

On Γ -convergence in anisotropic Orlicz-Sobolev spaces

Dag Lukkassen

Department of Mathematics
Narvik Institute of Technology
Postboks 385, N-8505 Narvik

Norway

and

Nils Svanstedt

Department of Mathematics
Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University
S-412 96 Göteborg
Sweden

0 Introduction

In the study of variational problems in applied mathematics the concept of variational convergence called Γ -convergence has come to be a very important tool. One reason is its compactness properties for general classes of functionals and topologies. In addition almost all other variational convergences follow as consequences of the Γ -convergence. For an introduction to the theory we refer to Dal Maso [5].

In this paper we study Γ -convergence for a class of lower semicontinuous functionals defined on the Orlicz-Sobolev class $W^1L_G(\Omega)$ defined below. There are many advantages

of such a development. The analysis in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces uses properties like convexity and growth (Δ_2 -property) in such a way that one can obtain variational solutions to larger classes of nonlinear problems than in usual Sobolev spaces, see e.g. [8].

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1 we give some preliminary results on Γ -convergence and on Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. The main results are presented in Section 2. In particular we prove a Γ -compactness result (Theorem 2.2) for functionals defined on $W^1L_G(\Omega)$. The framework uses the localization method as presented in [5]. We also compare Γ -convergence and convergence of minima (Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4). Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.2 and contains in particular an Orlicz-space version of the fundamental estimate. In Section 4, finally, we give some concluding remarks.

1 Preliminary results

Let X be a topological space and let $\mathcal{N}(x)$ denote the set of all open neighborhoods of $x \in X$. Further, let $\{F_h\}$ be a sequence of functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 1 The Γ -lower and Γ -upper limits of the sequence $\{F_h\}$ are the functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$F'(x) = \Gamma - \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x) = \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{N}(x)} \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{z \in \omega} F_h(z)$$

and

$$F''(x) = \Gamma - \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x) = \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{N}(x)} \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{z \in \omega} F_h(z),$$

respectively. If these two limits coincide, i.e. if there exists a unique function $F : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$F = \Gamma - \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x) = \Gamma - \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x),$$

we say that the sequence $\{F_h\}$ Γ -converges to F .

Remark 1 By the definition its obvious that $\{F_h\}$ Γ -converges to F if and only if

$$\Gamma - \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h \leq F \leq \Gamma - \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h.$$

This means that Γ -convergence and lower semicontinuity are closely related concepts. We have the following sequential characterization of Γ -convergence, see [5], Proposition 8.1:

Theorem 1.1 *Let X be a separable metric space and let $\{F_h\}$ be a sequence of functionals from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then*

(i) *for every $x \in X$ and for every sequence $\{x_h\}$ converging to x ,*

$$F'(x) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x_h);$$

(ii) *for every $x \in X$ there exists a sequence $\{x_h\}$ converging to x such that*

$$F'(x) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x_h);$$

(iii) *for every $x \in X$ and for every sequence $\{x_h\}$ converging to x ,*

$$F''(x) \leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x_h);$$

(iv) *for every $x \in X$ there exists a sequence $\{x_h\}$ converging to x such that*

$$F''(x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x_h).$$

Consequently $\{F_h\}$ Γ -converges to a function $F \in X$ if and only if

(v) *for every $x \in X$ and for every sequence $\{x_h\}$ converging to x ,*

$$F(x) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x_h)$$

and

(vi) *for every $x \in X$ there exists a sequence $\{x_h\}$ converging to x such that*

$$F(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x_h)$$

Moreover, Γ -convergence enjoys the following compactness property, see [5], Theorem 8.5:

Theorem 1.2 *Let X be a separable metric space. Then every sequence $\{F_h\}$ of functionals from X into $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ has a Γ -convergent subsequence.*

We recall that a Young function $A : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a function of the form

$$A(t) = \int_0^t a(x) dx$$

where the function $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is increasing, left continuous and not identically zero and not identically infinity on the interval $(0, \infty)$.

