : \lambda_j s > 1\} \to \infty$ as $s \to \infty$, we further obtain

$$\int_{|x| > s\sigma(s)} |\mu_{s}(x)| dx$$

$$= 2 \int_{s\sigma(s)}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{n(s)}{4} \ln\left(1 + \frac{4x^{2}}{9s^{2}\sigma(s)^{2}}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\{j : \lambda_{j}s \leq 1\}} \ln\left(1 + \frac{4\lambda_{j}^{2}x^{2}}{9\sigma(s)^{2}}\right)\right\} dx$$

$$\leq 2 s\sigma(s) \int_{1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{4}{9}x^{2}\right)^{-n(s)/4} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\{j : \lambda_{j}s \leq 1\}} \ln\left(1 + 4\lambda_{j}^{2}s^{2}\right)\right\} dx$$

$$\leq 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\lambda_{j}^{2}s^{2}}{(1 + 2\lambda_{j}s)^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\pi}{3} \left(\frac{13}{9}\right)^{1 - n(s)/4} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4}c \sum_{\{j : \lambda_{j}s \leq 1\}} \lambda_{j}^{2}s^{2}\right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{8}\pi}{3} \left(\frac{13}{9}\right)^{1 - n(s)/4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}n(s)} + \sqrt{\sum_{\{j : \lambda_{j}s \leq 1\}} \lambda_{j}^{2}s^{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4}c \sum_{\{j : \lambda_{j}s \leq 1\}} \lambda_{j}^{2}s^{2}\right\}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \to \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Since (2.2) shows that $|\mu_s(\cdot)| \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$, Z_s has a density f_s given by the inverse Fourier transform of $\mu_s(\cdot)$. Combining (2.1) and (2.3)-(2.4) we thus conclude

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| f_s(y) - \frac{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}y^2\}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right| = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iyx} \left(\mu_s(x) - \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}x^2\} \right) dx \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \mu_s(x) - \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}x^2\} \right| dx$$

$$\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad s \to \infty.$$

$$(2.5)$$

Since Z_s has a density, Y has a density f that satisfies

$$f(m(s)+x\,\sigma(s)) = \sigma(s)^{-1}\,\phi(s)\,\exp\{s(m(s)+x\,\sigma(s))\}\,f_s(x). \tag{2.6}$$

Choosing x=0 and using (2.5) we now obtain

$$f(m(s)) \sim (2\pi)^{-1/2} \sigma(s)^{-1} \phi(s) \exp\{s \, m(s)\}$$
 as $s \to \infty$. (2.7)

Combining (2.5)-(2.7) we get [again recalling that $s\sigma(s) \to \infty$]

$$f(m(s) - x/s) = \sigma(s)^{-1} \phi(s) \exp\{s m(s)\} e^{-x} f_s(x/(s\sigma(s))) \sim e^{-x} f(m(s))$$

as $s \to \infty$. Another application of (2.5) therefore yields

$$\frac{e^{-x} s F(m(s))}{f(m(s) - x/s)} \sim \frac{s F(m(s))}{f(m(s))} = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(m(s) - y/s)}{f(m(s))} dy = \int_0^\infty e^{-y} \frac{f_s(y/(s\sigma(s)))}{f_s(0)} dy \to 1$$
 as $s \to \infty$. Consequently (1.1) holds with $w(u) = (m^{-1}(u))^{-1}$.

The fact that (1.1) implies (1.2) is well-known and follows e.g., from an applications of the theorem by Scheffé (1947). \square

3. Proof of Theorem 2. Let \hat{Y}_s be a variable with density $f_{\hat{Y}_s}(x) = e^{-sx}(x - m(s))^2 f(x) / (V(s)\phi(s))$ for $s \in (-(2\lambda_1)^{-1}, \infty)$. Then we have

$$\hat{m}(s) \equiv \mathbf{E}\{\hat{Y}_s\} = m(s) - V'(s)/V(s)$$
 $\sim \frac{j_0/2 + 2}{(2\lambda_1)^{-1} + s}$ (3.1)

$$\hat{\sigma}(s)^2 \equiv \mathbf{Var}\{\hat{Y}_s\} = V(s) + V''(s)/V(s) - V'(s)^2/V(s)^2 \sim \frac{j_0/2 + 2}{((2\lambda_1)^{-1} + s)^2}$$
(3.2)

as $s \downarrow -(2\lambda_1)^{-1}$, where $V(s) \equiv \sigma(s)^2$. It follows that

$$V(s-ix/\hat{\sigma}(s)) \sim (j_0/2)/(1-ix/\sqrt{j_0/2+2})$$
 as $s \downarrow -(2\lambda_1)^{-1}$.

