MVE190-MSG500 Linear Statistical Models, 20/08/2019

Examiner: Umberto Picchini, 031 772 6414
Invigilator: Olof Elias, 5325

Remember: To pass this course you also have to submit a final project to the examiner. You can use a Chalmers approved calculator, but no text books, no course lecture notes, no old exams and no computers are allowed.

You find a **formula sheet** after the last question. **Tables of quantiles** from the standard Gaussian, Student's t, Chi-squared and the Fisher's distributions are reported after the formula sheet. For the Student's t distribution ignore the *one-tailed test* line.

The maximum number of points you can score is 30.

Make sure to give detailed and specific answers. Avoid yes/no answers. Good luck!

Question 1 (6.5 points = 1+1.5+1+3)

We are statistical consultants hired by a client to provide advice on how to improve sales of a particular product. We study a data set consisting of the sales of that product in $n = 200$ different markets, along with advertising budgets for the product in each of those markets for three different media: TV, radio, and newspaper. We are interested in predicting the sales in dependence of the budgets allocated for the three media. The data are displayed in Figure 1, where the y-axis shows the sales (thousands of units) and the x-axes show the budget for each medium (in thousands of US dollars). Figure 1 also shows three separate least squares fits of sales over a given variable.



Figure 1

Scatter plots between all variables are in Figure 2.

Here follow the results of fitting separately three simple linear regressions.

```
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 7.032594   0.457843   15.36   <2e-16 ***
TV          0.047537   0.002691   17.67   <2e-16 ***
Residual standard error: 3.259 on 198 degrees of freedom

            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  9.31164    0.56290  16.542   <2e-16 ***
radio        0.20250    0.02041   9.921   <2e-16 ***
Residual standard error: 4.275 on 198 degrees of freedom

            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 12.35141    0.62142   19.88  < 2e-16 ***
newspaper    0.05469    0.01658    3.30  0.00115 **
Residual standard error: 5.092 on 198 degrees of freedom
```

Results from fitting the sales using simultaneously all three covariates are (notice, the output has been edited and some info are intentionally missing):

```
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  2.938889   0.311908   9.422   <2e-16 ***
TV           0.045765   0.001395  32.809   <2e-16 ***
radio        0.188530   0.008611  21.893   <2e-16 ***
newspaper   -0.001037                        0.86
Residual standard error: 1.686 on 196 degrees of freedom
```



Figure 2

(i) From the three separate simple regression fits, give a concrete interpretation of the **values** of the three **slopes**.

(ii) Now, without looking at their values, interpret the coefficients from the multiple regression model, by highlighting the *conceptual* differences with the corresponding slopes in the three simple regressions case. That is, how the interpretation of the coefficient of a certain covariate change when considered as part of a simple regression model, as opposed to be considered in the context of multiple regression?

(iii) Now looking at the results of the multiple regression model and the simple linear regressions: clearly a major difference is that the effect of `newspapers` on `sales` vanishes in the multiple regression model. What do you think has happened?

(iv) we are now considering a linear regression model with covariates `TV`, `radio` and their interaction. We obtain the following estimates:

```
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 6.750e+00  2.479e-01  27.233   <2e-16 ***
TV          1.910e-02  1.504e-03  12.699   <2e-16 ***
radio       2.886e-02  8.905e-03   3.241   0.0014 **
TV:radio    1.086e-03  5.242e-05  20.727   <2e-16 ***
```

Explain what it implies to have an interaction term, that is what is a model with interaction telling us compared to one without?

Question 2 (8 points = 1+2+2+3)

Here we reconsider the dataset in Question 1. Notice that for the multiple regression model with covariates `TV`, `radio` and `newspaper` we have the following:

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.424450 \cdot 10^{-2} & -9.353483 \cdot 10^{-5} & -3.926473 \cdot 10^{-4} & -2.080368 \cdot 10^{-4} \\ -9.353483 \cdot 10^{-5} & 6.848908 \cdot 10^{-7} & -1.573559 \cdot 10^{-7} & -1.149600 \cdot 10^{-7} \\ -3.926473 \cdot 10^{-4} & -1.573559 \cdot 10^{-7} & 2.610165 \cdot 10^{-5} & -6.265740 \cdot 10^{-6} \\ -2.080368 \cdot 10^{-4} & -1.149600 \cdot 10^{-7} & -6.265740 \cdot 10^{-6} & 1.213285 \cdot 10^{-5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(i) In the R output for the multiple regression model in question 1 the standard error for `newspaper` is missing. Use the available information to compute it (report the formula you use and all calculations).

