

CHALMERS



GÖTEBORG UNIVERSITY

*PREPRINT 2007:30*

# Ideals of a $C^*$ -algebra generated by an operator algebra

KATE JUSCHENKO

*Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Division of Mathematics*

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
GÖTEBORG UNIVERSITY

Göteborg Sweden 2007



Preprint 2007:30

**Ideals of a  $C^*$ -algebra generated  
by an operator algebra**

Kate Juschenko

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Division of Mathematics  
Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University  
SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden  
Göteborg, October 2007

Preprint 2007:30  
ISSN 1652-9715

---

Matematiska vetenskaper  
Göteborg 2007

# Ideals of a $C^*$ -algebra generated by an operator algebra.

Kate Juschenko

## Abstract

In this paper we consider ideals of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(\mathcal{B})$  generated by an operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . A closed ideal  $J \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{B})$  is called  $K$ -boundary ideal if the restriction of the quotient map on  $\mathcal{B}$  has a completely bounded inverse with cb-norm equal to  $K^{-1}$ . For  $K = 1$  one gets the notion of boundary ideals introduced by Arveson. We study the properties of  $K$ -boundary ideals and characterize them in the case when operator algebra  $\lambda$ -norms itself. Several reformulations of Kadison similarity problem are given. In particular, the affirmative answer to this problem is equivalent to the statement that every bounded homomorphism from  $C^*(\mathcal{B})$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$  which is projection on  $\mathcal{B}$  is completely bounded.

## 1 Introduction.

An operator algebra is a subalgebra of  $B(H)$ , the algebra of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ . The algebra  $M_n(B(H))$  of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $B(H)$  has a norm  $\|\cdot\|_n$  via the identification of  $M_n(B(H))$  with  $B(H^n)$ , where  $H^n$  is the direct sum of  $n$  copies of a Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subalgebra of  $B(H)$  then  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$  inherits a norm  $\|\cdot\|_n$  via natural inclusion into  $M_n(B(H))$ . The norms  $\|\cdot\|_n$  are called matrix norms on the operator algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . The Blecher-Ruan-Sinclair Theorem [6] abstractly characterize operator algebras in terms of matrix norms.

A linear mapping  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$  induces a linear mapping

$$\phi^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow B\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n H\right)$$

via the formula  $\phi^{(n)}((a_{ij})) = (\phi(a_{ij}))$  for every  $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ . The map  $\phi$  is called completely bounded if there exists  $C$  such that  $\|\phi\|_{cb} = \sup_n \|\phi^{(n)}\| < C < \infty$ , it is called completely contractive (isometric) if  $\phi^{(n)}$  is contractive (corresp. isometric) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The  $C^*$ -envelope of an operator algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted by  $C_e^*(\mathcal{A})$ , is a  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $i(\mathcal{A})$  for some completely isometric homomorphism  $i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$  having the following universal property. For any completely isomorphic homomorphism  $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(K)$  there exists a unique onto  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : C^*(\rho(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\pi(\rho(a)) = i(a)$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

In [1] Arveson defined a noncommutative analog of the Šilov boundary of uniform algebra. It is known that the Šilov boundary of a uniform algebra  $A$  is the closure of the Choquet boundary. The (irreducible) boundary representations of  $A$  correspond to points of the Choquet boundary. In noncommutative setting let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an operator algebra in  $B(H)$  and  $C^*(\mathcal{B})$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $B(H)$ . Then a closed (two-sided) ideal  $J$  of  $C^*(\mathcal{B})$  is called boundary ideal if the canonical quotient map  $q : C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{B})/J$  is completely isometric on  $\mathcal{B}$ . The Šilov boundary is a boundary ideal containing all boundary ideals. It can be shown that the  $C^*$ -envelope of an operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is the quotient of  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  by Šilov boundary whenever the latter exists. Arveson showed the existence of  $C^*$ -envelopes for *admissible* operator algebras developing theory of *boundary representations* in [1]. The existence in full generality was shown in [11]. But the question whether there are sufficiently many boundary representations to construct the  $C^*$ -envelope was not settled until the works [7] and [2] appeared.