Further the normalized variable $\hat{Z}_s \equiv (\hat{Y}_s - \hat{m}(s))/\hat{\sigma}(s)$ has characteristic function

$$\hat{\mu}_{s}(x) \equiv \mathbf{E}\{\exp[ixZ_{s}]\}$$

$$= \left(V(s-ix/\hat{\sigma}(s))/V(s)\right) \left(\phi(s-ix/\hat{\sigma}(s)/\phi(s))\right) \exp\left\{-ix\,\hat{m}(s)/\hat{\sigma}(s)\right\}$$

$$= \left(V(s-ix/\hat{\sigma}(s))/V(s)\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left\{\frac{ix(m(s)-\hat{m}(s))}{\hat{\sigma}(s)} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\ln\left(1-\frac{ix/\hat{\sigma}(s)}{(2\lambda_{j})^{-1}+s}\right) + \frac{ix/\hat{\sigma}(s)}{(2\lambda_{j})^{-1}+s}\right]\right\}$$

$$\to \left(1-ix/\sqrt{j_{0}/2+2}\right)^{-(j_{0}/2+2)} \exp\left\{-ix\sqrt{j_{0}/2+2}\right\} \quad \text{as} \quad s \downarrow -(2\lambda_{1})^{-1} \quad (3.3)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently $\hat{Z}_s \to_{\mathcal{L}} (\chi^2(j_0+4) - (j_0+4))/\sqrt{2j_0+8} \equiv \chi$. To proceed we observe the easy fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\hat{\mu}_{s}(x)| \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1/(2V(s))}{((2\lambda_{j})^{-1} + s)^{2} + x^{2}/\hat{\sigma}(s)^{2}} \right) \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x^{2}/\hat{\sigma}(s)^{2}}{((2\lambda_{j})^{-1} + s)^{2}}\right) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{j_{0}/(2V(s))}{((2\lambda_{1})^{-1} + s)^{2} + x^{2}/\hat{\sigma}(s)^{2}} \\ &+ \left(\sum_{j>j_{0}} \frac{1/(2V(s))}{((2\lambda_{j})^{-1} + s)^{2} + x^{2}/\hat{\sigma}(s)^{2}} \right) \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j>j_{0}} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x^{2}/\hat{\sigma}(s)^{2}}{((2\lambda_{j})^{-1} + s)^{2}}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.4)

Here (3.2) combines with the fact that $V(s) \sim (j_0/2)/((2\lambda_1)^{-1}+s)^2$ to give

$$\frac{\overline{\lim}}{s_{\downarrow} - (2\lambda_{1})^{-1}} \int_{K}^{\infty} \frac{j_{0}/(2V(s))}{((2\lambda_{1})^{-1} + s)^{2} + x^{2}/\hat{\sigma}(s)^{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{\overline{\lim}}{s_{\downarrow} - (2\lambda_{1})^{-1}} \frac{j_{0}\hat{\sigma}(s)/(2V(s))}{(2\lambda_{1})^{-1} + s} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\left(\frac{K/\hat{\sigma}(s)}{(2\lambda_{1})^{-1} + s}\right) \right] \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad K \to \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

As $s \downarrow -(2\lambda_1)^{-1}$ we further have

$$\int_{K}^{\hat{\sigma}(s)} \sum_{j>j_0} \frac{1/(2V(s))}{((2\lambda_j)^{-1} + s)^2 + x^2/\hat{\sigma}(s)^2} \le \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{V(s)} \sum_{j>j_0} \frac{2\lambda_j^2}{(1 + 2\lambda_j s)^2} \to 0$$
 (3.6)