(ii) What is a standard error representing for a parameter estimate in linear regression (notice, I am not asking for the formula, I am asking for its meaning), and what features in the data can increase or decrease the standard error?

(iii) If we fit `sales` using a linear regression model with intercept and covariates `TV` and `radio` we obtain a residual sum-of-squares $RSS = 556.91$. For the model with intercept and all covariates we have $RSS = 556.83$. Use an appropriate test at significance level $\alpha = 5\%$ to check whether the addition of `newspaper` to a model already having `TV` and `radio` is required (notice: the provided statistical tables might not have values for the exact number of degrees of freedom you require. Pick the closest best option).

(iv) Figure 3 reports diagnostics for the regression of `sales` over `TV` and `radio`. Explain what each plots represents and detail your thoughts for each plot. Notice, in each plot you see a full black circle, while all the others are empty circles: this black circle denotes the same observation in all plots.

Question 3 (8.5 points = 3+2.5+3)

In Figure 4 (left panel) we see for 392 cars the scatter-plot of each car's miles per gallon (mpg) versus their horsepower (hp). It seems reasonable to hypothesize several degrees of a polynomial in a linear model to understand the relationship. That is we consider

$$E(mpg|hp) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 hp + \beta_2 hp^2 + \cdots + \beta_p hp^p$$

for several values of $p \geq 1$.

(i) Figure 4 (right panel) reports the prediction mean squared error (pMSE) for $p = 1, ..., 5$ for the case when we consider 50-50 proportions of randomly selected training and testing data. First: Explain **in detail** the procedure that ultimately produces Figure 4 (right panel). Secondly: what are your conclusions?

(ii) Using the approach in (i) is interesting and useful. But it has pitfalls. Sketch (no need for all details) how the k-fold cross-validation idea works and why/how it helps solving these pitfalls.

(iii) Forward/backward/stepwise model selection are popular tools to select a model using an algorithmic procedure. These procedures are fast (hence their popularity) however they are also vastly suboptimal. Explain why forward/backward/stepwise procedures should be used with extreme care (or possibly avoided) compared to options based on the pMSE. That is, why are tools based on pMSE preferable?

Question 4 (7 points = 1+1+2+3)

We have data collected among 1308 members of the parliament (MP) of a certain country (currently sitting in the parliament or that have been MP sometimes in the past 20 years). It is a country where free-speech is not encouraged. These MPs have been asked if they have witnessed any crime (e.g. bribing) being perpetuated by other members of their own party. The variables are `crm`, the number of crimes the respondent has witnessed, and `party`, the political party the respondent belongs to (left or right). Does party affiliation help explain how many crimes someone has witnessed?

Before getting into modelling, let's explore data first. Here are the grouped data:

```
crm  left right
  0  1070   119
  1    60    16
  2    14    12
  3     4     7
  4     0     3
  5     0     2
  6     1     0
```

which means that, e.g. fourteen left-wing MPs declare having witnessed two crimes, while twelve right-wing MPs declare having witnessed two crimes.

Now, for the ungrouped data, we can compute the sample mean of witnessed crimes, for each party:

```
      left      right
0.09225413 0.52201258
```

and the corresponding sample variances

```
     left     right 
0.1552448 1.1498288 
```

Not unexpectedly (by having seen the grouped data values), on average MPs have witnessed about zero crimes, with a variance that is roughly double the mean value.

We fit `crm` using Poisson regression with a dicothomous covariate `party` having levels `left` and `right`, and obtain

```
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)    
(Intercept) -2.38321    0.09713  -24.54   <2e-16 ***
partyright   1.73314    0.14657   11.82   <2e-16 ***
```

(i) How do you interpret the coefficient `1.73314` for `partyright`?

(ii) What is the expected number of crimes witnessed by left-wing MPs and witnessed from right-wing MPs respectively?

