

General n -dimensional Tauberian problems with application to the Laplace- and Stieltjes transforms

Abstract: A general theorem on the closure of translates in certain weighted spaces in R^n is proved and as a consequence a general n -dimensional Tauberian theorem. This is applied to the n -dimensional Laplace transform and to the one-dimensional Stieltjes- and Weierstrass transforms. AMS subject classification is primary 40E05 and secondary 44A30.

0 Introduction

Let K, ϕ and ψ be functions from R^n to R which belong to some specific classes of functions which will be defined later in the text.

Suppose that

$$(0.1) \quad K * \phi(x) \sim K * \psi(x), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Under certain restrictions on ψ and with a Tauberian condition on ϕ we will show that

$$(0.2) \quad \phi(x) \sim \psi(x), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Here

$$K * \phi(x) = \int K(x - u)\phi(u)du,$$

where we let an unspecified region of integration be R^n throughout the text.

By $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ we mean that $x_k \rightarrow +\infty, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and by (0.2) we mean that

$$\phi(x) = \psi(x) + o(\psi(x)) \quad \text{when } x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

with a corresponding interpretation for (0.1).

The class of kernels considered here will be chosen so that it includes a wide variety of well-known transformation kernels. As specific examples we apply the general results to

the n -dimensional Laplace transform and the one-dimensional Stieltjes- and Weierstrass transforms.

Problems of this kind for the one dimensional Laplace transform has been treated earlier by the author in [7].

The method used depends on an n -dimensional analogue of a theorem on the closure of the span of translates of the transformation kernels in a certain weighted space. One-dimensional closure theorems of this kind was first proved by Nyman [14] and Korenblum [11]. The methods used in this paper are developments of ideas used by the author in ([5], [6], [7]).

1 Preliminaries

We will use the following notations beside the ones used in the introduction.

If

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n, \quad y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in R^n$$

then

$$x \leq y \quad \text{if} \quad x_k \leq y_k \quad \text{for all} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

with a corresponding meaning for $x < y$.

We also let R_+^n be all $x \in R^n$ such that $x \geq \mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Furthermore, we let

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k, \quad |x| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}, \quad x \otimes y = (x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2, \dots, x_n y_n),$$

$$\delta x = (\delta x_1, \delta x_2, \dots, \delta x_n) \quad \text{if} \quad \delta \text{ is a real number,}$$

$$\|x\| = \sum_{k=1}^n \max(0, x_k), \quad (\text{which is a pseudonorm in } R^n \text{ but a norm in } R_+^n),$$

$$\exp x = (\exp x_1, \exp x_2, \dots, \exp x_n), \quad \mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

If $x > \mathbf{0}$ then

$$\ln x = (\ln x_1, \ln x_2, \dots, \ln x_n), \quad x^y = \exp(y \cdot \ln x),$$

$$\frac{x}{y} = \left(\frac{x_1}{y_1}, \frac{x_2}{y_2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{y_n} \right).$$

We use standard notations for the Fouriertransform, thus

$$\hat{K}(x) = \int \exp(-ix \cdot t) K(t) dt.$$

We also introduce weight-functions p defined in R^n such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &\geq p(0) = 1 \\ p(x+y) &\leq p(x)p(y) \\ p(rx) &\geq p(x) \quad \text{if } r \text{ real and } r \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we use weight-functions p of the form

$$p(x) = (1 + |x|)^\mu \exp(-\|m \otimes x\|), \quad \text{where } \mu \in R_+ \text{ and } m \in R_+^n.$$

We call a function p from R^n to R non-decreasing if

$$p(x) \leq p(y) \quad \text{when } x \leq y.$$

DEFINITION. For any weight-function p we let $L^1(p)$ consist of all measurable functions H such that

$$\|H\|_p^1 = \int |H(-x)|p(x)dx < \infty$$

We also let $L^\infty(p)$ denote the space of all measurable functions ϕ such that

$$\|\phi\|_p^\infty = \text{ess sup}_{-\infty < x < \infty} \frac{|\phi(x)|}{p(x)} < \infty.$$

We see that $L^1(p)$ is a Banachspace under this norm with $L^\infty(p)$ as its dual space, which means that any bounded linear functional on $L^1(p)$ is of the form

$$K \rightarrow \int K(-x)\phi(x)dx$$

for some function $\phi \in L^\infty(p)$. (Cf. e.g. [16] p. 136)

For convenience we let C stand for positive constants not necessarily the same each time.

