Chapter 12. Analysis of variance

Chapter 11: 2 samples independent samples paired samples Chapter 12: I samples one-way layout two-way layout

1 **One-way layout**

One factor (factor A) with I levels (I treatments). Data consist of I independent IID samples $(Y_{i1},\ldots,Y_{iJ}), i=1,\ldots,I$. The goal is to test

 H_0 : all I treatments have the same effect, vs H_1 : there are systematic differences. Example: seven labs with I = 7, J = 10. P. 444: data and boxplots.

Normal theory model

Normally distributed observations $Y_{ij} \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$ with equal variances.

 $Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}, \sum \alpha_i = 0, \ \epsilon_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

obs = overall mean + differential effect + errorMaximum likelihood estimates

pooled sample mean $\hat{\mu} = \bar{Y}_{..}$, and $\hat{\alpha}_i = \bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}_{..}$ using sample means $\bar{Y}_{1.}, \ldots, \bar{Y}_{I.}$ Sums of squares: $SS_{TOT} = SS_A + SS_E$

 $SS_{TOT} = \sum \sum_{i} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{..})^2$ total sum of squares with the total df = IJ - 1, $SS_A = J \sum \hat{\alpha}_i^2$ between samples (factor A) sum of squares

 $SS_E = \sum \sum \hat{\epsilon}_{ij}^2$ within samples (error) sum of squares, where $\hat{\epsilon}_{ij} = Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i}$ are residuals. Degrees of freedom and mean squares:

 $df_{A} = I - 1, MS_{A} = \frac{SS_{A}}{df_{A}}, E(MS_{A}) = \sigma^{2} + \frac{J}{I - 1} \sum \alpha_{i}^{2}$ $df_{E} = I(J - 1), MS_{E} = \frac{SS_{E}}{df_{E}}, E(MS_{E}) = \sigma^{2}$

Pooled sample variance $s_p^2 = MS_E = \frac{1}{I(J-1)} \sum_i \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.})^2$ is an unbiased estimate of σ^2 .

F-test for $H_0: \alpha_1 = \ldots = \alpha_I = 0$ against $H_1: \alpha_u \neq \alpha_v$ for some (u, v). Reject H_0 for large values of $F = \frac{MS_A}{MS_E}$ with the null distribution $F_{I-1,I(J-1)}$.

If
$$Z_i \sim N(0,1)$$
 indep., then $\frac{(Z_1^2 + ... + Z_m^2)/m}{(Z_{m+1}^2 + ... + Z_{m+n}^2)/n} \sim F_{m,n}$

Example: seven labs

The normal probability plot of residuals $\hat{\epsilon}_{ij}$, p. 450. Anova-1 table

Source	df	SS	MS	F	<i>P</i> -value
Labs	6	.125	.0210	5.66	.0001
Error	63	.231	.0037		
Total	69	.356			

Multiple comparisons: $\binom{7}{2} = 21$ pairwise comparisons. Which of them are significant?

Bonferroni method

Take α as an overall level in k independent tests, if each done at significance level α/k .

Proof: given that H_0 is true, the number of significant results in k tests $X \sim \text{Bin}(k, \frac{\alpha}{k})$. Thus, due to independence, $P(X \ge 1|H_0) = 1 - (1 - \frac{\alpha}{k})^k \approx \alpha$.

Warning: $k = {I \choose 2}$ pairwise comparisons are not independent as required by the Bonferroni method

Simultaneuos 100(1 – α)% CI for $\binom{I}{2}$ pairwise differences ($\alpha_u - \alpha_v$) ($\bar{Y}_{u.} - \bar{Y}_{v.}$) $\pm t_{I(J-1)}(\frac{\alpha}{I(I-1)}) \cdot s_p \sqrt{\frac{2}{J}}$

Flexibility: works for different sample sizes as well after replacing $\sqrt{\frac{2}{J}}$ by $\sqrt{\frac{1}{J_u} + \frac{1}{J_v}}$.

Example: seven labs

95% CI for one difference $(\alpha_u - \alpha_v)$ using $s_p = \sqrt{0.0037} = 0.061$ and $t_{63}(0.025) = 2.00$: $(\bar{Y}_{u.} - \bar{Y}_{v.}) \pm t_{63}(0.025) \cdot \frac{s_p}{\sqrt{5}} = (\bar{Y}_{u.} - \bar{Y}_{v.}) \pm 0.055$

Simultaneous 95% CI for $(\alpha_u - \alpha_v)$ by Bonferroni method $(\bar{Y}_{u.} - \bar{Y}_{v.}) \pm t_{63}(\frac{.05}{42}) \cdot \frac{s_p}{\sqrt{5}} = (\bar{Y}_{u.} - \bar{Y}_{v.}) \pm 0.086$

Significant differences are between labs (1,4), (1,5), (1,6).