(iii) We now fit a negative binomial model and obtain

```
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)    
(Intercept)  -2.3832     0.1172 -20.335  < 2e-16 ***
partyright    1.7331     0.2385   7.268 3.66e-13 ***
              Theta:  0.2023 
          Std. Err.:  0.0409 
```

Recall that the variance for a negative binomial random variable Y is

$$Var(Y) = \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{\theta}$$

where $\mu = E(Y)$ and $\theta > 0$. Using this model, compute the **variance** for the number of crimes witnessed by left-wing MPs and the **variance** for right-wing MPs. Based on these numbers, which model do you prefer, Poisson or negative binomial? Motivate your answer in detail.

(iv) Maximum likelihood estimates for generalized linear models are not available in closed form. So how are these and their standard errors obtained? Sketch the strategy that is used in practice to produce parameter estimates and standard errors.



(a) raw residuals vs fitted values



(b) studentised residuals vs index



(c) leverage values vs index



(d) Cook's distance vs index



(e) DFBETA for TV vs index



(f) DFBETA for radio vs index

Figure 3



(a) data



(b) prediction MSE vs polynomial degree

Figure 4

Formula sheet for "Linear Statistical Models"

Chalmers University of Technology and Gothenburg University

Here follow some properties of expectation, variance, covariance and correlations of random variables. We used most of them during the course. Perhaps one or two relations were not used but are reported for completeness.

Let Q, W and Z be random variables. a and b are constant (i.e. not random) scalar quantities. $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are constant matrices. $E(\cdot)$ denotes expectation, $Var(\cdot)$ denotes variance and $Cov(\cdot)$ denotes covariance. $\rho(\cdot)$ denotes correlation. $'$ denotes transposition.

$E(a) = a$
$E(a \cdot W) = a \cdot E(W)$
$E(a \cdot W \pm b \cdot Z) = a \cdot E(W) \pm b \cdot E(Z)$
$Var(W) = E(W^2) - (E(W))^2 = E(W - E(W))^2$
$Var(a \cdot W \pm b \cdot Z) = a^2 \cdot Var(W) + b^2 Var(Z) \pm 2a \cdot b \cdot Cov(W, Z)$
$Var(a) = 0$
$Var(aW \pm b) = a^2 Var(W)$
$Var(\boldsymbol{A} \cdot W) = \boldsymbol{A} \cdot Var(W) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}'$
$Cov(W, Z) = E[(W - E(W))(Z - E(Z))] = E(WZ) - E(W)E(Z)$
$Cov(\boldsymbol{A} \cdot W, \boldsymbol{B} \cdot Z) = \boldsymbol{A} \cdot Cov(W, Z) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}'$
$Cov(W, Z) = 0$ if W and Z are independent.
$Cov(a + W, b + Z) = Cov(W, Z)$.
$Cov(a \cdot W, b \cdot Z) = ab \cdot Cov(W, Z)$.
$Cov(Q + W, Z) = Cov(Q, Z) + Cov(W, Z)$.
$Cov(W, W) = Var(W)$.
$\rho(W, Z) = \frac{Cov(W,Z)}{\sqrt{Var(W) \cdot Var(Z)}}$

TABLE A.1

Cumulative Standardized Normal Distribution



$A(z)$ is the integral of the standardized normal distribution from $-\infty$ to z (in other words, the area under the curve to the left of z). It gives the probability of a normal random variable not being more than z standard deviations above its mean. Values of z of particular importance:

z	$A(z)$	
1.645	0.9500	Lower limit of right 5% tail
1.960	0.9750	Lower limit of right 2.5% tail
2.326	0.9900	Lower limit of right 1% tail
2.576	0.9950	Lower limit of right 0.5% tail
3.090	0.9990	Lower limit of right 0.1% tail
3.291	0.9995	Lower limit of right 0.05% tail

