

ALMOST EQUAL SUMMANDS IN WARING'S PROBLEM WITH SHIFTS

KIRSTI D. BIGGS

ABSTRACT. A result of Wright from 1937 shows that there are arbitrarily large natural numbers which cannot be represented as sums of s k th powers of natural numbers which are constrained to lie within a narrow region. We show that the analogue of this result holds in the shifted version of Waring's problem.

Waring's problem with shifts asks whether, given $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta \in (0, 1]$, along with shifts $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s \in (0, 1)$ with $\theta_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we can find solutions in natural numbers x_i to the following inequality, for all sufficiently large $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$|(x_1 - \theta_1)^k + \dots + (x_s - \theta_s)^k - \tau| < \eta. \quad (1)$$

This problem was originally studied by Chow in [3]. In [1], the author showed that an asymptotic formula for the number of solutions to (1) can be obtained whenever $k \geq 4$ and $s \geq k^2 + (3k - 1)/4$. The corresponding result for $k = 3$ and $s \geq 11$ is due to Chow in [2].

An interesting variant is to consider solutions of (1) subject to the additional condition

$$|x_i - (\tau/s)^{1/k}| < y(\tau), \quad (1 \leq i \leq s),$$

for some function $y(\tau)$. In other words, we are confining our variables to be within a small distance of the "average" value.

In 1937, Wright studied this question in the setting of the classical version of Waring's problem, and proved in [6] that there exist arbitrarily large natural numbers n which cannot be represented as sums of s k th powers of natural numbers x_i satisfying the condition $|x_i^k - n/s| < n^{1-1/2k}\phi(n)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, no matter how large s is taken. Here, $\phi(n)$ is a function satisfying $\phi(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In [4] and [5], Daemen showed that if we widen the permitted region slightly, we can once again guarantee solutions in the classical case. Specifically, he obtains a lower bound on the number of solutions under the condition

$$|x_i - (n/s)^{1/k}| < cn^{1/2k}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq s),$$

for a suitably large constant c , and an asymptotic formula under the condition

$$|x_i - (n/s)^{1/k}| < n^{1/2k+\epsilon}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq s).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D75, 11P05.

Key words and phrases. Waring's problem, Diophantine inequalities.

The author is supported by EPSRC Doctoral Training Partnership EP/M507994/1.

In this note, we show that (a slight strengthening of) Wright's result remains true in the shifted case. Specifically, we prove the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $s, k \geq 2$ be natural numbers. Fix $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s) \in (0, 1)^s$, and let $c, c' > 0$ be suitably small constants which may depend on s, k and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. There exist arbitrarily large values of $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ which cannot be approximated in the form (1), with $0 < \eta < c\tau^{1-2/k}$, subject to the additional condition that $|x_i - (\tau/s)^{1/k}| < c'\tau^{1/2k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Proof. This follows the structure of Wright's proof in [6], with minor adjustments to take into account the shifts present in our problem. As such, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\tau_m = sm^k + km^{k-1}(s - \sum_{i=1}^s \theta_i)$, and we note that $\tau_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Throughout the proof, we allow c_1, c_2, \dots to denote positive constants which do not depend on m , although they may depend on the fixed values of $s, k, \boldsymbol{\theta}, c$ and c' . We also note that $\eta < c\tau^{1-2/k}$ implies that $\eta \ll m^{k-2}$.

Suppose τ_m satisfies (1) with $0 < \eta < c\tau_m^{1-2/k}$ and $|x_i - (\tau_m/s)^{1/k}| < c'\tau_m^{1/2k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. We write $x_i = m + a_i$, and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} m^{k-1} |a_i| &= m^{k-1} |x_i - m| \\ &\leq m^{k-1} \left(|x_i - (\tau_m/s)^{1/k}| + |(\tau_m/s)^{1/k} - m| \right) \\ &\leq c' m^{k-1} \tau_m^{1/2k} + |\tau_m/s - m^k|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of τ_m , we obtain

$$m^{k-1} |a_i| \leq c_1 m^{k-1} m^{1/2} + km^{k-1} (1 - s^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^s \theta_i),$$

and therefore $|a_i| \leq c_2 m^{1/2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Expanding (1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &> \left| \sum_{i=1}^s (x_i - \theta_i)^k - \tau_m \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^s (m + a_i - \theta_i)^k - \left(sm^k + km^{k-1} (s - \sum_{i=1}^s \theta_i) \right) \right| \\ &\geq km^{k-1} \left| s - \sum_{i=1}^s a_i \right| - \left| \sum_{j=2}^k \binom{k}{j} m^{k-j} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^j \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Rearranging, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| s - \sum_{i=1}^s a_i \right| &< \eta k^{-1} m^{1-k} + \left| \sum_{j=2}^k \binom{k}{j} k^{-1} m^{1-j} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^j \right| \\ &\leq \eta k^{-1} m^{1-k} + \sum_{j=2}^k \binom{k}{j} k^{-1} m^{1-j} s (c_3 m^{1/2})^j \\ &\leq c_4. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing our original c, c' to be sufficiently small, we may conclude that $c_4 \leq 1$, which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^s a_i = s$. Substituting this back into (2), when $k = 2$ we obtain

$$\eta > \binom{k}{2} m^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^2,$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^2 < c_5,$$

which is a contradiction if we choose c, c' sufficiently small, since we have $\sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^2 \gg 1$.

