

# Exam Statistical Inference Principles

LP 3, 2015/16

2016-03-14

## Σ 23 Problem 1

- $(X_i, i=1, \dots, n)$  RS,  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ -distributed
- $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  sample mean
- $S^2 := \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$  sample variance

4(a) Claim: The family of normal pdfs is an exponential family.

Proof:

Recall: A family of pdfs is called an exponential family if it can be expressed as

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f(x|\theta) = h(x) c(\theta) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta) t_i(x)\right)$$

$\uparrow$                      $\uparrow$   
 $\geq 0$                      $\geq 0$

Here  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) &\stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 - 2x\mu + \mu^2)\right) \\
 &\stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{=} \underbrace{1}_{h(x) \geq 0} \cdot \underbrace{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}_{c(\mu, \sigma^2) \geq 0} \exp\left(\underbrace{\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)}_{w_1(\mu, \sigma^2)} x^2 + \underbrace{\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}}_{w_2(\mu, \sigma^2)} x - \underbrace{\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}}_{w_3(\mu, \sigma^2)}\right) \\
 &\stackrel{4 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 2}{=} h(x) c(\mu, \sigma^2) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 w_i(\mu, \sigma^2) t_i(x)\right) \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

6.2 (b) Claim:  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is minimal sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .  
 $\rightarrow$  could be included in (ii) but then same computations there

Proof: (i) Show first sufficiency.

Recall the (Fisher-Neyman) Factorization Theorem:

$f(\cdot|\theta)$  joint pdf of RS  $(X_i, i=1, \dots, n) = X$

$T(X)$  sufficient statistic for  $\theta$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists g(\cdot|\theta), h: \underbrace{+x \in X}_{\text{sample space}} : \underbrace{+\theta \in \Theta}_{\text{parameters space}} : f(x|\theta) = g(T(x)|\theta) h(x)$

goal: Find  $g, h$ !

$$f((x_1, \dots, x_n) | (\mu, \sigma^2)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - \mu)^2$$

$$\textcircled{1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + 2(x_i - \bar{x})(\bar{x} - \mu) + (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + 2(\bar{x} - \mu) \underbrace{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\bar{x} \right)}_{=0} + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2$$

$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right)\right)$$

$$\textcircled{1/2} \text{ def } = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( (n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right)\right)$$

$$= g(\bar{x}, s^2) | (\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$\Rightarrow$  with  $h(x) = 1$ :

$$f((x_1, \dots, x_n) | (\mu, \sigma^2)) = h(x) g(\bar{x}, s^2) | (\mu, \sigma^2)$$

Factorization Theorem

$\Rightarrow$

$(\bar{x}, s^2)$  sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$

(ii) Show next minimality.

Recall the Theorem of Lehmann, Scheffé:

$\textcircled{1}$

$f(\cdot | \theta)$  pdf of RS  $(X_i, i=1, \dots, n) = X$

Assume:  $\exists T: \forall x, y \in X$

$$\frac{f(x | \theta)}{f(y | \theta)} = \text{const. as fctn of } \theta \iff T(x) = T(y)$$

$\Rightarrow T(X)$  is minimal sufficient statistic.

Let  $T(x) = (\bar{x}, s_x^2)$ , then

$$\frac{f(x | (\mu, \sigma^2))}{f(y | (\mu, \sigma^2))} \stackrel{\textcircled{1/2}}{=} \frac{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( (n-1)s_x^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right)\right)}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( (n-1)s_y^2 + n(\bar{y} - \mu)^2 \right)\right)}$$

$\textcircled{1/2}$  + above

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2b^2} \left( (n-1)(s_x^2 - s_y^2) + n(\bar{x}^2 - 2\bar{x}\mu + \mu^2 - (\bar{y}^2 - 2\bar{y}\mu + \mu^2)) \right)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2b^2} \left( (n-1)(s_x^2 - s_y^2) + n(\bar{x}^2 - \bar{y}^2) - 2n\mu(\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \right)\right)$$

$\stackrel{!}{=} \text{const. as fctn of } (\mu, b^2)$

①  $\Leftrightarrow (n-1)(s_x^2 - s_y^2) + \underbrace{n(\bar{x}^2 - \bar{y}^2)}_0 - \underbrace{2n\mu(\bar{x} - \bar{y})}_{\forall \mu \Leftrightarrow \bar{x} - \bar{y} = 0} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \bar{x} - \bar{y} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$  and  $(n-1)(s_x^2 - s_y^2) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$

②  $\Leftrightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}$  and  $s_x^2 = s_y^2$ , i.e.,  $T(x) = T(y)$

③ Lehmann, Sufficient  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  minimal sufficient statistic □

6 (c) The likelihood function is given by

$$L((\mu, b^2) | x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | (\mu, b^2))$$