z	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	0.5000	0.5040	0.5080	0.5120	0.5160	0.5199	0.5239	0.5279	0.5319	0.5359
0.1	0.5398	0.5438	0.5478	0.5517	0.5557	0.5596	0.5636	0.5675	0.5714	0.5753
0.2	0.5793	0.5832	0.5871	0.5910	0.5948	0.5987	0.6026	0.6064	0.6103	0.6141
0.3	0.6179	0.6217	0.6255	0.6293	0.6331	0.6368	0.6406	0.6443	0.6480	0.6517
0.4	0.6554	0.6591	0.6628	0.6664	0.6700	0.6736	0.6772	0.6808	0.6844	0.6879
0.5	0.6915	0.6950	0.6985	0.7019	0.7054	0.7088	0.7123	0.7157	0.7190	0.7224
0.6	0.7257	0.7291	0.7324	0.7357	0.7389	0.7422	0.7454	0.7486	0.7517	0.7549
0.7	0.7580	0.7611	0.7642	0.7673	0.7704	0.7734	0.7764	0.7794	0.7823	0.7852
0.8	0.7881	0.7910	0.7939	0.7967	0.7995	0.8023	0.8051	0.8078	0.8106	0.8133
0.9	0.8159	0.8186	0.8212	0.8238	0.8264	0.8289	0.8315	0.8340	0.8365	0.8389
1.0	0.8413	0.8438	0.8461	0.8485	0.8508	0.8531	0.8554	0.8577	0.8599	0.8621
1.1	0.8643	0.8665	0.8686	0.8708	0.8729	0.8749	0.8770	0.8790	0.8810	0.8830
1.2	0.8849	0.8869	0.8888	0.8907	0.8925	0.8944	0.8962	0.8980	0.8997	0.9015
1.3	0.9032	0.9049	0.9066	0.9082	0.9099	0.9115	0.9131	0.9147	0.9162	0.9177
1.4	0.9192	0.9207	0.9222	0.9236	0.9251	0.9265	0.9279	0.9292	0.9306	0.9319
1.5	0.9332	0.9345	0.9357	0.9370	0.9382	0.9394	0.9406	0.9418	0.9429	0.9441
1.6	0.9452	0.9463	0.9474	0.9484	0.9495	0.9505	0.9515	0.9525	0.9535	0.9545
1.7	0.9554	0.9564	0.9573	0.9582	0.9591	0.9599	0.9608	0.9616	0.9625	0.9633
1.8	0.9641	0.9649	0.9656	0.9664	0.9671	0.9678	0.9686	0.9693	0.9699	0.9706
1.9	0.9713	0.9719	0.9726	0.9732	0.9738	0.9744	0.9750	0.9756	0.9761	0.9767
2.0	0.9772	0.9778	0.9783	0.9788	0.9793	0.9798	0.9803	0.9808	0.9812	0.9817
2.1	0.9821	0.9826	0.9830	0.9834	0.9838	0.9842	0.9846	0.9850	0.9854	0.9857
2.2	0.9861	0.9864	0.9868	0.9871	0.9875	0.9878	0.9881	0.9884	0.9887	0.9890
2.3	0.9893	0.9896	0.9898	0.9901	0.9904	0.9906	0.9909	0.9911	0.9913	0.9916
2.4	0.9918	0.9920	0.9922	0.9925	0.9927	0.9929	0.9931	0.9932	0.9934	0.9936
2.5	0.9938	0.9940	0.9941	0.9943	0.9945	0.9946	0.9948	0.9949	0.9951	0.9952
2.6	0.9953	0.9955	0.9956	0.9957	0.9959	0.9960	0.9961	0.9962	0.9963	0.9964
2.7	0.9965	0.9966	0.9967	0.9968	0.9969	0.9970	0.9971	0.9972	0.9973	0.9974
2.8	0.9974	0.9975	0.9976	0.9977	0.9977	0.9978	0.9979	0.9979	0.9980	0.9981
2.9	0.9981	0.9982	0.9982	0.9983	0.9984	0.9984	0.9985	0.9985	0.9986	0.9986
3.0	0.9987	0.9987	0.9987	0.9988	0.9988	0.9989	0.9989	0.9989	0.9990	0.9990
3.1	0.9990	0.9991	0.9991	0.9991	0.9992	0.9992	0.9992	0.9992	0.9993	0.9993
3.2	0.9993	0.9993	0.9994	0.9994	0.9994	0.9994	0.9994	0.9995	0.9995	0.9995
3.3	0.9995	0.9995	0.9995	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9997
3.4	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9998
3.5	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998
3.6	0.9998	0.9998	0.9999							

