Applied Numerical Linear Algebra. Lecture 9

Householder Transformations

A Householder transformation (or reflection) is a matrix of the form $P = I - 2uu^T$ where $||u||_2 = 1$. It is easy to see that $P = P^T$ and $P \cdot P^T = (I - 2uu^T)(I - 2uu^T) = I - 4uu^T + 4uu^T uu^T = I$, so P is a symmetric, orthogonal matrix. It is called a reflection because Px is reflection of x in the plane through 0 perpendicular to u.

Given a vector x, it is easy to find a Householder reflection $P = I - 2uu^T$ to zero out all but the first entry of x: $Px = [c, 0, ..., 0]^T = c \cdot e_1$. We do this as follows. Write $Px = (I - 2uu^T)x = x - 2u(u^Tx) = c \cdot e_1$ so from that equation we get $u = \frac{1}{2(u^Tx)}(x - ce_1)$, i.e., u is a linear combination of x and e_1 . Since $||x||_2 = ||Px||_2 = |c|$, u must be parallel to the vector $\tilde{u} = x \pm ||x||_2e_1$, and so $u = \tilde{u}/||\tilde{u}||_2$. One can verify that either choice of sign yields a u satisfying $Px = ce_1$, as long as $\tilde{u} \neq 0$. We will use $\tilde{u} = x + sign(x_1)e_1$, since this means that there is no cancellation in computing the first component of u. Here, x_k is to be the pivot coordinate in the vector x after which all entries are 0 in matrix A. In summary, we get

$$\tilde{u} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + sign(x_1) \cdot \|x\|_2 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } u = \frac{\tilde{u}}{\|\tilde{u}\|_2}.$$

We write this as u = House(x). (In practice, we can store \tilde{u} instead of u to save the work of computing u, and use the formula $P = I - (2/\|\tilde{u}\|_2^2)\tilde{u}\tilde{u}^T$ instead of $P = I - 2uu^T$.)

Idea of Householder transformation

We show how to compute the QR decomposition of a 5-by-4 matrix A using Householder transformations. This example will make the pattern for general m-by-n matrices evident. In the matrices below, P_i is an orthogonal matrix, x denotes a generic nonzero entry, and o denotes a zero entry.

1. Choose P_1 so

$$A_{1} \equiv P_{1}A = \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ o & x & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Choose
$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P'_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 so

$$A_2 \equiv P_2 A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ o & x & x & x \\ o & o & x & x \\ o & o & x & x \end{bmatrix}.$$
3. Choose $P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P'_3 \end{bmatrix}$ so

$$A_3 \equiv P_3 A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ o & x & x & x \\ o & x & x & x \\ o & 0 & x & x \\ o & 0 & 0 & x \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. Choose
$$P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & 0 \\ & & 1 & & \\ \hline & 0 & & P'_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
 so
 $\tilde{R} := A_4 \equiv P_4 A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ o & x & x & x \\ o & o & x & x \\ o & o & o & x \\ o & o & o & o \end{bmatrix}$.

Here, we have chosen a Householder matrix P'_i to zero out the subdiagonal entries in column *i*; this does not disturb the zeros already introduced in previous columns.

Idea of Householder transformation

We observe that we have performed decomposition

$$A_4 = P_4 P_3 P_2 P_1 A. (1)$$

Let us denote the final triangular matrix A_4 as $\tilde{R} \equiv A_4$. Then using (1) we observe that matrix A is obtained via decomposition

$$A = P_1^T P_2^T P_3^T P_4^T \tilde{R} = QR, \qquad (2)$$

which is our desired QR decomposition. Here, the matrix Q is the first four columns of $P_1^T P_2^T P_3^T P_4^T = P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$ (since all P_i are symmetric), and R is the first four rows of \tilde{R} .

 \diamond

Here is the general algorithm for QR decomposition using Householder transformations.

ALGORITHM QR factorization using Householder reflections:

for
$$i = 1$$
 to $\min(m - 1, n)$
 $u_i = House(A(i : m, i))$
 $P'_i = I - 2u_i u_i^T$
 $A(i : m, i : n) = P'_i A(i : m, i : n)$
end for

QR decomposition using Householder reflections

We can use Householder reflections to calculate the QR factorization of an m-by-n matrix A with $m \ge n$.

- Let x be an arbitrary real m-dimensional column vector of A such that ||x|| = |α| for a scalar α.
- If the algorithm is implemented using floating-point arithmetic, then α should get the opposite sign as the k-th coordinate of x, where x_k
 is to be the pivot coordinate after which all entries are 0 in matrix
 A's final upper triangular form, to avoid loss of significance.

Then, where \mathbf{e}_1 is the vector $(1, 0, ..., 0)^T$, $|| \cdot ||$ is the Euclidean norm and I is an m-by-m identity matrix, set

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{e}_1,$$

$$\alpha = -sign(x_1)||\mathbf{x}||,$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|},$$

$$Q = I - 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T.$$

In the case of complex A set

$$Q = I - (1 + w)\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^H,$$

where $w = \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{u} / \mathbf{u}^H \mathbf{x}$ and where \mathbf{x}^H is the conjugate transpose (transjugate) of \mathbf{x} , Q is an m-by-m Householder matrix and

$$Q\mathbf{x} = (\alpha, 0, \cdots, 0)^T.$$

QR decomposition using Householder reflections.

