ADAPTIVE VARIATIONAL MULTISCALE METHODS

MATS G. LARSON* AND AXEL MÅLQVIST †

* Department of Mathematics
Umeå University
SE-90187 Umeå, Sweden
e-mail: mats.larson@math.umu.se, web page: http://math.umu.se/cml

† Division of Scientific Computing
Department of Information Technology
Uppsala University
Box 337, SE-751 05 Uppsala, Sweden
e-mail: axel.malqvist@it.uu.se - web page: http://it.uu.se

Key words: Multiscale Methods, Finite Elements, Adaptive Algorithm, Error Estimates.

Summary. This note provides a condensed introduction to the adaptive variational method for an elliptic model problem. Key features of the method include a novel and systematic technique for approximating the fine scales using decoupled localized subgrid problems and adaptive algorithms based on a posteriori error estimates.

1 INTRODUCTION

The adaptive variational multiscale \(^4,5,6,7\) (AVMS) method is a novel multiscale method that builds on the combination of:

- The variational multiscale framework \(^2\).

- A systematic technique for numerical approximation of the fine scale part of the solution based on solving localized subgrid problems on patches.

- A posteriori error estimates and adaptive algorithms that provide control of numerical error as well as automatic tuning of critical discretization parameters.

In this note we give a condensed presentation of the AVMS method and the energy norm a posteriori error estimates for an elliptic model problem with multiscale features in the conductivity, see \(^6\) for further details and numerical examples. A mixed version of the method is presented in \(^5\) and transport dominated problems are considered in \(^7\).

2 THE VARIATIONAL MULTISCALE METHOD

We shall study the following simple model problem: find \(u\) such that

\[-\nabla \cdot a \nabla u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma,\]  \(1\)

where \(\Omega\) is a polygonal domain in \(\mathbb{R}^d\), \(d = 1, 2,\) or \(3\) with boundary \(\Gamma\), \(f \in L^2(\Omega)\), and \(a \in L^\infty(\Omega)\) satisfies \(a(x) \geq a_0 > 0\) for all \(x \in \Omega\) is a conductivity coefficient with multiscale features.
The variational form of (1) reads: find $u \in \mathcal{V} = H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that

$$a(u, v) = (f, v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{V},$$

with the bilinear form $a(u, v) = (a \nabla u, \nabla v)$, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$.

The Variational Multiscale method $^{1,2}$ (VMS) is an important framework for constructing multiscale methods. The idea is to decompose the solution into fine $u_f \in \mathcal{V}_f$ and coarse $u_c \in \mathcal{V}_c$ scale contributions as follows

$$a(u_c, v_c) + a(u_f, v_c) = (f, v_c) \quad \text{for all } v_c \in \mathcal{V}_c,$n $$a(u_f, v_f) = (f, v_f) - a(u_c, v_f) =: (R(u_c), v_f) \quad \text{for all } v_f \in \mathcal{V}_f.$$

The fine scale equation are solved in terms of the coarse scale residual $R(u_c)$, and finally eliminate the fine scale solution from the coarse scale equation. This procedure leads to the modified coarse scale equation (4) where the modification accounts for the effect of fine scale behavior on the coarse scales.

$$a(u_c, v_c) + a(\mathcal{T}R(u_c), v_c) = (f, v_c) \quad \text{for all } v_c \in \mathcal{V}_c.$$

Here $\mathcal{T}$ represents an approximate solution operator of the fine scale problem. In several works various ways of analytical modeling of $\mathcal{T}$ are investigated often based on bubbles or element Green’s functions $^1$.

### 3 APPROXIMATION OF FINE SCALES

In the adaptive variational multiscale method $^{4,5,6,7}$ the fine scale equations of equation (3) are decoupled and solved numerically on patches. The idea is to decouple the fine scale equations by including a partition of unity in the right hand side of the fine scale part of equation (3) and then to solve the resulting problems on patches.

