

# Functional convergence in distribution of quadratic variations for a large class of Gaussian processes: application to a time deformation model

Olivier Perrin \*  
I.N.R.A., Avignon, France

December 4, 1998

## Abstract

We are interested in the functional convergence in distribution of the process of quadratic variations taken along a regular partition for a large class of Gaussian processes indexed by  $[0, 1]$ , including the standard Wiener process as a particular case. This result is applied to the estimation of a time deformation that makes a non-stationary Gaussian process stationary.

*keywords:* estimation; quadratic variation process

AMS 1991 SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: PRIMARY 60G15,60G17  
SECONDARY 60F17,60G12

## 1 Introduction.

We are interested in the functional convergence in distribution of the quadratic variation process for a large class of Gaussian processes indexed by  $[0, 1]$ . This convergence result is obtained assuming smoothness of the covariance function outside the diagonal.

The quadratic variations are first introduced by Lévy (1940) who shows that if  $Z$  is the standard Wiener process on  $[0, 1]$ , then almost surely (*a.s.*) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} [Z(k/2^n) - Z((k-1)/2^n)]^2 \longrightarrow 1. \quad (1)$$

Baxter (1956) and further Gladyshev (1961) generalise this result to a large class of Gaussian processes.

Guyon and León (1989) introduce an important generalisation of these variations for a Gaussian stationary non-differentiable process with covariance function  $r(u) = 1 - u^\beta L(u)$ , where  $\beta \in ]0, 2[$  and  $L$  is a slowly varying function at

---

\*Research supported by I.N.R.A., France. Olivier Perrin's research was also supported by the European Union's research network "Statistical and Computational Methods for the Analysis of Spatial Data", grant ERB-FMRX-CT96-0096.

zero. Let  $H$  be a real function. The  $H$ -variation of process  $Z$  indexed by  $[0, 1]$  is defined by

$$\sum_{k=1}^n H \left( \frac{Z(k/n) - Z((k-1)/n)}{(2(r(0) - r(1/n)))^{1/2}} \right).$$

Guyon and León (1989) study the convergence in distribution of the  $H$ -variations, suitably normalised, for non-differentiable Gaussian processes.

The generalisation of these variations for Gaussian fields is studied in Guyon (1987) and León and Ortega (1989). Another generalisation for non-stationary Gaussian processes and quadratic variations along curves is done in Adler and Pyke (1993).

For Gaussian process  $Z$  with stationary increments, Istas and Lang (1997) define general quadratic variations, substituting a general discrete difference operator to the simple difference  $Z(k/n) - Z((k-1)/n)$ . They give conditions on the discrete difference and on the covariance function of  $Z$  that ensure the *a.s.* convergence and the asymptotic normality of these quadratic variations, suitably normalised. Then, they use these quadratic variations to estimate the Hölder index of the process.

For non-stationary Gaussian processes, with increments stationary or not, we give a general result concerning the functional asymptotic normality of the process of the quadratic variations which corresponds to the linear interpolation of the points  $(p/n, V_n(p/n))$  with  $V_n(p/n) = \sum_{k=1}^p [Z(k/n) - Z((k-1)/n)]^2$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

We apply this result to the estimation of a time deformation for non-stationary models of the form

$$Z(x) = \delta(\Phi(x)), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (2)$$

where  $\delta$  is a stationary random process with known covariance and the deformation  $\Phi$  is deterministic, bijective and continuously differentiable in  $[0, 1]$ , as is its inverse. Model (2) appears first in Sampson and Guttorp (1992) and gives a class of non-stationary random fields. In the one-dimensional case, Perrin (1997) gives different methods for estimating  $\Phi$ . Perrin and Senoussi (1998) exhibit a characterisation for processes satisfying (2). When the process  $Z$  under study is Gaussian, we show it is possible to construct a non-parametric estimator of  $\Phi$  from one realisation of  $Z$  observed at discrete times  $k/n$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , and give the asymptotic normality of this estimator as the number of observations  $n$  grows to  $\infty$ . Testing the stationarity of  $Z$ , *i.e.* testing if  $\Phi$  is the identity or not, is also considered.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 sets up notations, assumptions and definitions and describes the quadratic variation process. Section 3 contains the related problem: the estimation of a time deformation. In section 4 we give our main result, theorem 4.1, dealing with the functional convergence in distribution of the process of the quadratic variations. This result is applied in section 5 to the related problem described in section 3.

## 2 The process of quadratic variations

Let  $Z = \{Z(x), x \in [0, 1]\}$  be a real-valued centred Gaussian random process with covariance function  $r(x, y)$ . Assume that

- (A1)  $r$  is continuous in  $[0, 1]^2$  and its second derivatives are uniformly bounded for  $x \neq y$ .