Example

Let us calculate the decomposition of

$${f A}=egin{pmatrix} 12&-51&4\6&167&-68\-4&24&-41 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, we need to find a reflection that transforms the first column of matrix A, vector $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a}_1 = (12, 6, -4)^T$, to $\|\mathbf{x}\| e_1 = \|\mathbf{a}_1\| e_1 = (14, 0, 0)^T$. Now,

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{e}_1,$

where

$$\alpha = -sign(x_1)||\mathbf{x}||,$$

and

$$\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}.$$

Here,
$$||x|| = \sqrt{12^2 + 6^2 + (-4)^2} = 14$$
,

$$\alpha = -sign(12)||\mathbf{x}|| = -14 \text{ for } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a}_1 = (12, 6, -4)^T$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{e}_1 = (-2, 6, -4)^T = (2)(-1, 3, -2)^T$$

and $\textbf{u}=\frac{\textbf{v}}{\|\textbf{v}\|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{14}}(-1,3,-2)^{\mathcal{T}},$ and then

$$Q_{1} = I - \frac{2}{\sqrt{14}\sqrt{14}} \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 3\\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= I - \frac{1}{7} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2\\ -3 & 9 & -6\\ 2 & -6 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 6/7 & 3/7 & -2/7\\ 3/7 & -2/7 & 6/7\\ -2/7 & 6/7 & 3/7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now observe:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= Q_1 \mathcal{A} = egin{pmatrix} 14 & 21 & -14 \ 0 & -49 & -14 \ 0 & 168 & -77 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so we already have almost a triangular matrix. We only need to zero the (3, 2) entry.

Take the (1,1) minor, and then apply the process again to

$${\cal A}' = {\cal M}_{11} = egin{pmatrix} -49 & -14 \ 168 & -77 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the same method as above we first need to find a reflection that transforms the first column of matrix A', vector $\mathbf{x} = (-49, 168)^T$, to $\|\mathbf{x}\| e_1 = (175, 0)^T$.

Here,
$$||x|| = \sqrt{(-49)^2 + 168^2} = 175$$

$$\alpha = -sign(-49)||\mathbf{x}|| = 175 \text{ and } \mathbf{x} = (-49, 168)^T.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{e}_1 = (-49, 168)^T + (175, 0)^T = (126, 168)^T,$$

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathbf{v}|| &= \sqrt{126^2 + 168^2} = \sqrt{44100} = 210 \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{u} &= \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} = (126/210, 168/210)^T = (3/5, 4/5)^T. \end{aligned}$$

Example

$$Q_2' = I - 2 \begin{pmatrix} 3/5 \\ 4/5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3/5 & 4/5 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2' &= I - 2 \begin{pmatrix} 9/25 & 12/25 \\ 12/25 & 16/25 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 7/25 & -24/25 \\ -24/25 & -7/25 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain the matrix of the Householder transformation Q_2 such that

$$Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & Q_2', \end{bmatrix}$$

to get

$$Q_2 = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 7/25 & -24/25 \ 0 & -24/25 & -7/25. \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, we have obtained $Q_2A_1 = R$ which will be upper triangular matrix R. Thus, $R = Q_1A_1$ and the matrix Q in QR decomposition of A can be obtained as follows:

$$Q_2 Q_1 A = R,$$

$$Q_1^T Q_2^T Q_2 Q_1 A = Q_1^T Q_2^T R$$

$$A = Q_1^T Q_2^T R = QR,$$
with $Q = Q_1^T Q_2^T.$

$$Q = Q_1^T Q_2^T = \begin{pmatrix} 6/7 & 69/175 & -58/179 \\ 3/7 & -158/175 & 6/175 \\ -2/7 & -6/35 & -33/35 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$Q = Q_1^T Q_2^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8571 & 0.3943 & -0.3314 \\ 0.4286 & -0.9029 & 0.0343 \\ -0.2857 & -0.1714 & -0.9429 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$R = Q_2 A_1 = Q_2 Q_1 A = Q^T A = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 21 & -14 \\ 0 & -175 & 70 \\ 0 & 0 & 35 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix Q is orthogonal and R is upper triangular, so A = QR.

Compute QR decomposition of the matrix A using Householder reflections:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

First, we need to find a reflection that transforms the first column of matrix \boldsymbol{A}

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have:

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{e}_1,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (4, 0, 3)^T$, $\alpha = -sign(4) \cdot ||\mathbf{x}||$
 $\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|}.$

Here,

$$\alpha = -5.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{u} = (-1, 0, 3)^T$$
, $||u|| = \sqrt{10}$.

and $\textbf{v}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}(-1,0,3)^{\mathcal{T}},$ and then

Orthogonal matrices Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse

$$P_{1} = I - \frac{2}{\sqrt{10}\sqrt{10}} \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 0\\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= I - \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ -3 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 4/5 & 0 & 3/5\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 3/5 & 0 & -4/5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now observe:

$$P_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 5.6 & 6.6 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & -0.8 & -3.8 \end{pmatrix},$$

so we already have almost a triangular matrix. We only need to zero the (3, 2) entry.

