Multi-Avoidance of Generalised Patterns

Sergey Kitaev

18th May 2001

Abstract

Recently, Babson and Steingrímsson introduced generalised permutation patterns that allow the requirement that two adjacent letters in a pattern must be adjacent in the permutation. We investigate simultaneous avoidance of two or more 3-patterns without internal dashes, that is, where the pattern corresponds to a contiguous subword in a permutation.

1 Introduction and Background

We write permutations as words $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, whose letters are distinct and usually consist of the integers $1, 2, \ldots, n$.

An occurrence of a pattern p in a permutation π is "classically" defined as a subsequence in π (of the same length as the length of p) whose letters are in the same relative order as those in p. Formally speaking, for $r \leq n$, we say that a permutation σ in the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_n$ has an occurrence of the pattern $p \in \mathcal{S}_r$ if there exist $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq n$ such that $p = \sigma(i_1)\sigma(i_2)\ldots\sigma(i_r)$ in reduced form. The *reduced form* of a permutation σ on a set $\{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r\}$, where $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r$, is a permutation σ_1 obtained by renaming the letters of the permutation σ so that j_i is renamed i for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. For example, the reduced form of the permutation 3651 is 2431.

In [1] Babson and Steingrímsson introduced *generalised permutation patterns* that allow the requirement that two adjacent letters in a pattern must be adjacent in the permutation. In order to avoid confusion we write a "classical" pattern, say 231, as 2-3-1, and if we write, say 2-31, then we mean that if this pattern occurs in the permutation, then the letters in the permutation that correspond to 3 and 1 are adjacent. For example, the permutation $\pi = 516423$ has only one occurrence of the pattern 2-31, namely the subword 564, whereas the pattern 2-3-1 occurs, in addition, in the subwords 562 and 563.

The motivation for introducing these patterns in [1] was the study of Mahonian statistics. A number of interesting results on generalised patterns were obtained in [5]. Relations to several well studied combinatorial structures, such as set partitions, Dyck paths, Motzkin paths and involutions, were shown there.

In this paper we consider 3-patterns without internal dashes, that is, generalised patterns of the form xyz. For example the permutation $\pi = 12345$ has 3 occurrences of the pattern 123 but 10 occurrences of the classical pattern 1-2-3.

As in the paper by Simion and Schmidt [10], dealing with the classical patterns, one can consider the case when permutations have to avoid two or more generalised patterns simultaneously. A number of such cases were considered in [5]. However, except for the simultaneous avoidance of the patterns 123 and 132, and three more pairs that are essentially equivalent to this, there are no other results for patterns without internal dashes. In this paper we give either an explicit formula or a recursive formula for almost all cases of simultaneous avoidance of more than two patterns. We also mention what is known about double restrictions.

As far as we know, the only results about avoiding a single pattern of length 3 are due to Tshifhumulo [11], who has found the exponential generating function for the number of permutations in $\mathcal{S}_n$ avoiding $123\cdots k$.

2 Preliminaries

Since we only treat patterns of length 3, and permutations of length 1 or 2 avoid all such patterns, we always assume that our permutations have length $n \geq 3$.

Obviously, no permutation avoids all patterns of length three. And it is easily checked that there is exactly one permutation avoiding all but 123 or all but 321, respectively.

There are, of course, $\binom{6}{k}$ sets consisting of k different 3-patterns, so we have 15 sets of two 3-patterns, 20 with three 3-patterns and 15 with four.

So we have 50 different sets having more than one restriction. But we can simplify our work by partitioning the sets into equivalence classes in the way shown below and it will be enough to consider only 18 sets of restrictions.