Tukey method

If I independent samples (Y_{i1}, \ldots, Y_{iJ}) taken from $N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$ have the same size J, then the sample means $\bar{Y}_i \sim N(\mu_i, \frac{\sigma^2}{J})$ are independent and

 $\frac{\sqrt{J}}{s_p} \max_{u,v} |\bar{Y}_{u} - \bar{Y}_{v} - (\mu_u - \mu_v)| \sim \operatorname{SR}(I, I(J-1))$

Studentized range distribution $SR(t, \nu)$ has two parameters: t = number of samples, ν is the number of degrees of freedom used in the variance estimate s_p^2 . Table 6, p. A14–19 gives

 $q_{t,\nu}(\alpha) = 100(1-\alpha)\%$ -percentiles of $SR(t,\nu)$.

Tukey's simultaneuos CI =
$$(\bar{Y}_{u.} - \bar{Y}_{v.}) \pm q_{I,I(J-1)}(\alpha) \cdot \frac{s_p}{\sqrt{J}}$$

Example: seven labs. Using $q_{7,60}(0.05) = 4.31$ we find four significant pairwise differences: (1,4), (1,5), (1,6), (3,4), since $(\bar{Y}_{u.} - \bar{Y}_{v.}) \pm q_{7,63}(0.05) \cdot \frac{0.061}{\sqrt{10}} = (\bar{Y}_{u.} - \bar{Y}_{v.}) \pm 0.083$.

Kruskal-Wallis test

Nonparametric test for H_0 : all observations are equal in distribution, no treatment effects. No assumption of normality.

Pooled sample size $N = J_1 + \ldots + J_I$. Pooled sample ranking: $R_{ij} = \text{ranks of } Y_{ij}$ with $\sum_{i,j} R_{ij} = \frac{N(N+1)}{2}$ and $\bar{R}_{ij} = \frac{N+1}{2}$.

Kruskal-Wallis test statistic
$$K = \frac{12}{N \cdot (N+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{I} J_i \cdot (\bar{R}_{i.} - \frac{N+1}{2})^2$$

Reject H_0 for large K using the approximate null distribution $K \stackrel{a}{\sim} \chi^2_{I-1}$.

Example: seven labs

Actual measurements replaced by their ranks $1 \div 70$. With the observed test statistic K = 28.17 and df = 6, we get a P-value ≈ 0.0001 .

Labs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	70	4	35	6	46	48	38
	63	3	45	7	21	5	50
	53	65	40	13	47	22	52
	64	69	41	20	8	28	58
	59	66	57	16	14	37	68
	54	39	32	26	42	2	1
	43	44	51	17	9	31	15
	61	56	25	11	10	34	23
	67	24	29	27	33	49	60
	55	19	30	12	36	18	62
Means	58.9	38.9	38.5	15.5	26.6	27.4	42.7

2 Two-way layout

Two factors: factor A with I levels (levels = rows) and factor B with J levels (levels = columns). Data $\{Y_{ijk}, 1 \leq i \leq I, 1 \leq j \leq J, 1 \leq k \leq K\}$ is represented by $I \cdot J$ cells with K observations per cell. The total number of observations is $= I \cdot J \cdot K$.

Normal theory model

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$ grand mean + main effects + interaction independent random errors $\epsilon_{iik} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ Parameter constraints and degrees of freedom

 $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} = 0, \, \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{A}} = I - 1; \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j} = 0, \, \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{B}} = J - 1;$ $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_{i1} = 0, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_{ij} = 0, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_{Ij} = 0, \, \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{AB}} = IJ - I - (J - 1) = (I - 1)(J - 1)$ MLE $\hat{\alpha}_{i} = \bar{Y}_{i..} - \bar{Y}_{...} \qquad \hat{\beta}_{j} = \bar{Y}_{.j.} - \bar{Y}_{...} \qquad \hat{\delta}_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{ij.} - \bar{Y}_{...} - \hat{\alpha}_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{j} = \bar{Y}_{ij.} - \bar{Y}_{...} - \bar{Y}_{.j.} + \bar{Y}_{...}$ $\hat{\mu} = \bar{Y}_{\dots}$

Example: iron retention

Raw data, p. 396, X_{ijk} = percentage of iron retained is not normally distributed. Factor A: different iron forms I = 2, factor B: dosage levels J = 3, K = 18 observations per cell.