2 Some general Tauberian Theorems

We first introduce the class of kernels considered.

DEFINITION. By $E(\alpha, \beta, M)$ we denote all integrable functions K defined in R^n such that:

1⁰ $\hat{K}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in R^n$

2⁰ The function g defined by $g(t) = \hat{K}(t)^{-1}$ can be analytically continued in a region $-\alpha < \text{Im } t < \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$

3⁰ This function g satisfies an inequality

$$|g(t)| \leq C \exp(M(x))$$

for all $t = x + iy$ such that $-\alpha < y < \beta$ and for some function M from R^n to R_+ .

THEOREM 1. Let p be a weight-function of the form

$$p(x) = (1 + |x|)^\mu \exp(\|m \otimes x\|), x \in R^n, \text{ for some } \mu \text{ in } R_+ \text{ and } m \text{ in } R_+^n.$$

If $K \in L^1(p) \cap E(\alpha, \beta, M)$ with $\alpha > m$ and if $M(x) = o\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \exp(\pi \frac{|x_k|}{\alpha_k})\right)$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, then the span of translates of K is dense in $L^1(p)$.

PROOF. We will prove that for any $\phi \in L^\infty(p)$ and any K which satisfies the condition above then

$$(2.1) \quad K * \phi(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in R^n$$

implies that

$$\phi(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } R^n.$$

For any real $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\alpha > m + \frac{3\varepsilon}{\pi}\alpha$ and $\beta - \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi}\alpha > 0$, and for any $\omega \in R^n$ consider the function

$$(2.2) \quad Q(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \exp(ix \cdot u) h(u - \omega) g(u) du$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad h(u) = \exp \left[-A \cdot \exp \left(\pi \frac{u}{\alpha} + i \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 2\varepsilon \right) \mathbf{1} \right) - A \cdot \exp \left(-\pi \frac{u}{\alpha} - i \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 2\varepsilon \right) \mathbf{1} \right) \right]$$

with an $A \in R_+^n$ such that $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \right) A = \mathbf{1}$.

In (2.2) we now make the substitution

$$u = t + is \quad \text{where} \quad -\alpha + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi}\alpha < s < \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi}\alpha,$$

and after a translation of the region of integration we obtain that

$$(2.4) \quad Q(-x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \exp(s \cdot x) \int \exp(-ix \cdot t) h(t - \omega + is) g(t + is) dt$$

If now $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ is chosen so that

$$s_k = -\alpha_k + \frac{3\varepsilon}{\pi}\alpha_k \quad \text{when } x_k > 0$$

and

$$s_k = +\varepsilon \frac{\alpha_k}{\pi} \quad \text{when } x_k \leq 0$$

then we can see that there exists a positive number δ such that

$$(2.5) \quad |Q(-x)p(x)| \leq C \exp(-\delta|x|) \quad \text{for all } x \in R^n.$$

Hence $Q \in L^1(p)$.

If

$$K * \phi = \psi$$

then clearly $\psi \in L^\infty(p)$ and

$$(2.6) \quad Q * (K * \phi) = Q * \psi.$$

The conditions above are enough to prove that

$$(2.7) \quad Q * (K * \phi) = (Q * K) * \phi.$$

The method above to prove formulas (2.4) and (2.5) can also be used to prove that if h is defined as in (2.3) then $h = \hat{H}$ for some function $H \in L^1(p)$. Now

$$(2.8) \quad Q * K(u) = H(u) \exp(i\omega \cdot u),$$

which follows from the fact that

$$\hat{Q}(u) = h(u - \omega)g(u)$$

and hence

$$(Q * K)\hat{}(u) = \hat{Q}(u)\hat{K}(u) = h(u - \omega).$$

By use of (2.1), (2.7) and (2.8) we have that

$$(2.9) \quad \int \exp(-i\omega \cdot u)H(-u)\phi(u+x)du = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega, x \in R^n$$

The uniqueness of the Fouriertransform now implies that

$$H(-u)\phi(u+x) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } u \text{ for any } x \in R^n,$$

and since H is non-trivial, we have finally proved that

$$\phi(u) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } R^n.$$

It now follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem that the span of translates of K is dense in $L^1(p)$. (Cf. [16] p.114).