The Orlicz space $L_A(\Omega)$ is the set of measurable functions f on Ω such that $\|f\|_{A,\Omega} < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{A,\Omega} = \inf \left\{ \theta > 0 : \int_{\Omega} A \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\theta} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

(the Luxemburg norm on $L_A(\Omega)$)

A G -function $G : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a function with the following properties:

- (i) $G(0) = 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} G(x) = \infty$, $\left[x \in \mathbf{R}^m : |x| = (\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2)^{1/2} \right]$;
- (iii) G is convex
- (iv) G is symmetric i.e. $G(-x) = G(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$;
- (v) the set $G^{-1}(\infty) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^m; G(x) = \infty\}$ is separated from 0;
- (vi) G is lower semi-continuous.

Additionally we will assume that G is monotonically increasing in each variable separately, that G and G^* (the convex polar) satisfies Δ_2 condition (this will guarantee that the separability and reflexivity of function spaces defined below, see [9]). The vector valued Orlicz-space $L_G(\Omega)$ is defined as follows:

Let G be a G -function and let Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^n , let u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m be real valued measurable functions defined on Ω and let $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ be a vector valued function. Then, u is said to belong to $L_G(\Omega)$ if there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} G(\lambda u(x)) < \infty.$$

The space $L_G(\Omega)$ is equipped with a norm corresponding to the Luxemburg norm given by

$$\|u\|_{G,\Omega} = \inf \left\{ \theta > 0 : \int_{\Omega} G \left(\frac{u}{\theta} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

There should not be any ambiguity for the same notations $L_A(\Omega)$ and $L_G(\Omega)$ used for Young function and G -function, respectively.

For a G -function G , the complementary function G_+^* is defined by

$$G_+^*(u) = \sup_{v_i \geq 0} (u \cdot v - G(v)),$$

where $u \cdot v = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i v_i$.

Let G be a G -function of $(n + 1)$ variables. The anisotropic Orlicz-Sobolev space, denoted by $W^1 L_G(\Omega)$, is defined to be the space of weakly differentiable functions u for which $(u, Du) = (u, D_1 u, D_2 u, \dots, D_n u)$ belongs to $L_G(\Omega)$. A norm for the space $W^1 L_G(\Omega)$ is given by

$$\|u\| = \|(u, Du)\|_{G,\Omega}.$$

For further details regarding Orlicz-Sobolev spaces we refer to the monographs [1] and [9].

Given two functions A and B , the notation $A \prec\prec B$ means that for every $\lambda > 0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t)}{B(\lambda t)} = 0.$$

Let us recall the following imbedding result (see [7]).

Theorem 1.3 *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n with the cone property, let f be a continuous non-negative function on $[0, \infty)$ and let G be a G -function of $(n + 1)$ variables on $[0, \infty)$ such that $G_+^*(0, f(s), f(s), \dots, f(s)) \leq s$. Furthermore, let A be a Young function given by*

$$A^{-1}(|t|) = \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^{|t|} \frac{f^{-1}(s)}{s^{1/n}} ds$$

for some constant $\eta > 0$. If B is a Young function such that $B \prec\prec A$, then $W^1 L_G(\Omega)$ is compactly imbedded in $L_B(\Omega)$.

2 The main results

Let the function G be defined as above and let us define G_0 and B as

$$G_0(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = G(0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$$

and

$$B(u) = G(u, u, \dots, u),$$

respectively, where we assume that B satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 above.

We have the following compactness result:

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that G satisfies the Δ_2 -condition. Then every sequence of functionals $F_h : L_B(\Omega) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ has a $\Gamma(L_B)$ -convergent subsequence.*

Proof Since G satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, $L_B(\Omega)$ is separable, see e.g. Kufner et. al. [9], and thus the result follows from the compactness Theorem 1.2 above.

Let us now define the space $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(c, \beta)$ of Caratheodory functions $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the conditions:

- (1) $f(x, \xi)$ is convex in ξ .
- (2) $G_0(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \leq f(x, \xi) \leq c(1 + G_0(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))$.
- (3) G satisfies the Δ_2 -condition with constant β .