When $k \geq 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &> \left| \sum_{j=2}^k \binom{k}{j} m^{k-j} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^j \right| \\ &\geq \binom{k}{2} m^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^2 - \left| \sum_{j=3}^k \binom{k}{j} m^{k-j} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^j \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{k}{2} m^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^2 &< \eta + \sum_{j=3}^k \binom{k}{j} m^{k-j} \sum_{i=1}^s |a_i - \theta_i|^j \\ &\leq \eta + \sum_{j=3}^k \binom{k}{j} m^{k-j} (c_3 m^{1/2})^{j-2} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^2 \\ &\leq \eta + c_6 m^{k-5/2} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^2 < c_7 + c_8 m^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i - \theta_i)^2,$$

which is again a contradiction when m is large.

We conclude that for all sufficiently large m , it is impossible to approximate τ_m in the manner claimed. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2. *For $s, k \geq 2$ natural numbers, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s) \in (0, 1)^s$, and suitably small constants $C, C' > 0$, there exist arbitrarily wide gaps between real numbers τ for which the system*

$$\begin{aligned} |(x_1 - \theta_1)^k + \dots + (x_s - \theta_s)^k - \tau| &< C\tau^{1-2/k} \\ |x_i - (\tau/s)^{1/k}| &< C'\tau^{1/2k}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq s) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

has a solution in natural numbers x_1, \dots, x_s .

Proof. By Theorem 1, we fix $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that there is no solution in natural numbers x_1, \dots, x_s to $|(x_1 - \theta_1)^k + \dots + (x_s - \theta_s)^k - \tau_0| < c\tau_0^{1-2/k}$ with $|x_i - (\tau_0/s)^{1/k}| < c'\tau_0^{1/2k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Let $0 < \delta \leq C_0\tau_0^{1-2/k}$ for some $C_0 > 0$, and let $\tau \in [\tau_0 - \delta, \tau_0 + \delta]$. Let $C, C' > 0$ be suitably small constants depending on c, c' and C_0 to be chosen later, and suppose that $x_1 \dots, x_s \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that (3) is satisfied.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\tau/s)^{1/k} - (\tau_0/s)^{1/k}| &\leq s^{-1/k} \left| (\tau_0 - \delta)^{1/k} - \tau_0^{1/k} \right| \\ &\leq C_1 \delta \tau_0^{1/k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} |x_i - (\tau_0/s)^{1/k}| &\leq |x_i - (\tau/s)^{1/k}| + |(\tau/s)^{1/k} - (\tau_0/s)^{1/k}| \\ &< C'\tau^{1/2k} + C_1\delta\tau_0^{1/k-1} \\ &\leq C'(\tau_0 + \delta)^{1/2k} + C_1C_0\tau_0^{-1/k} \\ &\leq C_2\tau_0^{1/2k}. \end{aligned}$$

We also see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^s (x_i - \theta_i)^k - \tau_0 \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^s (x_i - \theta_i)^k - \tau \right| + |\tau - \tau_0| \\ &< C\tau^{1-2/k} + \delta \\ &\leq C(\tau_0 + \delta)^{1-2/k} + C_0\tau_0^{1-2/k} \\ &\leq C_3\tau_0^{1-2/k}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing C_0, C, C' small enough to ensure that $C_2 \leq c'$ and $C_3 \leq c$ gives a contradiction to our original choice of τ_0 . Consequently, there is no solution to (3) in an interval of radius $\asymp \tau_0^{1-2/k}$ around τ_0 . \square

The author would like to thank Trevor Wooley for his supervision, and the anonymous referee for useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. D. Biggs. On the asymptotic formula in Waring's problem with shifts. *J. Number Theory* (2018).
- [2] S. Chow. Sums of cubes with shifts. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **91** (2015), no. 2, 343–366.
- [3] S. Chow. Waring's problem with shifts. *Mathematika* **62** (2016), no. 1, 13–46.
- [4] D. Daemen. The asymptotic formula for localized solutions in Waring's problem and approximations to Weyl sums. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **42** (2010), no. 1, 75–82.
- [5] D. Daemen. Localized solutions in Waring's problem: the lower bound. *Acta Arith.* **142** (2010), no. 2, 129–143.
- [6] E. M. Wright. The representation of a number as a sum of four 'almost equal' squares. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* **8** (1937), 278–279.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, UNIVERSITY WALK, CLIFTON,
BRISTOL, BS8 1TW, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `kirsti.biggs@bristol.ac.uk`