

Algebraic Number Theory

Rough solutions Example Sheet 4

1. From lectures we know that K is unramified at p if $p \nmid 2d$, since then $T^2 - d$ is separable mod p .

On the other hand, if $p \mid d$, then $T^2 - d$ is Eisenstein in $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$, so K is ramified at p .

It remains to deal with $p = 2$.

If $2 \mid d$, then $T^2 - d$ is Eisenstein at 2 so K is ramified.

If $2 \nmid d$, then we have the following:

K unramified at 2 $\Leftrightarrow d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

One ^{can} see this as follows:

Consider $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{d})$. Recall that

$$\mathbb{Q}_2^\times = \langle 2 \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle \times (1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2) \text{ and}$$

$$(\mathbb{Q}_2^\times)^2 = \langle 4 \rangle \times (1 + 8\mathbb{Z}_2) \text{ from Q12,}$$

Sheet 1.

If $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then $d \in (\mathbb{Q}_2^\times)^2$, so

$\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{d}) = \mathbb{Q}_2$ and ~~so~~ so 2 is split in K . *

Recall that $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5}) = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-3}) =$
 $= \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_3)$ is the unramified quadratic
extension of \mathbb{Q}_2 , so $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{d})$ is

* This is an equivalence, i.e.

$$2 \text{ is split in } K \Leftrightarrow d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$

~~Q~~

see solution to Q12, Ex Sheet 1.

$$\text{unramified} \stackrel{\checkmark}{\Leftrightarrow} d \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot 5 (\mathbb{Q}_2^\times)^2 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}.$$

2. (i) We have (from lectures)

$$(\mathbb{Z}/4)^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(S_4) | \mathbb{Q})$$

and p splits in $\mathbb{Q}(S_4) \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

(recall that p is odd).

On the other hand, ~~$\mathbb{Q}(S_4) = \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})$~~

S_4 has minimal polynomial $T^2 + 1 / \mathbb{Q}$,

which is separable mod p , so p splits

in $\mathbb{Q}(S_4) \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$.

Therefore $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

which is equivalent to $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$.

From lectures, we know that for p, q odd,

$$\left(\frac{p^*}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$$

where $p^* = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p$. therefore

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \cancel{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)} \left(\frac{p^*}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) =$$

$$= (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2} \cdot \frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}}$$

Using multiplicativity of the Legendre symbol.

(ii) For concreteness let's consider $\mathbb{Q}(y_8)$ as a subfield of \mathbb{C} .

Then we can take $\zeta_8 = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Since $i = \zeta_8^2$, we see that $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$.

From lectures we have

$$\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{8}\right)^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) | \mathbb{Q})$$

~~$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) | \mathbb{Q})$~~

$$\text{Also } \zeta_8 + \zeta_8^{-1} = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}$$

so $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) | \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}))$ corresponds to

$$\{\pm 1\} \subseteq \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{8}\right)^\times \quad (\text{we did not recall}$$

the computation of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8 + \zeta_8^{-1}) | \mathbb{Q})$
from lectures).

It follows that $\frac{\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{8}\right)^\times}{\{\pm 1\}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) | \mathbb{Q})$

and that p splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \iff$

$$\iff p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$$

On the other hand $x^2 - 2$ is separable
mod p , so p splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \iff$

$$\iff \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1.$$

Therefore $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1 \iff p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$

which is equivalent to $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{8}}$

3. We know from lectures that

$$G^t(L_n / \mathbb{Q}_p) = \text{Gal}(L_n / L_{mp^k})$$

when $k-1 < t \leq k$, $k = 1, \dots, e-1$,

and

$$G^0(L_n / \mathbb{Q}_p) = \text{Gal}(L_n / L_m),$$

$$G^t(L_n / \mathbb{Q}_p) = 1 \text{ for } t > e-1.$$

We then have, ~~for a fixed element~~

$$G^t(L_n' / \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \frac{G^t(L_n / \mathbb{Q}_p) \text{Gal}(L_n / L_n')}{\text{Gal}(L_n / L_n')} =$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\text{Gal}(L_n / L_m) \text{Gal}(L_n / L_n')}{\text{Gal}(L_n / L_n')}, \quad t=0, \\ \frac{\text{Gal}(L_n / L_{mp^k}) \text{Gal}(L_n / L_n')}{\text{Gal}(L_n / L_n')}, \quad \begin{array}{l} k=1, \dots, e-1, \\ k-1 < t \leq k, \end{array} \\ 1, \quad t > e-1 \end{array} \right\}$$

=

$$= \begin{cases} \text{Gal}(L_n^1 / L_n^1 \cap L_m), & t = 0, \\ \text{Gal}(L_n^1 / L_n^1 \cap L_{mp^k}), & k = 1, \dots, e-1, \\ & k-1 < t \leq k \\ 1 & t > e-1. \end{cases}$$

(If one wants to analyse whether $L_n^1 \cap L_{mp^k} = L_{mp^k}$ or $L_{mp^k}^1$, or when these are equal, it might be useful to follow the suggestion in the question.)