The *reverse* $R(\pi)$ of a permutation $\pi = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ is the permutation $a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_1$. The *complement* $C(\pi)$ is the permutation $b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$ where $b_i = n + 1 - a_i$. Also, $R \circ C$ is the composition of R and C. For example, $R(13254) = 45231$, $C(13254) = 53412$ and $R \circ C(13254) = 21435$. We call these bijections of S_n to itself *trivial*, and it is easy to see that for any pattern p the number $A_p(n)$ of permutations avoiding the pattern p is the same as for the patterns $R(p)$, $C(p)$ and $R \circ C(p)$. For example, the number of permutations that avoid the pattern 132 is the same as the number of permutations that avoid the pattern 231. This property holds for sets of patterns as well. If we apply one of the trivial bijections to all patterns of a set G, then we get a set G' for which $A_{G'}(n)$ is equal to $A_G(n)$. For example, the number of permutations avoiding $\{123, 132\}$ equals the number of those avoiding $\{321, 312\}$ because the second set is obtained from the first one by complementing each pattern.

So up to equivalence modulo the trivial bijections we need to investigate 18 sets of restrictions that are represented in the table below.

We define the *double factorial* $n!!$ by $0!! = 1$, and, for $n > 0$,

$$n!! = \begin{cases} n \cdot (n-2) \cdots 3 \cdot 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ n \cdot (n-2) \cdots 4 \cdot 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that the *n-th Catalan number* is defined by

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}.$$

Instead of writing $A_G(n)$ for a set G of patterns, we will write $A(n)$ since it will be unambiguous what set of patterns is under consideration.

Class	Restrictions	Formula
1	123, 321, 132, 312 123, 321, 231, 213	2
2	123, 312, 132, 213 321, 213, 231, 312 123, 231, 231, 132 321, 132, 312, 231	2
3	132, 231, 213, 312	2
4	123, 321, 132, 231 123, 321, 312, 213	2, if $n = 3$ 0, if $n > 3$
5	132, 213, 312, 321 231, 312, 213, 123 213, 132, 231, 321 312, 231, 132, 123	$n - 1$
6	123, 321, 132, 213 123, 321, 231, 312	$2C_k$, if $n = 2k + 1$ $C_k + C_{k-1}$, if $n = 2k$
7	123, 132, 213 231, 312, 321	$\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$
8	123, 132, 231 123, 213, 312 132, 231, 321 213, 312, 321	n
9	132, 213, 231 132, 213, 312 132, 231, 312 213, 231, 312	$1 + 2^{n-2}$
10	123, 132, 312 123, 213, 231 132, 312, 321 213, 231, 321	Recursive Formula: $A(0) = 1;\ A(1) = 1;$ $A(n) = \sum_i \binom{n-i-1}{i} A(n-2i-1) + ((n+1) \bmod 2)$

Class	Restrictions	Formula
11	123, 321, 132 123, 321, 231 123, 321, 312 123, 321, 213	$(n-1)!! + (n-2)!!$
12	123, 231, 312 132, 213, 321	?
13	123, 231 321, 132 321, 213 123, 312	?
14	213, 231 312, 132	?
15	132, 213 231, 312	?
16	123, 321	$2E_n$, where E_n is the n-th Euler number
17	123, 132 321, 231 321, 312 123, 213	the number of involutions in S_n (Claesson, [5])
18	132, 231 312, 213	2^{n-1}

We now give proofs and comments for the results represented in the table.

3 Proofs, remarks, comments

From now on, when talking about class **i**, we mean the first set of patterns in the equivalence class **i** according to the table above. Thus, for instance, **8** will be taken to refer to the set of patterns $\{123, 132, 231\}$.

Let us consider class **1**. There are only two patterns, namely 231 and 213, that are *allowed* to occur. Suppose a permutation $\pi = a_1a_2\ldots a_n$ avoids the patterns from **1**. If $a_1a_2a_3$ forms a 231-pattern then $a_2a_3a_4$ has to form a 213-pattern since $a_2 > a_3$. It is easy to see that $a_3a_4a_5$ has to form the pattern 231 and so on. Moreover, if we consider the letters in even positions from left to right then we get an increasing sequence any element of which is greater then any element in an odd position; letters in odd positions form a decreasing sequence when read from left to right. From this we see that there is a unique such permutation in which the letters $\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor\}$ are in the odd positions in decreasing order, and all other letters are in the even positions in increasing order.

