Chapter 14. Linear least squares

1 Simple linear regression model

A linear model for the random response Y = Y(x) on an independent variable X = x. For a given set of values (x_1, \ldots, x_n) of the independent variable put

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

assuming that the noise $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ has independent $N(0, \sigma^2)$ random components. Given the data (y_1, \ldots, y_n) , the model is characterized by the likelihood function

$$L(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sigma^{-n} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

of three unknown model parameters β_0 , β_1 , σ^2 . Summary statistics:

sample covariance $s_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}),$ sample variances $s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2, s_y^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2,$ sample correlation coefficient $r = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y}.$

Least squares estimates

Regression lines: true $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ and fitted $y = b_0 + b_1 x$. We want to find (b_0, b_1) such that the observed responses y_i are approximated by the predicted responses $\hat{y}_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i$ in an optimal way. Least squares method: find (b_0, b_1) minimizing the sum of squares $S(b_0, b_1) = \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$.

From $\partial S/\partial b_0 = 0$ and $\partial S/\partial b_1 = 0$ we get the so-called Normal Equations:

$$\begin{cases} nb_0 + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \\ b_0 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} b_1 = \frac{n \sum x_i y_i - (\sum x_i)(\sum y_i)}{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} = r \cdot \frac{s_y}{s_x} \\ b_0 = \bar{y} - b_1 \bar{x} \end{cases}$$

Observe that the least square estimates (b_0, b_1) are the maximum likelihood estimates of (β_0, β_1) .

Least square regression line: for a given value x the predicted response is $\hat{y} = \bar{y} + r \frac{s_y}{s_x} (x - \bar{x}).$

Least square estimates are not robust against outliers: outliers exert leverage on the fitted line, p. 522.

Sums of squares SST = SSE + SSR
SST =
$$\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = (n - 1)s_y^2$$
 df = $n - 1$
SSR = $\sum (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 = (n - 1)b_1^2 s_x^2$ df = 1
SSE = $\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = (n - 1)s_y^2(1 - r^2)$ df = $n - 2$
Corrected MLE of σ^2 : $s^2 = \frac{\text{SSE}}{n-2} = \frac{n-1}{n-2}s_y^2(1 - r^2)$

Coefficient of determination $r^2 = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SST}} = 1 - \frac{\text{SSE}}{\text{SST}}$ is the proportion of variation in Y explained by main factor X. The coefficient of determination r^2 has a more transparent meaning than correlation r.

2 Confidence intervals and hypothesis testing

Unbiased and consistent estimates: $b_0 \sim N(\beta_0, \sigma_0^2)$, $\sigma_0^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 \cdot \sum x_i^2}{n(n-1)s_x^2}$; $b_1 \sim N(\beta_1, \sigma_1^2)$, $\sigma_1^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)s_x^2}$. Weak dependence between the two estimates $Cov(b_0, b_1) = -\frac{\sigma^2 \cdot \bar{x}}{(n-1)s_x^2}$: negative, if $\bar{x} > 0$, and positive, if $\bar{x} < 0$. Exact sampling distributions

$$\frac{b_0 - \beta_0}{s_{b_0}} \sim t_{n-2}, \quad s_{b_0} = \frac{s\sqrt{\sum x_i^2}}{s_x\sqrt{n(n-1)}}, \qquad \frac{b_1 - \beta_1}{s_{b_1}} \sim t_{n-2}, \quad s_{b_1} = \frac{s}{s_x\sqrt{n-1}}$$

Exact 100(1 - \alpha)% CI for \beta_i: \quad b_i \pm t_{\alpha/2,n-2} \cdot s_{b_i}

Hypothesis testing H_0 : $\beta_1 = \beta_{10}$: test statistic $T = \frac{b_1 - \beta_{10}}{s_{b_1}}$, exact null distribution $T \sim t_{n-2}$. Model utility test

 $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ (no relationship between X and Y), test statistic $T = b_1/s_{b_1}$, null distribution $T \sim t_{n-2}$. Zero intercept hypothesis

 $H_0: \beta_0 = 0$, test statistic $T = b_0/s_{b_0}$, null distribution $T \sim t_{n-2}$.

Intervals for individual observations

Given x predict the value y for the random variable $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot x + \epsilon$. Its expected value $\mu = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot x$ has the least square estimate $\hat{\mu} = b_0 + b_1 \cdot x$. The standard error of $\hat{\mu}$ is computed as the square root of $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n-1} \cdot (\frac{x-\bar{x}}{s_x})^2$.

Exact 100(1 - α)% confidence interval for the mean μ : $b_0 + b_1 x \pm t_{\alpha/2,n-2} \cdot s \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1} (\frac{x-\bar{x}}{s_x})^2}$ Exact 100(1 - α)% prediction interval for y: $b_0 + b_1 x \pm t_{\alpha/2,n-2} \cdot s \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1} (\frac{x-\bar{x}}{s_x})^2}$

Prediction interval has wider limits since $\operatorname{Var}(Y - \hat{\mu}) = \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu}) + \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 (1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot (\frac{x-\bar{x}}{s_x})^2)$. To illustrate draw confidence bands around the regression line both for the individual observation y and the mean μ .

Assessing the fit

Properties of the least square residuals $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$: $e_1^2 + \ldots + e_n^2$ is at minimum,

 $e_1 + \ldots + e_n = 0, x_1 e_1 + \ldots + x_n e_n = 0, \hat{y}_1 e_1 + \ldots + \hat{y}_n e_n = 0,$

meaning that e_i are uncorrelated with x_i and e_i are uncorrelated with \hat{y}_i .