Assumption (A1) is satisfied for a large class of processes including: (i) processes with independent increments such that  $x \mapsto r(x, x)$  is of class  $C^2$ ; (ii) stationary processes with rational spectral densities. For other examples see Baxter (1956).

(A1) gives the following property dealing with the regularity of the sample paths of  $Z$  (e.g. Neveu (1980), p. 93).

**Property 2.1** For any constant  $\gamma \in ]0, 1/2[$ , a.s.

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-\gamma} \sup_{|y-x| \leq h} |Z(y) - Z(x)| = 0$$

It follows that  $Z$  is continuous (in the sense that a.s.  $Z$  has continuous sample paths).

We denote using  $r^{(m, m')}$  the  $m, m'$ -partial derivative of  $r$  with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} D^-(x) = r^{(0,1)}(x, x^-) &= \lim_{y \nearrow x} r^{(0,1)}(x, y), \quad x \in ]0, 1[, \\ D^+(x) = r^{(0,1)}(x, x^+) &= \lim_{y \searrow x} r^{(0,1)}(x, y), \quad x \in [0, 1[. \end{aligned}$$

These limits exist because the second order derivatives of  $r$  are uniformly bounded. Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.1** Assume (A1). Then  $D^-$  and  $D^+$  are continuous in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Proof.** We set  $\Delta = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2, x \neq y\}$ . First, we show that  $D^-$  is continuous in  $]0, 1]$ . We have the inequality for all  $x \in ]0, 1]$  and all  $h > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |D^-(x+h) - D^-(x)| &\leq |r^{(0,1)}(x+h, (x+h)^-) - r^{(0,1)}(x+h, x^-)| \\ &\quad + |r^{(0,1)}(x+h, x^-) - r^{(0,1)}(x, x^-)| \\ &\leq h \left( \sup_{(x,y) \in \Delta} |r^{(0,2)}(x, y)| + \sup_{(x,y) \in \Delta} |r^{(1,1)}(x, y)| \right) \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce the continuity of  $D^-$  in  $]0, 1]$ .

Then, it remains to prove that  $\lim_{x \searrow 0} D^-(x)$  is finite. For that, we write

$$\lim_{x \searrow 0} D^-(x) = \lim_{x \searrow 0} \lim_{h \searrow 0} \left( r^{(0,1)}(x, x-h) - r^{(0,1)}(x, 0) + r^{(0,1)}(x, 0) \right).$$

We have  $|r^{(0,1)}(x, x-h) - r^{(0,1)}(x, 0)| \leq |x-h| \sup_{(x,y) \in \Delta} |r^{(0,2)}(x, y)|$  and  $r^{(0,1)}(x, 0)$  is piecewise continuous in  $[0, 1]$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{x \searrow 0} D^-(x) = r^{(0,1)}(0^+, 0)$ .

A similar treatment gives  $D^+$  continuous in  $[0, 1[$  and  $\lim_{x \nearrow 1} D^+(x) = r^{(0,1)}(1^-, 1)$ .

□

Let us now introduce the singularity function  $\alpha$  of  $Z$

$$\alpha(x) = D^-(x) - D^+(x), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

It follows directly from lemma 2.1 that  $\alpha$  is uniformly continuous in  $[0, 1]$ . Note that the existence of the first derivative of  $r(x, y)$  at  $x = y$  is not assumed. Indeed, the existence of this derivative would make  $\alpha(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. We set for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\Delta Z_k = Z(k/n) - Z((k-1)/n).$$

Let  $\Pi_n(1) = \left\{ 0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2}{n} < \dots < \frac{n-1}{n} < 1 \right\}$  be the regular partition of  $[0, 1]$  at constant scale  $1/n$ . We denote by  $\lfloor nx \rfloor$  the greatest integer smaller than or equal to  $nx$ . For  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we define the quadratic variations  $V_n(x)$  of  $Z$  along  $\Pi_n(x) = \left\{ 0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2}{n} < \dots \leq \frac{\lfloor nx \rfloor}{n} \right\}$  as follows

$$V_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} (\Delta Z_k)^2.$$

When  $\lfloor nx \rfloor = 0$ , we set  $\sum_{k=1}^0 (\Delta Z_k)^2 = 0$ . The process  $V_n = \{V_n(x), x \in [0, 1]\}$  is a random element of the space of functions that are right-continuous and have left-hand limits. The following definition allows us to consider a continuous version of  $V_n$ .

