

# Rational points on finite covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{P}^2$

Niklas Broberg

Department of Mathematics,  
Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University,  
SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{P}^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional projective space over the rational numbers. For any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  from a scheme  $X$ , one can define a counting function

$$N(f, B) = \# \{ \mathbf{x} \in f(X(\mathbb{Q})) : H(\mathbf{x}) \leq B \},$$

where  $H : \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is the standard multiplicative height on  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q})$ . If  $X$  is integral and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is finite dominant of degree at least 2, then

$$N(f, B) = O_{f, \varepsilon}(B^{n+1/2+\varepsilon}),$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The proof is by sieve-methods. See chapter 13 of [9]. In the same book Serre conjectures that

$$N(f, B) = O_{f, \varepsilon}(B^{n+\varepsilon})$$

for such morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ . We intend to prove the following results.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $X$  is integral and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is finite dominant of degree  $d$ , then*

$$N(f, B) = O_{f, \varepsilon}(B^{2/d+\varepsilon})$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $X$  is integral and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is finite dominant of degree at least 3, then*

$$N(f, B) = O_{f, \varepsilon}(B^{2+\varepsilon}).$$

*If  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is finite dominant of degree 2, then*

$$N(f, B) = O_{f, \varepsilon}(B^{9/4+\varepsilon}).$$

The paper is structured as follows.

In section 2 we fix the notation and state some preliminary results.

In section 3 we obtain estimates of  $N(f, B)$  for a special kind of covers  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . The result is formulated in terms of polynomials and the estimates depend explicitly on the coefficients of the polynomials. The proof is by means of a method due to Heath-Brown [6]. As a corollary we obtain theorem 1.

In section 4 we prove theorem 2. The idea of the proof is simple. First we reduce to a case where the cover  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is given by a single equation. We then choose  $O(B^{3/2})$  lines  $H_1, \dots, H_k \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  such that every rational point in the plane of height at most  $B$  is contained in  $H_i(\mathbb{Q})$  for some  $i$ . By using the explicit estimates of  $N(f|_{f^{-1}H_i}, B)$  from section 3 we are able to estimate the right-hand side of

$$N(f, B) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k N(f|_{f^{-1}H_i}, B).$$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Per Salberger for valuable discussions during the course of this work. In particular, the idea of using Hilbert schemes is due to him.

## 2 Preliminaries

We shall use the following notations throughout the paper.

$\ll$  is used synonymously with the big Oh notation. If  $f, g$  are real-valued functions with  $g$  positive, then  $f = O_{p_1, \dots, p_k}(g)$  and  $f \ll_{p_1, \dots, p_k} g$  means that  $|f| \leq cg$  for some positive constant  $c$  which depends on the parameters  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ .

$|\mathbf{x}|$  is the Euclidean length of  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$  is the standard inner product of  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$\|F\|$  is the maximum modulus of the coefficients of  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ .

$P^n$  is the set of all non-zero  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  for which  $\gcd(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 1$ .

$P^n(\mathbf{B})$  is the set of all  $\mathbf{x} \in P^n$  for which  $|x_i| \leq B_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . The components of  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  are supposed to satisfy  $B_i \geq 1$ .

$P^n(B)$  is short-hand for  $P^n(\mathbf{B})$  in case all the components of  $\mathbf{B}$  are equal to  $B$ .

$\mathbb{Z}_w[t, \mathbf{x}]$  is the set of polynomials  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[t, \mathbf{x}]$  which are monic in the variable  $t$  and such that  $F(t^w, \mathbf{x})$  are homogeneous. Here  $w$  is a positive integer.

$N(F; \mathbf{B})$  is a counting function assigned to  $F \in \mathbb{Z}_w[t, \mathbf{x}]$ . It counts the number of  $\mathbf{x} \in P^n(\mathbf{B})$  for which  $F(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$  has a rational solution.

$N(F, B)$  is short-hand for  $N(F; \mathbf{B})$  in case all the components of  $\mathbf{B}$  are equal to  $B$ .