REMARK. In thesis 1950 Nyman [14] gave, in the one-dimensional case, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the span of translates of a kernel $K \in L^1(p)$ to be dense in $L^1(p)$, if $p(x) = \exp(\alpha x)$ for $x \geq 0$ and $p(x) = \exp(-\beta x)$ for $x < 0$. He proved that $\hat{K}(t) \neq 0$ in the closed strip $-\alpha \leq \text{Im } t \leq \beta$ and $K \in E(\alpha, \beta, M)$ with $M(x) = o\left(\exp \frac{\pi|x|}{\alpha+\beta}\right)$ when $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, are the appropriate conditions.

Essentially the same result was proved by Korenblum [11] in 1958.

In this connection it may also be noted that a well-known result by Levinson [12], also in the one-dimensional case, shows that if $m > \alpha$ then

$$H(x) = O\left(\exp(-mx)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{H}(x) = O\left(\exp\left(-\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha}x\right)\right)\right), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty$$

implies that $H(x) = 0$ a.e.

THEOREM 2. Let K, ϕ and ψ be functions from R^n to R such that K is non-negative and fulfills the conditions of Theorem 1 with $p(x) = (1+|x|)\exp(\|m \otimes x\|)$. Furthermore, let ψ be positive and non-decreasing and let $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ be bounded when $\|x\|$ is bounded.

If now

$$(2.10) \quad K * \phi(x) \sim K * \psi(x), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty$$

and also

$$(2.11) \quad K * \phi(x) = O(K * \psi(x)) \quad \text{when} \quad \|x\| \rightarrow +\infty$$

and if for any real $\delta > 0$ there exist an X in R such that

$$(2.12) \quad \psi(x+y) \leq (1+\delta)\exp(m \cdot y)\psi(x) \quad \text{when} \quad \|x\| \geq X, y \geq 0.$$

and if

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \leq y \leq x+h} \left(\frac{\phi(y) - \phi(x)}{\psi(x)} \right) = 0$$

then

$$(2.14) \quad \phi(x) \sim \psi(x), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

PROOF. As a first step we will prove that

$$|\phi(x)| \leq C(1 + \psi(x)) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in R^n.$$

In proving this we use that

$$(2.15) \quad \psi(x+u) \leq C \exp(\|m \otimes u\|)(1 + \psi(x)) \quad \text{for any } u, x \in R^n.$$

This follows since ψ is non-decreasing and hence

$$\psi(x + u) \leq \psi(x + y) \quad \text{if } y = (\|u_1\|, \|u_2\|, \dots, \|u_n\|) \quad \text{for any } x, u \in R^n$$

and then by (2.12)

$$\psi(x + u) \leq C \exp(\|m \otimes u\|)\psi(x) \quad \text{for any } u \in R^n \text{ if } \|x\| \text{ is large enough.}$$

Since $\psi(x)$ is bounded when $\|x\|$ is bounded, we easily get (2.15).

We now start using (2.13) and the fact that $\phi(x)$ is bounded when $\|x\|$ is bounded to see that

$$(2.16) \quad \phi(y) - \phi(x) \geq -C(1 + \psi(x)), \quad x \leq y \leq x + 2 \mathbf{1} \quad \text{for all } x \in R^n.$$

If $x \leq y \leq x + \mathbf{1}$ then

$$K * \phi(y + \mathbf{1}) - K * \phi(x) = \int K(-u)(\phi(u + y + \mathbf{1}) - \phi(u + x))du = I_1 + I_2$$

where I_1 is the integral taken over all $S = \{u \in R^n : -\mathbf{1} \leq u \leq \mathbf{0}\}$ and I_2 is the integral taken over the rest of R^n .