4. We start with exp; we need

to show that $\frac{x^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$ if

$$|x| < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Recall from the solution to Q11,

Sheet 1 that if $n = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i p^i$

with $a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, then

$$v_p(n!) = \frac{n - \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i}{p-1} \leq \frac{n}{p-1}$$

In particular, $|n!| \geq p^{-\frac{n}{p-1}}$

and hence

$$\left| \frac{x^n}{n!} \right| \leq |x|^n p^{\frac{n}{p-1}} = \left(|x| p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^n$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since

$$|x| p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} < 1 \text{ by assumption.}$$

For \log , we need to show

$$\text{that } \left| \frac{x^n}{n} \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } |x| < 1.$$

Note that we have $|n| \geq n^{-1}$,

since $v_p(n) \leq \log_p(n)$ initially.

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{x^n}{n} \right| \leq |x|^n \cdot n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

5. We claim that if $n > \frac{e}{p-1}$,

where $e =$ ramification index of K/\mathbb{Q}_p

then

$$\pi_K^n \mathcal{O}_K \xrightleftharpoons[\log]{\exp} U_K^{(n)}$$

are well-defined homomorphism and mutual inverses (and they are continuous)

let $|\cdot|$ be the extⁿ of $|\cdot|_p$ to K ,
and let $x \in \pi_K^n \mathcal{O}_K$.

Note that $|\pi_K^n| = p^{-n/e}$.

By Q4 on this sheet, we need K

$|x| < p^{-1/p-1}$ for $\exp: \pi_K^n \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow U_K^{(n)}$ to be well-defined. We have

$$|x| \leq |\pi_K^n| = p^{-n/e} \quad \text{and}$$

$$p^{-n/e} < p^{-1/p-1} \iff n > \frac{e}{p-1}$$

Note that $\log: U_K^{(n)} \rightarrow K$ is well-defined for all $n \geq 1$.

Continue to assume $n > \frac{e}{p-1}$.

Claim: $\exp(\pi_K^n \mathfrak{o}_K) \subseteq U_K^{(n)}$;
 $\log(U_K^{(n)}) \subseteq \pi_K^n \mathfrak{o}_K$.

Proof: For exp, if $x \in \pi_K^n \mathfrak{o}_K$,

then for $m \geq 1$,

$$\left| \frac{x^m}{m!} \right| \leq |x|^m p^{-\frac{m-1}{p-1}} \leq p^{\frac{m-1}{p-1} - \frac{mn}{e}} < p^{\frac{(m-1)n}{e} - \frac{mn}{e}} = p^{-\frac{n}{e}} ; \text{ so } \frac{x^m}{m!} \in \pi_K^n \mathfrak{o}_K$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(x) \in U_K^{(n)}$$

$$\text{For log, } \left| \frac{x^m}{m} \right| \leq \left| \frac{x^m}{m!} \right| \text{ so } \frac{x^m}{m} \in \pi_K^n \mathfrak{o}_K$$

$$\Rightarrow \log(1+x) \in \pi_K^n \mathfrak{o}_K$$

Now, the usual power series computations show that \log & \exp are homeomorphisms and mutual inverses.

For the second part, we have

$$\mathbb{K}^\times \cong \langle \pi_K \rangle \times \left\{ [x] \mid x \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \right\} \times U_K^{(1)} \cong$$

↑
Teichmüller lifts

$$\cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/q-1 \times U_K^{(1)}$$

$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathcal{O}_K^\times \\ \mathbb{Z} \end{smallmatrix} \right)$