Take the (1,1) minor, and then apply the process again to

$$A' = M_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -0.8 & -3.8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have:

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{e}_1,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (3, -0.8)^T$, $\alpha = -sign(3) \cdot ||x||$

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|}.$$

Here,

$$\alpha = -3.1048.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{u} = (-0.1048, -0.8)^T, \quad ||u|| = 0.8068.$$

and $\mathbf{v} = rac{1}{0.8068} (-0.1048, -0.8)^{ au}$,

and then

$$\begin{aligned} P_2' &= I - \frac{2}{0.651} \begin{pmatrix} -0.1048 \\ -0.8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.1048 & -0.8 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= I - \frac{2}{0.651} \begin{pmatrix} 0.011 & 0.0838 \\ 0.0838 & 0.64 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.9662 & -0.2575 \\ 0.2575 & -0.9662 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the second matrix of the Householder transformation is

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.9662 & -0.2575 \\ 0 & -0.2575 & -0.9662 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, we find

$$R = P_2 P_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 5.6 & 6.6 \\ 0 & 3.1046 & 1.9447 \\ 0 & 0.0005 & 3.4141 \end{pmatrix}$$

Tridiagonalization using Householder transformation

This procedure is taken from the book: Numerical Analysis, Burden and Faires, 8th Edition.

In the first step, to form the Householder matrix in each step we need to determine α and r, which are given by:

$$\alpha = -\operatorname{sgn}(a_{21})\sqrt{\sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{j1}^{2}};$$
$$r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^{2} - a_{21}\alpha)};$$

From α and r, construct vector v:

$$v^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \dots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $v_1 = 0$; , $v_2 = rac{a_{21}-lpha}{2r}$, and

$$v_k = \frac{a_{k1}}{2r}$$
 for each $k = 3, 4..n$

Then compute:

$$P^{(1)} = I - 2v^{(1)}(v^{(1)})^{T}$$

and obtaing matrix $A^{(1)}$ as

$$A^{(1)} = P^{(1)}AP^{(1)}$$

Having found $P^{(1)}$ and computed $A^{(1)}$ the process is repeated for k = 2, 3, ..., n as follows:

$$\alpha = -\operatorname{sgn}(a_{k+1,k}) \sqrt{\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} a_{jk}^{2}};$$

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^{2} - a_{k+1,k}\alpha)};$$

$$v_{1}^{(k)} = v_{2}^{(k)} = \dots = v_{k}^{(k)} = 0;$$

$$v_{k+1}^{(k)} = \frac{a_{k+1,k} - \alpha}{2r}$$

$$v_{j}^{(k)} = \frac{a_{jk}}{2r} \quad \text{for} \quad j = k+2; k+3, \dots, n$$

$$P^{(k)} = I - 2v^{(k)}(v^{(k)})^{T}$$

$$A^{(k+1)} = P^{(k)}A^{(k)}P^{(k)}$$

Example 1

Example

In this example, the given matrix A is transformed to the similar tridiagonal matrix A_1 by using Householder Method. We have

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix},$$

Steps:

1. First compute α as

$$\alpha = -\operatorname{sgn}(a_{21})\sqrt{\sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{j1}^2} = -\sqrt{(a_{21}^2 + a_{31}^2)} = -\sqrt{(1^2 + 0^2)} = -1.$$

2. Using α we find r as

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - a_{21}\alpha)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}((-1)^2 - 1 \cdot (-1))} = 1.$$

3. From α and r, construct vector v:

$$\chi^{(1)} = egin{bmatrix} v_1 \ v_2 \ \dots \ v_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $v_1 = 0$; $v_2 = \frac{a_{21} - \alpha}{2r}$, and

$$v_k = \frac{a_{k1}}{2r}$$
 for each $k = 3, 4..n$

To do tridiagonal matrix we compute:

$$v_1 = 0,$$

$$v_2 = \frac{a_{21} - \alpha}{2r} = \frac{1 - (-1)}{2 \cdot 1} = 1,$$

$$v_3 = \frac{a_{31}}{2r} = 0.$$

and we have

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{1} \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix},$$

Then compute matrix $P^{(1)}$

$$P^{(1)} = I - 2v^{(1)}(v^{(1)})^{T}$$

 and

$$\mathsf{P}^{(1)} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

After that we can obtain matrix $A^{(1)}$ as

$$A^{(1)} = P^{(1)}AP^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 6 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 7. \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2

Example

In this example, the given matrix A is transformed to the similar tridiagonal matrix A_2 by using Householder Method. We have

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

Steps:

1. First compute α as

$$\alpha = -\operatorname{sgn}(a_{21})\sqrt{\sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{j1}^2} = (-1) \cdot \sqrt{(a_{21}^2 + a_{31}^2 + a_{41}^2)}$$
$$= -1 \cdot (1^2 + (-2)^2 + 2^2) = (-1) \cdot \sqrt{1 + 4 + 4} = -\sqrt{9} = -3.$$

2. Using α we find r as

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - a_{21}\alpha)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}((-3)^2 - 1 \cdot (-3))} = \sqrt{6}.$$

3. From α and r, construct vector v:

$$\chi^{(1)} = egin{bmatrix} v_1 \ v_2 \ \dots \ v_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $v_1 = 0$; $v_2 = \frac{a_{21} - \alpha}{2r}$, and

$$v_k = \frac{a_{k1}}{2r}$$
 for each $k = 3, 4..n$

To do that we compute:

$$v_{1} = 0,$$

$$v_{2} = \frac{a_{21} - \alpha}{2r} = \frac{1 - (-3)}{2 \cdot \sqrt{6}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}$$

$$v_{3} = \frac{a_{31}}{2r} = \frac{-2}{2 \cdot \sqrt{6}} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$$

$$v_{4} = \frac{a_{41}}{2r} = \frac{2}{2 \cdot \sqrt{6}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}.$$

and we have

$$\mathbf{v}^{(1)} = egin{bmatrix} 0 \ rac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \ rac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} \ rac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix},$$