We will require two auxiliary results.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a finite dominant morphism of degree  $d$ , where  $X$  is integral. Then there exist a proper closed subset  $V \subset X$  and an irreducible polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{Z}_w[t, \mathbf{x}]$  of degree  $d$  in  $t$  such that*

$$N(f, B) \leq N(f|_V, B) + N(F, B).$$

*Proof.* Let  $U \subset X$  be the affine variety  $f^{-1}\mathbb{A}^n$ , where  $\mathbb{A}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is given by  $x_0 \neq 0$ , and let  $y_i = x_i/x_0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $t$  be a generator of the function field  $K(U)$  over  $K(\mathbb{A}^n) = \mathbb{Q}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  such that the coefficients of its minimal polynomial

$$p(t, \mathbf{y}) = t^d + p_1(\mathbf{y})t^{d-1} + \dots + p_d(\mathbf{y})$$

are polynomials with integer coefficients. Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$  be the variety defined by  $p(t, \mathbf{y}) = 0$  and  $h : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  the projection  $H(t, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}$ . Finally, let  $V_0 \subset U$  be a proper closed subset such that  $f : U \setminus V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  factors through  $h : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ . Such a set exists since the isomorphism between the function fields  $K(Y)$  and  $K(U)$  induces a birational map  $U \dashrightarrow Y$ .

We now define  $V \subset X$  by  $V = V_0 \cup (X \setminus U)$  and  $F \in \mathbb{Z}_w[t, \mathbf{x}]$  by

$$F(t, x_0, \dots, x_n) = x_0^{dw} p(x_0^{-w} t, x_0^{-1} x_1, \dots, x_0^{-1} x_n),$$

where  $w$  is the smallest positive integer for which  $\deg p_i \leq iw$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Then  $f : X \setminus V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  factors through  $h : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $F(x_0^w t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$  whenever  $p(t, x_0^{-1} x_1, \dots, x_0^{-1} x_n) = 0$ . Hence,

$$N(f, B) \leq N(f|_V, B) + N(h, B) \leq N(f|_V, B) + N(F, B).$$

Note that  $F$  is irreducible since  $p$  is. □

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a finite morphism over a field  $K$  of characteristic 0 and let  $C$  be a closed subscheme of  $X$ . Assume that the invertible sheaf  $f^*\mathcal{O}(m)$  on  $X$  is very ample for some  $m > 0$ . Then  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is a closed immersion onto a  $k$ -dimensional linear subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if and only if the Hilbert polynomial of  $C$  with respect to  $f^*\mathcal{O}(m)$  is equal to*

$$P(q) = \binom{mq + k}{mq}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that the Hilbert polynomial of  $C$  with respect to  $f^*\mathcal{O}(m)$  is defined by

$$P_C(q) = \chi(i^*f^*\mathcal{O}(mq)) = \sum (-1)^i \dim_K H^i(C, i^*f^*\mathcal{O}(mq)),$$

where  $i : C \rightarrow X$  is the embedding. Analogously, the Hilbert polynomial  $P_D(q)$  of the scheme-theoretic image  $D = f(C)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  is given by  $P_D(q) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_D(q))$ . The restriction  $g : C \rightarrow D$  of  $f$  to  $C$  is affine, so

$$H^i(C, i^*f^*\mathcal{O}(q)) = H^i(C, g^*\mathcal{O}_D(q)) = H^i(D, g_*\mathcal{O}_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(q))$$

for every  $q \geq 0$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \xrightarrow{g^\#} g_*\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

of coherent sheaves on  $D$ . The map  $g^\#$  is injective by the definition of scheme-theoretic image, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is coherent since  $g_*\mathcal{O}_C$  is. If we twist (1) by  $q$  and compare the Euler characteristics we get

$$\chi(g_*\mathcal{O}_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(q)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_D(q)) + \chi(\mathcal{F}(q)).$$