Transformed data $Y_{ijk} = \ln(X_{ijk})$, p. 462-463: boxplots and plots of cell standard deviations vs cell means. MLEs for the transformed data

$$\bar{Y}_{...} = 1.92, \|\bar{Y}_{ij.}\| = \begin{pmatrix} 1.16 & 1.90 & 2.28\\ 1.68 & 2.09 & 2.40 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{\alpha}_1 = -0.14, \hat{\alpha}_2 = 0.14$$
$$\hat{\beta}_1 = -0.50, \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.08, \hat{\beta}_3 = 0.42, \|\hat{\delta}_{ij}\| = \begin{pmatrix} -0.12 & 0.04 & 0.08\\ 0.12 & -0.04 & -0.08 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{ of squares SS}_{n \to \infty} = SS_{n} + SS_{n} + SS_{n} + SS_{n}$$

Sums of squares $SS_{TOT} = SS_A + SS_B + SS_{AB} + SS_E$

$$SS_{TOT} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} (Y_{ijk} - Y_{...})^2 \qquad df_{TOT} = IJK - 1$$

$$SS_A = JK \sum_{i} (\overline{Y}_{i...} - \overline{Y}_{...})^2 \qquad df_A = (I - 1)$$

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{B}} = IK\sum_{j}(\bar{Y}_{.j.} - \bar{Y}_{...})^{2} & \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{B}} = (J-1) \\ &\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{AB}} = K\sum_{i}\sum_{j}(\bar{Y}_{ij.} - \bar{Y}_{i..} - \bar{Y}_{.j.} + \bar{Y}_{...})^{2} & \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{AB}} = (I-1)(J-1) \\ &\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{E}} = \sum_{i}\sum_{j}\sum_{k}(Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij.})^{2} & \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{E}} = IJ(K-1) \\ &\mathrm{Mean \ squares} \\ &\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{A}} = \frac{\mathrm{SS}_{A}}{\mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{A}}} & \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{A}}) = \sigma^{2} + \frac{JK}{I-1}\sum_{i}\alpha_{i}^{2} \\ &\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{B}} = \frac{\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{B}}} & \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{B}}) = \sigma^{2} + \frac{IK}{J-1}\sum_{j}\beta_{j}^{2} \\ &\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{AB}} = \frac{\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{AB}}}{\mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{AB}}} & \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{B}}) = \sigma^{2} + \frac{K}{(I-1)(J-1)}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}\delta_{ij}^{2} \\ &\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{E}} = \frac{\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{E}}}{\mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{E}}} & \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{E}}) = \sigma^{2} \end{split}$$

Pooled sample variance $s_p^2 = MS_E = \frac{1}{IJ(K-1)} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij.})^2$ unbiased estimate of σ^2 .

Three *F*-tests

Null hypothesis	Property	Test statistics and null distribution
$H_{\mathbf{A}}: \alpha_1 = \ldots = \alpha_I = 0$		
$H_{\rm B}:\beta_1=\ldots=\beta_J=0$	$E(MS_B) = \sigma^2$	$F_{\rm B} = \frac{{ m MS}_{\rm B}^{\rm B}}{{ m MS}_{\rm E}} \sim F_{\rm df_{B}, df_{\rm E}}$
$H_{\rm AB}$: all $\delta_{ij} = 0$	$E(MS_{AB}) = \sigma^2$	$F_{AB} = \frac{MS_{AB}}{MS_E} \sim F_{df_{AB},df_E}$

Reject null hypothesis for large values of the respective test statistic F. Inspect normal probability plot for residuals $\hat{\epsilon}_{ijk} = Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij}$.

Tukey's simultaneous CI for $\mu_u - \mu_v = (\bar{Y}_{u..} - \bar{Y}_{v..}) \pm q_{I,\nu}(\alpha) \cdot \frac{s_p}{\sqrt{J}}$ with $\nu = IJ(K-1)$.

Example: iron retention

Anova-2 table for the transformed iron retention data

Source	df	\mathbf{SS}	MS	F	P
Iron form	1	2.074	2.074	5.99	0.017
Dosage	2	15.588	7.794	22.53	0.000
Interaction	2	0.810	0.405	1.17	0.315
Error	102	35.296	0.346		
Total	107	53.768			

Significant effect due to iron form. Estimated log scale difference $\hat{\alpha}_2 - \hat{\alpha}_1 = \bar{Y}_{2..} - \bar{Y}_{1..} = 0.28$ yields the multiplicative effect of $e^{0.28} = 1.32$ on a linear scale. Interaction is not significant.