**Definition 2.1** *The process of the quadratic variations of  $Z$ ,  $v_n = \{v_n(x), x \in [0, 1]\}$ , is defined by*

$$\begin{cases} v_n(x) &= V_n(x) + (nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor) (\Delta Z_{\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1})^2, \quad x \in [0, 1[, \\ v_n(1) &= V_n(1). \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $v_n$  corresponds to the linear spline with mesh  $\Pi_n(1)$  that interpolates points  $(p/n, V_n(p/n))$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . From now on, we no longer distinguish the case  $x \in [0, 1[$  from the case  $x = 1$  in the definition of  $v_n$ .

### 3 The estimation of a time deformation.

Let  $Z$  be a centred Gaussian process with correlation function  $r$  satisfying **(A1)**. Consider the problem of estimating the function  $\Phi : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  from one realisation of  $Z$  observed at discrete times  $k/n$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , in the model

$$Z(x) = \delta(\Phi(x)), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (3)$$

where  $\delta$  is a stationary random process with known correlation and the deformation  $\Phi$  satisfies the following assumption

**(B)**  $\Phi$  is bijective and continuously differentiable in  $[0, 1]$ , as is its inverse.

Model (3) is equivalent to the following

$$r(x, y) = R(\Phi(y) - \Phi(x)) \quad (4)$$

where  $R$  is the correlation function of  $\delta$ . Note that if  $(\Phi, R)$  is a solution to (4), then for any  $b > 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{R})$  with  $\tilde{\Phi}(x) = b\Phi(x) + c$  and  $\tilde{R}(u) = R(u/b)$  is a solution as well. Thus, without loss of generality we may impose that

$$\Phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(1) = 1. \quad (5)$$

Consequently, the stationary correlation function  $R$  is uniquely determined as

$$R(u) = r(0, \Phi^{-1}(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad R(-u) = R(u).$$

It follows from **(A1)** and **(B)** that the stationary correlation function  $R(u)$  is continuous and differentiable for  $u$  different from 0, and that its derivative to the left and its derivative to the right at 0 exist and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(1)}(0^-) &= D^-(x)/\Phi^{(1)}(x), \\ R^{(1)}(0^+) &= D^+(x)/\Phi^{(1)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi^{(1)}$  denotes the derivative of  $\Phi$  and  $R^{(1)}$  the derivative of  $R$ . Thus, the singularity function  $\alpha$  satisfies the following relation

$$\alpha(x) = 2R^{(1)}(0^-)\Phi^{(1)}(x).$$

Finally, under conditions (5), we get for all  $x \in [0, 1]$

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{\int_0^x \alpha(u) du}{\int_0^1 \alpha(u) du}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, the estimation of  $\Phi$  requires an estimation of the primitive of  $\alpha$ :  $x \mapsto \int_0^x \alpha(u) du$ . Once an estimator of  $\Phi$  will be built, we will give the functional asymptotic normality of this estimator suitably normalised as the number of observations  $n$  grows to  $\infty$ .

## 4 Functional convergence in distribution

Consider the Gaussian vector

$$W_{[nx]} = \left( Z\left(\frac{0}{n}\right), Z\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \dots, Z\left(\frac{\lfloor nx \rfloor}{n}\right) \right)^t.$$

Its covariance matrix is

$$\Sigma_{[nx]} = \begin{pmatrix} r(0/n, 0/n) & r(0/n, 1/n) & \cdots & r(0/n, \lfloor nx \rfloor/n) \\ & r(1/n, 1/n) & \cdots & r(1/n, \lfloor nx \rfloor/n) \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & r(\lfloor nx \rfloor/n, \lfloor nx \rfloor/n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $L_{[nx]}$  be a matrix with  $\lfloor nx \rfloor$  rows and  $\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1$  columns defined as follows

$$L_{[nx]} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & +1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & +1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \cdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & +1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The covariance matrix of the centred Gaussian vector  $(\Delta Z_1, \Delta Z_2, \dots, \Delta Z_{\lfloor nx \rfloor})^t$  is  $L_{[nx]} \Sigma_{[nx]} L_{[nx]}^t$ . We denote the eigenvalues of this matrix by  $\lambda_{1, [nx]}, \lambda_{2, [nx]}, \dots, \lambda_{\lfloor nx \rfloor, [nx]}$  and  $P_{[nx]} = ((P_{[nx]})_{k,j})$  is the orthogonal matrix such that  $\text{Diag}(\lambda_{k, [nx]}) = P_{[nx]}^t L_{[nx]} \Sigma_{[nx]} L_{[nx]}^t P_{[nx]}$ . Then the Gaussian variables defined by

$$\chi_{k, [nx]} = (\lambda_{k, [nx]})^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} (P_{[nx]})_{j,k} \Delta Z_j, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor nx \rfloor,$$

are independent reduced Gaussian variables so that

$$V_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} (\Delta Z_k)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \lambda_{k, [nx]} \chi_{k, [nx]}^2, \quad (7)$$

where the  $\chi_{k, [nx]}^2$  are independent chi-square variables with one degree of freedom. The following theorem gives a uniform upper bound for  $\lambda_{[nx]}$ , the maximum of the eigenvalues  $(\lambda_{k, [nx]})_{k=1, 2, \dots, \lfloor nx \rfloor}$ .