By (2.15) and (2.16) we see that

$$I_2 \geq -C(1 + \psi(x))$$

and hence by (2.11) and (2.15) we have that

$$(2.17) \quad I_1 \leq K * \phi(y + \mathbf{1}) - K * \phi(x) + C(1 + \psi(x)) \leq C(1 + \psi(x))$$

if $\|x\|$ is large enough.

When $u \in S$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u + y + \mathbf{1}) - \phi(u + x) &= \phi(u + y + \mathbf{1}) - \phi(y) + \phi(x) - \phi(u + x) + \phi(y) - \phi(x) \geq \\ &\geq -C(1 + \psi(x)) + \phi(y) - \phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

and see that

$$I_1 \geq (-C(1 + \psi(x)) + \phi(y) - \phi(x)) \int_S K(-u)du.$$

Since $\phi(x)$ is bounded if $\|x\|$ is bounded we have from (2.17) that

$$\phi(y) - \phi(x) \leq C(1 + \psi(x)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R^n \text{ such that } x \leq y \leq x + \mathbf{1}.$$

We combine this inequality with (2.16) and see that

$$(2.18) \quad |\phi(u + x) - \phi(x)| \leq C(1 + \psi(x)), \quad \mathbf{0} \leq u \leq \mathbf{1} \quad \text{for any } x \in R^n.$$

Hence for any $u \geq 0$ and any $u \leq 0$ we have by (2.15) that

$$|\phi(u+x) - \phi(x)| \leq C(1+|u|) \exp(\|m \otimes u\|)(1+\psi(x)) \leq Cp(u)(1+\psi(x)).$$

For any other value of u we let

$$x_0 = x, x_1 = x + (u_1, 0, 0, \dots), x_2 = x_1 + (0, u_2, 0, \dots), x_3 = x_2 + (0, 0, u_3, 0, \dots), \dots$$

and in this case we see after some calculations using (2.18) and (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(u+x) - \phi(x)| &= \left| \sum_{q=1}^n (\phi(x_q) - \phi(x_{q-1})) \right| \leq \\ &\leq C \sum_{q=1}^n (1+|u_q|) \exp(\|m_q u_q\|)(1+\psi(x_{q-1})) \leq Cp(u)(1+\psi(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.19) \quad |\phi(u+x) - \phi(x)| \leq Cp(u)(1+\psi(x)) \text{ for all } u \text{ and } x \text{ in } R^n.$$

Now since $K \in L^1(p)$

$$\begin{aligned} |K * \phi(x) - \phi(x)| &= \left| \int K(-u) (\phi(u+x) - \phi(x)) du \right| \leq \\ &\leq C(1+\psi(x)) \int K(-u)p(u) du \leq C(1+\psi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we use (2.11) and the fact that $\phi(x)$ is bounded when $\|x\|$ is bounded to see that

$$(2.20) \quad |\phi(x)| \leq C(1+\psi(x)) \text{ for all } x \in R^n.$$

Hence the first step of the proof is completed.

For any function $H \in L^1(p)$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we now can, using *Theorem 1*, find a finite linear combination K_ε of translates of K ,

$$K_\varepsilon(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k K(x - \lambda_k),$$

such that

$$\|K_\varepsilon - H\|_p^1 < \varepsilon.$$

We write

$$H * \phi = H * \psi + (H - K_\varepsilon) * (\phi - \psi) + K_\varepsilon * (\phi - \psi).$$

By (2.10) and (2.12) we see that

$$K_\varepsilon * (\phi - \psi) = o(1)K_\varepsilon * \psi(x) = o(\psi(x)), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By (2.20) we have that

$$(H - K_\varepsilon) * (\phi - \psi)(x) = O(1)(|H - K_\varepsilon| * (1 + \psi(x))), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and hence by (2.15) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (H - K_\varepsilon) * (\phi - \psi)(x) &= O(1) \int |H(-u) - K_\varepsilon(-u)|(1 + \psi(u + x))du = \\ &= O(1)(1 + \psi(x)) \int |H(-u) - K_\varepsilon(-u)|p(u)du = O(1)(1 + \psi(x))\|H - K_\varepsilon\|_p^1, \quad x \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, it follows that

$$(2.21) \quad H * \phi(x) = H * \psi(x) + o(\psi(x)), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

