

Deformed elliptic Ruijsenaars operators and hypergeometric transformation formulas

Martin Hallnäs

(w/ Edwin Langmann, Masatoshi Noumi and Hjalmar Rosengren)

Chalmers University of Technology
& University of Gothenburg

Virtual Integrable Systems Seminars
24 February 2021

Ruijsenaars' elliptic difference operators

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$D_n^{(k)} = \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I|=k}} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{[x_i - x_j + \kappa_i]}{[x_i - x_j]} \cdot T_x^{\delta_I} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n).$$

Notation:

- ▶ δ and κ are (complex) parameters,
- ▶ $[z] = C e^{cz^2} \sigma(z \mid \omega_1, \omega_2)$ w/ degenerations:

$$[z] = \sin(\pi z / \omega) \quad (\text{trigonometric}),$$

$$[z] = \sinh(\pi z / \omega) \quad (\text{hyperbolic}),$$

$$[z] = z \quad (\text{rational}),$$

(where σ denotes the Weierstrass sigma function),

- ▶ $T_x^{\delta_I} = \prod_{i \in I} T_{x_i}^{\delta}$, w/

$$T_{x_i}^{\delta} f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_i + \delta, \dots, x_n).$$

Ruijsenaars' elliptic difference operators

- ▶ Introduced by **Ruijsenaars** (1987), who proved commutativity:

$$\left[D_n^{(k)}, D_n^{(l)} \right] = 0, \quad \forall k, l = 1, \dots, n.$$

- ▶ Calogero–Moser–Sutherland operators are limiting cases. For example, with $[z] = z$ and $\kappa \rightarrow \delta\kappa$,

$$D_n^{(1)} = n + \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i} + \frac{\delta^2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i}^2 + 2\kappa \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_i - x_j} \partial_{x_i} \right) + O(\delta^3), \quad \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

- ▶ Also called relativistic Calogero–Moser–Sutherland systems, since

$$H_n := D_n^{(1)}(x) + D_n^{(1)}(-x) \quad (\text{time transl.})$$

$$P_n := D_n^{(1)}(x) - D_n^{(1)}(-x) \quad (\text{space transl.})$$

$$B := - \sum_{i=1}^n x_j \quad (\text{Lorentz boost})$$

yield a representation of the Lie alg. of the Poincaré group in $1 + 1$ dimensions, see **Ruijsenaars** (1987).

- ▶ Intimate connections w/ integrable (quantum) field theories.
(For example, when $[z] = \sinh(\pi z/\omega)$ joint eigenfunct. of $D_n^{(k)}$ reproduce scattering in the quantum sine-Gordon model (for suitable δ, κ); see **H. & Ruijsenaars** (2020).)

Noumi and Sano's operators

Consider the commutative alg.

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \mathbb{C} \left[D_n^{(1)}, \dots, D_n^{(n)} \right].$$

Noumi & Sano (2020) introduced the difference operators

$$H_n^{(k)} = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ |\mu| = k}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{[x_i - x_j + (\mu_i - \mu_j)\delta]}{[x_i - x_j]} \cdot \prod_{i,j=1}^n \frac{[x_i - x_j + \kappa]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i - x_j + \delta]_{\mu_i}} \cdot T_x^{\delta\mu} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

and proved:

- ▶ $\sum_{k+l=K} (-1)^k [k\kappa + l\delta] D_n^{(k)} H_n^{(l)} = 0$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$, (Wronski relations),
- ▶ $\mathcal{R}_n = \mathbb{C} \left[H_n^{(1)}, \dots, H_n^{(n)} \right]$.

Notation:

- ▶ $[z]_k = [z][z + \delta] \cdots [z + (k - 1)\delta]$,
- ▶ $T_x^{\delta\mu} = \prod_{i=1}^n (T_{x_i}^{\delta})^{\mu_i}$.

Deformed elliptic Ruijsenaars operators

Ruijsenaars' and Noumi & Sano's operators can be unified in a family of commutative difference operators $H_{m,r}^{(k)}(x, y; \delta, \kappa)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) in two sets of variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$.