In this paper we study a generalization of boundary ideals. Namely, a closed (two-sided) ideal  $J \subset C^*(\mathcal{B})$  will be called  $K$ -boundary ideal if a canonical quotient map  $q : C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{B})/J$  restricted to  $\mathcal{B}$  has a completely bounded inverse  $q|_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$  with completely bounded norm equal to  $K^{-1}$ . For  $K = 1$  we obtain the boundary ideals of [1]. Surprisingly, there is no analog of Šilov boundary for  $K$ -boundary ideals for  $K \neq 1$ . That is in general there is no  $K$ -boundary ideal containing every other  $K$ -boundary ideal, see Example 3.

As a corollary of [15] and the solution of Halmos problem [17] there exists bounded homomorphism of the disk algebra  $A(\mathbb{D})$  into  $B(H)$  which is not completely bounded. Adapting this result to the quotient maps in Example 5 we construct an operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and an ideal  $J$  such that  $q|_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$  is bounded

but not completely bounded.

Further, we prove that if an operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$   $\lambda$ -norms itself and  $J$  is closed ideal of  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  such that the restriction of the quotient map  $q : C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{B})/J$  has continuous inverse  $q|_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$  then  $q|_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$  is completely bounded.

In Section 3 we consider the following problem raised by Kadison in 1955. Is any bounded homomorphism  $\pi$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $B(H)$  is similar to a  $*$ -homomorphism? The similarity above means that there exists an invertible operator  $S \in B(H)$  such that  $S^{-1}\pi(\cdot)S$  is  $*$ -homomorphism. Haagerup [10] showed that  $\pi$  is similar to a  $*$ -homomorphism if and only if it is completely bounded. Obviously, for this problem it is sufficient to consider only faithful homomorphisms. Pitts [19] proved that every bounded faithful homomorphism of a  $C^*$ -algebra has a completely bounded inverse. In Section 3 we show that for Kadison's similarity problem it is sufficient to consider bounded faithful homomorphisms that have completely contractive inverses. As a corollary of this result we have that every bounded homomorphism of a  $C^*$ -algebra is completely bounded if and only if every bounded homomorphism from  $C^*(\mathcal{B})$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$  which is projection on  $\mathcal{B}$  is completely bounded.

It was proved in [19] that bounded homomorphism  $\pi$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is completely bounded if and only if  $\pi(\mathcal{A})$   $\lambda$ -norms itself. Using this result and Theorem 4 we have a reformulation of Kadison's similarity problem in terms of ideals of a  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an operator algebra, see Theorem 6.

In this paper all operator algebras are supposed to be unital and for a given operator algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(H)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $B(H)$  is denoted by  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ .

We refer the reader to the books [8], [16] and [17] for precise definitions, basic facts and terminology related to operator algebras, operator spaces and completely bounded maps.

## 2 K-boundary Ideals.

In this section we define and investigate a generalization of boundary ideals.

**Definition 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a closed subalgebra of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}$  contains the unit and generates  $\mathcal{A}$  as a  $C^*$ -algebra. A closed ideal  $J$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a  $K$ -boundary ideal if  $K > 0$  is the greatest constant having*

the following property

$$K \cdot \|a\|_{M_n(\mathcal{A})} \leq \|a\|_{M_n(\mathcal{A}/J)} \quad (1)$$

for every  $a \in M_n(\mathcal{B})$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In other words the canonical quotient map  $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/J$  restricted to  $\mathcal{B}$  is injective and has a completely bounded inverse with completely bounded norm equal to  $K^{-1}$ .