By the same argument there is only one permutation that avoids **1** and begins with a 213-pattern. Thus, in this case $A(n) = 2$.

For class **2** there are only two permutations that avoid it, namely $\pi_1 = n(n-1)(n-2)\dots 1$ and $\pi_2 = (n-1)n(n-2)(n-3)\dots 1$. This is because n has to be either in the leftmost position or in the second position from the left, for otherwise we have either an occurrence of the pattern 123 or of the pattern 213 that involves n. To the right of n we have to have decreasing order because otherwise we have an occurrence of a 312- or a 213-pattern. Moreover, if n is in the second position from the left then in the leftmost position we must have the letter $(n-1)$ because otherwise $(n-1)$ must be in the third place and the first three letters form a 132-pattern.

There are obviously only two permutations that avoid class **3**. They are $\pi_1 = 12\dots n$ and $\pi_2 = n(n-1)\dots 1$.

For class **4**, only the patterns 213 and 312 are allowed. Obviously, for $n = 3$ we have $A(n) = 2$. Suppose $n > 3$. If a permutation $\pi = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ avoids **4**, then it has to be that $a_2 < a_3$, because $a_1 a_2 a_3$ forms either a 213- or a 312-pattern. But this means that $a_2 a_3 a_4$ cannot form a 213- or a 312-pattern, whence $A(n) = 0$.

For class **5**, n has to be either in the rightmost position or in the second position from the right, for otherwise we have an occurrence of a 312- or a 321-pattern. Moreover we must have increasing order to the left of n because otherwise we have an occurrence of a 213- or a 312-pattern. Thus there is only one permutation with n in the rightmost position.
If n is in the second position from the right then $(n-1)$ cannot be in the rightmost position, because in this case we have an occurrence of a 132-pattern that involves n and $(n-1)$. So in this case $(n-1)$ has to be in the third position from the right, and we can put any letter i other than $n-1$ and n in the rightmost position. This means that $A(n) = 1 + (n-2) = n-1$.

Class **6** will be considered in Theorem 2 below.

Theorem 1. *For class* **7** *we have* $A(n) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$.

Proof. Let us construct a permutation that avoids class **7** by inserting the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, n$ into n slots and observing the following:
The number 1 can be placed either in the rightmost slot or in the second slot from the right, since otherwise, independently of what we have to the right of 1 in the permutation, we get either a 123- or a 132-pattern, which is prohibited. If 1 has already been placed then 2 must be placed in such way that:

1. The two slots immediately to the right of 2 are not both empty, for otherwise we will get an occurrence of either a 123- or a 132-pattern involving 2;
2. If 1 is not in the rightmost slot then 2 cannot be immediately to the left of 1, because in this case we will get an occurrence of a 213-pattern involving the letters 1 and 2.

In general it is easy to see that if i letters have been placed then for some j such that $0 \leq j \leq i$ the rightmost j slots are non-empty and the $2 \cdot (i-j)$ slots immediately to the left of these j slots are alternatingly empty and non-empty. By an argument analogous to the above we can only place the letter $(i+1)$ into either

0) the rightmost empty slot or

1) the second empty slot to the left of the leftmost non-empty slot.

If we place 1 next to the rightmost slot we assume that we use option 1).

Let us call the leftmost two slots *critical* slots. When we fill one of the critical slots, there is only one way to place the remaining letters, using option 0), since in this case, option 1) can not be applied any more.

So any permutation with the right properties can be written as a sequence of 0s and 1s according to which option we use in placing the ith letter $(i = 1, 2, \ldots)$ and we stop writing a (0,1)-sequence whenever we reach one of the critical slots.

Let us call the (0,1)-sequences thus constructed *legal sequences.*

Example 1. Let $n = 6$. The (0,1)-sequence 01101 is a legal sequence that corresponds to the permutation 5736241. But 1111 is not a legal sequence, because after 3 steps, namely 111, we are already in a critical slot and must stop writing the (0,1)-sequence.