Now  $\mathcal{O}_D(1)$  is ample and  $\mathcal{F}$  coherent so  $\chi(\mathcal{F}(mq)) = 0$  for every  $q \geq 0$  only if  $\mathcal{F} = 0$ . Consequently,  $g : C \rightarrow D$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $P_C(q) = P_D(mq)$  for every  $q \geq 0$ . It is well-known that

$$P_D(q) = \binom{q + k}{q}$$

exactly when  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a  $k$ -dimensional linear variety. □

### 3 Covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$

The main result of this section is the following:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $F \in \mathbb{Z}_w[t, x, y]$  be irreducible of degree  $d$  in  $t$ . Then*

$$N(F; A, B) \ll_{d,w,\varepsilon} (AB)^{1/d+\varepsilon} \|F\|^\varepsilon,$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

We get theorem 1 as a corollary by noting that a proper closed subset of a curve is finite and then refer to lemma 1. The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of theorem 3. It is similar to the proof of theorem 3 of Heath-Brown [6].

Let  $\Delta_F \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  be the discriminant of  $F$  and let  $N_0(F; A, B)$  be the number of  $(x, y) \in P^1(A, B)$  for which  $F(t, x, y) = 0$  has a rational solution and  $y\Delta_F(x, y) \neq 0$ . Since  $F$  is irreducible,  $\Delta_F$  is a non-trivial form of degree  $d(d-1)w$ . There are thus  $O_{d,w}(1)$  points  $(x, y) \in P^1$  for which  $y\Delta_F(x, y) = 0$ . Hence, it is sufficient to prove theorem 3 with  $N(F; A, B)$  replaced by  $N_0(F; A, B)$ . Following [6], we define  $N_p(F; A, B)$  to be the number of  $(x, y) \in P^1(A, B)$  for which  $F(t, x, y) = 0$  has a rational solution and  $p \nmid y\Delta_F(x, y)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose that  $P$  satisfies*

$$P \geq \log^2 \max_{\substack{(x,y) \in P^1(A,B) \\ y\Delta_F(x,y) \neq 0}} |y\Delta_F(x, y)|.$$

*Then there exist distinct primes  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  such that*

- $P \ll p_i \ll P$ ,
- $r \ll_{d,w} \log(AB \|F\|)$ ,
- $N_0(F; A, B) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r N_{p_i}(F; A, B)$ .

*Proof.* See lemma 4 of [6]. □

Theorem 3 is proved by combining lemma 3 and the following result.

**Lemma 4.** *Assume that*

$$F(t, x, y) = t^d + F_1(x, y)t^{d-1} + \dots + F_d(x, y),$$

*where  $F_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  and  $\deg F_i = iw$ . If*

$$p \geq 4(2dw \|F_d\|)^\varepsilon (AB)^{1/d+\varepsilon}, \tag{2}$$

*then  $N_p(F; A, B) = O_{d,w,\varepsilon}(p)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  be the points counted by  $N_p(F; A, B)$  for some  $p$  satisfying (2). If  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies  $F(t, x, y) = 0$  for some  $(x, y) \in P^1$ , then  $t \mid F_d(x, y)$ . Moreover, if  $F_d(x, y) = 0$ , then  $F(0, x, y) = 0$ . Hence, we can choose integers  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  such that  $F(t_i, x_i, y_i) = 0$  and

$$|t_i| \leq |F_d(x_i, y_i)| \leq 2dw(AB)^{dw} \|F_d\|. \tag{3}$$

Let  $f(u, v) = F(u, v, 1)$  and  $(u_i, v_i) = (y_i^{-w} t_i, y_i^{-1} x_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $f(u_i, v_i) = 0$ , and  $(u_i, v_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$  by the assumption  $p \nmid y_i$ .