Note that $U_K^{(1)}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module under

$$z \circ (1+x)^n = (1+x)^z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{z}{n} x^n ;$$

$$\binom{z}{n} = \frac{z(z-1)\dots(z-n+1)}{n!} ; \quad z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

note that this \mathbb{Z}_p -module structure extends

The natural \mathbb{Z} -module (i.e. abelian group) structure, and is continuous,

in that if $z_n \rightarrow z$ in \mathbb{Z}_p , then

$$(1+x)^{z_n} \rightarrow (1+x)^z$$

Now if $n > \frac{e}{p-1}$, $U_K^{(n)} \subseteq U_K^{(2)}$ is

a \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule and \log & \exp are

isomorphisms of \mathbb{Z}_p -modules (we have

$$\exp(zx) = \exp\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n x\right) =$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(z_n x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(x)^{z_n} =$$

$$= \exp(x)^z$$

if $z_n \rightarrow z$ and $z_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \geq 0$ and similarly for \log)

It follows that $U_K^{(n)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ so

since $U_K^{(1)}/U_K^{(n)}$ is finite, $U_K^{(1)}$ is

a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_p -module of

rank $[K:\mathbb{Q}_p] \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow U_K^{(1)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^a \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

It follows that

$$K^\times \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/q-1 \times \mathbb{Z}/p^a \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$$

as desired, as topological groups

even, where \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}/q-1$ & \mathbb{Z}/p^a have the discrete topology and the product has the

product topology

To see that any finite index subgroup

K^\times is open $\overset{\text{then}}{\text{it}}$ suffices to show

Let any finite index subgroup

of \mathbb{Z}_p^d is open. Set

$d = \dim \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ and let

$H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ have index N .

Then $N\mathbb{Z}_p^d \subseteq H$ and

$N\mathbb{Z}_p^d = p^{v_p(N)}\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ is open in \mathbb{Z}_p^d ,

so H is open (H is the union of all $N\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -cosets in H , all of which are open).

6. We start by computing the class group of K .

The Minkowski bound is

$$\sqrt{56} \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right) \frac{2}{4} = \frac{2\sqrt{56}}{\pi} < 5$$

\uparrow
 discriminant

prime

so \mathcal{O}_K are generated by (ideals of norms 2 and 3).

One checks that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-14}]$

(by directly deciding when $x + y\sqrt{-14}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$, is an algebraic integer),

so Dedekind's theorem implies that

$$2\mathcal{O}_K = (2, \sqrt{-14})^2 \mathfrak{p}_2'$$

$$\text{and } 3\mathcal{O}_K = (3, 1 + \sqrt{-14}) (3, 1 - \sqrt{-14})^2$$

(have $x^2 + 14 \equiv x^2 \pmod{2}$, $x^2 + 14 \equiv (x-1)(x+1) \pmod{3}$)

Write $\pi_2 = (2, \sqrt{-14})$.

~~The element $2 + \sqrt{-14}$ has norm 18,~~

π_2, π_3, π_3' are not principal,

since no element has norm 2 or 3.

The element $2 + \sqrt{-14}$ has norm 18

$$\Rightarrow (2 + \sqrt{-14}) = \begin{cases} \pi_2 \pi_3 \pi_3' & \text{or} \\ \pi_2 \pi_3^2 & \text{or} \\ \pi_2 \pi_3'^2 & \end{cases}$$

But $\pi_2 \pi_3 \pi_3' = \pi_2 \neq 1$ in \mathcal{O}_K ,

~~so this~~ and $(2 + \sqrt{-14}) = 1$ in \mathcal{O}_K ,
so this can't be.

We deduce that $\pi_2 = \pi_3^2 = \pi_3'^2 \neq 1$,
(using $\pi_2^2 = 1$) in \mathcal{O}_K , so

$\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}/4$, generated by π_3

Next, we prove that $L|\mathbb{Q}$, and
hence $L|K$, is Galois.

Claim: $L|\mathbb{Q}$ is the splitting field
of $T^4 + 2T^2 - 7$.

Pf: ~~we have~~ let $\beta = 2\sqrt{2} - 1$,
 $\beta' = -2\sqrt{2} - 1$ and let
 $\gamma = \sqrt{2\sqrt{2} - 1}$ is the generator of
 $L|K$ by definition.