Let us also define the class $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ of functionals $F : L_B(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ given by

$$F(u, A) = \int_A f(x, Du(x)) dx,$$

for $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, where $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ denotes the family of all open subsets of Ω . We extend in the usual way the functionals to $+\infty$ on $L_B(\Omega) \setminus W^1L_G(\Omega)$.

The main objective is now to establish a result which says that the Γ -limit of a sequence

$$F_h(u, A) = \int_A f_h(x, Du(x)) dx,$$

in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ has an integral representation

$$(2.1) \quad F_0(u, A) = \int_A \varphi(x, Du(x)) dx,$$

where also $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$.

The main result of this paper is the following compactness result:

Theorem 2.2 *For every sequence $\{F_h\}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ there exists a subsequence $\{F_{h_k}\}$ and a functional $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $F_{h_k}(\cdot, A)$ $\Gamma(L_B)$ -converges to F_0 for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.*

Remark 2 $F_0(u, \cdot)$ is the restriction of a Borel measure to $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and moreover, the local property of the Γ -limit shows that in the integral representation (2.1) the function $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ is independent of A .

Remark 3 By the definition of Γ -convergence it easily follows that

(i) F_0 is lower semicontinuous.

(ii) If H is continuous, then

$$F_0 + H = \Gamma(L_B) - \lim_h F_h + H.$$

Theorem 2.2 will be proven in the next section. We end this section by giving examples of the relationship between Γ -convergence and convergence of minima. Let F_h and F

belong to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ and let $H : L_B(\Omega) \rightarrow R$ be a continuous functional with the property that there exist some constants $c > 0$ and $b \in R$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad H(u) \geq c \int_{\Omega} B(u(x))dx - b$$

for all $u \in L_B(\Omega)$. Let us put

$$(2.3) \quad m_h = \inf_{u \in W^1 L_G(\Omega)} \{F_h(u) + H(u)\}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad m = \inf_{u \in W^1 L_G(\Omega)} \{F(u) + H(u)\}$$

Theorem 2.3 *If $\{F_h\}$ Γ -converges to F in $L_B(\Omega)$ then m_h converges to m .*

Proof We recall that for any topological vector space X it holds that

$$(2.5) \quad \min_{x \in X} F(x) = \lim(\inf_{x \in X} F_h(x))$$

whenever $\{F_h\}$ is a X -equi-coercive sequence of functionals which $\Gamma(X)$ -converges to F (see e.g. [5] Theorem 7.8). The minima in (3) and (4) can be taken over $L_B(\Omega)$ instead of $W^1 L_G(\Omega)$. Moreover, by Remark 3, $\{F_h + H\}$ Γ -converges to $\{F + H\}$ in $L_B(\Omega)$. It holds that

$$F_h + H \geq k_1 \Psi - k_2$$

for some positive constants k_1 and k_2 , where

$$\Psi(u) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} G(u(x), Du(x))dx & \text{if } u \in W^1 L_G(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

This follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} G(u(x), Du(x)) &= G\left(\frac{1}{2}(2u(x)) + \frac{1}{2}0, \frac{1}{2}\vec{0} + \frac{1}{2}(2Du(x))\right) \leq \\ &\stackrel{\text{convexity}}{\leq} \frac{1}{2}G(2(u(x), 0, \dots, 0)) + \frac{1}{2}G(2(0, Du(x))) \\ &\leq \beta (G((u(x), 0, \dots, 0)) + G((0, Du(x)))) \\ &\stackrel{G \text{ increasing}}{\leq} c (G((u(x), \dots, u(x))) + G_0(Du(x))) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we observe that $\Psi(u) \leq 1$ if $\|u\| \leq 1$ (by the definition of the Luxemburg norm) and that $\|u\| \leq \Psi(u)$ if $1 < \|u\|$ (use that by the definition of the Luxemburg norm and by convexity $1 < \Psi(\frac{u}{\theta}) \leq \theta^{-1}\Psi(u)$ for all $1 < \theta < \|u\|$). Thus, the set $\{u : \Psi(u) \leq t\}$ is bounded in $W^1L_G(\Omega)$ for all $t > 0$. Moreover, by the imbedding result Theorem 1.3, it holds that $\overline{\{u : \Psi(u) \leq t\}}$ is compact in $L_B(\Omega)$ which implies that the sequence $\{F_h + H\}$ is equi-coercive in $L_B(\Omega)$. Consequently we obtain that $m_h \rightarrow m$ by replacing X by $L_B(\Omega)$, F_h by $F_h + H$ and F by $F + H$ in (5).