There are  $O_{dw}(p)$  solutions of  $f(u, v) = 0$  modulo  $p$ . In order to establish the estimate  $n = O_{d,w,\varepsilon}(p)$  it is thus sufficient to show that there are  $O_{d,w,\varepsilon}(1)$  points among  $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)$  which belong to a general class modulo  $p$ . Assume that

$$\begin{cases} (u_1, v_1) \equiv (u_i, v_i) \pmod{p}, & 1 \leq i \leq k \\ (u_1, v_1) \not\equiv (u_i, v_i) \pmod{p}, & k < i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

We claim that there exists a polynomial  $g$  of degree  $D = O_{d,w,\varepsilon}(1)$  such that  $f \nmid g$  but  $g(u_i, v_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . According to Bezout's theorem, we then have  $k = O_{d,w,\varepsilon}(1)$ .

Let  $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_e, b_e)$  be an enumeration of the set

$$\{0, 1, \dots, d-1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, D-1\},$$

where  $D$  is the smallest integer satisfying

$$D \geq 2 \max(1, dw/\varepsilon).$$

A non-trivial polynomial of the shape

$$g(u, v) = \sum_{j=1}^e g_j u^{a_j} v^{b_j}$$

is not divisible by  $f$  ( $g$  has degree at most  $d-1$  in the variable  $u$  while  $f$  has degree  $d$ ). Moreover, if the matrix

$$M = [u_i^{a_j} v_i^{b_j}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ 1 \leq j \leq e}}$$

has rank less than  $e$ , then there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which are not all equal to 0, such that  $g(u_i, v_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . This is obviously the case if  $k < e$  so assume that  $k \geq e$ . Then  $\text{rank } M < e$  if and only if all the  $e \times e$ -minors of  $M$  vanishes. Without loss of generality we may consider

$$\Delta = \det[u_i^{a_j} v_i^{b_j}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq e \\ 1 \leq j \leq e}}.$$

The assumption  $p \nmid \Delta_F(x_1, y_1)$  implies that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(u_1, v_1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . We can thus apply the lifting argument from the proof of Hensel's lemma to construct a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p[v]$  such that  $u_i \equiv h(v_i) \pmod{p^{e^2}}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  (see lemma 5 of [6]). Let  $z_i = v_i - v_1 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$  and

$$r_j(z) = h(v_1 + z)^{a_j} (v_1 + z)^{b_j} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[z].$$

Then

$$\Delta \equiv \det[r_j(z_i)] \pmod{p^{e^2}},$$

and we can act on  $[r_j(z_i)]$  by elementary column operations over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to obtain a new matrix  $[z_i^{j-1} s_j(z_i)]$  for some  $s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p[z]$ . Hence, if  $z_i = pw_i$ , then

$$\Delta \equiv \det[p^{j-1} w_i^{j-1} s_j(z_j)] \equiv p^{e(e-1)/2} \det[w_i^{j-1} s_j(z_j)] \pmod{p^{e^2}}.$$

This shows that  $p^{e(e-1)/2}$  divides the integer

$$\Delta' = \left( \prod_{i=1}^e y_i^{(D-1)+w(d-1)} \right) \Delta = \det[t_i^{a_j} x_i^{b_j} y_i^{c_j}],$$

where

$$c_j = D - 1 - b_j + w(d - 1 - a_j).$$

By expanding  $\Delta'$  we find that

$$|\Delta'| \leq e^e A^{e_x} B^{e_y} C^{e_t},$$

where  $C$  is the right-hand side of (3), and

$$\begin{aligned} e_x &= \sum_{j=1}^e b_j = \frac{dD(D-1)}{2} \\ e_y &= \sum_{j=1}^e c_j = \frac{dD(D+w(d-1)-1)}{2} \\ e_t &= \sum_{j=1}^e a_j = \frac{d(d-1)D}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\Delta$  vanishes if

$$p^{e(e-1)/2} > e^e A^{e_x} B^{e_y} C^{e_t} = e^e (2dw \|F_d\|)^{e_t} A^{e_x + dwe_t} B^{e_y + dwe_t}.$$

One can check that  $e^{2/(e-1)} \leq 4$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(e_x + dwe_t)}{e(e-1)} &= \frac{1}{d} + \frac{wd^3 - wd^2 - d + 1}{d(dD-1)} \leq \frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon, \\ \frac{2(e_y + dwe_t)}{e(e-1)} &= \frac{1}{d} + \frac{wd^3 - wd - d + 1}{d(dD-1)} \leq \frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon, \\ \frac{2e_t}{e(e-1)} &= \frac{d-1}{dD-1} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\Delta$  vanishes due to (2). □