If  $C^*(\mathcal{B})$  is commutative then every ideal which satisfies inequality (1) with  $n = 1$  and  $K > 0$  is automatically boundary ideal, i.e.  $K = 1$ . It follows from the following observation.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $J$  be closed a ideal of  $C^*(\mathcal{B})$  and  $0 < K < 1$  be a greatest constant satisfying inequality*

$$K \cdot \|b\|_{C^*(\mathcal{B})} \leq \|b\|_{C^*(\mathcal{B})/J}$$

for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then there exists  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\|b\|_{C^*(\mathcal{B})} = 1$  and  $\|b^n\|_{C^*(\mathcal{B})} \rightarrow 0$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

A boundary ideal is called Šilov boundary if it contains every other boundary ideal. In the following example we present an operator algebra such that the  $C^*$ -algebra it generates has  $K$ -boundary ideals (for some  $K < 1$ ) but does not have a  $K$ -boundary ideal that contains all  $K$ -boundary ideals.

**Example 3.** *Consider*

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 - x_1 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 - y_1 \\ 0 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus y_1 \oplus y_2 : x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

*One can easily check that  $\mathcal{B}$  is an algebra and the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $M_8(\mathbb{C})$  is  $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ . Consider the following ideals*

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \\ J_2 &= 0 \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus 0 \oplus 0. \end{aligned}$$



We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 - x_1 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 - y_1 \\ 0 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus y_1 \oplus y_2 \right\|_{C^*(\mathcal{B})} \\
&= \max \left\{ \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 - x_1 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|, \left\| \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 - y_1 \\ 0 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\|, \left\| \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 - y_1 \\ 0 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \right\} \\
&\leq C \cdot \max \left\{ \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|, \left\| \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 - y_1 \\ 0 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \right\} \\
&= C \cdot \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 - x_1 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 - y_1 \\ 0 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus y_1 \oplus y_2 \right\|_{C^*(\mathcal{B})/J_1}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $q : C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{B})/J_1$  be the canonical quotient map. Using inequalities above we have  $\|b\| \leq C \cdot \|q(b)\|$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus the restriction of  $q$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $q|_{\mathcal{B}}$ , is invertible map into  $M_8(\mathbb{C})$  and it is easy to see that  $\|q|_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}\| > 1$ . By Smith's theorem [22] the completely bounded norm of  $\tau := q|_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$  equals to the norm 8-th amplification. Therefore  $\|\tau\|_{cb} = \|\tau^{(8)}\| \geq \|\tau\| > 1$  and  $J_1$  is  $K$ -boundary ideal with  $K = \|\tau\|_{cb}^{-1}$ .

Similar arguments prove that  $J_2$  is a  $K$ -boundary ideal with the same constant  $K$ . There is no  $K$ -boundary ideal that contains both ideals since the sum of  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  is the whole  $C^*(\mathcal{B})$ .

Let us note that inequality (1) with  $n = 1$  may fail even when  $q|_{\mathcal{B}}$  is injective. The reason is that the image of a Banach subalgebra of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  under the quotient map is not necessarily closed. Consider for example the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_2)$  of continuous function on the disk  $\mathbb{D}_2$  of radius 2 and its Banach subalgebra  $A(\mathbb{D}_2)$  of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}_2$  which have continuous extension on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_2$ . Let  $\mathbb{D}_1$  be the disk of radius 1 and the same center as  $\mathbb{D}_2$ . The restriction of functions to  $\mathbb{D}_1$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_2) \rightarrow C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1)$  and  $\pi(A(\mathbb{D}_2))$  is not closed since there are analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}_1$  which are not extendable to the analytic function on  $\mathbb{D}_2$ . Thus the quotient map  $q : C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_2) \rightarrow C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_2)/J$ , where  $J = \ker(\pi)$ , maps  $A(\mathbb{D}_2)$  into non-closed subalgebra in  $C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_2)/J$ . An example of a Banach operator algebra which is isomorphic to a non-closed self-adjoint operator algebra via contractive isomorphism can be found in [13].

$K$ -boundary ideals can be easily characterized in the case when  $\mathcal{B}$   $\lambda$ -norms itself. Let us recall necessary definitions.