Theorem 2.4 *Assume that all hypotheses are satisfied as in Theorem 2.3 except that 2 is replaced by the assumption that there exists a bounded set K in $W^1L_G(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\inf_{u \in W^1L_G(\Omega)} \{F_h(u) + H(u)\} = \inf_{u \in U} \{F_h(u) + H(u)\}$$

for all h . Then, if $\{F_h\}$ Γ -converges to F in $L_B(\Omega)$ it holds that m_h converges to m .

Proof We recall that for any topological vector space X it holds that

$$(2.6) \quad \min_{x \in X} F(x) = \lim(\inf_{x \in X} F_h(x))$$

whenever $\{F_h\}$ $\Gamma(X)$ -converges to F and there exists a compact set K such that

$$\inf_{x \in X} \{F_h(u)\} = \inf_{x \in K} \{F_h(u)\}$$

for all h (see [5] Theorem 7.4.). Minimizing over $X = L_B(\Omega)$, and $K = \overline{U}$ and replacing F_h by $F_h + H$ and F by $F + H$ in 6 we therefore obtain the desired result.

3 Some results related to Theorem 2.2 and its proof

The proof of Theorem 2.2 will be divided into a number of lemmas. Inspired by the pedagogical presentation in Dal Maso [5] we will establish the result by using localization and by proving that functionals $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfies the fundamental estimate in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. A necessary condition for the integral representation (2.1) is that $F_0(u, \cdot)$

is a measure. For this purpose we introduce increasing set functions:

Definition A set function $\sigma : \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is called

(i) an increasing set function if $\sigma(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\sigma(A_1) \leq \sigma(A_2)$ for $A_1 \subset A_2$.

(ii) subadditive if

$$\sigma(A_1 \cup A_2) \leq \sigma(A_1) + \sigma(A_2),$$

for all $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

(iii) superadditive if

$$\sigma(A_1 \cup A_2) \geq \sigma(A_1) + \sigma(A_2),$$

for all $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

(iv) inner regular if

$$\sigma(A) = \sup\{\sigma(B) : B \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega), B \subset\subset A\},$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\sigma : \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be an increasing set function. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) σ is the restriction to $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of a Borel measure on Ω ;

(2) σ is subadditive, superadditive and inner regular;

(3) the set function

$$\nu(E) = \inf\{\sigma(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega), E \subseteq A\}$$

is a Borel measure on Ω .

Proof See e.g. [5], Theorem 14.23.

We will use the properties of increasing set functions to obtain the integral representation of the Γ -limit F_0 . We begin with

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\{F_h\}$ be a sequence of functionals in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$. Suppose that for every $u \in W^1L_G(\Omega)$*

$$\sigma'(A) = \Gamma(L_B) - \liminf_h F_h(u, A)$$

and

$$\sigma''(A) = \Gamma(L_B) - \limsup_h F_h(u, A)$$

define inner regular increasing set functions. Then there exists a subsequence $\{F_{h_k}(u, A)\}$ which $\Gamma(L_B)$ -converges for all $u \in W^1L_G(\Omega)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