Since obviously there is a bijection between legal sequences and permutations in class **7**, our problem is to count all possible legal sequences. We prove by induction on n that the number of such sequences is equal to $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$.

It is easy to check this for $n = 3$.

Assuming that for all $i < n$ we have $A(i) = \binom{i}{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor}$, we prove the statement for $A(n)$. We consider separately the cases when n is even and odd.

Suppose n is even. The number of legal sequences that begin with 0 is obviously equal to

$$A(n-1) = \binom{n-1}{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} = \binom{n-1}{(n-2)/2}.$$

Now we prove that the number of legal sequences beginning with 1 is equal to the number of legal sequences beginning with 0. We shall show that a bijection between these legal sequences is given by the correspondence $0X \leftrightarrow 1X$, where $0X$ is any legal (0,1)-sequence of length ℓ, $\frac{n}{2} \leq \ell \leq n-1$, that starts with 0. From this it follows that

$$A(n) = 2A(n-1) = \binom{n-1}{(n-2)/2} + \binom{n-1}{(n-2)/2} =$$

$$= \binom{n-1}{(n-2)/2} + \binom{n-1}{n/2} = \binom{n}{n/2} = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

So the problem is to prove that $0X \leftrightarrow 1X$ is a bijection.

We use induction on even n. If $n = 2$ then we only have the critical slots and thus there are only two legal sequences possible, namely 0 and 1. In this case $X = \emptyset$ and we have that $0X \leftrightarrow 1X$ is a bijection.

Suppose for all even m less than n the correspondence $0X \leftrightarrow 1X$ is a bijection. We consider the case $m = n$. Recall that n is even.

By *n-permutation* we mean a permutation of elements $1, 2, \ldots, n$.

A (0,1)-sequence $p_0 = 00X'$ is a legal sequence that corresponds to some n-permutation avoiding **7** if and only if $p'_0 = X'$ is a legal sequence that corresponds to some $(n-2)$-permutation. To see this we observe that after the first two steps, p_0 fills in the two rightmost slots. We can strike them and forget about the first two steps of p_0; by this, we are left with the (0,1)-sequence X' that can be investigated (if it is a legal sequence) with respect to $(n-2)$-permutations.

By the same reasoning, a (0,1)-sequence $p_1 = 10X'$ is a legal sequence that corresponds to some n-permutation avoiding **7** if and only if $p'_1 = X'$ is a legal sequence that corresponds to some $(n-2)$-permutation.

From these arguments we conclude, that if $X = 0X'$ then the correspondence $0X \leftrightarrow 1X$ is a bijection.

For any natural number k, we write (k) instead of writing k consecutive letters 1. In particular $(0) = \emptyset$.

Suppose $X = (k)0X'$ and $k \geq 1$. Reasoning as before, $p_0 = 0(k)0X'$ is a legal sequence with respect to n-permutations if and only if $p'_0 = 0(k-1)X'$ is a legal sequence with respect to $(n-2)$-permutations. Also, $p_1 = 1(k)0X'$ is a legal sequence with respect to n-permutations if and only if $p'_1 = 1(k-1)X'$ is a legal sequence with respect to $(n-2)$-permutations. By induction, for $(n-2)$-permutations, the correspondence $0Y \leftrightarrow 1Y$ between legal sequences $0Y$ and $1Y$ is a bijection, thus the correspondence $0X \leftrightarrow 1X$, when $X = (k)0X'$, is a bijection for n-permutations as well.

The last thing we need to observe is that since n is even, $p_0 = 0(k)$ is a legal sequence if and only if $p_1 = 1(k)$ is a legal sequence.

This proves that the correspondence $0X \leftrightarrow 1X$ is a bijection.

