Solving stochastic differential equations

Anders Muszta

June 26, 2005

Consider a stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX_t = a(t, X_t) dt + b(t, X_t) dB_t; X_0 = x_0.$$
(1)

If we are interested in finding the *strong* solution to this equation then we are searching for a function $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_t = f(t, B_t)$. This is so because the way X_t changes is governed by t and B_t ; The coefficients $a(t, X_t)$ and $b(t, X_t)$ only describe the effects changes in t and B_t respectively have on changes in X_t .

Because the process $\{X_t\}$ has the dynamics as described in (1), there will be a corresponding dynamics for the process ft, B_t). The dynamics is obtained by applying the Itô Formula to $f(t, B_t)$.

This gives

$$f(t, B_t) = f(0, 0) + \int_0^t \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s, B_s) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s, B_s) \right\} ds + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, B_s) dB_s.$$
(2)

If we compare this with the dynamics for X_t

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t a(s, X_s) \, ds + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) \, dB_s.$$
(3)

If we choose the function f so that it satisfies the following system of partial differential equations then we will have a *candidate* for the solution of SDE (1):

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s,x) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s,x) = a(s,f(s,x));$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s,x) = b(s,f(s,x));$$

$$f(0,0) = x_0.$$
(4)

This technique is useful mostly for *linear* coefficient functions a(s, x) and b(s, x).

Example 1. Consider the SDE

$$dX_t = dt + dB_t;$$

$$X_0 = x_0,$$

which is the simplest linear SDE imaginable. We know that the strong solution to this equation is $X_t = x_0 + t + B_t$. Let us see what the coefficient matching technique gives us. The system we need to solve in this case is

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s, x) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s, x) = 1;$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, x) = 1;$$

$$f(0, 0) = x_0.$$
(5)

The solution is computed as follows.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s,x) = 1 \Rightarrow f(s,x) = x + g(s);$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s,x) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s,x) = 1 \Rightarrow g'(s) = 1 \Rightarrow g(s) = s + c_0;$$

$$f(0,0) = x_0 \Rightarrow c_0 = x_0$$

Thus we have obtained $f(s, x) = x_0 + t + x$, and the candidate strong solution to the SDE is $f(t, B_t) = x_0 + t + B_t$, which in this case actually is the strong solution to (5).

Example 2. Consider the so called Ornstein-Uhlenbeck SDE

$$dX_t = -rX_t dt + \sigma dB_t;$$

$$X_0 = x_0,$$

where $r, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. If we apply the coefficient matching technique we get the system

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s,x) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s,x) = -rf(t,x);$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s,x) = \sigma;$$
$$f(0,0) = x_0.$$

This results in an equation $f(t,x) = \sigma x + g(t)$, where the function g satisfies the ODE

$$rg(t) + g'(t) = -r\sigma x. \tag{6}$$

Since the right-hand side of (6) is a function of t alone, and the left-hand side a function of x alone, these have to be constant. So we are forced to conclude that x is constant. But this is absurd, since x is a variable quantity.

This simple example shows that even for harmless looking linear coefficient functions a(t,x) and b(t,x), the coefficient matching technique might lead to nonsense!