Slepian models for moving averages driven by a non-Gaussian noise

the Slepian noise approach

Krzysztof Podgórski

Statistics Lund University

work is jointly with **Igor Rychlik**, and **Jonas Wallin**

September 1, 2014



Krzysztof Podgórski, Lund University

SYNOPSIS

- Slepian models are derived describing a stochastic process observed at level crossings of a moving average driven by a Laplace noise.
- The approach is through a Gibbs sampler of a Slepian model for the Laplace noise and it allows for simultaneously studying a number of stochastic characteristics observed at the level crossing instants.
- It is observed that the behavior of the process at high level crossings is fundamentally different from that in the Gaussian case.
- The shape of **extreme episodes** resembles the (asymmetric) kernel while for Gaussian model the shape is given by the correlation function of which is symmetric in time.



Biased sampling distribution

- N(T, A) "number" of times the field X takes value u in [0, T] and at the same time a possibly another process Y has a property A
- For ergodic stationary processes

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{N(T,A)}{N(T)}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\{Y\in A\}|\dot{X}(0)|\big|X(0)=u\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[|\dot{X}(0)|\big|X(0)=u\right]},$$

 RHS represents the biased sampling distribution when sampling is made over the *u*-level contour C_u = {τ : X(τ) = 0} (argument of the process X can be multivariate)



Rice formula for the crossing intensity

Rice formula - general case

$$\mu^+(u) = \mathbb{E}\left(\dot{X}^+(0)|X(0) = u\right)f_{X(0)}(u)$$

Gaussian case

$$\mu^+(u)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_0}}e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\lambda_0}},$$

 λ_0, λ_2 – spectral moments

Application – upper bound for maximum in the Gaussian case

$$\mathbb{P}(M_T > u) \leq \Phi(u/\sqrt{\lambda_0}) + T \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_0}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\lambda_0}}$$



Krzysztof Podgórski, Lund University

Non-Gaussian case – Laplace moving average

• By means of stochastic integrals we define

$$X(au) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(au - \mathbf{s}) d \Lambda(\mathbf{s}).$$

Λ(A) has the generalized asymmetric Laplace distribution

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - i\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2\right)^{\lambda(A)}},$$

where λ is the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^d .

- If *d* = 1, then Λ(−∞, *x*] = *B*(Γ(*x*)), where *B* is a Brownian motion with drift and Γ is a gamma process.
- Conditionally on Γ the process X_t can be viewed as a non-stationary Gaussian process.



Non-Gaussian case – why it is interesting

- For a covariance *R* a matching symmetric kernel is given by $f_{sym} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \sqrt{\mathcal{F}R}$,
- Symmetric kernels can not produce front-back asymmetries even if the moving average process is not Gaussian
- Ornstein-Uhlenbeck autocorrelation e^{-|x|} can be obtained both by symmetric and asymmetric kernels



Slope distributions at level crossings





Krzysztof Podgórski, Lund University

Biased sampling in non-Gaussian case – why it is difficult

Biased sampling distribution

$$\mathcal{P}^{u}(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\{Y \in \mathcal{A}\} | \dot{X}(0) | | X(0) = u\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[|\dot{X}(0)| | X(0) = u\right]},$$

requires joint distribution of $Y(\cdot)$ and (X(0), X(0)).

- This can be difficult if (Y, X) are not jointly Gaussian
- When X is non-Gaussian even the denominator can be a problem



Illustration of difficulties - crossing intensity

• The joint distribution of X(0) and $\dot{X}(0)$

$$\phi_{X,\dot{X}}(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \exp\left(-\int_0^\infty \ln\left(1+\frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2\lambda^2)\right) \, dF(\lambda)\right).$$

- the process and its derivative are uncorrelated but not independent as it is in a Gaussian case
- by inverse Fourier transform

$$f_{X,\dot{X}}(u,z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(\xi_1 u + \xi_2 z)} \phi_{X,\dot{X}}(\xi_1,\xi_2) \, d\xi_1 \, d\xi_2.$$

the crossing intensity can be computed by evaluating the integral

$$\mu^{+}(u) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z e^{-i(\xi_{1}u + \xi_{2}z)} \phi_{X,\dot{X}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2}) d\xi_{1} d\xi_{2} dz$$