Then compute matrix $P^{(1)}$

$$P^{(1)} = I - 2v^{(1)}(v^{(1)})^{T} = I - 2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}^{(1)} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -1/3 & 2/3 & -2/3 \ 0 & 2/3 & 2/3 & 1/3 \ 0 & -2/3 & 1/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

After that we can obtain matrix $A^{(1)}$ as

 $A^{(1)} = P^{(1)}AP^{(1)}$

Thus, the first Householder matrix:

$$P^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 & 2/3 & -2/3 \\ 0 & 2/3 & 2/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & -2/3 & 1/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A^{(1)} = P^{(1)}AP^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 10/3 & 1 & 4/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 5/3 & -4/3 \\ 0 & 4/3 & -4/3 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

Next, having found $A^{(1)}$ we need to construct $A^{(2)}$ and $P^{(2)}$. When k = 2 we have following formulas:

$$\alpha = -\operatorname{sgn}(a_{3,2}) \sqrt{\sum_{j=3}^{4} a_{j2}^2} = -\operatorname{sgn}(1) \sqrt{a_{3,2}^2 + a_{4,2}^2} = -\sqrt{1 + \frac{16}{9}} = -\frac{5}{3};$$

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - a_{3,2} \cdot \alpha)} = \sqrt{\frac{20}{9}};$$

$$v_1^{(2)} = v_2^{(2)} = 0;$$

$$v_3^{(2)} = \frac{a_{3,2} - \alpha}{2r} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}};$$

$$v_4^{(2)} = \frac{a_{4,2}}{2r} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}.$$
and thus new vector v will be: $v^{(2)} = (0, 0, \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}})^T$ and the new

Householder matrix $P^{(2)}$ will be

As we can see, the final result is a tridiagonal symmetric matrix which is similar to the original one. The process finished after 2 steps.

Given's Rotation

A Givens rotation is represented by a matrix of the form

$$G(i,j,\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & c & \cdots & -s & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & s & \cdots & c & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $c = \cos(\theta)$ and $s = \sin(\theta)$ appear at the intersections i-th and j-th rows and columns.

That is, the non-zero elements of Givens matrix is given by:

$$g_{k\,k} = 1$$
 for $k \neq i, j$ (3)

$$g_{i\,i}=c \tag{4}$$

$$g_{j\,j}=c \tag{5}$$

$$g_{j\,i} = -s \tag{6}$$

$$g_{ij} = s$$
 for $i > j$ (7)

(sign of sine switches for j > i)

Given's Rotation

The product $G(i, j, \theta)x$ represents a counterclockwise rotation of the vector x in the (i, j) plane of θ radians, hence the name Givens rotation. When a Givens rotation matrix G multiplies another matrix, A, from the left, GA, only rows i and j of A are affected. Thus we restrict attention to the following problem. Given a and b, find $c = \cos\theta$ and $s = \sin\theta$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Explicit calculation of θ is rarely necessary or desirable. Instead we directly seek c, s, and r. An obvious solution would be

$$r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \tag{8}$$

$$c = a/r \tag{9}$$

 $s = -b/r. \tag{10}$

Orthogonal matrices Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse

Given's Rotation to get upper Triangular matrix

Example

Given the following 3x3 Matrix, perform two iterations of the Given's Rotation to bring the matrix to an upper Triangular matrix.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

In order to form the desired matrix, we must zero elements (2,1) and (3,2). We first select element (2,1) to zero. Using a rotation matrix of:
$$G_1 = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s & 0 \\ s & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have the following matrix multiplication:

$$A_1 = G_1 \cdot A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s & 0 \\ s & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

(11)

Here, a = 6, b = 5 and we can compute r, c, s as:

$$r = \sqrt{6^2 + 5^2} = 7.8102$$

$$c = 6/r = 0.7682$$

$$s = -5/r = -0.6402$$

Plugging in (11) computed values for c and s and performing the matrix multiplication (11) we get:

	7.8102 [°]	<i>4.</i> 4813	2.5607
$A_1 =$	0	-2.4327	3.0729
	0	4	3

We now want to zero element (3,2) to finish off the process. Using the same idea as before, we have a rotation matrix of:

$$\mathsf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & -s \\ 0 & s & c \end{bmatrix}$$

We have to do the following matrix multiplication:

$$A_{2} = G_{2} \cdot A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & -s \\ 0 & s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7.8102 & 4.4813 & 2.5607 \\ 0 & -2.4327 & 3.0729 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

with a = -2.4327, b = 4. Thus, we can compute new r, c, s:

$$r = \sqrt{(-2.4327)^2 + 4^2} = 4.6817 \tag{13}$$

$$c = -2.4327/r = -0.5196 \tag{14}$$

$$s = -4/r = -0.8544$$
 (15)

Plugging in (12) these values for c and s and performing the multiplications gives us a new matrix:

$$R = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 7.8102 & 4.4813 & 2.5607 \\ 0 & 4.6817 & 0.9664 \\ 0 & 0 & -4.1843 \end{bmatrix}$$