**Lemma 4.1** *Assume (A1). Then*

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \lambda_{[nx]} = O(1/n).$$

**Proof.** For  $(j, k) \in [1, 2, \dots, n]^2$ , let  $a_{j,k} = E(\Delta Z_j \Delta Z_k)$ , then

$$a_{j,k} = r\left(\frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right) + r\left(\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{k-1}{n}\right) - r\left(\frac{j}{n}, \frac{k-1}{n}\right) - r\left(\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right). \quad (8)$$

To give an upper bound for  $\lambda_{\lfloor nx \rfloor}$ , first see that  $(\lambda_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor})_{k=1,2,\dots,\lfloor nx \rfloor}$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $(a_{j,k})_{1 \leq j,k \leq \lfloor nx \rfloor}$  and then use the following inequality (e.g. Horn and Johnson, p. 33).

$$\lambda_{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq \lfloor nx \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} |a_{j,k}| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{j,k}| = O(1/n).$$

Let  $A$  be a bound for the three quantities  $|r^{(2,0)}(x, y)|$ ,  $|r^{(1,1)}(x, y)|$  and  $|r^{(0,2)}(x, y)|$  in the range  $0 \leq x \neq y \leq 1$ . Using for  $r(x, y)$  a Taylor series expansion with remainder, it can easily be shown that  $j \neq k$  implies

$$|a_{j,k}| \leq \frac{3A}{n^2}. \quad (9)$$

Also for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$a_{k,k} = \frac{1}{n} \left( D^- \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) - D^+ \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \right) + O(1/n^2) = \frac{1}{n} \alpha \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) + O(1/n^2) \quad (10)$$

where  $O(1/n^2)$  is independent of  $k$ . The function  $\alpha$  being uniformly bounded in  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$|a_{k,k}| = O(1/n), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (11)$$

where  $O(1/n)$  is independent of  $k$ . □

Define the assumption for the singularity function  $\alpha$

**(A2)**  $\alpha$  has a bounded first derivative in  $[0, 1]$ .

For instance, assumption **(A2)** is satisfied for: (i) processes with independent increments such that  $x \mapsto r(x, x)$  is of class  $C^2$ ; (ii) stationary processes with rational spectral densities.

We know from Baxter (1956) that  $V_n(x)$  is a consistent estimator of  $\int_0^x \alpha(u) du$ . The following lemma gives an upper bound for the bias of  $V_n(x)$ .

**Lemma 4.2** *Assume (A1)-(A2). Then, the following holds*

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left| E(V_n(x)) - \int_0^x \alpha(u) du \right| = O(1/n).$$

**Proof.** We have by definition of  $V_n(x)$

$$E(V_n(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} a_{k,k}. \quad (12)$$

It follows from (10) that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} a_{k,k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \alpha\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + O(1/n)$ . We have

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \alpha\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - \int_0^x \alpha(u) du \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \int_{\frac{(k-1)}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} \left| \alpha\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - \alpha(u) \right| du + \int_{\frac{\lfloor nx \rfloor}{n}}^x |\alpha(u)| du.$$

Since  $\alpha$  is continuous (lemma 2.1) and has a bounded first derivative in  $[0, 1]$  (from **(A2)**) we have the estimates  $\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{\frac{\lfloor nx \rfloor}{n}}^x |\alpha(u)| du = O(1/n)$  and

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \int_{\frac{(k-1)}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} \left| \alpha\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - \alpha(u) \right| du = O(1/n).$$

□

Set for all  $x \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{cases} T_n(x) &= \sqrt{n}(V_n(x) - E(V_n(x))) \\ T(x) &= \int_0^x \alpha(u) dW(u) \end{cases}$$

and consider the centred Gaussian process  $T = \{T(x), x \in [0, 1]\}$  with covariance function  $E(T(x)T(y)) = 2 \int_0^{x \wedge y} \alpha^2(u) du$ . Before giving our main theorem, we first establish two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.3** *Assume **(A1)**. Then, for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and whenever  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$  all lie in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $(T_n(x_1), T_n(x_2), \dots, T_n(x_p))$  converges in distribution to the finite-dimensional Gaussian variable  $(T(x_1), T(x_2), \dots, T(x_p))$ .*

**Proof.** (i) First, we show that, for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $T_n(x)$  converges in distribution to  $T(x)$ . (ii) Then, we show that, for all  $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ , the 2-dimensional variable  $(T_n(x), T_n(y))$  converges in distribution to  $(T(x), T(y))$  by using a generalisation of Cramér-Wold theorem. (iii) Finally, we conclude that the convergence in distribution still holds for any finite-dimensional variable.