Residual e_i has normal distribution with zero mean and

$$\operatorname{Var}(e_i) = \sigma^2 (1 - \frac{\sum_k (x_k - x_i)^2}{n \sum (x_k - \bar{x})^2}), \qquad \operatorname{Cov}(e_i, e_j) = -\frac{\sum_k (x_k - x_i)(x_k - x_j)}{n \sum (x_k - \bar{x})^2}$$

Standardized residuals := e_i/s_{e_i} , where $s_{e_i} = s\sqrt{1 - \frac{\sum_k (x_k - x_i)^2}{n\sum(x_k - \bar{x})^2}}$. Use the normal distribution plot for standardized residuals to test normality assumption. Expected plot of the standardized residuals versus x_i : horizontal blur (linearity), variance does not depend on x (homoscedasticity)

Example: flow rate vs stream depth.

Page 517-518: the scatter plot is slightly non-linear. The residual plot has the U-shape. Page 518-519:

the scatter log-log plot is closer to linear and the residual plot is horizontal.

Example: breast cancer

Page 520-521: absolute mortality y vs population size x produces a heteroscedastic residual plot. Page 523: normal probability plot is not linear.

Transformed variables \sqrt{y} vs \sqrt{x} : homoscedastic residual plot on page 521. Page 524: normal probability plot is closer to linear.

3 Multiple regression

Linear regression model $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \beta_{p-1} x_{p-1} + \epsilon$ with a homoscedastic noise $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. Data: observations (y_1, \ldots, y_n) are realizations of *n* independent random variables

$$Y_1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,1} + \ldots + \beta_{p-1} x_{1,p-1} + \epsilon_1, \ldots, Y_n = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{n,1} + \ldots + \beta_{p-1} x_{n,p-1} + \epsilon_n$$

In the matrix notation the vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$ is a realization of $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where

$$\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^T, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{p-1})^T, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)^T,$$

and \mathbf{X} is the so called design matrix

$$\mathbf{X} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & x_{1,1} & \dots & x_{1,p-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & x_{n,1} & \dots & x_{n,p-1} \end{array}\right).$$

Least square estimates $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, \dots, b_{p-1})^T$ minimize $S(\mathbf{b}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}\|^2$.

Normal equations $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$: if rank $(\mathbf{X}) = p$, then $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$.

Least squares multiple regression: predicted responses $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y}$, where $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T$.

Covariance matrix for the least square estimates $\Sigma_{bb} = \left(\operatorname{Cov}(b_i, b_j)\right)_{i,j=0}^{p-1} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}.$

An unbiased estimate of
$$\sigma^2$$
 is given by $s^2 = \|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2 / (n-p)$.

Standard errors $s_{b_i} = s \sqrt{s_{ii}}$, where s_{ii} are the diagonal elements of the matrix $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$.

Exact sampling distributions
$$\frac{b_i - \beta_i}{s_{b_i}} \sim t_{n-p}, i = 1, \dots, p-1.$$

Residuals $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{y}$ have a covariance matrix $\Sigma_{ee} = \|\operatorname{Cov}(e_i, e_j)\| = \sigma^2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$. Standardized residuals $\frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{s\sqrt{1 - p_{ii}}}$.

Coefficient of multiple determination $R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{SSE}}{\text{SST}}$, where $\text{SSE} = \|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|^2$, $\text{SST} = (n-1)s_y^2$. The problem with R^2 is that it increases even if irrelevant variables are added to the model.

Adjusted coefficient of multiple determination
$$R_a^2 = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-p} \cdot \frac{\text{SSE}}{\text{SST}}$$

is more appropriate as it punishes for irrelevant variables.

Example: flow rate vs stream depth.

Quadratic model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2$. Page 543: residuals shows no signs of systematic misfit. Linear and quadratic terms are statistically significant (n = 10)

| Coefficient | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value |
|-------------|----------|----------------|---------|
| β_0 | 1.68 | 1.06 | 1.52 |
| β_1 | -10.86 | 4.52 | -2.40 |
| β_2 | 23.54 | 4.27 | 5.51 |

Emperical relationship developed in a region might break down, if extrapolated to a wider region in which no data been observed

Example: heart catheter.

Catheter length depending on child's height and weight. Page 546: pairwise scatterplots, n = 12. Two simple linear regressions

| Estimate | Height | t Value | Weight | t Value |
|----------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| $b_0(s_{b_0})$ | 12.1(4.3) | 2.8 | 25.6(2.0) | 12.8 |
| $b_1(s_{b_1})$ | 0.60(0.10) | 6.0 | 0.28(0.04) | 7.0 |
| s | 4.0 | | 3.8 | |
| $r^2(R_a^2)$ | 0.78(0.76) | | 0.80(0.78) | |

Page 547: plots of standardized residuals. Multiple regression model $L = \beta_0 + \beta_1 H + \beta_2 W$ brings

$$b_0 = 21, \qquad s_{b_0} = 8.8, \qquad b_0/s_{b_0} = 2.39, \\ b_1 = 0.20, \qquad s_{b_1} = 0.36, \qquad b_1/s_{b_1} = 0.56, \\ b_2 = 0.19, \qquad s_{b_2} = 0.17, \qquad b_2/s_{b_2} = 1.12, \\ s = 3.9, \qquad R^2 = 0.81, \qquad R_a^2 = 0.77.$$

Can not reject neither $H_1: \beta_1 = 0$ nor $H_2: \beta_2 = 0$. Different meaning of the slope parameters in the simple and multiple regression models. Here β_1 is the expected change in L when H increased by one unit and W held constant.

Collinearity problem: height and weight have a strong linear relationship.

Fitted plane has a well resolved slope along the line about which the (H, W) points fall and poorly resolved slopes along the H and W axes.

Page 549: standard residuals from the multiple regression. Conclusion: little or no gain from adding W to the simple regression model model with an independent variable H.