For a given operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  define norms  $||| \cdot |||_n$  on  $M_n(\mathcal{B})$  via

$$|||X|||_n = \sup\{\|RXC\| : R \in M_{1,n}(\mathcal{B}), C \in M_{n,1}(\mathcal{B}), \|R\| \leq 1, \|C\| \leq 1\}.$$

Evidently  $|||X|||_n \leq \|X\|_n$  for every  $X \in M_n(\mathcal{B})$ .

An operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$   $\lambda$ -norms itself, see [20], if there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\lambda \cdot \|X\|_n \leq |||X|||_n$$

for every  $X \in M_n(\mathcal{B})$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the following we will need the notion of the maximal enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of an operator algebra. For a given operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  there exists a  $C^*$ -algebra, denoted by  $C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})$ , and a completely isometric homomorphism  $i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $i(\mathcal{B})$  generates  $C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})$  as a  $C^*$ -algebra and has the following universal property (see [3], [5]). If  $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a completely contractive homomorphism into a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  then there exists a unique  $*$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi} : C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  extending  $\pi$ , i.e.  $\tilde{\pi} \circ i = \pi$ . Algebra  $C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})$  is called maximal enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{B}$ . The existence follows from the following construction, see [?] for details.

Define a semi-norm on the algebraic free product of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  by

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B}^*} = \sup\{\|(\pi * \pi^*)(a)\| : \pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(H) \text{ is completely contractive homomorphism}\}$$

The null-space of this norm is two-sided ideal  $J$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B}^*/J$  is pre- $C^*$ -algebra and  $C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})$  is its completion. Uniqueness of  $C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})$  follows from the universal property.

**Theorem 4.** *If an operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$   $\lambda$ -norms itself and  $i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(H)$  is completely isometric homomorphism then for every ideal  $J \subset C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))$  such that the inequality*

$$K' \cdot \|b\|_{C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))} \leq \|b\|_{C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))/J} \tag{2}$$

*holds for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and some  $K' > 0$  we have that  $J$  is  $K$ -boundary ideal for some  $K \geq \lambda K'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in M_n(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $R \in M_{1,n}(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $C \in M_{n,1}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\|R\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|C\| \leq 1$ .

Since the canonical quotient map is completely contractive we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|RXC\|_{C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))} &= \left\| \sum_{i,j} R_i X_{ij} C_j \right\|_{C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{K'} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i,j} R_i X_{ij} C_j \right\|_{C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))/J} \\
&= \frac{1}{K'} \cdot \|RXC\|_{C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))/J} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{K'} \cdot \|X\|_{M_n(C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))/J)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over all  $R$  and  $C$  we have

$$\lambda K' \cdot \|X\|_{M_n(C^*(i(\mathcal{B})))} \leq \|X\|_{M_n(C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))/J)}.$$

Thus  $J$  is  $K$ -boundary ideal for some  $K \geq \lambda K'$ .  $\square$

The example of a semi-simple operator algebra which does not  $\lambda$ -norm itself was given in [19]. In the following we present slightly simplified proof of this result and construct an operator algebra and ideal such that inequality (1) is valid for  $n = 1$  but not for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Example 5.** *An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is called polynomially bounded operator if there exists a bounded homomorphism  $u_T : \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow B(H)$  such that  $u_T(p) = p(T)$  for every polynomial  $p$ . An operator  $T$  is called completely polynomially bounded if  $u_T$  is completely bounded. In [15] it was shown that  $T$  is completely polynomially bounded if and only if  $T$  is similar to a contraction. There exists a polynomially bounded operator which is not similar to a contraction, see [17]. Thus there is  $T \in B(H)$  such that  $u_T$  is bounded but not completely bounded homomorphism. Since  $T$  is polynomially bounded we have that  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ . Let  $U$  be unitary operator such that  $\sigma(U) = \mathbb{T}$ . Then  $u_{T \oplus U}$  is bounded but not completely bounded and  $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \sigma(T \oplus U)$ . Thus  $\|u_{T \oplus U}(f)\| \geq \|f\|$  for every  $f \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\mathcal{B} = u_{T \oplus U}(\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{D}))$  is a Banach algebra. Since  $u_{T \oplus U}^{-1} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{D})$  acts into commutative  $C^*$ -algebra we have  $\|u_{T \oplus U}^{-1}\|_{cb} = \|u_{T \oplus U}^{-1}\| \leq 1$ . Let  $i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})$  be embedding of  $\mathcal{B}$  into its maximal enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\tau : C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  be  $*$ -homomorphism extending  $u_{T \oplus U}^{-1} \circ i^{-1} : i(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{D})$ . Since  $\tau(C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}))$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{D})$  we have that  $\tau$  is surjective. Consider a canonical quotient map*