Deformed elliptic Ruijsenaars operators

We introduce the difference operators

$$H_{m,r}^{(k)} = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^m, l \subset \{1, \dots, r\} \\ |\mu| + |l| = k}} C_{\mu,l}(x, y) T_x^{\delta\mu} T_y^{-\kappa l} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

with

$$C_{\mu,l}(x, y) = (-1)^{|l|} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{[x_i - x_j + (\mu_i - \mu_j)\delta]}{[x_i - x_j]} \cdot \prod_{i,j=1}^m \frac{[x_i - x_j + \kappa]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i - x_j + \delta]_{\mu_i}} \\ \cdot \prod_{i \in l, j \notin l} \frac{[y_i - y_j - \delta]}{[y_i - y_j]} \\ \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{j \in l} \frac{[x_i - y_j - \kappa]}{[x_i - y_j + \mu_i \delta]} \prod_{j \notin l} \frac{[x_i - y_j - \delta]}{[x_i - y_j + (\mu_i - 1)\delta]} \right).$$

Obs: We have

- ▶ $H_{m,0}^{(k)}(x; \delta, \kappa) = H_m^{(k)}(x; \delta, \kappa),$
- ▶ $H_{0,r}^{(k)}(y; \delta, \kappa) = (-1)^k D_r^{(k)}(y; -\kappa, -\delta).$

Main results

Theorem (H., Langmann, Noumi & Rosengren)

► We have

$$\left[H_{m,r}^{(k)}, H_{m,r}^{(l)} \right] = 0, \quad \forall k, l \in \mathbb{N},$$

► The operators $H_{m,r}^{(1)}, \dots, H_{m,r}^{(m+r)}$ are algebraically independent (for generic δ, κ).

Consider the difference operators

$$D_{m,r}^{(k)}(x, y; \delta, \kappa) = H_{r,m}^{(k)}(y, x; -\kappa, -\delta) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

(with $D_{m,0}^{(k)}(x; \delta, \kappa) = (-1)^k D_m^{(k)}(x; \delta, \kappa)$ and $D_{0,r}^{(k)}(y; \delta, \kappa) = H_r^{(k)}(y; -\kappa, -\delta)$.)

Theorem (H., Langmann, Noumi & Rosengren)

The operators $D_{m,r}^{(k)}$ and $H_{m,r}^{(k)}$ are related by

$$\sum_{k+l=K} [k\kappa + l\delta] D_{m,r}^{(k)} H_{m,r}^{(l)} = 0 \quad (K \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Main results

Fix a meromorphic solution G_δ to

$$G_\delta(z + \delta) = [z]G_\delta(z).$$

(Generically, G_δ can be constructed from Ruijsenaars' elliptic gamma function.)

Theorem (H., Langmann, Noumi & Rosengren)

Assuming that

$$(m - n)\kappa = (r - s)\delta,$$

the function

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{(m,r,n,s)}(x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_r; X_1, \dots, X_n; Y_1, \dots, Y_s) \\ = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \frac{G_\delta(x_i + X_j - \kappa)}{G_\delta(x_i + X_j)} \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq s}} \frac{G_{-\kappa}(y_i + Y_j + \delta)}{G_{-\kappa}(y_i + Y_j)} \\ \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq s}} [x_i + Y_j] \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} [y_i + X_j] \end{aligned}$$

satisfies the kernel function identities

$$H_{m,r}^{(k)}(x; y) \Phi^{(m,r,n,s)}(x; y; X; Y) = H_{n,s}^{(k)}(X; Y) \Phi^{(m,r,n,s)}(x; y; X; Y) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Historical interlude

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - \frac{m}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i^2} + m(m+1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} V(x_i - x_j) \\ & + (m+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r V(x_i - y_j) + (1 + 1/m) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} V(y_i - y_j), \end{aligned}$$

w/ potential function

$$V(z) = \begin{cases} 1/z^2 & \text{(rational)} \\ 1/\sin^2 z & \text{(trigonometric)} \\ \wp(z) & \text{(elliptic)} \end{cases}$$

(When $m = 1$ and/or $r = 0$ we have an ordinary Calogero–Moser–Sutherland operator.)

- ▶ **Chalykh, Feigin & Veselov** (1998) proved integrability when $r = 1$ and V is rational/trigonometric.
- ▶ For $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary and V trigonometric, the operator was introduced and studied by **Sergeev** (2001). Integrability proved by **Sergeev & Veselov** (2004).

