TMS 165/MSA350 Stochastic Calculus

Solved Exercises for Chapters 4-5 in Klebaner's book

Througout this exercise session $B = \{B(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ denotes Brownian motion.

Exercise 1. For two Itô processes $X = \{X(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ and $Y = \{Y(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ the Stratonovich integral process $\{\int_0^t X\,\partial Y\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ of X wrt. Y is defined as

$$\int_0^t X\,\partial Y \equiv \int_0^t X\,dY + \frac{1}{2}\,[X,Y](t) \quad \text{for } t\in[0,T]$$

(see also Section 5.9 in Klebaner's book). With this notation, show that $df(X(t)) = f'(X(t))\,\partial X(t)$ for f two times continuously differentiable.

Solution. First we must agree on what is the exact meaning of the statement we are challanged to show, that $df(X(t)) = f'(X(t))\,\partial X(t)$. And that in turn must be that

$$f(X(t)) - f(X(0)) = \int_0^t f'(X)\,\partial X.$$

Now, by the definition of the Stratonovich integral we have

$$\int_0^t f'(X)\,\partial X = \int_0^t f'(X)\,dX + \frac{1}{2}\,[f'(X),X](t).$$

Here the arguments from Example 4.23 in Klebaner's book carry over with only obvious modifications to show that

$$[f'(X),X](t) = \int_0^t f''(X)\,d[X,X],$$

so that

$$\int_0^t f'(X)\,\partial X = \int_0^t f'(X)\,dX + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t f''(X)\,d[X,X].$$

But the right-hand side of this in turn equals $f(X(t)) - f(X(0))$ by Itô's formula Theorem 4.16 in Klebaner's book. (Note that we only require f to be two times continuously differentiable in this exercise, rather than three times continuously differentiable as is required in the corresponding Theorem 5.19 in Klebaner's book.)

Exercise 2. Show that for a process $X \in E_T$ the following process is a martingale

$$\left\{\left(\int_0^t X\,dB\right)^2 - \int_0^t X(s)^2\,ds\right\}_{t\in[0,T]}.$$

Solution. If we have proved that the above process is a martingale for $X \in S_T$, then given an $X \in E_T$, we may pick a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq S_T$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{E}\left\{ \int_0^T (X_n(t) - X(t))^2 \, dt \right\} = 0$$

and

$$\int_0^t X_n \, dB \to \int_0^t X \, dB \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

for $t \in [0,T]$ in the sense of convergence in $\mathbb{L}^2$. From this in turn we conclude by means of reapeted use of Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left\{ \left| \int_0^t X_n(s)^2 \, ds - \int_0^t X(s)^2 \, ds \right| \right\} \\
&= \mathbf{E}\left\{ \left| \int_0^t (X_n(s) - X(s)) \, (X_n(s) + X(s)) \, ds \right| \right\} \\
&\le \mathbf{E}\left\{ \sqrt{\int_0^t (X_n(s) - X(s))^2 \, ds} \sqrt{\int_0^t (X_n(s) + X(s))^2 \, ds} \right\} \\
&\le \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left\{ \int_0^t (X_n(s) - X(s))^2 \, ds \right\}} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left\{ \int_0^t (X_n(s) + X(s))^2 \, ds \right\}} \\
&\le \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left\{ \int_0^T (X_n(s) - X(s))^2 \, ds \right\}} \sqrt{2\,\mathbf{E}\left\{ \int_0^T (X_n(s) - X(s))^2 \, ds \right\} + 2\,\mathbf{E}\left\{ \int_0^T (2X(s))^2 \, ds \right\}} \\
&\to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly using also the isometry property

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left\{ \left| \left(\int_0^t X_n \, dB \right)^2 - \left(\int_0^t X \, dB \right)^2 \right| \right\} \\
&\qquad = \mathbf{E}\left\{ \left| \left(\int_0^t X_n \, dB - \int_0^t X \, dB \right) \left(\int_0^t X_n \, dB + \int_0^t X \, dB \right) \right| \right\} \\
&\qquad \le \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left\{ \left(\int_0^t (X_n - X) \, dB \right)^2 \right\}} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left\{ \left(\int_0^t (X_n + X) \, dB \right)^2 \right\}} \\
&\qquad = \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left\{ \int_0^t (X_n(s) - X(s))^2 \, ds \right\}} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\left\{ \int_0^t (X_n(s) + X(s))^2 \, ds \right\}} \\
&\qquad \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int_0^t X_n(s)^2 \, ds \to \int_0^t X(s)^2 \, ds \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\int_0^t X_n \, dB \right)^2 \to \left(\int_0^t X \, dB \right)^2 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