We introduce a partition $\mathcal{K} = \{K\}$ of the domain $\Omega$ into coarse shape regular elements $K$ of diameter $H$ and we let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of coarse nodes. Further we let $\mathcal{V}_c$ be the space of continuous piecewise polynomials of one defined on $\mathcal{K}$. We let $u_f = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} u_{f,i}$ where

$$a(u_{f,i}, v_f) = (\varphi_i R(u_c), v_f) \quad \text{for all } v_f \in \mathcal{V}_f,$$

and $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{N}}$ is a partition of unity e. g. the set of Lagrange basis functions in $\mathcal{V}_c$, be the solution to the decoupled fine scale equations.

We introduce this expansion of $u_f$ in the right hand side of the fine scale equation (3) and get: find $u_c \in \mathcal{V}_c$ and $u_f = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} u_{f,i} \in \mathcal{V}_f$ such that

$$a(u_c, v_c) + a(u_f, v_c) = (f, v_c) \quad \text{for all } v_c \in \mathcal{V}_c,$$n $$a(u_{f,i}, v_f) = (\varphi_i R(u_c), v_f) \quad \text{for all } v_f \in \mathcal{V}_f \text{ and } i \in \mathcal{N}.$$

The next step is to solve the fine scale equations approximately. For each element in the partition of unity we associate a domain $\omega_i$ on which we solve Dirichlet problems. We often use coarse mesh stars of many layers as local domains. By adding a layer we mean adding all coarse elements bordering the star. The local domain $\omega_i$ contains the support of the element in the partition of unity and is large enough to give a good approximate solution. The quality of the solution is controlled by error estimates. We now define the local finite element space $\mathcal{V}^h_f(\omega_i)$ associated with node $i$. We refine the coarse mesh on the patch $\omega_i$ and let $\mathcal{V}^h_f(\omega_i)$ be the fine part of the hierarchical basis on this mesh.
It holds, 
\[ \|e\|^2_a \leq C \sum_{i \in C} \|HR(U_c)\|_{h, \omega_i}^2 \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \|L^\infty(\omega_i) \right\|^2 + C \sum_{i \in F} \left\| \sqrt{H} \Sigma(U_{f,i}) \right\|^2_{\partial \omega_i \setminus \Gamma} + \|hR(U_{f,i})\|^2_{\omega_i} \right\} \| \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \|L^\infty(\omega_i) \right\|^2, \tag{9} \]
where
\[ (-\Sigma(U_{f,i}), v_f)_{\partial \omega_i} = (\varphi_i R(U_c), v_f)_{\omega_i} - a(U_{f,i}, v_f)_{\omega_i}, \quad \text{for all } v_f \in V_f^h(\omega_i). \tag{10} \]
to the error. If no fine scale equations are solved we obtain the first term in the estimate; the first part of the second sum measures the effect of restriction to patches; and finally the second part measures the influence of the fine scale mesh parameter $h$. Using these indicators one may construct an adaptive algorithm for automatic tuning of the size of the patches and the subgrid resolution, see \cite{6} for details.

For the case of periodic oscillations in $a = a(x/\epsilon)$ we get,

**Theorem 4.2** It holds

$$
\|e\|_a^2 \leq C \left( \frac{h}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \|f\|^2 + C \sum_{K \in K} \|\sqrt{H} \Sigma(U_{f,i})\|_{\partial \omega_i \setminus \Gamma}^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \right)^2 \|L(\omega_i)\| \quad (11)
$$

Here local problems are solved for all nodes since all areas are equally hard to resolve. Again we see clearly that $\|\Sigma(U_{f,i})\|_{\partial \omega_i}$ which depends on the number of layers and the fine scale mesh size $h$ needs to be balanced. The coefficient $a$ is periodic so we just need to solve a few number of localized problems since the correction matrix for the coarse scale computations will be identical for most patches.

In \cite{4} we also present an error estimate of the adaptive variational multiscale method for a linear function of the error.
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