Historical interlude

- ▶ **Khodarinova** (2005) established integrability for $r = 1$ and V elliptic.
- ▶ There are intimate connections with
 - ▶ Lie superalgebras (**Sergeev, Seergev & Veselov**),
 - ▶ Cherednik algebras (**Feigin**),
 - ▶ β -ensembles of random matrices (**Desrosiers & Liu**),
 - ▶ CFT and the fractional quantum Hall effect (**Atai & Langmann**),
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ **Chalykh** (2000, 2002) introduced analogous deformations of rational/trigonometric Ruijsenaars operators in $n + 1$ variables.
- ▶ The trigonometric limit of $H_{m,r}^{(1)}$ due to **Sergeev & Veselov** (2009).
- ▶ **Feigin and Silantyev** (2014) obtained the trigonometric limit of $H_{m,r}^{(k)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and proved commutativity.
- ▶ The elliptic operator $H_{m,r}^{(1)}$ was first considered by **Atai, H. & Langmann** (2014), who established a corresponding kernel function identity.

Proof of commutativity

There are two main steps in our proof.

Step 1: We reduce $[H_{m,r}^{(k)}, H_{m,r}^{(l)}] = 0$ to the identities

$$S_k = S_{|\lambda|+r-k}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^m, \quad 0 \leq k \leq |\lambda|,$$

for

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_k = & \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \mu_j \leq \lambda_j, 1 \leq j \leq m \\ PC\{1, \dots, r\}, |\mu| + |P| = k}} \prod_{i \in P, j \notin P} \frac{[y_i - y_j - \delta][y_i - y_j + \delta - \kappa]}{[y_i - y_j][y_i - y_j - \kappa]} \\
 & \cdot \prod_{i,j=1}^m \left(\frac{[x_i - x_j + \delta]_{\mu_i - \mu_j}}{[x_i - x_j + \kappa]_{\mu_i - \mu_j}} \frac{[x_i - x_j + \kappa]_{\mu_i} [x_i - x_j - \lambda_j \delta]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i - x_j + \delta]_{\mu_i} [x_i - x_j - (\lambda_j - 1)\delta - \kappa]_{\mu_i}} \right) \\
 & \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{j \in P} \frac{[x_i - y_j + \lambda_i \delta][x_i - y_j + (\mu_i - 1)\delta + \kappa]}{[x_i - y_j + \mu_i \delta][x_i - y_j + (\lambda_i - 1)\delta + \kappa]} \right. \\
 & \left. \cdot \prod_{j \notin P} \frac{[x_i - y_j - \delta][x_i - y_j + \mu_i \delta - \kappa]}{[x_i - y_j - \kappa][x_i - y_j + (\lambda_i - 1)\delta]} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of commutativity

Remark: We note that

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^m \frac{[x_i - x_j + \delta]_{\mu_i - \mu_j}}{[x_i - x_j + \kappa]_{\mu_i - \mu_j}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(\frac{[x_i - x_j + (\mu_i - \mu_j)\delta]}{[x_i - x_j]} \frac{[x_i - x_j + \delta - \kappa]_{\mu_i - \mu_j}}{[x_i - x_j + \kappa]_{\mu_i - \mu_j}} \right),$$

where factors of the form $[x_i - x_j + (\mu_i - \mu_j)\delta]$ are typical of elliptic hypergeometric series related to root systems of type A . In fact, $S_k = S_{|\lambda|+r-k}$ is essentially equivalent to an elliptic hypergeometric transformation formula due to [Langer, Schlosser and Warnaar](#) (2009).

Step 2: We obtain the identity $S_k = S_{|\lambda|+r-k}$ by *multiple principal specialization* in

$$\sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I|=k}} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{[z_i - z_j - a][z_i - z_j - b]}{[z_i - z_j][z_i - z_j - a - b]} = \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I|=n-k}} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{[z_i - z_j - a][z_i - z_j - b]}{[z_i - z_j][z_i - z_j - a - b]}.$$

The latter identity is due to [Ruijsenaars](#) (1987).

(He used it to prove commutativity for his elliptic difference operators.)