for $t \in [0,T]$ in the sense of convergence in $\mathbb{L}^1$. Hence we may use Exercise 3 of Exercise Seesion 3 together with the assume proven martingale property when $X_n \in S_T$ to

conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\int_0^t X\,dB\right)^2-\int_0^t X(r)^2\,dr\;\middle|\;\mathcal{F}_s\right\} &\leftarrow \mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\int_0^t X_n\,dB\right)^2-\int_0^t X_n(r)^2\,dr\;\middle|\;\mathcal{F}_s\right\}\\ &=\left(\int_0^s X_n\,dB\right)^2-\int_0^s X_n(r)^2\,dr\\ &\to\left(\int_0^s X\,dB\right)^2-\int_0^s X(r)^2\,dr\quad\text{as }\ n\to\infty\end{aligned}$$

for $0\le s<t\le T$ in the sense of convergence in $\mathbb{L}^1$, thereby establishing the requested martingale property for $X\in E_T$.

Pick a grid $0=t_0<t_1<\ldots<t_n=T$ and consider an $X\in S_T$ given by

$$X(t)=I_{\{0\}}(t)\eta_0+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}I_{(t_i,t_{i+1}]}(t)\xi_i\quad\text{for }\ t\in[0,T],$$

where η_0 is $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable and ξ_i is $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$-measurable for $i=0,\ldots,n-1$. Recall that

$$\int_0^t X\,dB=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\xi_i\left(B(t_{i+1})-B(t_i)\right)+\xi_m\left(B(t)-B(t_m)\right) & \text{for }\ t\in(t_m,t_{m+1}]\\ 0 & \text{for }\ t=0\end{cases}.$$

In order to prove the martingale property

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\int_0^t X\,dB\right)^2-\int_0^t X(r)^2\,dr\;\middle|\;\mathcal{F}_s\right\}=\left(\int_0^s X\,dB\right)^2-\int_0^s X(r)^2\,dr$$

for $0\le s<t\le T$ we may without loss of generality assume that $s=t_j$ and $t=t_k$ for some $0\le j<k\le n$ as the grid $0=t_0<t_1<\ldots<t_n=T$ can otherwise be enriched to accomodate s and t without affecting the values of

$$\left(\int_0^t X\,dB\right)^2-\int_0^t X(r)^2\,dr\quad\text{and}\quad\left(\int_0^s X\,dB\right)^2-\int_0^s X(r)^2\,dr.$$

Here the random variable to the right is $\mathcal{F}_s$-measurable, and therefore simple algebraic manipulations show that the martingale property to be established holds if

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\int_0^t X\,dB\right)^2-\left(\int_0^s X\,dB\right)^2-\int_s^t X(r)^2\,dr\;\middle|\;\mathcal{F}_s\right\}\\ &\qquad=\mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\int_s^t X\,dB\right)^2+2\int_0^s X\,dB\int_s^t X\,dB-\int_s^t X(r)^2\,dr\;\middle|\;\mathcal{F}_s\right\}\\ &\qquad=0.\end{aligned}$$

That this identity holds in turn follows from the facts that

$$\mathbf{E}\Big\{\int_0^s X\,dB\int_s^t X\,dB\;\Big|\;\mathcal{F}_s\Big\}=\Big(\int_0^s X\,dB\Big)\sum_{i=j}^{k-1}\mathbf{E}\big\{\xi_i\,\mathbf{E}\big\{(B(t_{i+1})-B(t_i))\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_i}\big\}\big|\mathcal{F}_s\big\}=0$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\Big\{\Big(\int_s^t X\,dB\Big)^2\;\Big|\;\mathcal{F}_s\Big\}\\
&\quad=\sum_{i=j}^{k-1}\mathbf{E}\big\{\xi_i^2\,\mathbf{E}\big\{(B(t_{i+1})-B(t_i))^2\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_i}\big\}\big|\mathcal{F}_s\big\}\\
&\qquad+2\sum_{j\le i_1<i_2\le k-1}\mathbf{E}\big\{\xi_{i_1}\,\xi_{i_2}\,(B(t_{i_1+1})-B(t_{i_1}))\,\mathbf{E}\big\{(B(t_{i_2+1})-B(t_{i_2}))\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_{i_2}i}\big\}\big|\mathcal{F}_s\big\}\\
&\quad=\sum_{i=j}^{k-1}\mathbf{E}\big\{\xi_i^2\,(t_{i+1}-t_i)^2\big|\mathcal{F}_s\big\}+0\\
&\quad=\mathbf{E}\Big\{\int_s^t X(r)^2\,dr\;\Big|\;\mathcal{F}_s\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is tempting to try to solve the exercise by means of applying Itô's formula, which readily gives

$$\Big(\int_0^t X\,dB\Big)^2-\int_0^t X(s)^2\,ds=2\int_0^t\Big(\int_0^s X(r)\,dB(r)\Big)X(s)\,dB(s).$$