(i) Due to (7) we have for all  $x \in [0, 1]$

$$T_n(x) = \sqrt{n}(V_n(x) - E(V_n(x))) = \sqrt{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \lambda_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor} (\chi_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor}^2 - 1).$$

First we show that the variance of  $T_n(x)$  converges to  $2 \int_0^x \alpha^2(x) dx$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We have  $Var(T_n(x)) = n Var(V_n(x)) = n(E(V_n^2(x)) - (EV_n(x))^2)$ . Recalling the definition (8) of  $a_{j,k}$ ,  $(j, k) \in [1, 2, \dots, n]^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(V_n(x)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} a_{k,k}, \\ E(V_n^2(x)) &= 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} a_{k,k}^2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \sum_{j>k}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} (a_{k,k} a_{j,j} + 2a_{j,k}^2). \end{aligned}$$



the second equality coming from, for  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4)^t$  a centred Gaussian vector

$$E(\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_4) = E(\xi_1 \xi_2)E(\xi_3 \xi_4) + E(\xi_1 \xi_3)E(\xi_2 \xi_4) + E(\xi_1 \xi_4)E(\xi_2 \xi_3). \quad (13)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Var}(T_n(x)) = 2n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} a_{j,k}^2 = 2n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} a_{k,k}^2 + 4n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \sum_{j>k} a_{j,k}^2. \quad (14)$$

Using the estimates of  $a_{k,k}$  in (10) and  $a_{j,k}$  in (9), the second term on the right-hand side of (14) converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$2n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} a_{k,k}^2 = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \alpha^2 \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) + o(1).$$

Since  $\alpha$  is Riemann integrable in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $2n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} a_{k,k}^2$  converges to  $2 \int_0^x \alpha^2(x) dx$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then we show that the variables  $X_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor} = \sqrt{n} \lambda_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor} (\chi_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor}^2 - 1)$  satisfy the conditions of the Lyapounov central limit theorem (theorem 27.3 in Billingsley (1995)). Indeed, for each  $n$  the variables  $X_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor}$  are independent, have finite variance and are centred. Moreover

$$E(|X_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor}|^3) \leq 15n^{3/2} \lambda_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor}^3, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor nx \rfloor.$$

We know that  $s_n(x) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(T_n(x))}$  converges to  $\sqrt{2 \int_0^x \alpha^2(x) dx}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and, from lemma 4.1, that the maximum  $\lambda_{\lfloor nx \rfloor}$  of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor}$  is a  $O(1/n)$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} E(|X_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor}|^3) = O(1/\sqrt{n})$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \frac{E(|X_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor}|^3)}{s_n^3(x)} = 0$ . Thus,  $T_n(x)/\sqrt{\text{Var}(T_n(x))}$  converges in distribution to a reduced Gaussian variable.

(ii) Consider now the 2-dimensional variable  $(T_n(x), T_n(y))$ . We now show that  $(T_n(x), T_n(y))$  converges in distribution to  $(T(x), T(y))$ .

According to the Cramér-Wold theorem (*e.g.* Billingsley (1968)), it is equivalent to show that, for any real coefficients  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , the linear combination  $\theta_1 T_n(x) + \theta_2 T_n(y)$  converges in distribution to  $\theta_1 T(x) + \theta_2 T(y)$ . The lemma 6 in Lang and Azaïs says that the Cramér-Wold theorem remains true when we restrict to  $\theta_1 \geq 0$  and  $\theta_2 \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $x \leq y$  and let  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are positive. Then

$$\theta_1 T_n(x) + \theta_2 T_n(y) = \sqrt{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor ny \rfloor} (Y_k^2 - E(Y_k^2))$$

where  $Y_k = \sqrt{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \Delta Z_k$  if  $k \leq \lfloor nx \rfloor$  and  $Y_k = \sqrt{\theta_2} \Delta Z_k$  if  $\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor ny \rfloor$ . The covariance matrix  $(c_{j,k})$  of the centred Gaussian vector  $(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{\lfloor ny \rfloor})$

$Y_{[ny]}^t$  is

$$\begin{cases} c_{j,k} = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)a_{j,k} & \text{if } j, k \leq [nx] \\ c_{j,k} = \sqrt{(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}\sqrt{\theta_2}a_{j,k} & \text{if } j \leq [nx] \text{ and } k \geq 1 + [nx] \\ c_{j,k} = \theta_2 a_{j,k} & \text{if } j, k \geq [nx] + 1 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