Proof of commutativity

Specifically, we take

$$n = |\lambda| + r, \quad a = \delta, \quad b = \kappa - \delta,$$

and set

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (x_1, x_1 + \delta, \dots, x_1 + (\lambda_1 - 1)\delta, \dots, \\ x_m, x_m + \delta, \dots, x_m + (\lambda_m - 1)\delta, y_1, \dots, y_r).$$

Further source identities

- ▶ We infer the Wronski type relation $\sum_{k+l=\kappa} (-1)^k [k\kappa + l\delta] D_n^{(k)} H_n^{(l)} = 0$ from

$$\sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I|=k}} (-1)^{|I|} \frac{[|z| - |w| + |I|a]}{[|z| - |w|]} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{[z_i - z_j + a]}{[z_i - z_j]} \cdot \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{[z_i - w_j]}{[z_i - w_j + a]} = 0,$$

where

$$|z| = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j.$$

(This is the same identity proved and used by [Noumi & Sano \(2020\)](#) in the undeformed $r = 0$ case.)

- ▶ We obtain the kernel identities from the [Kajihara–Noumi \(2003\)](#) identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I|=k}} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{[z_i - z_j - a]}{[z_i - z_j]} \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{[z_i + w_j + a]}{[z_i + w_j]} \\ = \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I|=k}} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{[w_i - w_j - a]}{[w_i - w_j]} \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{[w_i + z_j + a]}{[w_i + z_j]}. \end{aligned}$$

(A similar identity used by [Ruijsenaars \(2006\)](#) to obtain kernel identities in the undeformed case.)

Further source identities

- ▶ The last two source identities can be derived as consequences of the **Frobenius** (1882) determinant evaluation

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left(\frac{[\lambda + z_i + w_j]}{[\lambda][z_i + w_j]} \right) = \frac{[\lambda + |z| + |w|] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [z_i - z_j][w_i - w_j]}{[\lambda] \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [z_i + w_j]}.$$

Multiple hypergeometric series

The last source identity is at the root of various transformation and summation formulas for multiple elliptic hypergeometric series.

Specifically, consider

$$\phi_N^{m,n} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_m \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} b_1, \dots, b_n \\ c_1, \dots, c_n \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^m \\ |\mu| = N}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{[x_i - x_j + (\mu_i - \mu_j)\delta]}{[x_i - x_j]} \\ \cdot \prod_{i,j=1}^m \frac{[x_i - x_j + a_j]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i - x_j + \delta]_{\mu_i}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{[x_i + b_k]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i + c_k]_{\mu_i}},$$

(where $[z]_k = [z][z + \delta] \cdots [z + (k - 1)\delta]$).

Under the balancing condition

$$a_1 + \cdots + a_m = b_1 + \cdots + b_n,$$

Kajihara & Noumi (2003) established

$$\phi_N^{m,n} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_m \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} y_1 - b_1, \dots, y_n - b_n \\ y_1, \dots, y_n \end{matrix} \right) = \phi_N^{n,m} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1, \dots, b_n \\ y_1, \dots, y_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_m - a_m \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{matrix} \right),$$

Multiple hypergeometric series

The trigonometric limit yields the multiple basic hypergeometric series

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{m,n} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_m \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} b_1, \dots, b_n \\ c_1, \dots, c_n \end{matrix}; u \right) \\ = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^m} u^{|\mu|} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{q^{\mu_i} x_i - q^{\mu_j} x_j}{x_i - x_j} \cdot \prod_{i,j=1}^m \frac{(a_j x_i / x_j; q)_{\mu_i}}{(q x_i / x_j; q)_{\mu_i}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(x_i b_k; q)_{\mu_i}}{(x_i c_k; q)_{\mu_i}}, \end{aligned}$$

(where $(z; q)_k = (1 - z) \cdots (1 - q^{k-1}z)$).

In particular, it satisfies **Kajihara's** (2004) far-reaching generalisation of Euler's transformation formula for the Gauss hypergeometric series ${}_2F_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{m,n} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_m \\ x_1, \dots, x_m \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} b_1 y_1, \dots, b_n y_n \\ c y_1, \dots, c y_n \end{matrix}; u \right) \\ = \frac{(\alpha \beta u / c^n; q)_{\infty}}{(u; q)_{\infty}} \phi^{n,m} \left(\begin{matrix} c / b_1, \dots, c / b_n \\ y_1, \dots, y_n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} c x_1 / a_1, \dots, c x_m / a_m \\ c x_1, \dots, c x_m \end{matrix}; \alpha \beta u / c^n \right), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\alpha := a_1 \cdots a_m, \quad \beta := b_1 \cdots b_n.$$

Thank You!