and

$$\int_{\hat{\sigma}(s)}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j>j_0} \frac{1/(2V(s))}{((2\lambda_j)^{-1}+s)^2 + x^2/\hat{\sigma}(s)^2} \right) \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j>j_0} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x^2/\hat{\sigma}(s)^2}{((2\lambda_j)^{-1}+s)^2}\right) \right\} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j>j_0} \frac{2\lambda_j^2 \hat{\sigma}(s)/V(s)}{(1+2\lambda_j s)^2} \right) \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{j_0+5} \ln\left(1 + \frac{4\lambda_j^2 x^2}{(1+2\lambda_j s)^2}\right) \right\} dx$$

$$\leq \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{V(s)} \left(\sum_{j>j_0} \frac{2\lambda_j^2}{(1-\lambda_j/\lambda_1)^2} \right) \int_{1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{4\lambda_{j_0+5}^2 x^2}{(1+2\lambda_{j_0+1} s)^2}\right)^{-1} dx$$

$$\to 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Since (3.4) shows that $|\hat{\mu}_s(\cdot)| \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$, \hat{Z}_s has a density \hat{f}_s given by the inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{\mu}_s(\cdot)$. Combining (3.3) and (3.5)-(3.7) we thus get [cf. (2.5)]

$$\hat{f}_s(0) - f_{\chi}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_s(x) - \mathbf{E}\{\exp[-ix\chi]\}) dx \to 0 \text{ as } s \downarrow -(2\lambda_1)^{-1}.$$

Since

$$f(\hat{m}(s)) = \hat{f}_s(0) \phi(s) e^{s \hat{m}(s)} (\hat{m}(s) - m(s))^2 (V(s) / \hat{\sigma}(s)),$$

and [cf. (3.1)-(3.2)]

$$\label{eq:final_eq} \tfrac{1}{2} (j_0/2 + 2) \left(\hat{m}(s) - m(s) \right) \, \sim \, \hat{m}(s) \, \sim \, \sqrt{j_0/2 + 2} \, V(s) / \hat{\sigma}(s),$$

it follows that

$$f(\hat{m}(s)) \sim f_{\chi}(0) \, \phi(s) \, e^{j_0/2+2} \, e^{-\hat{m}(s)/(2\lambda_1)} \, ((j_0/2+2)/2)^2 \, \hat{m}(s)^{-2} \, (j_0/2+2)^{-1/2} \, \hat{m}(s).$$

But here $\phi(s) \sim C \hat{m}(s)^{j_0/2}$ for some constant C > 0, and thus we conclude

$$f(u) \sim f_{\chi}(0) C u^{j_0/2} e^{j_0/2+2} e^{-u/(2\lambda_1)} ((j_0/2+2)/2)^2 u^{-2} (j_0/2+2)^{-1/2} u$$
 as $u \to \infty$.

Now (1.3) and (1.4) follow from elementary computations. \square

REFERENCES

Albin, J.M.P. (1990). On extremal theory for stationary processes. Ann. Probab. 18 92-128. Albin, J.M.P. (1992). Extremes and crossings for differentiable stationary processes with application to Gaussian processes in \Re^m and Hilbert space. Stochastic Process. Appl. 42 119-147. Albin, J.M.P. (1996). Minima of H-valued Gaussian processes. Ann. Probab. 24 788-824.

- Albin, J.M.P. (1998). On extremal theory for self-similar processes. Accepted for publication in *Ann. Probab.*
- Berman, S.M. (1982). Sojourns and extremes of stationary processes. Ann. Probab. 10 1-46.
- Davis, R.A. & Resnick, S.I. (1991). Extremes of moving averages of random variables with finite endpoint. *Ann. Probab.* **19** 312-328.
- Dobrushin, R.L. & Major, P. (1979). Non-central limit theorems for non-linear functionals of Gaussian fields. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **50** 27-52.
- Feigin, P.D. & Yashchin, E. (1983). On a strong Tauberian result. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 65 35-48.
- Hirschmann, I.I. & Widder, D.V. (1955). The Convolution Transform. Princeton Univ. Press.
- Resnick, S.I. (1987). Extreme Values, Regular Variation, and Point Processes. Springer, New York.
- Rosenblatt, M. (1961). Independence and dependence. Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. 431-443. Univ. of California Press.
- Scheffé, H. (1947). A useful convergence theorem for probability distributions. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **18** 434-438.
- Taqqu, M.S. (1975). Weak convergence to fractional Brownian motion and to the Rosenblatt process. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 31 287-302.