As for (7), we have

$$\theta_1 T_n(x) + \theta_2 T_n(y) = \sqrt{n} \sum_{k=1}^{[ny]} \tau_{k,[ny]} \left( \chi_{k,[ny]}^2 - 1 \right)$$

where  $\tau_{1,[ny]}, \tau_{2,[ny]}, \dots, \tau_{[ny],[ny]}$  are the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix  $(c_{j,k})$ . The maximum  $\tau_{[ny]}$  of these eigenvalues satisfies

$$\tau_{[ny]} \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq [nx]} \sum_{j=1}^{[nx]} |c_{j,k}|$$

It follows from (9), (11) and (15) that  $\tau_{[ny]} = O(1/n)$ . By a similar treatment as the one used in the previous point (i), we show that  $\frac{\theta_1 T_n(x) + \theta_2 T_n(y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\theta_1 T_n(x) + \theta_2 T_n(y))}}$  converges in distribution to a reduced Gaussian variable.

It remains to identify the limit of  $\text{Var}(\theta_1 T_n(x) + \theta_2 T_n(y))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . First  $\theta_1^2 \text{Var}(T_n(x)) + \theta_2^2 \text{Var}(T_n(y))$  converges to  $2\theta_1^2 \int_0^x \alpha^2(u) du + 2\theta_2^2 \int_0^y \alpha^2(u) du$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; then we have the decomposition

$$\text{Cov}(T_n(x), T_n(y)) = \text{Var}(T_n(x)) + E(T_n(x)(T_n(y) - T_n(x))).$$

Using (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(T_n(x)(T_n(y) - T_n(x))) &= n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{[nx]} \sum_{j=[nx]+1}^{[ny]} (E((\Delta Z_k)^2(\Delta Z_j)^2) - a_{j,j}a_{k,k}) \right) \\ &= 2n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{[nx]} \sum_{j=[nx]+1}^{[ny]} a_{j,k}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the estimate in (9), we obtain that  $|E(T_n(x)(T_n(y) - T_n(x)))| = O(1/n)$  and  $\text{Var}(\theta_1 T_n(x) + \theta_2 T_n(y))$  converges to  $\text{Var}(\theta_1 T(x) + \theta_2 T(y))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(iii) Finally, to conclude that  $(T_n(x_1), T_n(x_2), \dots, T_n(x_p))$  converges in distribution to  $(T(x_1), T(x_2), \dots, T(x_p))$  we apply the following treatment

- first note that any linear combination  $\theta_1 T_n(x_1) + \theta_2 T_n(x_2) + \dots + \theta_p T_n(x_p)$  can be expressed as the difference of two positive linear combinations of quadratic variations;
- note also that any positive linear combination of quadratic variations is still a quadratic variation;

- therefore, any linear combination of quadratic variations reduces to the difference of two quadratic variations;
- consequently, we apply the lemma 6 of Lang and Azaïs to prove the convergence in distribution of this difference as we did in the point (ii).

□

Set for  $m = 2$  and  $4$ ,  $M_m = E(\chi_{k, [nx]}^2 - 1)^m$ .

**Lemma 4.4** *Assume (A1). Then for  $0 \leq x_1 \leq x \leq x_2 \leq 1$*

$$E(|T_n(x) - T_n(x_1)|^2 |T_n(x_2) - T_n(x)|^2) \leq B(x_2 - x_1)^2.$$

where  $B$  is a positive constant.

**Proof.** Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(|T_n(x) - T_n(x_1)|^2 |T_n(x_2) - T_n(x)|^2) \\ \leq \sqrt{E(|T_n(x) - T_n(x_1)|^4)} \sqrt{E(|T_n(x_2) - T_n(x)|^4)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is sufficient to prove that  $E(|T_n(y) - T_n(x)|^4) \leq B(y - x)^2$ , for  $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1$ . We have

$$T_n(y) - T_n(x) = \sqrt{n} \sum_{k=[nx]+1}^{[ny]} (\Delta Z_k)^2 = \sqrt{n} \sum_{k=[nx]+1}^{[ny]} \lambda_{k, [ny]} (\chi_{k, [ny]}^2 - 1)$$

where  $\lambda_{[nx]+1, [ny]}, \lambda_{[nx]+2, [ny]}, \dots, \lambda_{[ny], [ny]}$  are the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix of the Gaussian vector  $(\Delta Z_{[nx]+1}, \Delta Z_{[nx]+2}, \dots, \Delta Z_{[ny]})^t$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E(|T_n(y) - T_n(x)|^4) &= n^2 \left( M_4 \sum_{k=[nx]+1}^{[ny]} \lambda_{k, [ny]}^4 + 6M_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{[nx]+1} \sum_{j>k} \lambda_{k, [ny]}^2 \lambda_{j, [ny]}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 3n^2 M_4 \left( \sum_{k=[nx]+1}^{[ny]} \lambda_{k, [ny]}^2 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We can show as we showed lemma 4.1 that the maximum of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{k, [ny]}$  is a  $O(1/n)$ . Thus