Here we know that $\int_0^s X(r)\,dB(r)$ and $X(s)$ are both square-integrable. But this only implies that $\big(\int_0^s X(r)\,dB(r)\big)\,X(s)$ is integrable (rather than square-integrable) in general, and therefore we cannot conclude that the process on the right-hand side is a martingale form what we have learned so far.

Exercise 3. Prove Itô's formula Theorem 4.13 in Klebaner's book.

Solution. We shall prove that for a two times continuously differentiable function f it holds that

$$f(B(t))=f(B(0))+\int_0^t f'(B(r))\,dB(r)+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t f''(B(r))\,dr\quad\text{for }\;t>0.$$

To that end we consider partitions $0=t_0<t_1<\ldots<t_n=t$ of the interval $[0,t]$ that becomes finer and finer so that $\max_{1\le i\le n}t_i-t_{i-1}\downarrow 0$. By Taylor expansion we have

$$f(B(t))-f(B(0))=\sum_{i=1}^n f(B(t_i))-f(B(t_{i-1}))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} f'(B(t_{i-1}))\,(B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}))$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f''(B(t_{i-1}))\,(B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}))^2$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B(t_{i-1})}^{B(t_i)} (B(t_i) - r)\,(f''(r) - f''(B(t_{i-1})))\,dr.$$

Here the first term on the right-hand side converges to $\int_0^t f'(B)\,dB$ in probability as $f(B)$ is a continuous and adapted process. Moreover, recalling that the quadratic variation of B over an interval equals the length of that interval it follows that the second term on the right-hand side converges to $\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t f''(B(r))\,dr$ by means of introducing a second cruder grid $\{t'_j\}_{j=1}^m$, approximating the value of $f''(B(t_{i-1}))$ by $f''(B(t'_{j-1}))$ for an appropriate j, and sending first $\max_{1\le i\le n} t_i - t_{i-1} \downarrow 0$ and then $\max_{1\le j\le m} t'_j - t'_{j-1} \downarrow 0$ afterwards, as this makes it possible to replace $(B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}))^2$ with $t_i - t_{i-1}$ in the first limit as $\max_{1\le i\le n} t_i - t_{i-1} \downarrow 0$ and the approximation of $f''(B(t_{i-1}))$-values by $f''(B(t'_{j-1}))$-values is accurate in the second limit as $\max_{1\le j\le m} t'_j - t'_{j-1} \downarrow 0$ by the continuity of $f''(B)$. Finally, the third term on the right-hand side is bounded by

$$\sup_{r,s\in[0,T],\,|r-s|\le \max_{1\le i\le n} t_i - t_{i-1}} |f''(B(r)) - f''(B(s))| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B(t_{i-1})}^{B(t_i)} (B(t_i) - r)\,dr$$
$$= \sup_{r,s\in[0,T],\,|r-s|\le \max_{1\le i\le n} t_i - t_{i-1}} |f''(B(r)) - f''(B(s))| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}))^2}{2}$$
$$\to 0 \times \frac{t}{2}.$$

Exercise 4. One can prove the following important generalization of Itô's formula Theorem 4.16 in Klebaner's book: For an Itô process $\{X(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ all values of which belong to an open interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability 1 and a two times continuously differentiable function $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ it holds that

$$df(X(t)) = f'(X(t))\,dX(t) + \frac{1}{2}\,f''(X(t))\,d[X,X](t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0,T].$$

Use this result to give a detailed proof of Theorem 5.3 in Klebaner's book.