Slepian model

Representing biased sampling distributions

- X a stationary process having a.s. absolutely continuous sample and f_{X,X} of X(0), X(0) exists.
- upcrossing set within interval [0, 1] is defined as

$$C(u) = \{s \in [0, 1] : X(s) = u, \dot{X}(s) > u\}.$$

- N(u) the number of elements in C(u).
- A a property of trajectories of another stationary stochastic process Y
- N(A|u) the number of $s \in C(u)$ such that $Y(s + \cdot) \in A$.
- Crossing level distributions of Y:

$$P^{u}(A) = \frac{E[N(A|u)]}{E[N(u)]}.$$

 Slepian model for P^u – any stochastic process Y_u with distribution given by the upcrossing distribution

$$\mathsf{P}(Y_u \in A) = \mathsf{P}^u(A).$$

Krzysztof Podgórski, Lund University



Slepian model

Slepian model in the Gaussian case

- Z a stationary Gaussian process Z
- the Slepian model process Z_u around u-upcrossing of Z is given by

$$Z_u(t) = u r(t) - R \dot{r}(t) + \Delta(t),$$

- r be the covariance function of Z,
- R is a standard Rayleigh variable
- a non-stationary Gaussian process Δ having covariance

$$r(t,s) = r(t-s) - r(t)r(s) - r'(t)r'(s)$$

• R and Δ are independent



Slepian model

Random scaling – a simple non-Gaussian case

• for non-random scaling $X(t) = \sqrt{k} Z(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, the Slepian model is

$$X_u(t) = ur(t) - \sqrt{k}Rr'(t) + \sqrt{k}\Delta(t)$$

random scaling K, (assume a gamma distribution), then X(t) = √K Z(t),
 Slepian model for X:

$$X_u(t) = u r(t) - \sqrt{K} R \dot{r}(t) + \sqrt{K} \Delta(t)$$

this is not the case!!!

- X(t) conditionally on $(K = k, \dot{X} = z, X = u)$ is represented by $u r(t) z \dot{r}(t) + \sqrt{k}\Delta(t)$
- (k, z) has to be replaced by the Slepian model (K_u, \dot{X}_u) .
- K_u is 'biased' to account for the fact that the behavior observed at u up-crossings for specific u makes certain scalings more likely than other – 'sampling bias'.
- a correct Slepian model for X_u is given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_u(t) &= u \, r(t) - \sqrt{K_u} R \, \dot{r}(t) + \sqrt{K_u} \Delta(t), \\ f_{K_u}(k) &= \frac{\beta^{p/2}}{2^{1-p/2} u^p K_p(\sqrt{2\beta}u)} \cdot k^{p-1} \exp\left(-\frac{2\beta k + u^2/k}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$



Moving average process

- A moving average process is a convolution of a kernel function with a infinitesimal "white noise" process having variance equal to the discretization step.
- Gaussian moving average (GMA):

$$X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(s-t) \, dB(s)$$

Slepian model dB_u(x) for the noise dB(x) at the crossing levels u of X

$$B_u(t) = F_{u,g}(t) + G_g(t) + B(t),$$

where the non-random compenent is

$$F_{u,g}(t)=u\int_0^t g,$$

the kernel only dependent random component

$$G_g(t) = \left(R - \int \dot{g} \ dB
ight) \cdot \int_0^t \dot{g} - \int g \ dB \cdot \int_0^t g$$

and purely random noise represented by Brownian motion B(t).

Simulated Slepian noise



Top: Brownian motion (left), Deterministic part F_u : u = 0.5, 1, 3, 5(right), *Bottom:* B_u for: u = 0.5(left), u = 5 (right).



Non-Gaussian process at crossing of Gaussian one

• $Y_1(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ -filtered original process X(t):

$$Y_1(t) = \int h(s-t) \ dX(s) = \int h * g(s-t) \ dB(s).$$

- Laplace motion subordination of the Brownian motion by the gamma motion K, (K(1) has the gamma distribution with shape τ and scale 1/τ)
- Laplace moving average

$$Y_2(t)=\int f(s-t)\ dB\circ K(s).$$

Jointly Y(t) = (Y₁(t), Y₂(t)) and X(t) are not Gaussian
Biased sampling distributions can be evaluated numerically, although it can be a computational chalenge

• How to get a Slepian model in this case with some manageable structiure?



From Slepian noise to Slepian model

- By using the Slepian noise B_u(t) one can provide with any Slepian model for processes functionally dependent on this noise
- Bivariate Slepian model

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{1u}(t) &= \int h(s-t) \ dX(s) = \int h * g(s-t) \ dB_u(s), \\ Y_{2u}(t) &= \int f(s-t) \ dB_u \circ K(s). \end{aligned}$$

• The main benefit is that all computational difficulties are in evaluating *B_u*, and thus avoiding computation of the joint distribution of the processes with complex structure.