We have $\beta + \beta' = -2$, $\beta/\beta' = -7$

$$\Rightarrow (T^2 - \beta)(T^2 - \beta') = \\ = T^4 + 2T^2 - 7$$

so $\pm\gamma$ is a root of $T^4 + 2T^2 - 7$

We have $\sqrt{2} = \frac{\beta + 1}{2}$, and

$\sqrt{-4} \in K \subseteq L$, so $\sqrt{-7} \in L$,

and $\left(\frac{\sqrt{-7}}{\gamma}\right)^2 = \frac{-7}{\beta} = \beta'$, so

$\pm \frac{\sqrt{-7}}{\delta}$ are the other two roots
of $T^4 + 2T^2 - 7$

~~This~~ thus shows that L contains
the splitting field, and reversing the
calculations show that ~~L~~

$L = \mathbb{Q}(\delta, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-7})$ is contained
in the splitting field. \square

Next we show that L/K is
unramified. For this, we use
the following lemma:

Lemma: Let \mathbb{F} be a number
field and let $u \in \mathbb{F}$ be a
non-square. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of
 \mathbb{F} , with $u \notin \mathfrak{p}$, and let $E = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{u})$

Then \mathfrak{p} is unramified in E/\mathbb{F} if either

(a) $2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ or

(b) ~~$2 \in \mathfrak{p}$~~ $2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $\exists b, c \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$
s.t. $u = b^2 - 4c$.

Pf: If (a) holds, then the discriminant of $T^2 - u$, which is $-4u$, is not in \mathfrak{p} , so $T^2 - u$ is separable mod $\mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow E$ unramified at \mathfrak{p} .

If (b) holds, then E/\mathbb{F} is also the splitting field of $T^2 + bT + c$ over \mathbb{F} , and thus has discriminant $u \notin \mathfrak{p}$, so it's separable mod \mathfrak{p} and hence E is unramified at \mathfrak{p} . \square

We now use this.

Let $M = K(\sqrt{2}) \subseteq L$.

Note that M/K is quadratic
and that $M = K(\sqrt{-7})$ (since
 $\sqrt{-7} \in K$) as well.

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime in K .

If $2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then \mathfrak{p} is unramified
in $M = K(\sqrt{2})$ by the lemma.

If $2 \in \mathfrak{p}$, we use the description
 $M = K(\sqrt{-7})$ and that $-7 = 1^2 - 4 \cdot 2$
to conclude that M/K is unramified
at \mathfrak{p} by criterion (b) in the lemma.

In conclusion, M/K is unramified
everywhere.

It remains to show that L/M is
quadratic and unramified
everywhere.

L/M ~~is~~ quadratic

This is equivalent to $[L:\mathbb{Q}] = 8$.

First, ~~we~~ one can check by direct calculation that $\gamma \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, so

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\gamma) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = 2 \implies$$

$$\implies [\mathbb{Q}(\gamma) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4 \leq [L : \mathbb{Q}].$$

If we have equality, then $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma) = L$, but $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ is not Galois since it has both real and complex embeddings.

$$\text{But } L \not\cong \mathbb{Q}(\gamma) \text{ and } [L:\mathbb{Q}] = 8.$$

L/M unramified everywhere

We use the lemma. Note that

$$L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\beta}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\beta'}), \text{ and that}$$

$$\text{since } \beta + \beta' = -2 \text{ and } \beta\beta' = -7,$$

$$(\beta, \beta') \cong (2, 7) = (1) \text{ so}$$

β and β' are coprime.

Let \mathfrak{a} be a prime of K .

If $2, \beta \notin \mathfrak{a}$, then \mathfrak{a} is unramified in $L = K(\sqrt{\beta})$ by the lemma.

If $2 \in \mathfrak{a}$, $\beta \notin \mathfrak{a}$, then since $\beta = (1 + \sqrt{2})^2 - 4$, criterion (b) of the lemma shows that \mathfrak{a} is unramified.

If $\beta \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\beta' \notin \mathfrak{a}$ (by coprimality), and moreover

$2 \notin \mathfrak{a}$ ($2, \beta \in \mathfrak{a} \Rightarrow \beta' \in \mathfrak{a}$ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~possible~~)

so the lemma implies that

\mathfrak{a} is unramified in $L = K(\sqrt{\beta'})$.

7. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$, $p \neq 23$.

Recall from lectures that

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha], \quad \alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{-23}}{2}$$

and that

$$N(x + y\alpha) = x^2 + xy + 6y^2$$

Thus $p = x^2 + xy + 6y^2$ has a solution if and only if p splits

into two principal prime ideals in K .

First, note that p splits ~~in~~ in K

$\Leftrightarrow T^2 + 23$ splits mod $p \Leftrightarrow T^2 + 23$ has a root in \mathbb{F}_p .

Next, recall from lectures that

the Hilbert class field of K is

The splitting field of $T^3 - T + 1$,
which is an S_3 -extension of \mathbb{Q} .