Additive model

If K = 1 we cannot estimate interaction. The additive model without interaction $Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$. Maximum likelihood estimates

 $\hat{\mu} = \bar{Y}_{..}, \ \hat{\alpha}_i = \bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}_{..}, \ \hat{\beta}_i = \bar{Y}_{.j} - \bar{Y}_{..}, \ \hat{\epsilon}_{ij} = Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{..} - \hat{\alpha}_i - \hat{\beta}_i = Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}_{.j} + \bar{Y}_{..}$ Sums of squares $SS_{TOT} = SS_A + SS_B + SS_E$

$$\begin{aligned} & SS_{\text{TOT}} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (\bar{Y}_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{..})^{2} & df_{\text{TOT}} = IJ - 1 \\ & SS_{\text{A}} = J \sum_{i} (\bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}_{..})^{2} & df_{\text{A}} = I - 1 \\ & SS_{\text{B}} = I \sum_{j} (\bar{Y}_{.j} - \bar{Y}_{..})^{2} & df_{\text{B}} = J - 1 \\ & SS_{\text{E}} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}_{.j} + \bar{Y}_{..})^{2} & df_{\text{E}} = (I - 1)(J - 1) \end{aligned} \\ & MS_{\text{A}} = \frac{SS_{\text{A}}}{df_{\text{A}}} \quad F_{\text{A}} = \frac{MS_{\text{A}}}{MS_{\text{E}}} \sim F_{\text{df}_{\text{A}},\text{df}_{\text{E}}} \\ & MS_{\text{B}} = \frac{SS_{\text{B}}}{df_{\text{B}}} \quad F_{\text{B}} = \frac{MS_{\text{B}}}{MS_{\text{E}}} \sim F_{\text{df}_{\text{B}},\text{df}_{\text{E}}} \end{aligned}$$

Pooled sample variance $s_p^2 = MS_E = \frac{1}{(I-1)(J-1)} \sum_i \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}_{.j} + \bar{Y}_{..})^2$ unbiased estimate of σ^2

Tukey's simultaneous CI for $\mu_u - \mu_v = (\bar{Y}_{u.} - \bar{Y}_{v.}) \pm q_{I,\nu}(\alpha) \cdot \frac{s_p}{\sqrt{J}}$ with $\nu = (I-1)(J-1)$.

3 Randomized block design

Blocking is used to remove the effects of a few of the most important nuisance variables. Randomization is then used to reduce the contaminating effects of the remaining nuisance variables.

"Block what you can, randomize what you cannot."

Experimental design with I treatments randomly assigned within each of J blocks. To test $H_0: \alpha_1 = \ldots = \alpha_I = 0$ of no treatment effects use the two-way layout ANOVA. The block effect is anticipated and is not of major interest. Examples:

Block	Treatments	Observation
A homogeneous plot of land	I fertilizers each applied to	The yield on the
divided into I subplots	a randomly chosen subplot	subplot (i, j)
A four-wheel car	4 types of tires tested on the same car	tire's life-length
A litter of I animals	I diets randomly assigned to I sinlings	the weight gain

Example: experiment on itching

Data presented on p. 467: Y_{ij} = the duration of the itching in seconds,

I = 7 treatments to relieve itching,

J = 10 blocks (male volunteers aged 20-30),

K = 1 observation per cell.

Boxplots and a normal probability plot of residuals, p. 468-469. Notice placebo cell variance: different response to placebo. Anova-2 table:

Source	df	\mathbf{SS}	MS	F	P
Drugs	6	53013	8835	2.85	0.018
Subjects	9	103280	11476	3.71	0.001
Error	54	167130	3096		
Total	69	323422			

Tukey's method of multiple comparison $q_{I,(I-1)(J-1)}(\alpha) \cdot \frac{s_p}{\sqrt{J}} = q_{7,54}(0.05) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{3096}{10}} = 75.8$ reveals only one significant difference: papaverine vs placebo with 208.4 - 118.2 = 90.2 > 75.8.

Friedman's test

Nonparametric test, when ϵ_{ij} are non-normal, to test H_0 : no treatment effects. Ranking within *j*-th block: (R_{1j}, \ldots, R_{Ij}) = ranks of (Y_{1j}, \ldots, Y_{Ij}) so that $R_{1j} + \ldots + R_{Ij} = \frac{I(I+1)}{2}$, implying $\frac{1}{I}(R_{1j} + \ldots + R_{Ij}) = \frac{I+1}{2}$ and $\bar{R}_{\ldots} = \frac{I+1}{2}$.

Test statistic
$$Q = \frac{12J}{I(I+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{I} (\bar{R}_{i.} - \frac{I+1}{2})^2$$
 has an approximate null distribution $Q \stackrel{a}{\sim} \chi^2_{I-1}$.

Since Q is a measure of agreement between J rankings, we reject H_0 for large values of Q.

Example: experiment on itching

From the values R_{ij} and \bar{R}_{i} are given on p. 470 we find $\frac{I+1}{2} = 4$, Q = 14.86, df = 6, P-value ≈ 0.0214 .