$$q : C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) / \ker(\tau) \simeq C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}).$$

Then there is  $\tilde{K} > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{K} \|b\|_{C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})} \leq \|b\|_{C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})/\ker(\tau)}.$$

Since  $q|_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} = i \circ u_{T \oplus U}$  is not completely bounded we have that  $\ker(\tau)$  is not a  $K$ -boundary ideal. Thus Theorem 4 implies that  $u_{T \oplus U}(A(\mathbb{D}))$  does not  $\lambda$ -norm itself.

### 3 K-boundary Ideals and the Similarity Problem.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$  be a bounded homomorphism. It was shown in [19] that  $\pi(\mathcal{A})$  is a Banach algebra. Moreover, if  $\pi$  is injective then it has a completely bounded inverse, see [19], [13]. Define  $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H \oplus H)$  by the following rule:

$$\tilde{\pi}(a) = \pi(a) \oplus \pi(a^*)^*.$$

Evidently  $\pi$  is completely bounded iff  $\tilde{\pi}$  is completely bounded. Thus by theorem of Haagerup (see [10]) we have that  $\pi$  is similar to  $*$ -homomorphism iff  $\tilde{\pi}$  is such. A simple proof of this fact which does not use the Haagerup's results can be found in [21] (see also [14]).

Let  $J$  be a unitary operator. A homomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$  is called  $J$ -symmetric if  $\pi(a^*) = J\pi(a)^*J^*$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $J : B(H \oplus H) \rightarrow B(H \oplus H)$  be unitary operator defined by  $J(x \oplus y) = y \oplus x$ . Then  $\tilde{\pi}(a^*) = \pi(a^*) \oplus \pi(a)^* = J\pi(a)^*J^*$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  is  $J$ -symmetric.

**Theorem 6.** *If  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$  is bounded injective homomorphism then  $\tilde{\pi}$  has a completely contractive inverse.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B} = \tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $r(a)$  denotes the spectral radius of  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Banach algebra and isomorphism preserves the spectrum we have  $\sigma_{M_n(\mathcal{A})}(a) = \sigma_{M_n(\tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{A}))}(\tilde{\pi}^{(n)}(a))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|^2 &= \|a^*a\| = r(a^*a) \\ &= r(\tilde{\pi}^{(n)}(a^*a)) \leq \|\tilde{\pi}^{(n)}(a^*a)\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\pi}^{(n)}(a^*)\| \cdot \|\tilde{\pi}^{(n)}(a)\| \\ &= \|(J \otimes I_n)\tilde{\pi}^{(n)}(a)^*(J \otimes I_n)\| \cdot \|\tilde{\pi}^{(n)}(a)\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\pi}^{(n)}(a)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $a \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ . Thus the inverse homomorphism  $\check{\pi}^{-1} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is completely contractive.  $\square$

Assume that  $\check{\pi}$  is as in Theorem 6. Let  $i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})$  be the canonical inclusion of  $\mathcal{B}$  into its maximal enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra. Replacing  $\check{\pi}$  by  $i \circ \check{\pi}$ , which does not effect completely boundedness of  $\check{\pi}$ , we have that  $\check{\pi}(\mathcal{A})$  generate its maximal enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra. Therefore by universal property of the maximal enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra  $\check{\pi}^{-1}$  can be extended to a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\rho : C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Now we have  $C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})/ker(\rho) \simeq \mathcal{A}$  and

$$\tilde{K} \cdot \|b\|_{C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})} \leq \|b\|_{C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})/ker(\rho)} \leq \|b\|_{C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})} \quad (3)$$

for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and some  $\tilde{K} > 0$ .