Table A.2

t Distribution: Critical Values of *t*

Degrees of freedom		*Significance level*					
	Two-tailed test:	10%	5%	2%	1%	0.2%	0.1%
	One-tailed test:	5%	2.5%	1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.05%
1		6.314	12.706	31.821	63.657	318.309	636.619
2		2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	22.327	31.599
3		2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	10.215	12.924
4		2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	7.173	8.610
5		2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	5.893	6.869
6		1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	5.208	5.959
7		1.894	2.365	2.998	3.499	4.785	5.408
8		1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	4.501	5.041
9		1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	4.297	4.781
10		1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	4.144	4.587
11		1.796	2.201	2.718	3.106	4.025	4.437
12		1.782	2.179	2.681	3.055	3.930	4.318
13		1.771	2.160	2.650	3.012	3.852	4.221
14		1.761	2.145	2.624	2.977	3.787	4.140
15		1.753	2.131	2.602	2.947	3.733	4.073
16		1.746	2.120	2.583	2.921	3.686	4.015
17		1.740	2.110	2.567	2.898	3.646	3.965
18		1.734	2.101	2.552	2.878	3.610	3.922
19		1.729	2.093	2.539	2.861	3.579	3.883
20		1.725	2.086	2.528	2.845	3.552	3.850
21		1.721	2.080	2.518	2.831	3.527	3.819
22		1.717	2.074	2.508	2.819	3.505	3.792
23		1.714	2.069	2.500	2.807	3.485	3.768
24		1.711	2.064	2.492	2.797	3.467	3.745
25		1.708	2.060	2.485	2.787	3.450	3.725
26		1.706	2.056	2.479	2.779	3.435	3.707
27		1.703	2.052	2.473	2.771	3.421	3.690
28		1.701	2.048	2.467	2.763	3.408	3.674
29		1.699	2.045	2.462	2.756	3.396	3.659
30		1.697	2.042	2.457	2.750	3.385	3.646
32		1.694	2.037	2.449	2.738	3.365	3.622
34		1.691	2.032	2.441	2.728	3.348	3.601
36		1.688	2.028	2.434	2.719	3.333	3.582
38		1.686	2.024	2.429	2.712	3.319	3.566
40		1.684	2.021	2.423	2.704	3.307	3.551
42		1.682	2.018	2.418	2.698	3.296	3.538
44		1.680	2.015	2.414	2.692	3.286	3.526
46		1.679	2.013	2.410	2.687	3.277	3.515
48		1.677	2.011	2.407	2.682	3.269	3.505
50		1.676	2.009	2.403	2.678	3.261	3.496
60		1.671	2.000	2.390	2.660	3.232	3.460
70		1.667	1.994	2.381	2.648	3.211	3.435
80		1.664	1.990	2.374	2.639	3.195	3.416
90		1.662	1.987	2.368	2.632	3.183	3.402
100		1.660	1.984	2.364	2.626	3.174	3.390
120		1.658	1.980	2.358	2.617	3.160	3.373
150		1.655	1.976	2.351	2.609	3.145	3.357
200		1.653	1.972	2.345	2.601	3.131	3.340
300		1.650	1.968	2.339	2.592	3.118	3.323
400		1.649	1.966	2.336	2.588	3.111	3.315
500		1.648	1.965	2.334	2.586	3.107	3.310
600		1.647	1.964	2.333	2.584	3.104	3.307
∞		1.645	1.960	2.326	2.576	3.090	3.291

.

TABLE A.3

F Distribution: Critical Values of *F* (5% significance level)

