

L13: Spectrum estimation – nonparametric and parametric

Lennart Svensson

Department of Signals and Systems
Chalmers University of Technology

Learning objectives

After today's lecture you should be able to

- Describe what the **periodogram** is and list its most important properties.
- Explain why the **modified periodogram** is sometimes an important improvement.
- Describe why **Blackman-Tukey's method** has lower variance than the periodogram.
- Derive how to **estimate AR-parameters** from data.



↪ abilities that will help you to understand laboration 2!

Notation and objective

- Let $x[n]$ be a zero mean, wide sense stationary process.
- **Objective:** estimate $P_x(e^{j\omega})$ from a measurement sequence $x[0], x[1], \dots, x[N-1]$.
- **Application examples:** speech processing, medical diagnosis, control system design, radar, etc.

Today's main example

- **Example 1:** $x[n]$ is an AR(2)-process and thus a WSS-process



- **Task:** estimate $P_x(e^{j\omega})$ from $x[n]$.

The periodogram: definition

- The periodogram is defined as

$$\hat{P}_{per}(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{N} |X_N(e^{j\omega})|^2$$

where

$$X_N(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]e^{-j\omega n}.$$

- Note:**
 - Efficiently computed using DFT (FFT).
 - Asymptotically unbiased!

The periodogram vs the PSD

- The PSD can be defined as

$$P_x(e^{j\omega}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} E \left\{ |X_N(e^{j\omega})|^2 \right\}$$

where $X_N(e^{j\omega})$ is as above.

- Two practical difficulties
 - 1 We are only given one sequence \Rightarrow can't do expected values.
 - 2 Given a finite sequence (N observations) \Rightarrow can't let $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- The periodogram ignores both of these!

The periodogram: bias for finite N

- The DFT $X_N(e^{j\omega})$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} X_N(e^{j\omega}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]e^{-j\omega n} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w_R[n]x[n]e^{-j\omega n} \\ &= \text{DTFT}(w_R[n]x[n]) = W_R(e^{j\omega}) \star X(e^{j\omega}). \end{aligned}$$

- $W_R(e^{j\omega})$ changes the PSD and creates two types of bias:
 - The mainlobe smears out the peaks \Rightarrow frequency resolution is limited by $\Delta\omega \approx 2\pi/N$.
 - Sidelobes cause "frequency masking and leaking".
- As $N \rightarrow \infty$, $W_R(e^{j\omega}) \star X(e^{j\omega}) \rightarrow X(e^{j\omega})$ which means that the bias disappears.

The modified periodogram


- **Idea:** replace $w_R[n]$ by a different window
- Among all windows with the same width N , the rectangular window has the narrowest mainlobe (which is a benefit).
- Examples of other windows are Bartlett (triangular), Hamming and Chebyshev.
All of these have lower sidelobes but wider mainlobes.

The modified periodogram – example 2

- Here $x[n]$ is a deterministic function:

$$x[n] = A_1 \cos(0.2 \cdot 2\pi n) + A_1 \sin(0.21 \cdot 2\pi n) + A_2 \cos(0.2295 \cdot 2\pi n).$$





where $A_2 < A_1$ $(20 \log_{10}(A_1/A_2) = 26).$



- Note:** $x[n]$ is not a WSS signal. Still useful to illustrate situations where resolution is important.

The modified periodogram – example 2

- Four common windows evaluated for example 2:





Blackman-Tukey's method

- For large N it holds that

$$\text{Var}(\hat{P}_{per}(e^{j\omega})) \approx P^2(e^{j\omega})$$

which means that $\hat{P}_{per}(e^{j\omega})$ is **very noisy**.



- We would like to perform **averaging** in order to **reduce variance!**

Blackman-Tukey's method

- Here we only discuss Blackman-Tukey's method which is often a good choice.
 ~→ see lecture notes for Bartlett's and Welch's methods.
- **Important observation:** $X_N(e^{j\omega_1})$ and $X_N(e^{j\omega_2})$ are approximately uncorrelated whenever $N|\omega_1 - \omega_2|$ is "large".

Idea: perform local averaging of the periodogram,

$$\hat{P}_{BT}(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} W_{lag}(e^{j\omega}) \star \hat{P}_{per}(e^{j\omega})$$

where $W_{lag}(e^{j\omega})$ is centred around $\omega \approx 0$.

- Loosely speaking, $W_{lag}(e^{j\omega})$ is a rectangular box.

Blackman-Tukey's method

- BT's method can also be explained from

$$\hat{P}_{per}(e^{j\omega}) = \mathcal{F}(\hat{r}_x[n]).$$

- Taking the inverse transform of $\hat{P}_{BT}(e^{j\omega_1})$ gives

$$\hat{r}_{BT}[n] = w_{lag}[n]\hat{r}_x[n]$$



\rightsquigarrow estimates $\hat{r}_x[n]$ which are less reliable are given a smaller weight $w_{lag}[n]$!

- A simple weight function (used below):

$$w_{lag}[n] = \begin{cases} \frac{M-|n|}{M} & \text{if } |k| \leq M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Blackman-Tukey's method


- One can show that BT reduces variances but increases bias.



- Small M : 1) gives a wide window $W_{lag}(e^{j\omega})$ 2) makes $P_{BT}(e^{j\omega})$ smooth 3) gives a bias in $P_{BT}(e^{j\omega})$, especially where $P_X(e^{j\omega})$ varies quickly.

An illustration

- The AR-parameters can be estimated using the normal equations.
- Works very well for above example:



- ↪ low variance since we only have two parameters to estimate!
- ↪ bias may be large if spectrum is not \approx AR.

Learning objectives

After today's lecture you should be able to

- Describe what the **periodogram** is and list its most important properties.
- Explain why the **modified periodogram** is sometimes an important improvement.
- Describe why **Blackman-Tukey's method** has lower variance than the periodogram.
- Derive how to **estimate AR-parameters** from data.

↪ abilities that will help you to understand laboration 2!