For any positive real number h we now let H be the characteristic function on the set $S_h = \{u \in R^n : -h \mathbf{1} \leq u \leq \mathbf{0}\}$ multiplied by h^{-n} . Then by (2.21)

$$h^{-n} \int_{S_h} \phi(x - u)du = h^{-n} \int_{S_h} \psi(x - u)du + o(\psi(x)), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We divide this expression with $\psi(x)$ and see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi(x)}{\psi(x)} &= h^{-n} \int_{S_h} \frac{\phi(x)}{\psi(x)}du = h^{-n} \int_{S_h} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(x - u)}{\psi(x)}du + h^{-n} \int_{S_h} \frac{\phi(x - u)}{\psi(x)}du = \\ &= h^{-n} \int_{S_h} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(x - u)}{\psi(x)}du + h^{-n} \int_{S_h} \frac{\psi(x - u)}{\psi(x)}du + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By use of (2.12) and (2.13) we see that if h is small enough then to any real $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $x_1 \in R^n$ such that

$$\frac{\phi(x)}{\psi(x)} < 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{if } x \geq x_1.$$

If on the other hand, H is the characteristic function on $D_h = \{u \in R^n : 0 \leq u \leq h \mathbf{1}\}$ multiplied by h^{-n} we can in an analogous way prove that there exists an $x_2 \in R^n$ so that

$$\frac{\phi(x)}{\psi(x)} > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{if } x \geq x_2.$$

Hence

$$\phi(x) \sim \psi(x), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and we have proved *Theorem 2*.

3 Results for the n -dimensional Laplace transform

We suppose that α and β are real functions of bounded variation defined in R_+^n . We also suppose that

$$\alpha(t) = \beta(t) = 0 \quad \text{if any } t_k = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

This means that α and β belong to an n -dimensional analogue of class V_0 in [1].

We use the following notations for the corresponding Laplace transforms:

$$(3.1) \quad F(s) = \int_{R_+^n} \exp(-s \cdot t) d\alpha(t), \quad s > 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad G(s) = \int_{R_+^n} \exp(-s \cdot t) d\beta(t), \quad s > 0,$$

where we suppose that the integrals are boundedly convergent for any $s > 0$ (cf. [1]).

THEOREM 3. *Let β be positive and non-decreasing and suppose that*

$$(3.3) \quad F(s) \sim G(s), \quad s \rightarrow \mathbf{0}+,$$

and that

$$(3.4) \quad F(s) = O(G(s)) \quad \text{when } \min_{k=1,2,\dots,n} s_k \rightarrow 0+.$$

If for any real number $\delta > 0$ there exist an $m \in R_+^n$ and a positive real number T such that

$$(3.5) \quad \beta(r \otimes t) \leq (1 + \delta)r^m \beta(t), \quad r \geq \mathbf{1}, \|t\| \geq T$$

and if

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1+} \liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} \left(\frac{\alpha(t) - \alpha(x)}{\beta(x)} \right) = 0$$

then

$$(3.7) \quad \alpha(t) \sim \beta(t), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. We make a partial integration in (3.1) and obtain that

$$F(s) = s^1 \int_{R_+^n} \exp(-s \cdot t) \alpha(t) dt, \quad s > 0,$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent (cf. [1]). We do the same in (3.2) and get a corresponding result for $G(s)$.

We now make the substitutions

$$s = \exp(-x) \quad \text{and} \quad t = \exp u$$

and let

$$\phi(x) = \alpha(\exp x) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \beta(\exp x).$$

In this way (3.3) is transformed into (2.10), that is

$$K * \phi(x) \sim K * \psi(x), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where

$$K(x) = \exp(-\mathbf{1} \cdot \exp(-x) - \mathbf{1} \cdot x)$$

and

$$\hat{K}(t) = \prod_{k=1}^n \Gamma(1 + it_k), \quad t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n).$$

Hence K fulfills the conditions required in *Theorem 2*. That $K \in E(\alpha, \beta, M)$ for any $\alpha > 0$ and properly chosen β and M follows from Stirlings formula (cf. e.g. [6] p. 231). It is also easy to see that the other conditions of *Theorem 2* are fulfilled since (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) are consequences of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) respectively. Now the conclusion (3.7) follows from (2.14) and hence *Theorem 3* is a consequence of *Theorem 2*.