①  $\stackrel{(b)(i)}{=} (2\pi b^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2b^2} \left( (n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right)\right)$

Compute

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b^2} \left( \log L((\mu, b^2) | x) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial b^2} \left( -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi b^2) - \frac{1}{2b^2} \left( (n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right) \right)$$

②  $= -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi b^2} \cdot 2\pi + \frac{1}{2b^2} \left( (n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right)$

③  $= -\frac{n}{2} (b^2)^{-1} + \left( \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2} + \frac{n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{2} \right) (b^2)^{-2} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$   
to maximize 0 by assumption

④  $b^2 \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow -\frac{n}{2} b^2 + \frac{(n-1)s^2}{2} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$

⑤  $\Leftrightarrow b^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} s^2$

① Check that local maximum

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (b^2)^2} \left( \log L((\mu, b^2) | x) \right) = \frac{n}{2} (b^2)^{-2} - \left( (n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right) (b^2)^{-3}$$

0 by assumption

$$\stackrel{\text{plug in}}{=} \frac{n}{2} \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} (s^2)^{-2} - (n-1)s^2 \frac{n^3}{(n-1)^3 (s^2)^{-3}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{n^3}{(n-1)^2 (s^2)^{-2}} < 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{local maximum}$$

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} \lim_{\hat{\sigma}^2 \rightarrow +\infty} \log L((\mu, \hat{\sigma}^2) | x) = -\infty$$

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} \lim_{\hat{\sigma}^2 \rightarrow 0} \log L((\mu, \hat{\sigma}^2) | x) = -\infty \quad \text{since } -\frac{1}{x} \text{ faster to } -\infty \text{ than } -\log x \text{ to } +\infty$$

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} s^2 \quad \text{unique maximum}$$

Since  $\Rightarrow$  log likelihood has the same maximum as the likelihood,

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\sigma}^2 := \frac{n-1}{n} s^2 \text{ is the maximum likelihood estimator of } \sigma^2.$$

4.1

(d) The mean squared error of an estimator  $W$  of a parameter  $\theta$  is the function of  $\theta$  defined by  $E_{\theta}((W-\theta)^2)$ . ①

$$\text{MSE}(S^2) = E_{\mu, \sigma^2}((S^2 - \sigma^2)^2) \stackrel{\text{since unbiased}}{=} \text{Var}_{\mu, \sigma^2}(S^2) \stackrel{\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}}}{=} \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}$$

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E_{\mu, \sigma^2}((\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2)^2) \stackrel{\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}}}{=} \text{Var}_{\mu, \sigma^2}(\hat{\sigma}^2) + (\text{Bias}_{\mu, \sigma^2}(\hat{\sigma}^2))^2$$

$$= \text{Var}_{\mu, \sigma^2}\left(\frac{n-1}{n} S^2\right) + \left(\text{Bias}_{\mu, \sigma^2}\left(\frac{n-1}{n} S^2\right)\right)^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} + \left(E_{\mu, \sigma^2}\left(\frac{n-1}{n} S^2\right) - \sigma^2\right)^2$$

$$\stackrel{S^2 \text{ unbiased}}{=} \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} \sigma^4 + \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 - \sigma^2\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} \sigma^4 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sigma^4$$

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2n-1}{n^2} \sigma^4$$

2

(e)  $S^2$  "better" than  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  because unbiased and therefore on average correct ①

$\hat{\sigma}^2$  "better" than  $S^2$  because  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^2) < \text{MSE}(S^2)$  ①

[6] (a) Claim:

Let  $f$  be a unimodal pdf. If the interval  $[a, b]$  satisfies

(i)  $\int_a^b f(x) dx = 1 - \alpha,$

(ii)  $f(a) = f(b) > 0,$

(iii)  $a \leq x^* \leq b,$  where  $x^*$  is a mode of  $f,$

then  $[a, b]$  is a shortest among all intervals that satisfy.

Proof: Assume that we have shown the claim for all intervals  $[a', b']$  such that  $a' \leq a.$

① Assume that there exists an interval  $[a', b']$  that satisfies (i) and that is shorter than  $[a, b],$  where  $a' > a$

case  $b' \leq b$

$$\int_{a'}^{b'} f(x) dx \stackrel{\textcircled{1/2}}{=} \underbrace{\int_a^b f(x) dx}_{=1-\alpha} - \underbrace{\int_a^{a'} f(x) dx}_{\substack{\text{since } f(a) > 0 \\ \text{and } f(x) \geq f(a) \\ \forall x \in [a, a'] \\ > 0}} - \underbrace{\int_{b'}^b f(x) dx}_{\geq 0}$$

$\stackrel{\textcircled{1/2}}{<} 1 - \alpha$

case  $b' > b, a' \leq b$

$$\int_{a'}^{b'} f(x) dx \stackrel{\textcircled{1/2}}{=} \int_a^b f(x) dx - \int_a^{a'} f(x) dx + \int_b^{b'} f(x) dx$$