## 4 Covers of $\mathbb{P}^2$

Suppose that  $X$  is integral and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is finite dominant of degree  $d$ . By lemma 1 there exist a proper closed subset  $V \subset X$  and an irreducible polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{Z}_w[t, x_0, x_1, x_2]$  of degree  $d$  in  $t$  such that

$$N(f, B) \leq N(f|_V, B) + N(F, B).$$

Obviously,  $N(f|_V, B)$  is dominated by the number of rational points of height at most  $B$  on  $f(V) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ . There are  $O_{f(V)}(B^2)$  such points (see theorem 1 in [6]). Theorem 2 thus follows from:

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $F \in \mathbb{Z}_w[t, x_0, x_1, x_2]$  be irreducible of degree  $d$  in the variable  $t$ . Then  $N(F, B) = O_{F, \varepsilon}(B^{9/4+\varepsilon})$  if  $d = 2$ , and  $N(F, B) = O_{F, \varepsilon}(B^{2+\varepsilon})$  if  $d \geq 3$ .*

The rest of this section is concerned with the proof of this result.

Siegel's lemma states that there exists a constant  $c$  such that the equation  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$  has a solution  $\mathbf{u} \in P^2(cB^{1/2})$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in P^2(B)$  (see lemma 1 in [6]). Hence,

$$N(F, B) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in P^2(cB^{1/2})} N_{\mathbf{u}}(F, B), \quad (4)$$

where  $N_{\mathbf{u}}(F, B)$  is the number of  $\mathbf{x} \in P^2(B)$  for which  $F(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$  has a rational solution and  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1 \in P^2$  be a basis of the lattice  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$  for a given  $\mathbf{u} \in P^2$ . Then

$$F(t, y_0\mathbf{x}_0 + y_1\mathbf{x}_1) = G_1(t, \mathbf{y}) \cdots G_k(t, \mathbf{y}) \quad (5)$$

for some irreducible  $G_i \in \mathbb{Z}_w[t, \mathbf{y}]$  of corresponding degrees  $d_i$  in the variable  $t$ . Note that  $G_i(t^w, \mathbf{y})$  is homogeneous since a divisor of a homogeneous polynomial is homogeneous. The numbers  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  are independent of the choice of the basis so it makes sense to use the notation

$$\delta_{\mathbf{u}}F = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} d_i.$$

If we define

$$N^{(\delta)}(F, B) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u} \in P^2(cB^{1/2}) \\ \delta_{\mathbf{u}}F = \delta}} N_{\mathbf{u}}(F, B)$$

for  $\delta \leq d$ , we have

$$N(F, B) \leq \sum_{\delta=1}^d N^{(\delta)}(F, B)$$



from (4). We will prove theorem 4 by finding estimates of  $N^{(\delta)}(F, B)$  for various  $\delta$ . The following lemma will be essential in every case.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $|\mathbf{u}| \ll B$ , then*

$$N_{\mathbf{u}}(F, B) \ll_{d,w,\varepsilon} B^{2/\delta_{\mathbf{u}}F+\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}|^{-1/\delta_{\mathbf{u}}F} \|F\|^\varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 1 in [6] states that we can find a basis  $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1 \in P^2$  of the lattice  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$  such that

- (i)  $|y_i| \ll |\mathbf{x}| / |\mathbf{x}_i|$  whenever  $\mathbf{x} = y_0\mathbf{x}_0 + y_1\mathbf{x}_1$ ,
- (ii)  $|\mathbf{u}| \ll |\mathbf{x}_0| |\mathbf{x}_1| \ll |\mathbf{u}|$ .