Suppose n is odd. If a legal sequence begins with 0, then we obviously have that there are $A(n-1) = \binom{n-1}{(n-1)/2}$ such legal sequences. So to prove the statement we need to prove that the number of legal sequences that begin with 1 is equal to $\binom{n-1}{(n+1)/2}$ because if it is so then we have

$$A(n) = \binom{n-1}{(n-1)/2} + \binom{n-1}{(n+1)/2} = \binom{n}{(n-1)/2} = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

If a legal sequence begins with 1 then either

i) the number of 1s always exceeds the number of 0s, or

ii) at some point the number of 1s is equal to the number of 0s.

Let us consider case **i)**. Here we deal with Catalan numbers, which, among many other things, count the *Dyck paths*. A Dyck path of length $2n$ is a lattice path from $(0,0)$ to $(2n,0)$ with steps $(1,1)$ and $(1,-1)$ that never goes below the x-axis. Let us explain why in case **i)** we have $\frac{1}{(n-1)/2}\binom{n-3}{(n-3)/2}$ legal sequences with the right properties.

We can see that the number of ones is fixed in this case and equal to $(n-1)/2$. We can complete our (0,1)-sequence with 0s if necessary (in order to complete a Dyck path that corresponds to the (0,1)-sequence under consideration). Moreover, we can forget about the leftmost letter 1 because we know that it is followed by another letter 1, so we have $(n-3)/2$ ones. We thus substitute $k = (n-3)/2$ in the formula for the Catalan numbers, $C_k = \frac{1}{k+1}\binom{2k}{k}$, which completes the consideration of **i)**.

In case **ii)** we apply induction. Let us consider the first time, say step i, when the number of 0s is equal to the number of 1s. Obviously it can occur at any even step (and not at any odd one). Moreover, because it is the first such time, if we consider initial subsequences of length less then i, we always have that in such subsequences the number of 1s exceeds the number of 0s. So in case **ii)**, if we apply the induction hypothesis to the $A(n-i)$, the number of legal sequences is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \text{ is even}}}^{n-3} \frac{1}{i/2}\binom{i-2}{(i-2)/2} A(n-i) = \sum_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \text{ is even}}}^{n-3} \frac{1}{i/2}\binom{i-2}{(i-2)/2}\binom{n-i}{(n-i-1)/2}.$$

So to complete the case when n is odd we need only check the following equality:

$$\binom{n-1}{(n+1)/2} =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \text{ is even}}}^{n-3} \frac{1}{i/2}\binom{i-2}{(i-2)/2}\binom{n-i}{(n-i-1)/2} + \frac{1}{(n-1)/2}\binom{n-3}{(n-3)/2}.$$

The last term can be moved inside the sum. Since n is odd, we have $n = 2m + 1$ and the equation above can be rewritten as

$$\binom{2m}{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{i}\binom{2(i-1)}{i-1}\binom{2(m-i)+1}{m-i}.$$

We give a combinatorial proof of this identity. We observe that the left hand side of it counts the number of all lattice paths from $(0, 0)$ to $(2m, -2)$ with steps $(1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$.

The i-th term in the right hand side counts the number of such paths whose first step below the x-axis is just after step $2(i-1)$. Now the first $2(i-1)$ steps of any such path determine a Dyck path of length $2(i-1)$. So there are $\binom{2(i-1)}{i-1}/i$ possibilities for a such path to pass the point $(2(i-1), 0)$ and come to the point $(2i-1, -1)$ with the $(1, -1)$ step. We multiply this number with $\binom{2(m-i)+1}{m-i}$ which counts the number of all lattice paths from $(2i-1, -1)$ to $(2m, -2)$ with steps $(1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$. Thus, the right hand side counts the same paths as the left hand side.

This completes the case when n is odd and thereby the proof. □

Example 2. For $n = 4$ there are indeed $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ permutations avoiding class **7**. In the table below we show these permutations and legal sequences that correspond to them.