$$E(|T_n(y) - T_n(x)|^4) \leq B(y - x)^2.$$

□

Here is our main theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** *Assume (A1)-(A2). Then  $\left\{ \sqrt{n}(v_n(x) - \int_0^x \alpha(u) du), x \in [0, 1] \right\}$  converges in distribution in  $C([0, 1])$  to the Gaussian process  $\left\{ \int_0^x \sqrt{2}\alpha(u) dW(u), x \in [0, 1] \right\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** For any  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} \left( v_n(x) - \int_0^x \alpha(u) du \right) \\ = \sqrt{n} (v_n(x) - E(v_n(x))) + \sqrt{n} \left( E(v_n(x)) - \int_0^x \alpha(u) du \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The second term on the right-hand side of (16) can be decomposed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} \left( E(v_n(x)) - \int_0^x \alpha(u) du \right) &= \sqrt{n} \left( E(V_n(x)) - \int_0^x \alpha(u) du \right) \\ &+ \sqrt{n} (nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor) E(\Delta Z_{\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

According to lemma 4.2, the first term on the right-hand side of (17) converges uniformly in  $[0, 1]$  to 0. We have  $\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} (nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor) \leq 1$ ; for  $x = 1$ ,  $(nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor) = 0$ , and for any  $x \in [0, 1[$  there is one  $k \in [1, 2, \dots, n]$  such that  $\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1 = k$  and  $E(\Delta Z_{\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1})^2 = a_{k,k}$  as defined by (8). We showed that  $|a_{k,k}| = O(1/n)$  uniformly in  $k$  (cf. (11)). So the second term on the right-hand side of (17) converges to 0 uniformly in  $[0, 1]$ .

It remains to study the convergence in distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(v_n(x) - E(v_n(x)))$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} (v_n(x) - E(v_n(x))) &= \sqrt{n} (V_n(x) - E(V_n(x))) \\ &+ \sqrt{n} (nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor) (\Delta Z_{\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1})^2 \\ &- \sqrt{n} (nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor) E(\Delta Z_{\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

As previously, the third term on the right hand side of (18) converges to 0 uniformly in  $[0, 1]$ . From property 2.1 it follows that *a.s.* the second term on the right hand side of (18) converges to 0 uniformly in  $[0, 1]$ . Finally, it follows from lemma 4.3, lemma 4.4 and theorem 15.6 in Billingsley (1968) that  $\{\sqrt{n}(V_n(x) - E(V_n(x))), x \in [0, 1]\}$  converges in distribution to the Gaussian process  $\left\{ \int_0^x \sqrt{2}\alpha(u) dW(u), x \in [0, 1] \right\}$ .

□

## 5 Application to the estimation of a deformation model

We come back to the statistical problem related to the quadratic variations and described in section 3. We want to estimate the deformation  $\Phi$  in the model (3)-(4) and defined by (6). An estimator of  $\Phi$  is

$$\hat{\Phi}_n(x) = \frac{v_n(x)}{v_n(1)}.$$

**Theorem 5.1** *Assume (A1), (A2) and (B). Then a.s.*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |\hat{\Phi}_n(x) - \Phi(x)| = 0.$$

**Proof.** Since  $(\hat{\Phi}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of increasing functions in  $C([0, 1])$ , it suffices to show the pointwise *a.s.* convergence instead of the uniform *a.s.* convergence. Thus, we must show that *a.s.* for all  $x \in [0, 1]$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) = \int_0^x \alpha(u) du.$$

For all  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$v_n(x) = V_n(x) + (nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor) (\Delta Z_{\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1})^2. \quad (19)$$

It follows from property 2.1 that *a.s.* the second term on the right-hand side of (19) converges *a.s.* to 0. It remains to study

$$V_n(x) = E(V_n(x)) + V_n(x) - E(V_n(x)). \quad (20)$$

By a similar treatment as the one used in the proof of lemma 4.4, we can show that

$$E(V_n(x) - E(V_n(x)))^4 \leq 3M_4 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} \lambda_{k, \lfloor nx \rfloor}^2 \right)^2.$$

Thus, it follows from lemma 4.1 that  $E(V_n(x) - E(V_n(x)))^4 = O(1/n^2)$ . Using Markov inequality and Borel-Cantelli lemma, we obtain that *a.s.*  $V_n(x) - E(V_n(x))$  converges to 0. As  $E(V_n(x))$  converges to  $\int_0^x \alpha(u) du$  (from lemma 4.2), *a.s.* the left-hand side of (20) converges to  $\int_0^x \alpha(u) du$ . □

Hereafter, we prove the functional convergence in distribution.