Let  $G_1, \dots, G_k \in \mathbb{Z}_w[t, \mathbf{y}]$  be as in (5). By (i) we have

$$N_{\mathbf{u}}(F, B) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k N\left(G_i, \frac{c'B}{|\mathbf{x}_0|}, \frac{c'B}{|\mathbf{x}_1|}\right),$$

for some constant  $c'$ . Since (ii) and  $|\mathbf{u}| \ll B$  implies that  $|\mathbf{x}_i| \ll B$ , we may assume that  $c'B/|\mathbf{x}_i| \geq 1$ . Theorem 3 then gives

$$N\left(G_i, \frac{c'B}{|\mathbf{x}_0|}, \frac{c'B}{|\mathbf{x}_1|}\right) \ll_{d,w,\varepsilon} \left(\frac{B^2}{|\mathbf{x}_0||\mathbf{x}_1|}\right)^{1/\delta+\varepsilon} \|G_i\|^\varepsilon \ll B^{2/\delta+\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}|^{-1/\delta} \|F\|^\varepsilon,$$

where  $\delta = \delta_{\mathbf{u}}F$ . The second inequality follows from (ii) and

$$\|G_1\| \cdots \|G_k\| = \|G_1 \cdots G_k\| \ll_{dw} |\mathbf{u}|^{dw} \|F\| \ll B^{dw} \|F\|. \quad (6)$$

The equality on the left of (6) is Gauss' lemma (see chapter I, proposition 2.1 in [8]).  $\square$

The following lemma gives  $N^{(\delta)}(F, B) = O_{F,\varepsilon}(B^{2+\varepsilon})$ , provided that  $\delta \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 6.** *For every  $\delta \leq d$ , we have*

$$N^{(\delta)}(F, B) \ll_{d,w,\varepsilon} B^{3/2(1+1/\delta)+\varepsilon} \|F\|^\varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T \leq |\mathbf{u}| < 2T$ , where  $1 \leq T \ll B^{1/2}$ . Then

$$N_{\mathbf{u}}(F, B) \ll_{d,w,\varepsilon} B^{2/\delta+\varepsilon} T^{-1/\delta} \|F\|^\varepsilon,$$

by lemma 5. There are  $O(T^3)$  elements of  $P^2(T)$ , so

$$\sum_{\substack{T \leq |\mathbf{u}| < 2T \\ \delta_{\mathbf{u}}F = \delta}} N_{\mathbf{u}}(F, B) \ll_{d,w,\varepsilon} B^{2/\delta+\varepsilon} T^{3-1/\delta} \|F\|^\varepsilon \ll B^{3/2(1+1/\delta)} \|F\|^\varepsilon. \quad (7)$$

We finish the proof by dividing  $1 \leq T \leq cB^{1/2}$  into dyadic intervals and summing the corresponding bounds (7).  $\square$

We will need some arguments from algebraic geometry in order to find sufficient estimates of  $N^{(1)}(F, B)$  and  $N^{(2)}(F, B)$ . We can associate a geometric object to  $F$  as follows:

Let  $R_i = \mathbb{Q}[t_i, \mathbf{x}_i] / \langle F(t_i, \mathbf{x}_i) \rangle$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i0}, x_{i1}, x_{i2})$  and  $x_{ii} = 1$  at all times. Then  $R_i$  are domains so the affine schemes  $X_i = \text{Spec } R_i$  are integral. For each pair  $(i, j)$ , the homomorphisms

$$\phi_{ij} : (R_i)_{x_{ij}} \rightarrow (R_j)_{x_{ji}}, \quad (t_i, \mathbf{x}_i) \mapsto (x_{ji}^{-w} t_j, x_{ji}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_j),$$

are well-defined because of the relations

$$F(\phi_{ij}(t_i, \mathbf{x}_i)) = x_{ji}^{-w} F(t_j, \mathbf{x}_j).$$

One can check that  $\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij} = \phi_{ik}$  whenever the equality makes sense. Hence, we can construct an integral scheme  $X$  by gluing  $X_0, X_1, X_2$  along the open sets  $\text{Spec}(R_i)_{x_{ij}}$ . If we treat  $\mathbb{P}^2$  similarly as  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_i]$  glued along  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_i, x_{ij}^{-1}]$ , we see that the natural homomorphisms  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_i] \rightarrow R_i$  are well matched and define a finite morphism  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ . The invertible sheaf  $f^* \mathcal{O}(1)$  on  $X$  is ample (see proposition I.4.4 in [4]). Hence,  $X$  is a projective variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Lemma 7.** *If  $F$  is absolutely irreducible and  $d > 2$ , then*

