

MSA101/MVE187 2018 Lecture 5

Petter Mostad

Chalmers University

September 18, 2018

Rejection sampling

- ▶ Sometimes we cannot easily simulate from a density $f(x)$, (the "target density") but we *can* simulate from an "instrumental" density $g(x)$ that approximates $f(x)$.
- ▶ If we can find a constant M such that $f(x)/g(x) \leq M$ for all x (and if f and g have the same support), we can use *rejection sampling* to sample from f :
 - ▶ Sample X using $g(x)$.
 - ▶ Draw u uniformly on $[0, 1]$.
 - ▶ If $u \cdot M \leq f(x)/g(x)$ accept x as a sample, otherwise reject x and start again.

Rejection sampling, cont.

- ▶ NOTE: Applicable in any dimension.
- ▶ The acceptance rate is $1/M$, so we want to use a small M .
- ▶ NOTE: We may in fact do this with $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ equal to the densities up to a constant, still a valid method!
- ▶ NOTE: When $g(x)$ integrates to 1, the integral of $f(x)$ can be approximated as the acceptance rate multiplied by M .
- ▶ Example: Random variables with log-concave densities can be simulated with this method.

General idea of Markov chain Monte Carlo:

- ▶ Construct a Markov chain which has as its *stationary distribution* the target distribution (the posterior) and simulate from this chain.
- ▶ From the simulations, extract something that is *approximately* a sample from the posterior.
- ▶ Do Monte Carlo integration with this sample.

Review of Markov chains

- ▶ Definition: A (discrete time, time-homogeneous) Markov chain with kernel K is a sequence of random variables $X^{(0)}, X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots$ satisfying, for all t ,

$$\pi(X^{(t)} | X^{(0)}, X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(t-1)}) = \pi(X^{(t)} | X^{(t-1)}) = K(X^{(t-1)}, X^{(t)})$$

- ▶ A stationary distribution f is one satisfying

$$f(y) = \int K(x, y) f(x) dx$$

- ▶ Example: In the case of a state space with n possible values, a distribution is represented by a vector of length n summing to 1, and K is represented by an $(n \times n)$ matrix with rows summing to 1. A stationary distribution is a (left) eigenvector for K .

Conditions for existence of a *unique* stationary distribution

- ▶ Reducibility / irreducible
- ▶ Periodicity / aperiodic
- ▶ Transience / recurrent
- ▶ Ergodic / ergodicity
- ▶ In an irreducible, aperiodic, recurrent chain, $X^{(n)}$ converges to a unique stationary distribution when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The detailed balance condition

- ▶ A Markov chain satisfies the *detailed balance condition* relative to a density f if, for all x, y ,

$$f(x)K(x, y) = f(y)K(y, x)$$

where $K(x, y)$ is the kernel of the Markov chain. Called a *reversible* Markov chain.

- ▶ If a chain satisfies detailed balance relative to f , then f must be a stationary distribution.
- ▶ Prove by integrating over x !

The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

Given a probability density f that we want to simulate from. Construct a *proposal function* $q(y | x)$ which for every x gives a probability density for a proposed new value y . The algorithm starts with a choice of an initial value $x^{(0)}$ for x , and then simulates $x^{(t)}$ given $x^{(t-1)}$. Specifically, given $x^{(t)}$,

- ▶ Simulate a new value y according to $q(y | x^{(t)})$.
- ▶ Compute the acceptance probability

$$\rho(x^{(t)}, y) = \min \left(\frac{f(y)q(x^{(t)} | y)}{f(x^{(t)})q(y | x^{(t)})}, 1 \right).$$

- ▶ Set

$$x^{(t+1)} = \begin{cases} y & \text{with probability } \rho(x^{(t)}, y) \\ x^{(t)} & \text{with probability } 1 - \rho(x^{(t)}, y) \end{cases}$$

The chain defined by Metropolis-Hastings satisfies the detailed balance condition relative to $f(x)$

- ▶ Assume first that $\rho(x, y) < 1$ (with $x \neq y$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)K(x, y) &= f(x)q(y | x)\rho(x, y) = f(x)q(y | x)\frac{f(y)q(x | y)}{f(x)q(y | x)} \\ &= f(y)q(x | y) = f(y)q(x | y)\rho(y, x) = f(y)K(y, x) \end{aligned}$$

The next to last step is because $\rho(y, x) = 1$ when $\rho(x, y) < 1$.

- ▶ If we start with $\rho(x, y) = 1$ the situation is clearly symmetrical, and we get the same result.

The Ergodic theorem

- ▶ This theorem says that, when $X^{(0)}, \dots, X^{(t)}, \dots$, is sampled from an ergodic Markov chain with stationary distribution f , we have that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h(X^{(t)}) = E_f[h(X)]$$

- ▶ When the sample is instead a random sample from f , this is the law of large numbers; we then also have the extension to the Central Limit Theorem, telling us how fast the convergence is.
- ▶ In the ergodic case, we still have convergence, but we don't know as easily how fast it is.

Note that...

- ▶ ...the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm *only* requires knowledge of the target density $f(x)$ up to a constant not involving x , as the density only appears in the quotient $f(y)/f(x)$ in the algorithm.
- ▶ ...the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm *only* requires knowledge of the proposal density up to a constant, for the same reason.
- ▶ ...similarly, smart versions of the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm uses proposal functions so that many factors in the acceptance probability

$$\frac{f(y)q(x | y)}{f(x)q(y | x)}$$

cancel each other.

Example: Symmetric proposal functions

Random walk Metropolis-Hastings

- ▶ We use

$$q(y | x) = g(y - x), \text{ where } g(-x) = g(x) \text{ for all } x.$$

for some density function g : The proposal becomes symmetric around x

- ▶ This means that $q(y | x) = q(x | y)$ and the acceptance probability becomes

$$\min\left(\frac{f(y)}{f(x)}, 1\right)$$

where f is the target density.

- ▶ Example: $y = x + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \sim \text{Normal}(0, \Sigma)$ for some covariance matrix Σ .
- ▶ The scaling of the size of the jumps can be very tricky to get right, to produce good convergence of the Markov chain.

Example: Independent proposal functions

- ▶ A simple special case is when $q(y | x)$ does not depend on x ; i.e. proposals are independently generated from $q(y)$.
- ▶ The generated values are however *not* independent: When the proposed value is not accepted, the new value in the chain is equal to the old.
- ▶ Note that, if the ratio $f(x)/q(x)$ is unbounded, the chain can become stuck in such point where this ratio is too high. Then the convergence can be very bad.

- ▶ The idea: Sampling from conditional distributions $\pi(X_i | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k)$ for the target density. These are in many cases easy to derive.
- ▶ Two stage and multistage Gibbs sampling.
- ▶ Why does it work? Easy to show that the Markov chain satisfies the detailed balance condition.
- ▶ Examples RC 7.1, 7.2
- ▶ Example RC 7.3: Simulating from a posterior that does not have an analytic form, but where each of the conditional distributions has an analytic form.