Note that  $\check{\pi}$  is completely bounded if and only if  $ker(\rho)$  is a  $K$ -boundary ideal for some  $K > 0$ .

**Proposition 7.** *The kernel of  $\rho$  is the ideal  $J$  generated by  $\{\check{\pi}(a) - \check{\pi}(a^*)^* : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . If a closed ideal  $J'$  satisfies (3) for some  $\tilde{K} > 0$  and  $J \subseteq J'$  then  $J = J'$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\rho$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\rho(\check{\pi}(a) - \check{\pi}(a^*)^*) = 0$  and  $J \subseteq ker(\rho)$ .

Let us prove the converse inclusion. Let  $q : C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})/J$  be a canonical quotient map. Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is isomorphic to a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  we have that  $\mathcal{B}$  is semisimple Banach algebra. The image  $\mathcal{C} = q \circ \check{\pi}(\mathcal{A})$  of bounded homomorphism  $q \circ \check{\pi}$  of  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is Banach algebra. By Johnson's theorem on uniqueness of norm topology on a semisimple Banach algebra (see [12]) the restriction of  $q$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is bicontinuous isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Assume that there exists  $x \in ker(\rho) \setminus J$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  generates  $C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})$  as a  $C^*$ -algebra we have that  $x$  is a uniform limit of polynomials  $P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, b_1^*, \dots, b_{n_k}^*)$ , where  $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus

$$q(P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, b_1^*, \dots, b_{n_k}^*)) = P_k(q(b_1), \dots, q(b_{n_k}), q(b_1)^*, \dots, q(b_{n_k})^*)$$

converge uniformly in  $C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})/J$ . Clearly  $q(b_j)^* = q(\tilde{b}_j)$  for some  $\tilde{b}_j \in \mathcal{B}$  and the elements

$$P_k(q(b_1), \dots, q(b_{n_k}), q(\tilde{b}_1), \dots, q(\tilde{b}_{n_k})) = q(P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_{n_k}))$$

converge in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $q : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is bicontinuous  $P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_{n_k}) \in \mathcal{B}$  converge to some element  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ . Clearly  $x - y \in J$ . Hence  $y \in \mathcal{B} \setminus J$ . Since

$J \subseteq \ker(\rho)$  and  $x \in \ker(\rho)$  we have  $y \in \ker(\rho)$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $J = \ker(\rho)$ .

To prove the second statement of the theorem consider the canonical quotient map  $q_{J'} : C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B})/J'$  and let  $x \in J' \setminus J$ . Then  $x$  is a uniform limit of polynomials  $P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, b_1^*, \dots, b_{n_k}^*)$  and there are  $\tilde{b}_j \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $q(b_j^*) = q(\tilde{b}_j)$ . Then  $q_{J'}(P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, b_1^*, \dots, b_{n_k}^*)) = q_{J'}(P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_{n_k}))$  uniformly converges to 0. Thus  $P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_{n_k})$  converges to 0 in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since

$$q(P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, b_1^*, \dots, b_{n_k}^*)) = q(P_k(b_1, \dots, b_{n_k}, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_{n_k}))$$

converges to  $q(x)$  and we have  $q(x) = 0$ .  $\square$

Another way to make  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}$  extendable to a  $*$ -homomorphism from  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{B} = \tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{A})$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  is the following. Since  $\|a\| \leq \|\tilde{\pi}(a)\|$  the embedding  $\mathcal{B}$  into  $\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{A}$  via  $i : \tilde{\pi}(a) \mapsto \tilde{\pi}(a) \oplus a$  is completely isometric isomorphism. Let  $\tau = (i \circ \tilde{\pi})^{-1}$ . Then  $\tau(\tilde{\pi}(a) \oplus a) = a$  and  $\tau$  has a contractive extension,  $\tilde{\tau}$ , to the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $i(\mathcal{B})$ , such that  $\tilde{\tau}(a_1 \oplus a_2) = a_2$  for every  $a_1 \oplus a_2 \in C^*(i(\mathcal{B}))$ . Since  $\tilde{\tau}$  is unital and contractive we have that  $\tilde{\tau}$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism.