v_2 \ v_1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	12	14	16	18	20
1	161.45	199.50	215.71	224.58	230.16	233.99	236.77	238.88	240.54	241.88	243.91	245.36	246.46	247.32	248.01
2	18.51	19.00	19.16	19.25	19.30	19.33	19.35	19.37	19.38	19.40	19.41	19.42	19.43	19.44	19.45
3	10.13	9.55	9.28	9.12	9.01	8.94	8.89	8.85	8.81	8.79	8.74	8.71	8.69	8.67	8.66
4	7.71	6.94	6.59	6.39	6.26	6.16	6.09	6.04	6.00	5.96	5.91	5.87	5.84	5.82	5.80
5	6.61	5.79	5.41	5.19	5.05	4.95	4.88	4.82	4.77	4.74	4.68	4.64	4.60	4.58	4.56
6	5.99	5.14	4.76	4.53	4.39	4.28	4.21	4.15	4.10	4.06	4.00	3.96	3.92	3.90	3.87
7	5.59	4.74	4.35	4.12	3.97	3.87	3.79	3.73	3.68	3.64	3.57	3.53	3.49	3.47	3.44
8	5.32	4.46	4.07	3.84	3.69	3.58	3.50	3.44	3.39	3.35	3.28	3.24	3.20	3.17	3.15
9	5.12	4.26	3.86	3.63	3.48	3.37	3.29	3.23	3.18	3.14	3.07	3.03	2.99	2.96	2.94
10	4.96	4.10	3.71	3.48	3.33	3.22	3.14	3.07	3.02	2.98	2.91	2.86	2.83	2.80	2.77
11	4.84	3.98	3.59	3.36	3.20	3.09	3.01	2.95	2.90	2.85	2.79	2.74	2.70	2.67	2.65
12	4.75	3.89	3.49	3.26	3.11	3.00	2.91	2.85	2.80	2.75	2.69	2.64	2.60	2.57	2.54
13	4.67	3.81	3.41	3.18	3.03	2.92	2.83	2.77	2.71	2.67	2.60	2.55	2.51	2.48	2.46
14	4.60	3.74	3.34	3.11	2.96	2.85	2.76	2.70	2.65	2.60	2.53	2.48	2.44	2.41	2.39
15	4.54	3.68	3.29	3.06	2.90	2.79	2.71	2.64	2.59	2.54	2.48	2.42	2.38	2.35	2.33
16	4.49	3.63	3.24	3.01	2.85	2.74	2.66	2.59	2.54	2.49	2.42	2.37	2.33	2.30	2.28
17	4.45	3.59	3.20	2.96	2.81	2.70	2.61	2.55	2.49	2.45	2.38	2.33	2.29	2.26	2.23
18	4.41	3.55	3.16	2.93	2.77	2.66	2.58	2.51	2.46	2.41	2.34	2.29	2.25	2.22	2.19
19	4.38	3.52	3.13	2.90	2.74	2.63	2.54	2.48	2.42	2.38	2.31	2.26	2.21	2.18	2.16
20	4.35	3.49	3.10	2.87	2.71	2.60	2.51	2.45	2.39	2.35	2.28	2.22	2.18	2.15	2.12
21	4.32	3.47	3.07	2.84	2.68	2.57	2.49	2.42	2.37	2.32	2.25	2.20	2.16	2.12	2.10
22	4.30	3.44	3.05	2.82	2.66	2.55	2.46	2.40	2.34	2.30	2.23	2.17	2.13	2.10	2.07
23	4.28	3.42	3.03	2.80	2.64	2.53	2.44	2.37	2.32	2.27	2.20	2.15	2.11	2.08	2.05