Proof Consider the countable family \mathcal{R} of all finite unions of open rectangles of Ω with rational vertices. For every fixed sequence $\{F_h\}$ we can use a diagonal procedure and Theorem 2.1 to extract a subsequence $\{F_{h_k}(u, R)\}$ which $\Gamma(L_B)$ -converges for all $R \in \mathcal{R}$ and $u \in W^1L_G(\Omega)$. Now, let $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $u \in W^1L_G(\Omega)$. By hypothesis $\sigma'(A)$ and $\sigma''(A)$ define inner regular increasing set functions. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(L_B) - \liminf_h F_{h_k}(u, A) &= \sigma'(A) = \\ &= \sup\{\sigma'(B) : B \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega), B \subset\subset A\} \\ &= \sup\{\sigma'(R) : R \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega), R \subset\subset A\} \\ &= \sup\{\sigma''(R) : R \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega), R \subset\subset A\} \\ &= \sup\{\sigma''(B) : B \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega), B \subset\subset A\} \\ &= \sigma''(A) = \Gamma(L_B) - \limsup_h F_{h_k}(u, A). \end{aligned}$$

We proceed by proving a fundamental estimate in L_B which will guarantee that the Γ -limits define inner regular increasing set functions.

Definition We say that F satisfies the L_B -fundamental estimate if for every A, A' and B in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ with $A' \subset\subset A$ and $\alpha > 0$ there exists $M_\alpha > 0$ such that for all $u, v \in W^1L_G(\Omega)$ there exists a cut-off function ψ between A' and A such that

$$F(\psi u + (1 - \psi)v, A' \cup B) \leq (1 + \alpha)(F(u, A) + F(v, B)) + M_\alpha \int_{(A \cap B) \setminus A'} B(u - v) dx + \alpha.$$

Remark 4 Let $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ with $A' \subset\subset A$. We say that ψ is a cut-off function between A' and A if ψ is smooth with compact support in A , $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ on A' .

Lemma 3.3 *The class $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfies the L_B -fundamental estimate uniformly.*

Proof Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ and let A, A' and B in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ with $A' \subset\subset A$. Define

$$\delta = \text{dist}(A', \partial A)$$

and take $0 < \eta < \delta$ and $0 < r < \delta - \eta$. Let ψ be a cut-off function between

$$\{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, A') < r\} \text{ and } \{x \in A : \text{dist}(x, A') < r + \eta\},$$

with $|D\psi| \leq 2/\eta$. Define the sets

$$B_r^\eta = \{x \in B : r < \text{dist}(x, A') < r + \eta\},$$

$$I_1 = \{x \in B : \text{dist}(x, A') \geq r + \eta\}$$

and

$$I_2 = \{x \in A' \cup B : \text{dist}(x, A') \leq r\}.$$

For $u, v \in W^1L_G(\Omega)$ a repeated use of the convexity and the Δ_2 -property of G yield

$$F(\psi u + (1 - \psi)v, A' \cup B)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{A' \cup B} f(x, \psi Du + (1 - \psi)Dv + (u - v)D\psi) dx \\
&= \int_{I_1} f(x, Dv) dx + \int_{I_2} f(x, Du) dx + \int_{B_r^\eta} f(x, \psi Du + (1 - \psi)Dv + (u - v)D\psi) dx \\
&\leq F(u, A) + F(v, B) + c \int_{B_r^\eta} (1 + G_0(\psi Du + (1 - \psi)Dv + (u - v)D\psi)) dx \\
&\leq F(u, A) + F(v, B) + c \int_{B_r^\eta} (1 + G_0(2(\frac{1}{2}(\psi Du + (1 - \psi)Dv) + \frac{1}{2}(u - v)D\psi))) dx \\
&\leq F(u, A) + F(v, B) + c \int_{B_r^\eta} (1 + \beta G_0(\frac{1}{2}(\psi Du + (1 - \psi)Dv)) + \frac{1}{2}(u - v)D\psi)) dx \\
&\leq F(u, A) + F(v, B) + c \int_{B_r^\eta} (1 + \frac{\beta\psi}{2} G_0(Du) + \frac{\beta(1 - \psi)}{2} G_0(Dv)) + \frac{\beta^\kappa}{2} G_0((u - v)D\psi/|D\psi|)) dx \\
&\leq F(u, A) + F(v, B) + \frac{c\beta}{2} \int_{B_r^\eta} (1 + G_0(Du) + G_0(Dv)) dx + \frac{c\beta^\kappa}{2} \int_{(A \cap B) \setminus A'} G_0((u - v)D\psi/|D\psi|) dx \\
&\leq F(u, A) + F(v, B) + \frac{c\beta}{2} \int_{B_r^\eta} (1 + G_0(Du) + G_0(Dv)) dx + \frac{c\beta^\kappa}{2} \int_{(A \cap B) \setminus A'} B(u - v) dx
\end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = 1 - \frac{\log \eta}{\log 2}$. Now define