We also have the following corollary to *Theorem 3*:

COROLLARY 1. *Let $m \in R_+^n$ and let A be a positive real number. Suppose that*

$$(3.8) \quad F(s) \sim As^{-m}, \quad s \rightarrow \mathbf{0}+$$

and that

$$(3.9) \quad F(s) = O(s^{-m}) \quad \text{when} \quad \min_{k=1,2,\dots,n} s_k \rightarrow 0+.$$

Furthermore, suppose that

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1+} \liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} \left(\frac{\alpha(t) - \alpha(x)}{x^m} \right) = 0,$$

then

$$(3.11) \quad \alpha(t) \sim \frac{At^m}{\prod_{k=1}^m \Gamma(1 + m_k)}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. In *Theorem 3* we let

$$\beta(t) = \frac{At^m}{\prod_{k=1}^n \Gamma(1 + m_k)}, \quad \text{if } t > \mathbf{0},$$

and

$$\beta(t) = 0 \quad \text{if any } t_k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In this case,

$$G(s) = As^{-m}, \quad s > \mathbf{0},$$

and the corollary follows from this.

REMARK. From this corollary it follows that Theorem 3 is an n -dimensional generalisation of the classical Hardy-Littlewood-Karamata theorem for the Laplace transform (cf. [8], [10], [13]). If $n = 1$ condition (3.4) is included in (3.3) and hence the classical one-dimensional results are included in Theorem 3.

The two-dimensional case of this corollary was first treated by Delange [4] in the special case when $m = 0$. He then used Tauberian conditions strong enough to imply bounded convergence of the Laplace transform. More recently the multidimensional case of Corollary 1 has been treated among others by Celidze ([2], [3]), Stadtmüller and Trautner [17] and Omey and Willekens [15]. They also use stronger conditions than ours which imply both bounded convergence and condition (3.9).

In Theorem 3 we could equally well let α and β be measures on R_+^n . We just have to replace $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ in (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) with $\int_{0 \leq s \leq t} d\alpha(s)$ and $\int_{0 \leq s \leq t} d\beta(s)$ respectively. (Cf. e.g. [5] p. 48).

4 Consequences for the one-dimensional Stieltjes- and Weierstrass transforms

Suppose that α and β are real-valued functions of bounded variation defined in R_+ with $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 0$. For the Stieltjes transform we give the following example as a consequence of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that β is positive and non-decreasing and that*

$$(4.1) \quad F(s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha(t)}{s+t} \sim G(s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\beta(t)}{s+t}, \quad s \rightarrow \infty$$

and also that for any real number δ there exist a real number m , $0 \leq m < 1$, and a real number T such that

$$(4.2) \quad \beta(rt) \leq (1 + \delta)r^m\beta(t), \quad r \geq 1, t \geq T.$$

Furthermore, suppose that

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1+} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} \left(\frac{\alpha(t) - \alpha(x)}{\beta(x)} \right) = 0,$$

then

$$(4.4) \quad \alpha(t) \sim \beta(t), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. After a partial integration in (4.1), we have that

$$F(s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha(t)dt}{(s+t)^2}$$

and with a corresponding result for $G(s)$.

We now make the substitutions

$$s = \exp x \quad \text{and} \quad t = \exp y$$

and thus transform the problem into *Theorem 2* with

$$K(x) = \left(\exp\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) \right)^{-2}, \quad \phi(x) = \alpha(\exp x) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \beta(\exp x).$$

In this case

$$sF(s) = K * \phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{K}(x) = 2\pi x(\exp(\pi x) - \exp(-\pi x))^{-1}.$$

We omit the details of the proof.

Since

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^\alpha}{(s+t)^2} dt = \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{s^{1-\alpha}}, \quad -1 < \alpha < 1, \quad (\text{cf. e.g. [18], p.184}),$$

we have the following corollary if we let

$$\beta(t) = A \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1,$$

in *Theorem 4*.

