

# A NOTE ON THE BOREL-CANTELLI LEMMA

VALENTIN V. PETROV

19 May 2001

**ABSTRACT** A generalization of the Erdős-Rényi formulation of the Borel-Cantelli lemma is obtained.

## 1 Introduction

The following Borel-Cantelli lemma plays an exceptionally important role in probability theory: If  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a sequence of events on a common probability space  $(\Omega, F, P)$  and if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$ , then  $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$ ; if  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a sequence of independent events and if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$ , then  $P(\limsup A_n) = 1$ . Here  $\limsup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ .

Many investigations were devoted to the second part of the Borel-Cantelli lemma in attempts to weaken the independence condition that means mutual independence of events  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  for every  $n$ . A short history of the problem can be found, for example, in [6], Section 6.7.

Erdős and Rényi [2] discovered that the independence condition in the second part of the Borel-Cantelli lemma can be replaced by the weaker condition of pairwise independence of events  $A_1, A_2, \dots$ . More general results have been proved independently by Kochen and Stone [3] and Spitzer [7]. The Erdős-Rényi proof is contained in [2] and [6]. Erdős and Rényi also found that the condition of pairwise independence of events  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  can be replaced by the weaker condition  $P(A_k A_j) \leq P(A_k)P(A_j)$  for every  $k$  and  $j$  such that  $k \neq j$ .

Lamperti [4] formulated the following proposition. If  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a sequence of events such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad P(A_k A_j) \leq CP(A_k)P(A_j)$$

for all  $k, j > N$  and some constants  $C$  and  $N$ , then  $P(\limsup A_n) > 0$ .

We present a more general and more precise theorem of this type. The above mentioned results of Erdős and Rényi follow from our theorem.

---

<sup>0</sup>*Key words and phrases:* Borel-Cantelli lemma, generalizations of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, pairwise independent events

## 2 Theorems

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be a sequence of events satisfying conditions*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty \quad (1)$$

and

$$P(A_k A_j) \leq C P(A_k) P(A_j) \quad (2)$$

for all  $k, j > L$  such that  $k \neq j$  and for some constants  $C \leq 1$  and  $L$ . Then

$$P(\limsup A_n) \geq \frac{1}{C} \quad (3)$$

Note that under condition (1) the probability  $P(\limsup A_n)$  can take on any value in the closed interval  $[0, 1]$ . Martikainen and Petrov [5] found conditions that are necessary and sufficient for the equality  $P(\limsup A_n) = \alpha$  where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  (see also [6], Section 6.1).

The following proposition (due to Erdős and Rényi [2]) is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.1.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be a sequence of events satisfying conditions (1) and*

$$P(A_k A_j) \leq P(A_k) P(A_j) \quad (4)$$

for all sufficiently large  $k$  and  $j, k \neq j$ . Then

$$P(\limsup A_n) = 1. \quad (5)$$

If  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a sequence of pairwise independent events condition (4) is satisfied with the sign of equality.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

It is possible to suggest several different proofs of THEOREM 2.1. We shall present a proof based on the following inequality of Chung and Erdős [1]:

$$P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right) \geq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k)\right)^2 / \sum_{k,j=1}^n P(A_k A_j)$$

where  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are arbitrary events (see also [6], Section 6.1, where this inequality is proved by means of a strengthening of Lyapunov's inequality for moments of a random variable).

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be a sequence of events satisfying the conditions of THEOREM 2.1. By the Chung-Erdős inequality we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^N A_k\right) \geq \left(\sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k)\right)^2 / \sum_{k,j=n}^N P(A_k A_j) \quad (6)$$

Assuming that  $n < N$ . It follows from condition (2) that

$$\sum_{\substack{k,j=n \\ k \neq j}}^N P(A_k A_j) \leq CT_1 + T_2 \quad (7)$$

if  $n > L$ , where

$$T_1 = \sum_{\substack{k,j=n \\ k \neq j}}^N P(A_k)P(A_j), \quad T_2 = \sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k). \quad (8)$$

Since  $C \geq 1$ , (7) implies the inequality

$$\sum_{k,j=n}^N P(A_k A_j) \leq C(T_1 + T_2). \quad (9)$$

Obviously,

$$T_1 = \left( \sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k) \right)^2 - \sum_{k=n}^N (P(A_k))^2.$$

Therefore

$$T_1 + T_2 \leq \left( \sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k) \right)^2 + \sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k). \quad (10)$$

Taking into account inequalities (6)-(10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^N A_k\right) &\geq C^{-1} \left( \sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k) \right)^2 \left\{ \left( \sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k) \right)^2 + \sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k) \right\}^{-1} \\ &\geq C^{-1} \left\{ 1 + \left( \sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k) \right)^{-1} \right\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n$  is fixed. We get  $1 + (\sum_{k=n}^N P(A_k))^{-1} \rightarrow 1$  by condition (1). Thus,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^N A_k\right) \geq C^{-1}$$

and

$$P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k\right) \geq C^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

Putting  $B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$  we observe that  $B_1 \supset B_2 \supset \dots$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \limsup A_n$ . Hence the limit exists

$$\lim P = P(B_n) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = P(\limsup A_n),$$

and (11) implies the inequality  $P(\limsup A_n) \geq C^{-1}$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Swedish Natural Sciences Research Council INTAS, and the Russian grant RFFI 99-01-00732. This note was written while the author was visiting Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University. The author is grateful to Professor Peter Jagers and members of the Department for hospitality and help.

## References

- [1] K. L. Chung, P. Erdős, On the application of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 179-186.
- [2] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, On Cantor's series with convergent  $\sum 1/q_n$ , Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sect. Math. **2** (1959), 93-109.
- [3] S. B. Kochen, C. J. Stone, A note on the borel-Cantelli lemma, Illinois J. Math. **8** (1964), 248-251.
- [4] J. Lamperti, Wiener's test and Markov chains, J. Math. Analysis Appl. **6**(1963), 58-66.
- [5] A. I. Martikainen, V. V. Petrov, On the Borel-Cantelli lemma, Zapiski Nauch Semin. Leningrad. Otd. Steklov Mat. Inst. **184** (1990), 200-207 (in Russian). English translation in: "J. Math. Sci." **63** (1994), no. 4, 540-544.
- [6] V. V. Petrov, Limit theorems of probability theory, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [7] F. Spitzer, Principles of Random Walk, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1964.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS  
ST. PETERSBURG UNIVERSITY  
STARY PETERHOF  
ST. PETERSBURG 19804, RUSSIA.

e-mail address: Valentin.Petrov@pobox.spbu.ru