Samples from joint Slepian model



Left: Samples from GMA $X = Y_1 - (top)$ and LMA $Y_2 - (bottom)$. Six samples of BM and for Y_2 a single sample of gamma process *Middle:* Joint Slepian model (Y_{1u}, Y_{2u}) at the crossings of X at u = 0.5. *Right:* Analogous samples at u = 5.



Moving averages driven by non-Gaussian noise

 More challenging problem of finding of a Slepian model at crossings of non-Gaussian process – a moving average driven by a non-Gaussian noise dL(s) – Laplace motion, i.e.

$$X(t) = \int f(s-t) \ dL(s) = \int f(s-t) \ dB \circ K(s),$$

where K(t) is a gamma process.

- Gamma process as a subordinator is by convenience other classes of Lévy processes are possible.
- Laplace motion is a pure jump process and generally there is no explicit expression for the joint distribution of the process and its derivative.
- Strategy:
 - find the Slepian model for the Laplace noise $B \circ K$,
 - obtaining the Slepian models by replacing the noise in the original moving averages by the Slepian noise.



Details of the approach — **Slepian for** (Y_u, K_u, X_u)

- Given (K_u, X_u) = (k, x), the process Y_u(t) has the conditional distribution of Y(t) given K = k, X = x, and X = u, i.e. Y(t|k, x, u). This has gaussian distribution..
- Instead of (K_u, X_u) it happens easier to consider (L_u, K_u, X_u) by using the Gibbs sampler with the conditionals

$$K_u|L_u, \dot{X}_u$$
 and $L_u, \dot{X}_u|K_u$

• The first distribution is sampled from the generalized inverse Gaussian, the second is obtained by two conditionals: $L_u | \dot{X}_u, K_u$ which is Gaussian, and $\dot{X}_u | K_u$ which is can be expressed by the distribution which we called the **tilted Rayleigh** and for which an effective simulation algorithm has been developed

$$x \cdot e^{(x-a)^2/k}$$



Example



Left: Samples from BM (top) and LM (bottom) Right: Slepian model L_u at the crossings of X at u = 0.5(top) and u = 5 (bottom).



Gaussian vs. Laplace Slepian model



Left: Slepian noise BM (top) and LM (bottom), u = 5*Right:* Slepian model X_u at its own crossings at u = 0.5 (top) and u = 5 (bottom).



Quarter Vehicle and Road Variability



Quarter vehicle model: Rroad profile, X mass m_t response, Y mass m_s response

- Modeling of true loads is difficult since tires filter nonlinearly the road profile, with very uncertain factors, e.g. tire's pressure, wear etc.
- Response X(x) is difficult to model and one sometimes considers X(x) as an external input.
- It is of interest to study and model both the response and the road profile at locations when X reaches some extreme level i.e.
 Slepian models for X_u and R_u and Y_u, when X upcrosses u.



Two moving averages – the Gaussian and Laplace ones.



The Laplace in the top three graphs of each column and the Gaussian case in the bottom three graphs of each column. Left: Road profile R(x) (middle) and responses X(x) (top), Y(x)(bottom). Right: Slepian models $X_u(x)$, $R_u(x)$ and $Y_{\mu}(x)$ around the u = 7upcrossing of X(x) in the Laplace case (top three graphs) and around the u = 4.5 upcrossing of X(x)for the Gaussian case (bottom three graphs).



Conclusions

Conclusions

- The level crossings distributions are important in studying extremal behavior of stochastic processes
- Generalized Rice's formula is utilized to obtain effectively level crossing distributions
- They are also important for studying asymmetries in the records, which requires non-Gaussian models
- Slepian model is a convenient way of representing level crossing distributions
- Slepian model is quite straightforward in the Gaussian case
- Non-Gaussian models requires special care
- An approach through Slepian model for noise process is investigated
- It allows for simultaneous study different models arising from such a noise.
- A method of simulating from Slepian model in the case of Laplace noise is obtained exploring the dependence of the noise on the subordinating Gamma noise
- Crossing level behavior for non-Gaussian models is fundamentally different from the Gaussian counterpart



Conclusions

Thank you!



Krzysztof Podgórski, Lund University

Slepian models for moving averages

31/31