$$N^{(2)}(F, B) \ll_{F, \varepsilon} B^{2+\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $H_{\mathbf{u}} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be the line defined by  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$ . It is clear from the construction of  $X$  that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the different irreducible factors  $G_i$  in (5) and the reduced irreducible components of  $f^{-1}H_{\mathbf{u}} \subset X$ . If  $F$  is absolutely irreducible, then  $\overline{X} = X \times \text{Spec } \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a projective variety of dimension 2 over an algebraically closed field. In that case it is well-known that  $f^{-1}H \subset \overline{X}$  is irreducible for a generic line  $H \subset \overline{\mathbb{P}^2}$  (see the proof of proposition 18.10 in [3], or corollary 10.9 and the following remarks in [5], chapter III). Hence, there exists a non-trivial form  $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbf{u}]$  such that  $G(\mathbf{u}) = 0$  whenever  $\delta_{\mathbf{u}} F < d$ . By theorem 1 in [6], there are  $O_G(B)$  points  $\mathbf{u} \in P^2(cB^{1/2})$  for which  $G(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ . By lemma 5,  $N_{\mathbf{u}}(F, B) = O_{F, \varepsilon}(B^{1+\varepsilon})$  when  $\delta_{\mathbf{u}} F = 2$  and  $|\mathbf{u}| \ll B$ . Hence,  $N^{(2)}(F, B) = O_{F, \varepsilon}(B^{2+\varepsilon})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *If  $F$  is absolutely irreducible, then*

$$N^{(1)}(F, B) \ll_{F, \varepsilon} B^{2+\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* We claim that there are  $O_{F, \varepsilon}(T^{1+\varepsilon})$  points  $\mathbf{u} \in P^2(T)$  for which  $\delta_{\mathbf{u}} F = 1$ . Assuming this and referring to lemma 5, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{T \leq |\mathbf{u}| < 2T \\ \delta_{\mathbf{u}} F = 1}} N_{\mathbf{u}}(F, B) \ll_{F, \varepsilon} B^{2+\varepsilon} T^\varepsilon \ll B^{2+\varepsilon},$$

for  $1 \leq T \ll B^{1/2}$ . By summing over dyadic intervals we get the promised result. Now lemma 2 states that if  $\delta_{\mathbf{u}}F = 1$ , then  $f^{-1}H_{\mathbf{u}} \subset X$  contains a curve with Hilbert polynomial

$$P(q) = \binom{mq + 1}{mq}$$

with respect to some very ample sheaf  $f^*\mathcal{O}(m)$  on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert scheme parametrising such curves and let  $\mathcal{X} \subset X \times \mathcal{H}$  be the corresponding universal family. Consider the composed map

$$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \times \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{f \times \text{id}} \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathcal{H}.$$

over  $\mathcal{H}$ . By lemma 2,  $\mathcal{X}_P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k(P)}^2$  is a closed immersion onto a line for every  $P \in \mathcal{H}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{X}$  is isomorphic to its image  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathcal{H}$  (see corollary 18.12.6 in [2]). Moreover,  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  has the Hilbert polynomial of a line, so  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{H} \times_{\mathbb{P}^{2*}} \mathcal{L}$  for a unique morphism  $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2*}$ , where  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^{2*}$  is the universal line. There are at most  $d$  curves on  $X$  which map to a given line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . In other words,  $g$  is quasi-finite. It is also proper so it is finite (see corollary 18.12.4 in [2]). The claim above is implied by the statement  $N(g, T) = O_{g, \varepsilon}(T^{1+\varepsilon})$ .