Permutation	**Corresponding legal sequence**
4321	0000
3421	001
4231	01
4312	100
3412	101
2413	11

Theorem 2. *For class* **6** *we have*

$$A(n) = \begin{cases} 2C_k, & \text{if } n = 2k+1, \\ C_k + C_{k-1}, & \text{if } n = 2k, \end{cases}$$

where C_k is the k-th Catalan number.

Proof. We consider n empty slots. If we fill the slots successively with the letters $1, 2, \ldots, n$ then we always have one or two possibilities, namely, either

0) we place the current number in the rightmost empty slot, or

1) we place it in the second empty slot left of the leftmost non-empty slot.

Observe that we can use option 0), except in the first step, only if there is a non-empty slot to the left of the rightmost empty slot. This is a crucial difference between classes **6** and **7**.

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we can consider the critical slots as well as (0,1)-sequences that appear in the obvious way (we have always one or two possibilities until we reach a critical slot and uniquely place all remaining numbers). After that we can associate the (0,1)-sequences with Dyck paths and apply the formula for the number of Dyck paths.

The number of legal sequences that correspond to the permutations avoiding class **6**, whose rightmost letter is 1, is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor + 1}\binom{2 \cdot \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}.$$

The number of legal sequences that correspond to the permutations avoiding class **6**, with the second letter from the right equals 1, is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}\binom{2 \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

From these facts we have that

$$A(n) = \frac{1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}\binom{2 \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} + \frac{1}{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor + 1}\binom{2 \cdot \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}.$$

Substituting n by $2k+1$ and $2k$, respectively, completes the proof. □

For class **8**, 1 must be either in the rightmost position or in the second position from the right. It is easy to see that the letters to the left of 1 must be in decreasing order. So there are n ways to choose the rightmost element of a permutation and all other elements can be placed uniquely, so there are n permutations avoiding **8**.

For class **9**, if 1 is in the rightmost position then we must place all other letters in decreasing order, so in this case we have the permutation $\pi = n(n-1)\dots 21$ that avoids class **9**.

Assume that 1 is not in the rightmost position. The letters to the left of 1 must be in decreasing order. On the other hand it is easy to see that the letters to the right of 1 must be in increasing order (the set of such elements is non-empty). But 2 can not be to the left of 1 since in this case we obviously have an occurrence of a 213-pattern in the permutation that involves the letters 1 and 2. So 2 is immediately right of 1. Thus, to determine a permutation in class **9** is equivalent to partitioning the letters $\{3, 4, \dots, n\}$ into two blocks. There are 2^{n-2} ways of doing it. One of the blocks is all elements of a permutation to the right of 12, and the other one is all elements to the left of 12. So there are $1 + 2^{n-2}$ permutations avoiding class **9**.

Let us consider class **10**. We explain how to get a recurrence relation for $A(n)$ in this case.

It is easy to see that 1 is either in the rightmost position or in the second position from the right. In the first case there are $A(n-1)$ permutations that avoid **10**. In the second case we can place the letter 2 either in the position immediately left of 1 or in the second position left of 1.

In the first of these cases we choose from the remaining $(n-2)$ letters a candidate for the rightmost position. One can do this in $(n-2)$ ways. Then we multiply this by $A(n-3)$ since three of rightmost positions do not affect to placement of all other letters in a permutation.

So we need to consider the case when 2 is in the second position left of 1. In general, we need to consider the case when the letters $1, 2, \dots, i$ have been already placed in such way that $2i$ rightmost positions are alternatingly empty and non-empty, the rightmost position is empty, and these i letters are in decreasing order from the left to the right. If we place $(i+1)$ immediately left of the leftmost non-empty position then we choose i elements from the remaining $(n-i-1)$ elements in order to fill in i of rightmost empty positions. We observe that we must fill in the chosen elements in increasing order from the left to the right, otherwise we get an occurrence of a 312-pattern that is prohibited. Then we multiply this by $A(n-2i-1)$ because in this case the $(2i+1)$ rightmost letters do not affect to replacement of all other letters in a permutation. So we need to consider the case when $(i+1)$ is in the second position left of i and so on.