Now we can summarize our observations in several reformulations of the Kadison's similarity problem.

**Theorem 8.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Kadison's conjecture has affirmative answer,*
- (ii) *for every operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and every bounded homomorphism  $\rho : C^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , such that  $\rho(b) = b$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\rho$  is completely bounded.*
- (iii) *if  $\mathcal{B}$  is an operator algebra and  $\rho : C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is bounded homomorphism such that  $\rho(b) = b$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $\mathcal{B}^*$  is completely isometric then  $\rho$  is completely bounded.*
- (iv) *if an operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is isomorphic to a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $J \subset C^*(\mathcal{B})$  is a closed ideal such that*

$$C \cdot \|b\|_{C^*(\mathcal{B})} \leq \|b\|_{C^*(\mathcal{B})/J}$$

*for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and some  $C > 0$ , then  $J$  is a  $K$ -boundary ideal.*

*Proof.* Evidently (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$  be bounded injective homomorphism from  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Theorem 6 and considerations preceding Theorem 8 we have bounded injective  $J$ -symmetric homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H \oplus H)$  and completely isometric homomorphism  $i : \tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C_{max}^*(\tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{A}))$ . Let  $\rho = i \circ \tilde{\pi}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \rho(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\|\rho\|_{cb} = \|\pi\|_{cb}$  and  $\rho^{-1} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  extends to  $*$ -homomorphism  $\tau : C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Thus we have bounded homomorphism

$$\rho \circ \tau : C_{max}^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

such that  $\rho \circ \tau(b) = b$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Consider the restriction of  $\rho \circ \tau$  to  $B^*$ . Let  $(b_{ij})_{i,j} \in M_n(\mathcal{B}^*)$  then  $b_{ij} = \rho(a_{ij})^*$  for some  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\tilde{\pi}$  is  $J$ -symmetric we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho \circ \tau|_{B^*}^{(n)}((\rho(a_{ij})^*)_{i,j})\| &= \|(\rho \circ \tau(\rho(a_{ij})^*))_{i,j}\| \\ &= \|(\rho(\tau(\rho(a_{ij})^*)))_{i,j}\| \\ &= \|(\rho(a_{ij}^*))_{i,j}\| = \|(\tilde{\pi}(a_{ij}^*))_{i,j}\| \\ &= \|(J \otimes I_n)(\tilde{\pi}(a_{ij}^*))_{i,j}(J \otimes I_n)\| \\ &= \|(\tilde{\pi}(a_{ij}^*))_{i,j}\| = \|(\tilde{\pi}(a_{ji}))_{i,j}\| \\ &= \|(\rho(a_{ji}))_{i,j}\| = \|(\rho(a_{ij})^*)_{i,j}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\rho \circ \tau|_{B^*}$  is a complete isometry. By (iii)  $\rho \circ \tau$  is completely bounded. Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is similar to some  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e. there exists  $S \in B(K)$  such that  $\mathcal{B} = SCS^{-1}$ . Since  $AdS \circ \rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is bounded isomorphism between two  $C^*$ -algebras by Gardner's theorem [9] we have that  $AdS \circ \rho$  is similar to  $*$ -homomorphism which proves similarity of  $\rho$  to  $*$ -homomorphism.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since  $\ker(\rho)$  is ideal of  $C^*(B)$  and satisfies conditions of (iv) we have that  $\ker(\rho)$  is a  $K$ -boundary ideal. Therefore  $\rho$  is completely bounded.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). In [19] it was proved that if Kadison conjecture has affirmative answer then every Banach operator algebra which is isomorphic to a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\lambda$ -norms itself for some  $\lambda > 0$ . By Proposition 4 we have that ideal of (iv) is a  $K$ -boundary ideal.  $\square$