$$\mu(U) = \frac{c\beta}{2} \int_U (1 + G_0(Du) + G_0(Dv)) dx.$$

By the structure conditions

$$\mu(A \cap B) \leq \frac{c\beta}{2} (m(A \cap B) + F(u, A) + F(v, B)).$$

Moreover, for every $N = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\mu(A \cap B) \geq \sum_{k=1}^N \mu(\{x \in B : \delta \frac{k-1}{N} < \text{dist}(x, A') < \delta \frac{k}{N}\}).$$

Consequently, for every $N = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\mu(\{x \in B : \delta \frac{k-1}{N} < \text{dist}(x, A') < \delta \frac{k}{N}\}) \leq \frac{c\beta}{2N} (m(A \cap B) + F(u, A) + F(v, B)).$$

Hence, for fixed $\alpha > 0$, by choosing

$$N \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \max\left\{\frac{c\beta}{2}m(A \cap B), \frac{c\beta}{2}\right\}, \quad \eta = \frac{\delta}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad r = \frac{k-1}{N}\delta,$$

we obtain

$$M_\alpha = \frac{c\beta^\kappa}{2},$$

which depends only on A, A', B, c and β and can thus be chosen uniformly in the class $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$.

In the next two lemmas we apply the fundamental estimate to show that the Γ -limits satisfies the measure properties subadditivity and inner regularity.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\{F_h\}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ which satisfies the L_B -fundamental estimate as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$F'(u, A' \cup B) \leq F'(u, A) + F''(u, B)$$

and

$$F''(u, A' \cup B) \leq F''(u, A) + F''(u, B),$$

for all $u \in W^1L_G(\Omega)$ and A, A' and B in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ with $A' \subset\subset A$.

Proof By Theorem 1.1 there exists two sequences $\{u_h\}$ and $\{v_h\}$ converging to u strongly in $L_B(\Omega)$ such that

$$F'(u, A) = \liminf_h F_h(u_h, A)$$

and

$$F''(u, B) = \limsup_h F_h(v_h, B).$$

If we now apply the L_B -fundamental estimate as $h \rightarrow \infty$ to the functions u_h and v_h with fixed $\alpha > 0$, there exist M_α and h_α such that for all $h > h_\alpha$ there exists a sequence of functions

$$w_h = \psi_h u_h + (1 - \psi_h) v_h,$$

where ψ_h are cut-off functions between A' and A such that

$$F_h(w_h, A' \cup B) \leq (1 + \alpha)(F(u_h, A) + F(v_h, B)) + M_\alpha \int_{(A \cap B) \setminus A'} B(u_h - v_h) dx + \alpha,$$

Now $w_h \rightarrow u$ in $L_B(\Omega)$. Moreover, since convergence in $L_B(\Omega)$ implies B -mean convergence, see e.g. Kufner et. al. [9], p. 157, it follows that

$$\int_{(A \cap B) \setminus A'} B(u_h - v_h) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} F'(u, A' \cup B) &\leq \liminf_h F_h(w_h, A' \cup B) \\ &\leq (1 + \alpha)(\liminf_h F_h(u_h, A) + \liminf_h F_h(v_h, B)) + \alpha \\ &= (1 + \alpha)(F'(u, A) + F''(v, B)) + \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since α can be chosen arbitrarily the first inequality follows. The second inequality is proved the same way.