So we have

$$A(n) = \sum_i \binom{n-i-1}{i} A(n-2i-1) + ((n+1) \bmod 2).$$

The last term appears because if n is odd we have to consider the permutation

$$\pi = \frac{n+1}{2}\frac{n-1}{2}\frac{n+3}{2}\frac{n-3}{2}\dots 2(n-1)1n,$$

which avoids **10** and which is not counted in the sum.

As initial conditions one can take $A(0) = 1$, $A(1) = 1$.

Theorem 3. *For class* **11** *we have* $A(n) = (n-1)!! + (n-2)!!$.

Proof. Since the patterns 123 and 321 can not occur in the permutations avoiding class **11**, such permutations are *alternating* or *reverse alternating*, that is, of the form $a_1 > a_2 < a_3 > \cdots$ or $a_1 < a_2 > a_3 < \cdots$, with one more restriction. One can easily see that 1 is either in the rightmost position or next to this position, for otherwise we have an occurrence of a 123- or 132-pattern. If we go from the right to the left starting from 1 and jumping over one element then we get an increasing sequence of letters because otherwise we have an occurrence of the pattern 132.

Let $P_1(n)$ be the number of permutations having 1 in the rightmost position and let $P_2(n)$ be the number of permutations having 1 in the next to the rightmost position. Then obviously

$$A(n) = P_1(n) + P_2(n).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(n) &= P_2(n-1), \\ P_2(n) &= (n-1)P_2(n-2) \end{aligned}$$

whence $P_1(n) = (n-2)!!$ and $P_2(n) = (n-1)!!$. □

Class **16** is a classically studied object. Permutations that avoid **16** are the alternating and the reverse alternating permutations. It is well known that the exponential generating function for the number of such permutations is $(\tan x + \sec x)^2$. The initial values for $A(n)$ are $1, 2, 4, 10, 32, 122, 544, 2770, \dots$

For the result on class **17** we refer the reader to Porism 10 in [5].

Finally, for class **18** we can observe that to the left of 1 in such a permutation we must have a decreasing subword and to the right of 1 we must have an increasing subword, since otherwise we have either a 132- or a 231-pattern. Thus we can choose the elements to the right of 1 from the set $\{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ in 2^{n-1} ways and then arrange uniquely the right hand side and the left hand side (elements of a permutation to the left of 1). So there are 2^{n-1} permutations that avoid class **18**.

References

[1] E. Babson, E. Steingrímsson: Generalised permutation patterns and a classification of the mahonian statistics, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, B44b:18pp, 2000.

[2] M. Bóna: Exact enumeration of 1342-avoiding permutations; A close link with labeled trees and planar maps, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, **80** (1997) 257-272.

[3] M. Bóna: Permutations avoiding certain patterns; The case of length 4 and generalisations, Discrete Mathematics **175** (1997), 55-67.

[4] M. Bóna: Permutations with one or two 132-subsequences, Discrete Mathematics **181** (1998), 267-274.

[5] A. Claesson: Generalised Pattern Avoidance, European Journal of Combinatorics, to appear.

[6] M. Klazar: Counting pattern-free set partitions I: A generalisation of Stirling numbers of the second kind, Europ. J. Combinatorics **21** (2000), 367–378.

[7] J. Noonan, D. Zeilberger: The enumeration of permutations with a prescribed number of "forbidden" patterns. Adv. in Appl. Math. **17** (1996), no. 4, 381–407.

[8] N. J. A. Sloane and S. Plouffe. The Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, Academic Press, 1995. http://www.research.att.com/∼njas/sequences/.

[9] R. P. Stanley: Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume **1**, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[10] F. W. Schmidt, R. Simion: Restricted permutations, European J. Combin. **6** (1985), no. 4, 383–406.

[11] T. A. Tshifhumulo: Private communication, 2001.

[12] J. West: Permutation trees and the Catalan and Schröder numbers, Discrete Mathematics, 146:247-262, 1995.