Calculating the QR decomposition

Example

This new matrix R is the upper triangular matrix needed to perform an iteration of the QR decomposition. Q is now formed using the transpose of the rotation matrices in the following manner: $Q = G_1^T G_2^T$ We note that $G_2G_1A = R$ $\mathbf{G}_1^T \mathbf{G}_2^T \mathbf{G}_2 \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{G}_1^T \mathbf{G}_2^T \mathbf{R}$ and thus $A = G_1^T G_2^T R = QR$ with $Q = G_1^T G_2^T$. Performing this matrix multiplication yields: $\mathsf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7682 & 0.3327 & 0.5470 \\ 0.6402 & -0.3992 & -0.6564 \\ 0 & 0.8544 & -0.5196 \end{bmatrix}$

Obtain QR decomposition of the matrix A

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

using Given's rotation. Hint:

$$\begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We directly seek c, s, and r:

$$r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \tag{16}$$

$$c = a/r \tag{17}$$

$$s = -b/r. \tag{18}$$

To obtain QR decomposition of the matrix A

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

using Given's rotation we have to zero out (2,1) and (3,2) elements of the matrix A.

1. First, we zero out element (2,1) of the matrix A.

To do that we compute c, s from the known a = 4 and b = 3 as

$$\begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

to get:

$$r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{4^2 + 3^2} = 5,$$

$$c = a/r = 0.8,$$

$$s = -b/r = -0.6.$$

or

The first Given's matrix will be

$$\label{eq:G1} \begin{split} \textbf{G_1} &= \begin{bmatrix} c & -s & 0 \\ s & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{or} \\ \textbf{G_1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.6 & 0 \\ -0.6 & 0.8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{Then} \\ \textbf{G_1} \cdot \textbf{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

2. Next step is to construct second Given's matrix G_2 in order to zero out (3, 2) element of the matrix $G_1 \cdot A$. To do that we compute c, s from the known a = 0 and b = 4 as

$$\begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

to get formulas:

$$r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{0^2 + 4^2} = 4,$$

$$c = \frac{a}{r} = 0,$$

$$s = \frac{-b}{r} = -1.$$

Thus, the second Given's matrix will be

$$\mathbf{G_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & -s \\ 0 & s & c \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{G_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

or

Then upper triangular matrix R in the QR decomposition will be

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{G}_2 \cdot \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $A = G_1^T \cdot G_2^T \cdot R = QR$ will be QR decomposition of the matrix A with $Q = G_1^T \cdot G_2^T$ given by

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0 & 0.6 \\ 0.6 & 0 & -0.8 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



We will construct a lower triangular matrix using Given's rotation from the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Orthogonal matrices Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse

Given's matrix for j < k

function [G] = GivensMatrixLow(A, j,k)

$$a = A(k, k)$$

$$b = A(j, k)$$

$$r = sqrt(a^{2} + b^{2});$$

$$c = a/r;$$

$$s = -b/r;$$

$$G = eye(length(A));$$

$$G(j, j) = c;$$

$$G(k, k) = c;$$

$$G(j, k) = s;$$

$$G(k, j) = -s;$$

$$>>$$
G1up = GivensMatrixLow(A,2,3)

$$G1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.000000000000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.989949493661166 & -0.141421356237310 \\ 0 & 0.141421356237310 & 0.989949493661166 \end{bmatrix}$$

>> A1 = G1*A

 $A1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5.0000000000000 & 4.0000000000 & 3.000000000000 \\ 3.535533905932737 & 5.798275605729690 & -0.0000000000000 \\ 3.535533905932738 & 1.838477631085023 & 7.071067811865475 \end{bmatrix}$

>>G2 = GivensMatrixLow(A1,1,3)

 $G2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.920574617898323 & 0 & -0.390566732942472 \\ 0 & 1.00000000000 & 0 \\ 0.390566732942472 & 0 & 0.920574617898323 \end{bmatrix}$

>> A2 = G2*A1

 $A2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3.222011162644131 & 2.964250269632601 & -0.000000000000000\\ 3.535533905932737 & 5.798275605729690 & -0.00000000000000\\ 5.207556439232954 & 3.254722774520597 & 7.681145747868607 \end{bmatrix}$

>>G3 = GivensMatrixLow(A2,1,2)

$$G3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.890391914715406 & -0.455194725594918 & 0 \\ 0.455194725594918 & 0.890391914715406 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.0000000000000000 \end{bmatrix}$$

>> A3=G3*A2

 $A3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.259496302198541 & 0 & -0.0000000000000\\ 4.614653291088246 & 6.512048806713364 & -0.0000000000000\\ 5.207556439232954 & 3.254722774520597 & 7.681145747868607 \end{bmatrix}$

Rank-deficient Least Squares Problems

Proposition

Let A be m by n with $m \ge n$ and rank A = r < n. Then there is an n - r dimensional set of vectors that minimize $||Ax - b||_2$. **Proof**

Let Az = 0. Then of x minimizes $||Ax - b||_2$ then x + z also minimizes $||A(x + z) - b||_2$.

This means that the least-squares solution is not unique.

Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse for a full rank A

Definition

Suppose that A is m by n with m > n and has full rank with $A = QR = U\Sigma V^T$ being a QR and SVD decompositions of A, respectively. Then

$$A^+ \equiv (A^T A)^{-1} A^T = R^{-1} Q^T = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T$$

is called the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of A. If m < n then $A^+ \equiv A^T (AA^T)^{-1}$. The pseudoinverse of A allows write solution of the full-rank

overdetermined least squares problem as $x = A^+b$. If A is square and a full rank then this formula reduces to $x = A^{-1}b$. The A^+ is computed as *pinv*(A) in Matlab. -

$$A^{+} \equiv (A^{T} A)^{-1} A^{T} = ((QR)^{T} QR)^{-1} (QR)^{T} = (R^{T} Q^{T} QR)^{-1} (QR)^{T}$$
$$= (R^{T} R)^{-1} R^{T} Q^{T} = R^{-1} Q^{T};$$
$$A^{+} \equiv (A^{T} A)^{-1} A^{T} = ((U\Sigma V^{T})^{T} U\Sigma V^{T})^{-1} \cdot (U\Sigma V^{T})^{T}$$
$$= (V\Sigma U^{T} U\Sigma V^{T})^{-1} V\Sigma U^{T} = (V\Sigma^{2} V^{T})^{-1} V\Sigma U^{T} = V\Sigma^{-1} U^{T}$$

-

-

Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse for rank-deficient A

Definition

Suppose that A is m by n with m > n and is rank-deficient with rank r < n. Let $A = U\Sigma V^T = U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T$ being a SVD decompositions of A such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{A} = [\mathsf{U}_1, \, \mathcal{U}_2] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & | & 0 \\ \hline 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} [V_1, \, V_2]^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathcal{U}_1 \Sigma_1 \, \mathcal{V}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \text{Here, } size(\Sigma_1) = r \times r \text{ and is nonsingular, } \mathcal{U}_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ have } r \\ \text{columns. Then} \\ \mathcal{A}^+ \equiv \mathcal{V}_1 \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathcal{U}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \end{array}$$

is called the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse for rank-deficient A. The solution of the least-squares problem is always $x = A^+b$, when A is rank-deficient then x has minimum norm. The next proposition states that if A is nearly rank deficient then the solution x of Ax = b will be ill-conditioned and very large.

Proposition

Let $\sigma_{\min} > {\rm 0}$ is the smallest singular value of the nearly rank deficient A. Then

- 1. If x minimizes $||Ax b||_2$, then $||x||_2 \ge \frac{|u_n^T b|}{\sigma_{min}}$ where u_n is the last column of U in SVD decomposition of $A = U \Sigma V^T$.
- 2. Changing b to $b + \delta b$ can change x to $x + \delta x$ where $||\delta x||_2$ can be estimated as $\frac{||\delta b||_2}{\sigma_{min}}$, or the solution is very ill-conditioned.

Proof

1: We have that for the case of full-rank matrix A the solution of Ax = b is given by $x = (U\Sigma V^T)^{-1}b = V\Sigma^{-1}U^Tb$. The matrix $A^+ = V\Sigma^{-1}U^T$ is Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of A. Thus, we can write also this solution as $x = V\Sigma^{-1}U^Tb = A^+b$.

Then taking norms from both sides of above expression we have:

$$||x||_{2} = ||\Sigma^{-1}U^{T}b||_{2} \ge |(\Sigma^{-1}U^{T}b)_{n}| = \frac{|u_{n}^{T}b|}{\sigma_{min}},$$
(19)

where $|(\Sigma^{-1}U^T b)_n|$ is the n-th column of this product.

2. We apply now (19) for $||x + \delta x||$ instead of ||x|| to get:

$$||x + \delta x||_{2} = ||\Sigma^{-1}U^{T}(b + \delta b)||_{2} \ge |(\Sigma^{-1}U^{T}(b + \delta b))_{n}|$$

$$= \frac{|u_{n}^{T}(b + \delta b)|}{\sigma_{min}} = \frac{|u_{n}^{T}b + u_{n}^{T}\delta b|}{\sigma_{min}}.$$
 (20)

We observe that $\frac{|u_n^T b|}{\sigma_{min}} + \frac{|u_n^T \delta b|}{\sigma_{min}} \le ||x + \delta x||_2 \le ||x||_2 + ||\delta x||_2.$ Choosing δb parallel to u_n and applying again (19) for estimation of $||x||_2$ we have

$$|\delta x||_2 \ge \frac{||\delta b||_2}{\sigma_{\min}}.$$
(21)

In the next proposition we prove that the minimum norm solution x is unique and may be well-conditioned if the smallest nonzero singular value is not too small.

Proposition

When A is exactly singular, then x that minimize $||Ax - b||_2$ can be characterized as follows. Let $A = U\Sigma V^T$ have rank r < n. Write svd of A as

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{A} = [\mathsf{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] [V_1, V_2]^T = \mathcal{U}_1 \Sigma_1 \mathcal{V}_1^T \\ \text{Here, } size(\Sigma_1) = r \times r \text{ and is nonsingular, } \mathcal{U}_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ have } r \text{ columns.} \\ \text{Let } \sigma = \sigma_{\min}(\Sigma_1). Then \end{array}$

- 1. All solutions x can be written as $x = V_1 \Sigma_1^{-1} U_1^T + V_2 z$
- 2. The solution x has minimal norm $||x||_2$ when z = 0. Then $x = V_1 \Sigma_1^{-1} U_1^T$ and $||x||_2 \le \frac{||b||_2}{\sigma}$.
- 3. Changing b to $b + \delta b$ can change x as $\frac{||\delta b||_2}{\sigma}$.