**Remark 9.** *By example 5 we have that in condition (iv) of Theorem 8 it is not enough to require  $\mathcal{B}$  be isomorphic to a semi-simple Banach algebra.*

**Question.** Note that  $\rho$  in Theorem 8 (ii) is  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule map. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. In [23] Smith proved that if  $\tau : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B(H)$  is bounded  $\mathcal{D}$ -bimodule map and  $\mathcal{D}$  has cyclic vector then  $\tau$  is completely bounded and

$\|\tau\|_{cb} = \|\tau\|$ . Is Smith's theorem true if  $\mathcal{D}$  is an operator algebra with cyclic vector?

## References

- [1] ARVESON W., *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Acta Math., **123**, 141–224 (1969)
- [2] ARVESON W., *The noncommutative Choquet boundary*, arXiv: math/0701329.
- [3] BLECHER D., *Completely bounded characterization of operator algebras*, Math. Ann., **303**, 227–240 (1969)
- [4] BLECHER D., *Modules over operator algebras and maximal  $C^*$ -dilation*, J. Funct. Anal., **169**, no. 1, 251–288 (1999)
- [5] BLECHER D., PAULSEN V., *Explicit Construction of Universal Operator Algebras and Applications to Polynomial Factorization*, Proc. AMS, **112**, no. 3, 839–850 (1991)
- [6] BLECHER D., RUAN Z.-J., SINCLAIR A., *A characterization of operator algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **89**, 188–201 (1990)
- [7] DRITSCHEL M., MCCULLOUGH S., *Boundary representations for families of representations of operator algebras and spaces*, J. Operator Theory, **53**, no. 1, 159–167 (2005)
- [8] EFFROS E., RUAN Z.-J., *Operator spaces*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, **23**, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (2000)
- [9] GARDNER T., *On isomorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Amer. J. Math., **87**, 384–396 (1965)
- [10] HAAGERUP U., *Solution of the similarity problem for cyclic representations of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Ann. of Math. **118**, p. 215–240 (1983)
- [11] HAMANA M., *Injective envelopes of operator systems*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **15**, 773–785 (1979)



- [12] JOHNSON B., *The uniqueness of the (complete) norm topology*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73**, 537-539 (1967)
- [13] JUSCHENKO E., POPOVYCH S., *Matrix Ordered Operator Algebras, Chalmers & Göteborg University math. preprint* (2007)
- [14] KISSIN E., SHULMAN V., *Representations on Krein spaces and derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 89, (1997)
- [15] PAULSEN V., *Every completely polynomially bounded operator is similar to a contraction*, J. Funct. Anal. **55**, no. 1, 1–17 (1984)
- [16] PAULSEN V., *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **78** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002)
- [17] PISIER G., *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps. Second, expanded edition. Includes the solution to "The Halmos problem".*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10**, 351–369 (1997)
- [18] PISIER G., *A polynomially bounded operator on Hilbert space which is not similar to a contraction*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1618**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2001)
- [19] PITTS D., *Norming algebras and automatic complete boundedness of isomorphism of operator algebras*, arXiv: math.OA/0609604 (2006)
- [20] POP F., SINCLAIR A., SMITH R., *Norming  $C^*$ -algebras by  $C^*$ -subalgebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **175**, no.1, 168–196 (2000)
- [21] SHULMAN V., *On representations of  $C^*$ -algebras on indefinite metric spaces*, Mat. Zametki, **22**, 583–592 (1977)
- [22] SMITH R., *Completely bounded maps between  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. **27**, 157–166 (1983)
- [23] SMITH R., *Completely bounded maps and the Haagerup tensor product*, J. Funct. Anal. **102**, no.1, 156–175 (1991)