Solution. Let $\{U(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ be a strictly positive Itô process with probability 1. Then we may apply the above mentioned generalized Itô formula to the function $Y(t) = \log(U(t)) - \log(U(0))$ to conclude that

$$dY(t) = \frac{dU(t)}{U(t)} - \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{d[U](t)}{U(t)^2},$$

so that

$$U(t)\,d\Big(\log\Big(\frac{U(t)}{U(0)}\Big)+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\frac{d[U](r)}{U(r)^2}\Big)=U(t)\,d\Big(Y(t)+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\frac{d[U](r)}{U(r)^2}\Big)=dU(t).$$

This means that the Itô process

$$\mathcal{L}(U(t))\equiv\log\Big(\frac{U(t)}{U(0)}\Big)+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\frac{d[U](r)}{U(r)^2}$$

has stochastic exponential $U(t)$ and therefore is the stochastic logarithm of $U(t)$. By multiplying both sides of the above equation by $1/U(t)$ we also see that $\mathcal{L}(U(t))$ obeys the equation

$$d\mathcal{L}(U(t))=\frac{1}{U(t)}\,dU(t),\quad \mathcal{L}(U(0))=0.$$

(Note that this SDE is not of diffusion type in general.)

Exercise 5. The filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ that features in the construction of the Itô integral process need not necessarily be the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t^B\}$ generated by B itself, but can more generally be as in Remark 3.1 in Klebaner's book. In particular, if $\{B_1(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{B_2(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ are independent Brownian motions, then we may employ the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ given by $\mathcal{F}_t=\sigma(\mathcal{F}_t^{B_1},\mathcal{F}_t^{B_1})$ for $t\geq 0$ to be able to simultaneuously consider Itô integral process (and therefore also SDE) with respect to both B_1 and B_2.

The Nobel prize awarded Black-Scholes-Merton SDE

$$dX(t)=r\,X(t)\,dt+\sigma\,X(t)\,dB(t)\quad\text{for }\ t>0,\quad X(0)=x_0,$$

for future values $\{X(t)\}_{t>0}$ of a financial asset with an uncertain rate of return might be generalized to a model that can much more accurately model real worls financial assets, such as e.g., stock prices as follows: With the notation from the previous paragraph, consider the SDE (not in general of diffusion type)

$$dX(t)=r\,X(t)\,dt+\sigma(t)\,X(t)\,dB_1(t)\quad\text{for }\ t>0,\quad X(0)=x_0,$$

where the constant so called volatility parameter $\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$ of the Black-Scholes-Merton SDE has been replaced with a random volatility process $\{\sigma(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ that can model a market that features a time variable uncertainty for the rate of the return. Solve this more general SDE when the volatility process $\{\sigma(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is given by the SDE

$$d\sigma(t)=-\alpha\,\sigma(t)\,dt+\beta\,dB_2(t)\quad\text{for }\ t>0,\quad \sigma(0)=\sigma_0,$$

where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ are positive real constants (as is r).

Solution. Identifying X as a stochastic exponential we get

$$X(t) = x_0 \exp\left\{ r\,t - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \sigma(s)^2\,ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s)\,dB_1(s) \right\} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

(see Section 5.3 in Klebaner's book), where σ in turn is recognized as the solution to a Langevin type SDE

$$\sigma(t) = \exp\left\{ -\int_0^t \alpha(s)\,ds \right\} \left(\sigma_0 + \beta \int_0^t \exp\left\{ \int_0^s \alpha(r)\,dr \right\} dB_2(s) \right) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

(see Example 5.6 and Section 5.3 in Klebaner's book).

Exercise 6. Solve the SDE

$$dX(t) = \left(\sqrt{1+X(t)^2} + \frac{X(t)}{2} \right) dt + \sqrt{1+X(t)^2}\,dB(t) \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad X(0) = 0.$$

Solution. First notice that all conditions of Theorem 5.4 in Klebaner's book are satisfied, so that it is clear that the SDE has a well-defined and unique solution. Now, employing divine inspiration we readily arrive at the idea to try the transformation $Y(t) = \sinh^{-1}(X(t))$. By an application of Itô's formula Theorem 4.16 in Klebaner's book we then get

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+X(t)^2}}\,dX(t) - \frac{X(t)}{2\,(1+X(t)^2)^{3/2}}\,d[X,X](t) \\ &= dt + \frac{X(t)}{2\sqrt{1+X(t)^2}}\,dt + dB(t) - \frac{X(t)}{2\sqrt{1+X(t)^2}}\,dt \\ &= dt + dB(t), \end{aligned}$$

with the obvious solution $Y(t) = t + B(t)$ [remembering that $Y(0) = 0$]. Hence the solution to the SDE must be $X(t) = \sinh(t + B(t))$. That this process X really solves the SDE is also easy to check by means of direct calculations using Itô's formula Theorem 4.18 in Klebaner's book together with the hyperbolic unit formula.