24	4.26	3.40	3.01	2.78	2.62	2.51	2.42	2.36	2.30	2.25	2.18	2.13	2.09	2.05	2.03
25	4.24	3.39	2.99	2.76	2.60	2.49	2.40	2.34	2.28	2.24	2.16	2.11	2.07	2.04	2.01
26	4.22	3.37	2.98	2.74	2.59	2.47	2.39	2.32	2.27	2.22	2.15	2.09	2.05	2.02	1.99
27	4.21	3.35	2.96	2.73	2.57	2.46	2.37	2.31	2.25	2.20	2.13	2.08	2.04	2.00	1.97
28	4.20	3.34	2.95	2.71	2.56	2.45	2.36	2.29	2.24	2.19	2.12	2.06	2.02	1.99	1.96
29	4.18	3.33	2.93	2.70	2.55	2.43	2.35	2.28	2.22	2.18	2.10	2.05	2.01	1.97	1.94
30	4.17	3.32	2.92	2.69	2.53	2.42	2.33	2.27	2.21	2.16	2.09	2.04	1.99	1.96	1.93
35	4.12	3.27	2.87	2.64	2.49	2.37	2.29	2.22	2.16	2.11	2.04	1.99	1.94	1.91	1.88
40	4.08	3.23	2.84	2.61	2.45	2.34	2.25	2.18	2.12	2.08	2.00	1.95	1.90	1.87	1.84
50	4.03	3.18	2.79	2.56	2.40	2.29	2.20	2.13	2.07	2.03	1.95	1.89	1.85	1.81	1.78
60	4.00	3.15	2.76	2.53	2.37	2.25	2.17	2.10	2.04	1.99	1.92	1.86	1.82	1.78	1.75
70	3.98	3.13	2.74	2.50	2.35	2.23	2.14	2.07	2.02	1.97	1.89	1.84	1.79	1.75	1.72
80	3.96	3.11	2.72	2.49	2.33	2.21	2.13	2.06	2.00	1.95	1.88	1.82	1.77	1.73	1.70
90	3.95	3.10	2.71	2.47	2.32	2.20	2.11	2.04	1.99	1.94	1.86	1.80	1.76	1.72	1.69
100	3.94	3.09	2.70	2.46	2.31	2.19	2.10	2.03	1.97	1.93	1.85	1.79	1.75	1.71	1.68
120	3.92	3.07	2.68	2.45	2.29	2.18	2.09	2.02	1.96	1.91	1.83	1.78	1.73	1.69	1.66
150	3.90	3.06	2.66	2.43	2.27	2.16	2.07	2.00	1.94	1.89	1.82	1.76	1.71	1.67	1.64
200	3.89	3.04	2.65	2.42	2.26	2.14	2.06	1.98	1.93	1.88	1.80	1.74	1.69	1.66	1.62
250	3.88	3.03	2.64	2.41	2.25	2.13	2.05	1.98	1.92	1.87	1.79	1.73	1.68	1.65	1.61
300	3.87	3.03	2.63	2.40	2.24	2.13	2.04	1.97	1.91	1.86	1.78	1.72	1.68	1.64	1.61
400	3.86	3.02	2.63	2.39	2.24	2.12	2.03	1.96	1.90	1.85	1.78	1.72	1.67	1.63	1.60
500	3.86	3.01	2.62	2.39	2.23	2.12	2.03	1.96	1.90	1.85	1.77	1.71	1.66	1.62	1.59
600	3.86	3.01	2.62	2.39	2.23	2.11	2.02	1.95	1.90	1.85	1.77	1.71	1.66	1.62	1.59
750	3.85	3.01	2.62	2.38	2.23	2.11	2.02	1.95	1.89	1.84	1.77	1.70	1.66	1.62	1.58
1000	3.85	3.00	2.61	2.38	2.22	2.11	2.02	1.95	1.89	1.84	1.76	1.70	1.65	1.61	1.58