Proof

We choose the matrix \tilde{U} such that $[U, \tilde{U}] = [U_1, U_2, \tilde{U}]$ be an $m \times m$ orthogonal matrix. Then

$$\begin{split} ||Ax - b||_{2}^{2} &= ||[U_{1}, U_{2}, \tilde{U}]^{T} (Ax - b)||_{2}^{2} \\ &= \left| \left| \begin{bmatrix} U_{1}^{T} \\ U_{2}^{T} \\ \tilde{U}^{T} \end{bmatrix} (U_{1} \Sigma_{1} V_{1}^{T} x - b) \right| \right|_{2}^{2} \\ &= ||[I^{r \times r}, O^{m \times (n-r)}, 0^{m \times m-n}]^{T} (\Sigma_{1} V_{1}^{T} x - [U_{1}, U_{2}, \tilde{U}]^{T} \cdot b)||_{2}^{2} \\ &= ||[\Sigma_{1} V_{1}^{T} x - U_{1}^{T} b; -U_{2}^{T} b; -\tilde{U}^{T} b]^{T}||_{2}^{2} \\ &= ||\Sigma_{1} V_{1}^{T} x - U_{1}^{T} b||_{2}^{2} + ||U_{2}^{T} b||_{2}^{2} + ||\tilde{U}^{T} b||_{2}^{2} \end{split}$$

1. Then $||Ax - b||_2$ is minimized when $\sum_1 V_1^T x - U_1^T b = 0$. We can also write that the vector $x = (\sum_1 V_1^T)^{-1} U_1^T b + V_2 z$ or $x = V_1 \sum_1^{-1} U_1^T b + V_2 z$ is also solution of this minimization problem, because $V_1^T V_2 z = 0$ since columns of V_1 and V_2 are orthogonal.

2. Since columns of V_1 and V_2 are orthogonal, then by Pythagorean theorem we have that $||x||_2^2 = ||V_1 \Sigma_1^{-1} U_1^T b||^2 + ||V_2 z||^2$ which is minimized for z = 0.

3. Changing b to δb in the expression above we have:

$$||V_{1}\Sigma_{1}^{-1}U_{1}^{T}\delta b||_{2} \leq ||V_{1}\Sigma_{1}^{-1}U_{1}^{T}||_{2} \cdot ||\delta b||_{2} = ||\Sigma_{1}^{-1}||_{2} \cdot ||\delta b||_{2} = \frac{||\delta b||_{2}}{\sigma},$$
(22)

where σ is smallest nonzero singular value of A. In this proof we used properties of the norm: $||QAZ||_2 = ||A||_2$ if Q, Z are orthogonal.

How to solve rank-deficient least squares problems using QR decomposition with pivoting

QR decomposition with pivoting is cheaper but can be less accurate than SVD technique for solution of rank-deficient least squares problems. If A has a rank r < n with independent r columns QR decomposition can look like that

$$A = QR = Q \cdot \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(23)

with nonzingular R_{11} is of the size $r \times r$ and R_{12} is of the size $r \times (n-r)$. We can try to get

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ 0 & R_{22} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (24)

where elements of R_{22} are very small and are of the order $\varepsilon ||A||_2$.

If we set $R_{22} = 0$ and choose $[Q, \tilde{Q}]$ which is square and orthogonal then we will minimize

$$\|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} Q^{T} \\ \tilde{Q}^{T} \end{bmatrix} (Ax - b) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} Q^{T} \\ \tilde{Q}^{T} \end{bmatrix} (QRx - b) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} Rx - Q^{T}b \\ - \tilde{Q}^{T}b \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \|Rx - Q^{T}b\|_{2}^{2} + \|\tilde{Q}^{T}b\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(25)

Here we again used properties of the norm: $||QAZ||_2 = ||A||_2$ if Q, Z are orthogonal.

Let us now decompose $Q = [Q_1, Q_2]$ with $x = [x_1, x_2]^T$ and

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(26)

such that equation (25) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1}^{\mathsf{T}}b \\ Q_{2}^{\mathsf{T}}b \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \|\tilde{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}b\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \|R_{11}x_{1} + R_{12}x_{2} - Q_{1}^{\mathsf{T}}b\|_{2}^{2} + \|Q_{2}^{\mathsf{T}}b\|_{2}^{2} + \|\tilde{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}b\|_{2}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(27)

We take now derivative with respect to x to get $(||Ax - b||_2^2)'_x = 0$. We see that minimum is achieved when

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}^{-1}(Q_1^T b - R_{12}x_2) \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(28)

for any vector x_2 . If R_{11} is well-conditioned and $R_{11}^{-1}R_{12}$ is small than the choice $x_2 = 0$ will be good one.

The described method is not reliable for all rank-deficient least squares problems. This is because R can be nearly rank deficient for the case when no R_{22} is small. In this case can help QR decomposition with column pivoting: we factorize AP = QR with permutation matrix P. To compute this permutation we do as follows:

1. In all columns from 1 to n at step i we select from the unfinished decomposition of part A in columns i to n and rows i to m the column with largest norm and exchange it with i-th column.

2. Then compute usual Householder transformation to zero out column i in entries i + 1 to m.

Recent research is devoted to more advanced algorithms called rank-revealing QR algorithms which detects rank more faster and more efficient.