Assume that  $F$  is absolutely irreducible. If  $\mathcal{H}$  has dimension 2, then  $g(\overline{\mathcal{H}}) = \overline{\mathbb{P}^{2*}}$ . This means that  $f^{-1}H \subset \overline{X}$  is reducible for every  $H \subset \overline{\mathbb{P}^2}$ . We know that this is not the case so  $\mathcal{H}$  has dimension at most 1. Let  $C$  be a reduced and irreducible curve on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $g(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2*}$  has degree at least 2, then  $N(g|_C, T) = O_{g, \varepsilon}(T^{1+\varepsilon})$  according to theorem 3 in [6]. Suppose that  $g : C \rightarrow g(C)$  is an isomorphism onto a line and let  $\pi^{-1}C$  and  $\pi^{-1}g(C)$  be the preimages under the projections  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $\pi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2*}$ , respectively. The restriction  $\pi^{-1}C \rightarrow \pi^{-1}g(C)$  of  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is then an isomorphism since  $(\pi^{-1}C)_P \rightarrow (\pi^{-1}g(C))_{g(P)}$  is an isomorphism for every  $P \in C$ . One can check that  $\pi^{-1}g(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is the blow up at the point of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  corresponding to the line  $g(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2*}$ . That is, the composition  $\pi^{-1}C \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is a birational equivalence. This contradicts the assumption that  $f$  is not an isomorphism. Hence, if  $g(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2*}$  is a line, then the finite dominant morphism  $g : C \rightarrow g(C)$  has degree at least 2. By theorem 1,  $N(g|_C, T) = O_{g, \varepsilon}(T^{1+\varepsilon})$ .  $\square$

To sum up, if  $F$  is absolutely irreducible and  $d \geq 3$ , then

$$N(F, B) \leq \underbrace{N^{(1)}(F, B)}_{\substack{O_{F, \varepsilon}(B^{2+\varepsilon}) \\ \text{by lemma 8}}} + \underbrace{N^{(2)}(F, B)}_{\substack{O_{F, \varepsilon}(B^{2+\varepsilon}) \\ \text{by lemma 7}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\delta=3}^d N^{(\delta)}(F, B)}_{\substack{O_{F, \varepsilon}(B^{2+\varepsilon}) \\ \text{by lemma 6}}} \ll_{F, \varepsilon} B^{2+\varepsilon}.$$

If  $d = 2$ , then

$$N(F, B) = \underbrace{N^{(1)}(F, B)}_{\substack{O_{F,\varepsilon}(B^{2+\varepsilon}) \\ \text{by lemma 8}}} + \underbrace{N^{(2)}(F, B)}_{\substack{O_{F,\varepsilon}(B^{9/4+\varepsilon}) \\ \text{by lemma 6}}} \ll_{F,\varepsilon} B^{9/4+\varepsilon}.$$

The following observation completes the proof of theorem 4.

**Lemma 9.** *If  $F$  is not absolutely irreducible, then*

$$N(F, B) \ll_F B^2.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$F(t, \mathbf{x}) = F_1(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdots F_k(t, \mathbf{x}),$$

for some irreducible polynomials  $F_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[t, \mathbf{x}]$  which are monic in the variable  $t$ . Such a factorisation is unique except for the arrangement of the factors, so the Galois group of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  acts transitively on  $F_1, \dots, F_k$ . Consequently,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, \mathbf{x})$  has the same rational roots as  $F(t, \mathbf{x})$  for any given  $\mathbf{x} \in P^2$ . The counting function  $N(f, B)$  is thus dominated by the number of rational points of height at most  $B$  on the discriminant locus  $\Delta_F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . There are  $O_F(B^2)$  such points (see theorem 1 in [6]).  $\square$

## References

- [1] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *The geometry of schemes*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [2] A. Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, No. 32, 1967.
- [3] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [5] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [6] R. Heath-Brown, The density of rational points on curves and surfaces, Preprint.
- [7] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.

- [8] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [9] J.-P. Serre, *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem*, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, 1989.