**Corollary 5.1** *Assume (A1)-(A2) and (B). Then*

$$\left\{ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\Phi}_n(x) - \Phi(x)), x \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

*converges in distribution in  $C([0, 1])$  to the Gaussian process*

$$\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2} \int_0^x \alpha(u) dW(u)}{\int_0^1 \alpha(u) du} - \Phi(x) \frac{\sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \alpha(u) dW(u)}{\int_0^1 \alpha(u) du}, x \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

*as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** For all  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have the following decomposition

$$\hat{\Phi}_n(x) - \Phi(x) = \frac{v_n(x) - \int_0^x \alpha(u) du}{\int_0^1 \alpha(u) du} - \hat{\Phi}_n(x) \frac{v_n(1) - \int_0^1 \alpha(u) du}{\int_0^1 \alpha(u) du}.$$

The result follows directly from theorems 4.1 and 5.1.

□

We can now propose a test of stationarity for Gaussian processes satisfying model (3)-(4), that is  $\Phi(x) = x$  against  $\Phi(x) \neq x$ . In this case,  $\{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\Phi}_n(x) - x), x \in [0, 1]\}$  converges in distribution in  $C([0, 1])$  to the Brownian bridge  $\{\sqrt{2}(W(x) - xW(1)),$

$x \in [0, 1]\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $\sqrt{n} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |\hat{\Phi}_n(x) - x|$  converges in distribution

to the Kolmogorov distribution  $\sqrt{2}D$  where  $D = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |W(x) - xW(1)|$ . Recall

that  $P(D \leq y) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-2k^2y^2)$  for all  $y > 0$  (e.g. Dacunha-Castelle

and Duflo (1986)). Therefore, we reject stationary hypothesis at the level of significance  $a$  if  $\sqrt{n} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |\hat{\Phi}_n(x) - x| \geq \sqrt{2}Q_{1-a}$  where  $Q_{1-a}$  is the quantile of

order  $1 - a$  of  $D$ .

## Acknowledgements

Part of this work was done while I was visiting the department of Mathematical Statistics at Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University in Sweden. I thank this University for its heartfelt hospitality.

I also thank Xavier Guyon and Jacques Istas for helpful comments.

## References

- [1] R.L. Adler and R. Pyke, Uniform quadratic variation of Gaussian processes, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 48 (1993) 191-209.
- [2] G. Baxter, A strong limit theorem for Gaussian processes, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 7 (1956) 522-527.
- [3] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, Wiley (1968).
- [4] P. Billingsley, *Probability and measure*, Wiley 3rd ed. (1995).
- [5] D. Dacunha-Castelle and M. Duflo, *Probability and Statistiques, Volume II* Springer-Verlag (1986).
- [6] E.G. Gladyshev, A new limit theorem for processes with Gaussian increments, *Theory Probab. Appl.* 6 (1961) 52-61.
- [7] X. Guyon, Variations de champs gaussiens stationnaires : application à l'identification, *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 75 (1987) 179-193.
- [8] X. Guyon and J.R. León, Convergence en loi des H-variations d'un processus gaussien stationnaire sur  $\mathbb{R}$ , *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré* 25 (1989) 265-282.
- [9] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson, *Topics in matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press (1991).
- [10] J. Istas and G. Lang, Quadratic variations and estimation of the local Hölder index of a Gaussian process, *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré* 33 4 (1997) 407-436.
- [11] G. Lang and J.M. Azaïs, Non parametric estimation of the long-range dependence exponent for Gaussian processes, To appear in Special Issue on Long-range Dependence, *J. Statist. Planning & Inference*, V.V. Anh and C.C. Heyde (eds.).
- [12] J.R. León and J. Ortega, Weak convergence of different types of variations for biparametric Gaussian processes, in: *Colloquia Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* 57, *Limit theorem in Proba. and Stat. (Pecs, Hungary 1989)* pp. 349-364.
- [13] P. Lévy, Le mouvement brownien plan, *Amer. J. Math* 62 (1940) 487-550.
- [14] J. Neveu, *Bases mathématiques du calcul des probabilités*, Masson 2nd éd (1980).
- [15] O. Perrin, *Modèle de covariance d'un Processus Non-Stationnaire par Déformation de l'Espace et Statistique*, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Paris I Panthéon-Sorbonne, Paris (1997).
- [16] O. Perrin and R. Senoussi, Reducing Non-stationary stochastic processes to stationarity by a time deformation, to appear in *Statistics & Probability Letters* (1998).

- [17] P.D. Sampson and P. Guttorp, Nonparametric estimation of nonstationary spatial covariance structure, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 87 (1992) 108-119.