Let L/\mathbb{Q} be an extⁿ obtained by
adjoining a root of $T^3 - T + 1$.

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime in \mathbb{O}_K dividing p .

Then, from lectures, \mathfrak{p} is principal
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is totally split in M/K .

Therefore, $p = x^2 + xy + by^2$ has a
solution $\Leftrightarrow p$ is totally split in

$M/\mathbb{Q} \Leftrightarrow p$ totally split in L/\mathbb{Q}
and K/\mathbb{Q}

~~Equivalently~~

$\Leftrightarrow T^3 - T + 1$ and $T^2 + 28$ have
roots in \mathbb{F}_p .

8. Let H be the Hilbert class field of K .

From lectures, we know that

$$[H:K] = h_K, \text{ and that}$$

H/K is everywhere unramified and the real places stay real.

Note that all places of K are real, so all places of H are real.

Claim: H/L is everywhere unramified, and $[H:L] = h_K$.

Proof: H/L is everywhere unramified since H/K is.

To check that $[H:L] = h_K$,

we need to check that

$$H \cap L = K. \text{ But this is}$$

clear since ~~the~~ all places of H are real, so all places of

$$H \cap L \text{ are real} \Rightarrow H \cap L \subseteq K$$

(and $K \subseteq H \cap L$ trivially)

Moreover, H/L is Galois since H/K is,

and $\text{Gal}(H/K) \cong \text{Gal}(H/L)$ so

~~Gal~~ H/L is abelian.

It follows that H/L is contained
in the Hilbert class field M of L

(since M is the maximal abelian extⁿ of L which is unramified everywhere)

$$\text{so } h_K = [H:L] \mid [M:L] = h_L.$$

9. (i) We have

$$\text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ab}} | \mathbb{Q}_p) \\ \downarrow \\ \text{Gal}(K | \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

and the induced map

$$\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(K | \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

is surjective with kernel $N(K | \mathbb{Q}_p)$

$$\text{Since } \text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times) = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ab}} | \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{nr}}) \subseteq \\ \subseteq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ab}} | K)$$

We must have $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \subseteq N(K | \mathbb{Q}_p)$

Thus $N(K | \mathbb{Q}_p)$ corresponds to a

subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}$ of

index f , of which there is only one,

$$\text{so } N(K | \mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle \rho^f \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

$$(ii) \quad N_{L|\mathbb{Q}_p}(1 - \zeta_{p^n}) = \\ = \prod_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^\times} (1 - \zeta_{p^n}^a) = \Phi_{p^n}(1) = p.$$

Therefore, $N(L|\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{Q}_p^\times which contains p , and has index $p^{n-1}(p-1)$ by local class field theory; so $N(L|\mathbb{Q}_p)$ corresponds to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / \langle p \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ of index $p^{n-1}(p-1)$.

The only subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times of index $p^{n-1}(p-1)$ is $1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$.

(This can be seen e.g. by induction on n , using that $1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \cong_p \mathbb{Z}_p$ via the exponential.)

Therefore $N(L|\mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle p \rangle \times (1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

(iii) Now let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be finite abelian.

Since $N(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ is open

and of finite index, we can find

f, n s.t

$$N(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) \supseteq \langle p^f \rangle \times (1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

But

$$\langle p^f \rangle \times (1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p) =$$

$$= (\langle p^f \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times) \cap (\langle p \rangle \times (1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p))$$

$$= N(K_f/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap N(L_n/\mathbb{Q}_p) =$$

$$= N(K_f L_n/\mathbb{Q}_p) = N(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

where $K_f = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^f-1})$ is the

unique unramified extⁿ of deg f ,

$$L_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n}) \text{ and } m = p^n(p^f - 1)$$

Therefore $N(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) \supseteq N(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}_p)$

which implies that $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)$.

10. (i) $X^{p-1} - \zeta_p$ is Eisenstein, so K_ζ is totally ramified of degree $p-1$.

If $\alpha \in K_\zeta$ is a root of $X^{p-1} - \zeta_p$, then the other roots are $\alpha \zeta^i$, $i=0, \dots, p-2$ which are also in K_ζ , so $K_\zeta | \mathbb{Q}_p$ is Galois.

(ii) Let $K | \mathbb{Q}_p$ be totally ramified of degree $p-1$ and let $\pi \in K$ be a uniformizer.

Then $\pi^{p-1} = p u$ for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$.