C. Bischof, Incremental condition estimation, *SIAM J.Matrix Anal.Appl.*, 11:312-322, 1990.

T.Chan, Rank revealing QR factorizations, *Linear Algebra Applications*, 88/89:67-82, 1987.

Nonsymmetric eigenvalue problems

- The algorithms for the eigenproblem can be divided into two groups: direct methods and iterative methods.
- We will consider only direct methods for computation of all eigenvalues and possibly, all eigenvectors (not iterative). However, we will still iterate. Typically used on dense matrices. Direct since the method never fails to converge.
- Main direct method is QR iteration. No global convergence proof for this method.
- Iterative methods are applied to sparse matrices.
- Algorithms will involve transforming the matrix A into canonical forms. From these forms is easy to compute eigenvalues.

Canonical Forms

DEFINITION. The polynomial $p(\lambda) = det(A - \lambda I)$ is called the *characteristic polynomial of A*. The roots of $p(\lambda) = 0$ are the eigenvalues of *A*.

Since the degree of the characteristic polynomial $p(\lambda)$ equals *n*, the dimension of *A*, it has *n* roots, so *A* has *n* eigenvalues.

DEFINITION. A nonzero vector x satisfying $Ax = \lambda x$ is a *(right)* eigenvector for the eigenvalue λ . A nonzero vector y such that $y^*A = \lambda y^*$ is a *left eigenvector*. (Recall that $y^* = (\bar{y})^T$ is the conjugate transpose of y.) DEFINITION. Let S be any nonsingular matrix. Then A and $B = S^{-1}AS$ are called *similar* matrices, and S is a similarity transformation. PROPOSITION. Let $B = S^{-1}AS$, so A and B are similar. Then A and B have the same eigenvalues, and x (or y) is a right (or left) eigenvector of A if and only if $S^{-1}x$ (or S^*y) is a right (or left) eigenvector of B.

Proof. Using the fact that $det(X \cdot Y) = det(X) \cdot det(Y)$ for any square matrices X and Y, we can write

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det(S^{-1}(A - \lambda I)S) = \det(B - \lambda I).$$

So *A* and *B* have the same characteristic polynomials. $Ax = \lambda x$ holds if and only if $\underbrace{S^{-1}AS}_{B} \underbrace{S^{-1}x}_{x^*} = \lambda \underbrace{S^{-1}x}_{x^*}$ or $B(S^{-1}x) = \lambda(S^{-1}x)$. Similarly, $y^*A = \lambda y^*$ if and only if $y^*SS^{-1}AS = \lambda y^*S$ or $(S^*y)^*B = \lambda(S^*y)^*$. \Box THEOREM. Jordan canonical form. Given A, there exists a nonsingular S such that $S^{-1}AS = J$, where J is in Jordan canonical form. This means that J is block diagonal, with $J = diag(J_{n_1}(\lambda_1), J_{n_2}(\lambda_2), \dots, J_{n_k}(\lambda_k))$ and

$$J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) = \left[egin{array}{cccc} \lambda_i & 1 & & 0 \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ & & \ddots & 1 \ 0 & & & \lambda_i \end{array}
ight]^{n_i imes n_i}$$

J is unique, up to permutations of its diagonal blocks.

For a proof of this theorem, see a book on linear algebra such as [F. Gantmacher. The Theory of Matrices, vol. II (translation). Chelsea, New York, 1959] or [P. Halmos. Finite Dimensional Vector Spaces. Van Nostrand, New York, 1958].

- Each J_m(λ) is called a Jordan block with eigenvalue λ of algebraic multiplicity m.
- If some n_i = 1, and λ_i is an eigenvalue of only that one Jordan block, then λ_i is called a *simple eigenvalue*.
- If all n_i = 1, so that J is diagonal, A is called *diagonalizable*; otherwise it is called *defective*.
- An n-by-n defective matrix does not have *n* eigenvectors. Although defective matrices are "rare" in a certain well-defined sense, the fact that some matrices do not have *n* eigenvectors is a fundamental fact confronting anyone designing algorithms to compute eigenvectors and eigenvalues.
- Symmetric matrices are never defective.

PROPOSITION.

- A Jordan block has one right eigenvector, e₁ = [1, 0, ..., 0]^T, and one left eigenvector, e_n = [0, ..., 0, 1]^T.
- Therefore, a matrix has *n* eigenvectors matching its *n* eigenvalues if and only if it is diagonalizable.
- In this case, $S^{-1}AS = diag(\lambda_i)$. This is equivalent to $AS = S diag(\lambda_i)$, so the i-th column of S is a right eigenvector for λ_i .
- It is also equivalent to $S^{-1}A = diag(\lambda_i)S^{-1}$, so the conjugate transpose of the ith row of S^{-1} is a left eigenvector for λ_i .
- If all *n* eigenvalues of a matrix *A* are distinct, then *A* is diagonalizable.

Proof. Let $J = J_m(\lambda)$ for ease of notation. It is easy to see $Je_1 = \lambda e_1$ and $e_n^T J = \lambda e_n^T$, so e_1 and e_n are right and left eigenvectors of J, respectively. To see that J has only one right eigenvector (up to scalar multiples), note that any eigenvector x must satisfy $(J - \lambda I)x = 0$, so xis in the null space of

$$J - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

But the null space of $J - \lambda I$ is clearly span (e_1) , so there is just one eigenvector. If all eigenvalues of A are distinct, then all its Jordan blocks must be 1-by-1, so $J = diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is diagonal. \Box