$f$  unimodal

$$\leq \stackrel{\textcircled{1/2}}{=} 1 - \alpha - f(a)(a' - a) + f(b)(b' - b)$$

$f(a) = f(b)$

$$= 1 - \alpha + \underbrace{f(a)}_{> 0} \underbrace{((b' - a') - (b - a))}_{\substack{\text{shorter} \\ < 0}}$$

$\stackrel{\textcircled{1/2}}{<} 1 - \alpha$

case  $b < a' < b'$

$$\int_{a'}^{b'} f(x) dx \stackrel{\text{unimodal}}{\leq} f(a')(b'-a') \stackrel{(\frac{1}{2})}{\leq} f(b)(b'-a')$$

$$\stackrel{b'-a' < b-a}{f(b) > 0}}{<} f(b)(b-a)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{unimodal}}{\leq} \int_a^b f(x) dx = 1 - \alpha \quad \square$$

2.5  
 (b) Let  $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(x)$ , then  $f$  is the pdf of the uniform distribution on  $[0,1]$  and for all  $x^* \in [0,1]$  it is nondecreasing on  $(-\infty, x^*]$  and nonincreasing on  $[x^*, +\infty)$ . Then for fixed  $\alpha > 0$  and all  $a, b \in [0,1]$  s.t.  $b-a = 1-\alpha$ :

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = 1 - \alpha \quad (1)$$

(but also (ii) and (iii) are fulfilled). There exists infinitely many  $a, b$ , e.g.  $a=0, b=1-\alpha$  or  $a=\frac{\alpha}{2}, b=1-\frac{\alpha}{2}$ . More precisely  $\forall a \in [0, \alpha] : \exists b \in [0,1] : \int_a^b f(x) dx = 1 - \alpha$ , actually  $b = 1 - \alpha + a$ .

4.2

(c)  $(X_i, i=1, \dots, n)$  RS,  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distributed

•  $\bar{X}$  sample mean, which is  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$  distributed

$$\Rightarrow Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \text{ distributed} \quad (1)$$

Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$  be the corresponding density, then

- $x^*=0$  is a mode (1/2)
- $f$  is symmetric around 0 (1/2)

$$\Rightarrow f(a) = f(b) > 0 \text{ for } a = -b \quad (1/2)$$

$$\text{and } -b \leq x^* = 0 \leq b \quad (1/2)$$

Choose  $b$  such that  $\int_{-b}^b f(x) dx = 1 - \alpha = P(|Z| \leq b)$  (exists!) (1/2)

(a)  $\Rightarrow [-b, b]$  is a shortest  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval for  $\mu$  (1/2)

transform  $\Rightarrow \{ \mu \in \mathbb{R}, -b \leq z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b \} = \{ \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \bar{X} - b \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + b \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \}$  shortest  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval

Σ16 | Problem 3

$X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau^2)$ ,  $\pi(\cdot | \bar{x}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{E}(\theta | \bar{x}), \text{Var}(\theta | \bar{x}))$

3 (a) The Bayesian approach to statistics assumes that the parameter  $\theta$ , which should be estimated by a random sample  $X = (X_i, i=1, \dots, n)$ , is a random variable with so called prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$ . After the observation  $x$  it is updated to the posterior distribution  $\pi(\theta | x)$  according to Bayes' Rule:

$$\pi(\theta | x) := \frac{f(x | \theta) \pi(\theta)}{m(x)}, \quad \textcircled{1/2}$$

where  $f(\cdot | \theta)$  is the pdf/pmf of  $X$  and  $m(x) = \int_{\Theta} f(x | \theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta$  (in the cont case) is the marginal distribution.

2 (b) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote a/the class of pdfs or pmfs  $f(\cdot | \theta)$ . A class  $\Pi$  of prior distributions is a conjugate family for  $\mathcal{F}$  if the posterior distribution is in the class  $\Pi$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , all priors in  $\Pi$  and all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

① An example is that the normal distribution is conjugate to itself which we computed in the exercises.

2 (c) A possible choice of a point estimator is the mean of the posterior, i.e.,

① 
$$\mathbb{E}(\theta | \bar{x}) = \int_{\Theta} \theta \pi(\theta | \bar{x}) d\theta \stackrel{\text{exercise!}}{=} \frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}} \bar{x} + \frac{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}{\tau^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}} \mu$$

4 (d) For testing  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$  and observation  $x$ , we have posterior probabilities

②  $P(\theta \leq \theta_0 | \bar{x}) = P(H_0 \text{ is true} | \bar{x})$

③  $P(\theta > \theta_0 | \bar{x}) = P(H_1 \text{ is true} | \bar{x})$

With possible decision (but others are also fine)