Since $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $u = \zeta v$

for some $(p-1)$ th root of $\pm \zeta$ and

$v \in \mathbb{Z} + \pi \mathcal{O}_K$.

~~Therefore~~ The polynomial

$X^{p-1} - v \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$

has a root modulo π ($X=1$)

and is separable mod π , so by

Hensel's lemma $\exists b \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ s.t.

$$b^{p-1} = v$$

Summing up, we have

$$\pi^{p-1} = p\mathfrak{S} b^{p-1} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow (\pi b^{-1})^{p-1} = p\mathfrak{S} \Rightarrow$$

$\Rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{S}} \subseteq K \Rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{S}} = K$ since
the degrees match.

(iii) Since $K_{\mathfrak{S}}$ contains a

root of $X^{p-1} - p\mathfrak{S}$, we

have $-p\mathfrak{S} \in N(K_{\mathfrak{S}} | \mathbb{Q}_p)$

So $N(K_{\mathfrak{S}} | \mathbb{Q}_p)$ has index $p-1$

and by local class. field

theory... (note that $K_{\mathfrak{S}} | \mathbb{Q}_p$ is

abelian;

$$\text{Gal}(K_S | \mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \{1, \sigma, \dots, \sigma^{p-2}\}$$

$$\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma \alpha}{\alpha}$$

where α is a root of $x^p - s_p$

and contains $-p\mathbb{Z}$ \Rightarrow

$$\Rightarrow N(K_S | \mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle -p\mathbb{Z} \rangle \times (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

(here we argue as in Q9(ii):

$$\text{we have } \mathbb{Q}_p^\times = \langle -p\mathbb{Z} \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$$

\uparrow
uniqueness

so $N(K_S | \mathbb{Q}_p)$ corresponds to the

unique subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / \langle -p\mathbb{Z} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$
of index $p-1$).

$$\text{Since } N(\mathbb{Q}_p(s_p) | \mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle p \rangle \times (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

by Q9(ii), we get that

$$\mathbb{Q}_p(s_p) = K_{-1}.$$

11. Set $M = K(S_n) = K \cdot \mathbb{Q}(S_n)$.

Since K/\mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{Q}(S_n)/\mathbb{Q}$ are unramified outside S , M/\mathbb{Q} is unramified outside S .

Let $p \in S$, take η in K above p and α_η in M above η .

Then

$$M_{\alpha_\eta} = K_\eta(S_n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(S_{n_p}, S_n)$$

$$\text{and } M_{\alpha_\eta} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(S_{p^{e_p}}).$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}_p(S_{n_p}, S_n) / \mathbb{Q}_p(S_{p^{e_p}})$ is unramified (it is generated by roots of unity of order prime to p),

$M_{\alpha_\eta} / \mathbb{Q}_p(S_{p^{e_p}})$ is unramified and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hence } M_{\alpha_\eta} &= \mathbb{Q}_p(S_{p^{e_p} n}) = \\ &= \mathbb{Q}_p(S_{p^{e_p}}) \cdot \mathbb{Q}_p(S_n) \end{aligned}$$

for some n' with $(n', p) = 1$.

It follows that the inertia group

$$I_p = I_{\mathbb{Q}/p} \text{ ~~is isomorphic to~~ satisfies}$$

$$I_p \cong \text{Gal}(M_{\mathbb{Q}} | \mathbb{Q}_p(S_{n'})) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(S_{p^{e_p}}) | \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

max unramified
subextⁿ of $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$\text{so } \# I_p = \varphi(p^{e_p})$$

($\varphi =$ Euler φ -function)

(recall that, since M/\mathbb{Q} is abelian,
 $I_{\mathbb{Q}/p}$ is independent of a_j .)

Let I be the product of all the

I_p , for $p \in S$, inside $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$

The fixed field of I is an extⁿ
of \mathbb{Q} which is unramified everywhere.

By Hurwitz's theorem the fixed field must be \mathbb{Q} , so

$$I = \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \#I &\leq \prod_{p \in S} \#I_p = \prod_{p \in S} e(p^e) = \\ &= e(n) = \cancel{[M:\mathbb{Q}]} [M:\mathbb{Q}] \end{aligned}$$

Since $M \supseteq \mathbb{Q}(S_n)$, it follows

that we must have an equality, and
that $M = \mathbb{Q}(S_n)$ as desired.

We then conclude that

$$K \subseteq K(S_n) = M = \mathbb{Q}(S_n),$$

as desired.