COROLLARY 2. *If $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and if for any positive number A*

$$F(s) \sim As^{-\gamma}, \quad s \rightarrow \infty$$

and if also

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1+} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} \left(\frac{\alpha(t) - \alpha(x)}{x^{1-\gamma}} \right) = 0,$$

then

$$\alpha(t) \sim A \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

This is a classical Tauberian theorem for the Stieltjes transform in a general version.

We finally give an example for the Weierstrass transform. The Weierstrass transform is the convolution transform

$$f(x) = \int K(x-t)\phi(t)dt$$

where

$$K(x) = (4\pi)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4}\right), \quad (\text{cf. [9] p. 174}),$$

and we just give the following corollary to *Theorem 2*.

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose that for any positive number A and positive natural number n*

$$f(x) \sim Ax^n, \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and that $\phi(x)$ is bounded when x is bounded above.

If also

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{x \leq y \leq x+h} \left(\frac{\phi(y) - \phi(x)}{x^n} \right) = 0$$

then

$$\phi(x) \sim Ax^n, \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

PROOF. Suppose that

$$H_n(x) = (-1)^n \exp(x^2) D^n \exp(-x^2), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

are the Hermite polynomials.

We use the one-dimensional analogue of *Theorem 2* with the Weierstrass kernel K and with

$$\psi(x) = H_n\left(\frac{x}{2}\right).$$

The result now follows from the fact that

$$H_n\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \sim x^n, \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and that

$$\int K(x-t) H_n\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt = x^n, \quad (\text{cf. [9] p. 178}).$$

The other conditions of *Theorem 2* are clearly fulfilled.

References

1. D.L. Bernstein, *The double Laplace integral*, Duke Math. J. 8 (1941), 460-496.
2. É.V. Celidze, *Generalization of certain theorems of Karamata to the case of two variables* (Russian), Izv. Uceb. Zaaved. Matematika 1972 no. 5 (120), 109-115.
3. É.V. Celidze, *A Tauberian theorem for double integrals* (Russian), Trudy Tbiliss. Univ. 225 (1981), 81-95.
4. H. Delange, *Théorèmes Tauberiens pour les series multiples de Dirichlet et les intégrales multiples de Laplace*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) 70 (1953), 51-103.

5. L. Frennemo, *Tauberian problems for the n -dimensional Laplace transform I*, Math. Scand. 19 (1966), 41-53.
6. L. Frennemo, *Tauberian problems for the n -dimensional Laplace transform II*, Math. Scand. 20 (1967), 225-239.
7. L. Frennemo, *A generalisation of Littlewood's Tauberian theorem for the Laplace transform*, Preprint, Department of Mathematics, 412 96 Göteborg.
8. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Notes on the theory of series XI. On Tauberian theorems*, Proc. L.M.S. (2) 30 (1929), 23-37.
9. I. I. Hirschman and D. V. Widder, *The convolution transform*, Princeton University Press 1955.
10. J. Karamata, *Neuer Beweis und verallgemeinerungen einiger Tauberian-Sätze*, Math. Z. 33 (1931), 294-299.
11. B. Korenblum, *A generalisation of Winer's Tauberian theorem and harmonic analysis of rapidly increasing functions* (Russian), Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc. 7 (1958), 121-148.
12. N. Levinson, *Restrictions imposed by certain functions on their Fourier transforms*, Duke Math. J. 6 (1940), 722-731.
13. J. E. Littlewood, *The converse of Abel's theorem*, Proc. L. M. S. (2) (1910), 434-448.
14. B. Nyman, *On the one-dimensional translation group and semi-group in certain function spaces*, dissertation, Uppsala 1950.
15. E. Omeij and E. Willekens, *Abelian and Tauberian theorems for the Laplace transform of functions in several variables*, J. Multivariate Anal. 30 (1989), no. 2, 292-306.
16. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, Mc Graw-Hill 1974.
17. U. Stadtmüller and R. Trautner, *Tauberian theorems for Laplace transforms in dimension $D > 1$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. 323 (1981), 127-138.
18. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform*, Princeton University Press 1946.

Lennart Frennemo
 Department of Mathematics
 412 96 Göteborg