② accept  $H_0$  if  $P(\theta \leq \theta_0 | \bar{x}) \geq P(\theta > \theta_0 | \bar{x})$ ,

③ i.e.,  $P(\theta \leq \theta_0 | \bar{x}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$

Since normal distribution symmetric, i.e.,  $P(\theta \leq E(\theta)) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$P(\theta \leq \theta_0 | \bar{x}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$$E(\theta | \bar{x}) \leq \theta_0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\tau^2 \bar{x} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \mu}{\tau^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}} = \frac{n\tau^2 \bar{x} + \sigma^2 \mu}{n\tau^2 + \sigma^2}$$

$\frac{1}{2}$

$$\bar{x} \leq \frac{(n\tau^2 + \sigma^2) \theta_0 - \sigma^2 \mu}{n\tau^2} = \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma^2(\theta_0 - \mu)}{n\tau^2}$$

The acceptance region is given by

$$\left\{ x \in X, \bar{x} \leq \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma^2(\theta_0 - \mu)}{n\tau^2} \right\}$$

5

(e) If  $\pi(\cdot | x)$  is the posterior distribution, then for any  $A \subset \Theta$ ,

$P(\theta \in A | X=x) = \int_A \pi(\theta | x) d\theta$  is the credible probability and

①

$A$  is a credible set.

Observe that  $\frac{\theta - E(\theta | \bar{x})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\theta | \bar{x})}} \sim N(0, 1)$ , then take  $b > 0$  such

$$\text{that } \int_{-b}^b \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\theta^2}{2}} d\theta = 1 - \alpha \quad (\text{see Problem 2 (c)})$$

$$\Rightarrow A = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta, \left| \frac{\theta - E(\theta | \bar{x})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\theta | \bar{x})}} \right| \leq b \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \theta \in \Theta, -b \leq \frac{\theta - E(\theta | \bar{x})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\theta | \bar{x})}} \leq b \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \theta \in \Theta, E(\theta | \bar{x}) - b\sqrt{\text{Var}(\theta | \bar{x})} \leq \theta \leq E(\theta | \bar{x}) + b\sqrt{\text{Var}(\theta | \bar{x})} \right\}$$

where the parameters as above, is a  $1 - \alpha$  credible set for  $\theta$ ,

① i.e., the experimenter is  $(1 - \alpha)\%$  sure that  $\theta$  is in the interval.

By construction with the result from Problem 2 (a), we have

① constructed the shortest credible interval, i.e., the highest posterior density region. (see also Corollary 9.3.10 in the lecture).



11 (a) A sequence of estimators  $(W_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a consistent sequence of estimator of the parameter  $\theta$  if

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0: \forall \theta \in \Theta: \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_\theta(|W_n - \theta| < \varepsilon) = 1,$$

i.e.,  $(W_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  converges in probability to  $\theta$ .

5 (b) Claim:

- $(W_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  consistent sequence of estimators of  $\theta$
- $(a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}), (b_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  sequences with
  - (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$
  - (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$

$\Rightarrow (a_n W_n + b_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  consistent sequence of estimators of  $\theta$

Proof: Show that  $(a_n W_n + b_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  converges in probability to  $\theta$   <sup>$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}}$  (or last conclusion)</sup>

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon): \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}: \forall n > n_0: |a_n - 1| |\theta| + |b_n| < \varepsilon \text{ and } |a_n| < 1 + \varepsilon,$$

which exists due to (i) and (ii)

Then:

$$\{ \omega \in \Omega, |a_n W_n(\omega) + b_n - \theta| < \varepsilon \}$$

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} = \{ \omega \in \Omega, |a_n (W_n(\omega) - \theta) + (a_n - 1)\theta + b_n| < \varepsilon \}$$

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} \supseteq \{ \omega \in \Omega, |a_n| |W_n(\omega) - \theta| + |a_n - 1| |\theta| + |b_n| < \varepsilon \}$$

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} \stackrel{n > n_0}{\supseteq} \{ \omega \in \Omega, |W_n(\omega) - \theta| < \underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon'}{1 + \varepsilon'}}_{\varepsilon''} \}$$

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} = \{ \omega \in \Omega, |W_n(\omega) - \theta| < \varepsilon'' \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_\theta(|a_n W_n + b_n| < \varepsilon) \stackrel{\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}}}{\geq} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_\theta(|W_n - \theta| < \varepsilon'') \stackrel{\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}}}{=} 1$$

$$\textcircled{\frac{1}{2}} \stackrel{\text{probs} \in [0,1]}{\Rightarrow} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_\theta(|a_n W_n + b_n| < \varepsilon) = 1,$$

i.e., by definition of a consistent sequence the claim

□

2

(c) Consistency cares just about the limit but all finite estimators can be (arbitrarily) bad, which can be achieved

①

by scaling of the first  $n_0$  and  $b_n$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Just for (very) large sample sizes an indication.

①