The last lemma concerns inner regularity of the Γ -limits.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $\{F_h\}$, F' and F'' be defined as in Lemma 3.4. Let $u \in W^1 L_G(\Omega)$. If $F'(u, \cdot)$ and $F''(u, \cdot)$ are increasing set functions and if*

$$F''(u, A) \leq \tilde{C} \int_A (1 + G_0(Du)) dx,$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, then $F'(u, \cdot)$ and $F''(u, \cdot)$ are inner regular and moreover $F''(u, \cdot)$ is subadditive.

Proof Since $\{F_h\}$ satisfies the L_B -fundamental estimate the proof follows along the line of Proposition 11.6 in [2], by taking Lemma 3.4 into account.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 We extend as above the functionals to $+\infty$ on $L_B(\Omega) \setminus W^1 L_G(\Omega)$. By Lemma 3.3 $\{F_h\}$ satisfies the L_B -fundamental estimate. Therefore, by Lemma 3.5, the Γ -lower and Γ -upper limits define inner regular increasing set functions. Compactness thus follows from Lemma 3.2 and the measure properties again follows from Lemma 3.5 if we take Lemma 3.1 into account.

4 Some final comments and concluding remarks

Theorem 2.2 opens the possibility to find representations of the Γ -limit for large classes of interesting problems. In particular in the periodic case, i.e. when f_h is of the form

$$f_h(x, \xi) = f(hx, \xi),$$

it is possible, with the obvious modifications, to apply classical homogenization methods analogous to those presented in for instance Dal Maso [5]. Moreover, for the case when f_h is of the form

$$f_h(x, \xi) = f(x, hx, \dots, h^m x, \xi),$$

one can mimic the reiterated homogenization techniques presented in [3] and obtain homogenization results. Similar compactness and homogenization results are clearly also obtainable for corresponding nonlinear parabolic operators by combining the compactness result in this paper with the G-convergence and multi-scale convergence methods described in e.g. [4, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13]. These interesting questions will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

References

- [1] R.A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1975.
- [2] A. Braides and A. Defranceschi, *Homogenization of Multiple Integrals*, Oxford Science Publ., Oxford, 1998.
- [3] A. Braides and D. Lukkassen. *Reiterated homogenization of integral functionals*. Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci, 10(1), (2000), 47-71.
- [4] V. Chiado Piat and A. Defranceschi. *Homogenization of monotone operators*, Non-linear Analysis TMA, 14(9), (1990), 717-732.
- [5] G. Dal Maso, *An Introduction to Γ -convergence*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.

- [6] G. Dal Maso and A. Defranceschi. *Correctors for homogenization of monotone operators*, Differential and Integral Equations 3, (1990), 1151-1166.
- [7] P. Jain, D. Lukkassen, L.-E. Persson and N. Svanstedt, *Imbeddings of anisotropic Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and applications*, submitted.
- [8] J. Kacur, *On a solution of degenerate Elliptic-Parabolic systems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces I*, Math. Z, **203**, (1990), 153-171.
- [9] A. Kufner, O. John and S. Fučík, *Function Spaces*, Nordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1977.
- [10] J.-L. Lions, D. Lukkassen, L.-E. Persson and P. Wall. *Reiterated homogenization of monotone operators*. C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Ser. I , Math. 330, 8, (2000), 675-680.
- [11] J.-L. Lions, D. Lukkassen, L.-E. Persson and P. Wall. *Reiterated homogenization of nonlinear monotone operators.*, Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. B , 22, 1, 2001, (to appear).
- [12] N. Svanstedt *G-convergence of parabolic operators*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, 36 (7), (1999), 807-843.
- [13] N. Svanstedt *Correctors for the homogenization of monotone parabolic operators*, J. Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, Vol. 7, no. 3, (2000), 268-283.