.

TABLE A.3 (continued)

F Distribution: Critical Values of *F* (5% significance level)

v_1 / v_2	25	30	35	40	50	60	75	100	150	200
1	249.26	250.10	250.69	251.14	251.77	252.20	252.62	253.04	253.46	253.68
2	19.46	19.46	19.47	19.47	19.48	19.48	19.48	19.49	19.49	19.49
3	8.63	8.62	8.60	8.59	8.58	8.57	8.56	8.55	8.54	8.54
4	5.77	5.75	5.73	5.72	5.70	5.69	5.68	5.66	5.65	5.65
5	4.52	4.50	4.48	4.46	4.44	4.43	4.42	4.41	4.39	4.39
6	3.83	3.81	3.79	3.77	3.75	3.74	3.73	3.71	3.70	3.69
7	3.40	3.38	3.36	3.34	3.32	3.30	3.29	3.27	3.26	3.25
8	3.11	3.08	3.06	3.04	3.02	3.01	2.99	2.97	2.96	2.95
9	2.89	2.86	2.84	2.83	2.80	2.79	2.77	2.76	2.74	2.73
10	2.73	2.70	2.68	2.66	2.64	2.62	2.60	2.59	2.57	2.56
11	2.60	2.57	2.55	2.53	2.51	2.49	2.47	2.46	2.44	2.43
12	2.50	2.47	2.44	2.43	2.40	2.38	2.37	2.35	2.33	2.32
13	2.41	2.38	2.36	2.34	2.31	2.30	2.28	2.26	2.24	2.23
14	2.34	2.31	2.28	2.27	2.24	2.22	2.21	2.19	2.17	2.16
15	2.28	2.25	2.22	2.20	2.18	2.16	2.14	2.12	2.10	2.10
16	2.23	2.19	2.17	2.15	2.12	2.11	2.09	2.07	2.05	2.04
17	2.18	2.15	2.12	2.10	2.08	2.06	2.04	2.02	2.00	1.99
18	2.14	2.11	2.08	2.06	2.04	2.02	2.00	1.98	1.96	1.95
19	2.11	2.07	2.05	2.03	2.00	1.98	1.96	1.94	1.92	1.91
20	2.07	2.04	2.01	1.99	1.97	1.95	1.93	1.91	1.89	1.88
21	2.05	2.01	1.98	1.96	1.94	1.92	1.90	1.88	1.86	1.84
22	2.02	1.98	1.96	1.94	1.91	1.89	1.87	1.85	1.83	1.82
23	2.00	1.96	1.93	1.91	1.88	1.86	1.84	1.82	1.80	1.79
24	1.97	1.94	1.91	1.89	1.86	1.84	1.82	1.80	1.78	1.77
25	1.96	1.92	1.89	1.87	1.84	1.82	1.80	1.78	1.76	1.75
26	1.94	1.90	1.87	1.85	1.82	1.80	1.78	1.76	1.74	1.73
27	1.92	1.88	1.86	1.84	1.81	1.79	1.76	1.74	1.72	1.71
28	1.91	1.87	1.84	1.82	1.79	1.77	1.75	1.73	1.70	1.69
29	1.89	1.85	1.83	1.81	1.77	1.75	1.73	1.71	1.69	1.67
30	1.88	1.84	1.81	1.79	1.76	1.74	1.72	1.70	1.67	1.66
35	1.82	1.79	1.76	1.74	1.70	1.68	1.66	1.63	1.61	1.60
40	1.78	1.74	1.72	1.69	1.66	1.64	1.61	1.59	1.56	1.55
50	1.73	1.69	1.66	1.63	1.60	1.58	1.55	1.52	1.50	1.48
60	1.69	1.65	1.62	1.59	1.56	1.53	1.51	1.48	1.45	1.44
70	1.66	1.62	1.59	1.57	1.53	1.50	1.48	1.45	1.42	1.40
80	1.64	1.60	1.57	1.54	1.51	1.48	1.45	1.43	1.39	1.38
90	1.63	1.59	1.55	1.53	1.49	1.46	1.44	1.41	1.38	1.36
100	1.62	1.57	1.54	1.52	1.48	1.45	1.42	1.39	1.36	1.34
120	1.60	1.55	1.52	1.50	1.46	1.43	1.40	1.37	1.33	1.32
150	1.58	1.54	1.50	1.48	1.44	1.41	1.38	1.34	1.31	1.29
200	1.56	1.52	1.48	1.46	1.41	1.39	1.35	1.32	1.28	1.26
250	1.55	1.50	1.47	1.44	1.40	1.37	1.34	1.31	1.27	1.25
300	1.54	1.50	1.46	1.43	1.39	1.36	1.33	1.30	1.26	1.23
400	1.53	1.49	1.45	1.42	1.38	1.35	1.32	1.28	1.24	1.22
500	1.53	1.48	1.45	1.42	1.38	1.35	1.31	1.28	1.23	1.21
600	1.52	1.48	1.44	1.41	1.37	1.34	1.31	1.27	1.23	1.20
750	1.52	1.47	1.44	1.41	1.37	1.34	1.30	1.26	1.22	1.20
1000	1.52	1.47	1.43	1.41	1.36	1.33	1.30	1.26	1.22	1.19

.

Table A.4

χ^2 (Chi-Squared) Distribution: Critical Values of χ^2

	Significance level		
Degrees of freedom	5%	1%	0.1%
1	3.841	6.635	10.828
2	5.991	9.210	13.816
3	7.815	11.345	16.266
4	9.488	13.277	18.467
5	11.070	15.086	20.515
6	12.592	16.812	22.458
7	14.067	18.475	24.322
8	15.507	20.090	26.124
9	16.919	21.666	27.877
10	18.307	23.209	29.588

.