

Explicit and nonlinear variants of Bourgain's projection theorem

Pablo Shmerkin

Department of Mathematics
Univ. of British Columbia
(on leave, T. Di Tella University and CONICET)

UCLA-Caltech joint Analysis seminar, April 6, 2021

The “dimension of projections” problem

Problem

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel set of Hausdorff dimension $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and let $E \subset S^1$ be a Borel set of directions of Hausdorff dimension $\eta \in (0, 1]$.

What can we say about $\dim_H(P_\theta A)$ for “typical” $\theta \in E$?

In other words, we want to compute

$$s(\alpha, \eta) = \sup\{s : \dim_H\{\theta : \dim_H(P_\theta A) < s\} \leq \eta\}.$$

The “dimension of projections” problem

Problem

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel set of Hausdorff dimension $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and let $E \subset S^1$ be a Borel set of directions of Hausdorff dimension $\eta \in (0, 1]$.

What can we say about $\dim_H(P_\theta A)$ for “typical” $\theta \in E$?

In other words, we want to compute

$$s(\alpha, \eta) = \sup\{s : \dim_H\{\theta : \dim_H(P_\theta A) < s\} \leq \eta\}.$$

- This can be seen as some sort of Szemerédi-Trotter problem for Hausdorff dimension.

The “dimension of projections” problem

Problem

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel set of Hausdorff dimension $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and let $E \subset S^1$ be a Borel set of directions of Hausdorff dimension $\eta \in (0, 1]$.

What can we say about $\dim_{\text{H}}(P_{\theta}A)$ for “typical” $\theta \in E$?

In other words, we want to compute

$$s(\alpha, \eta) = \sup\{s : \dim_{\text{H}}\{\theta : \dim_{\text{H}}(P_{\theta}A) < s\} \leq \eta\}.$$

- This can be seen as some sort of Szemerédi-Trotter problem for Hausdorff dimension.
- Trivial: $s(\alpha, \eta) \leq \max(\alpha, 1)$, since projections do not increase Hausdorff dimension and the dimension of a subset of the line is ≤ 1 .

The “dimension of projections” problem

Problem

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel set of Hausdorff dimension $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and let $E \subset S^1$ be a Borel set of directions of Hausdorff dimension $\eta \in (0, 1]$.

What can we say about $\dim_{\text{H}}(P_{\theta}A)$ for “typical” $\theta \in E$?

In other words, we want to compute

$$s(\alpha, \eta) = \sup\{s : \dim_{\text{H}}\{\theta : \dim_{\text{H}}(P_{\theta}A) < s\} \leq \eta\}.$$

- This can be seen as some sort of Szemerédi-Trotter problem for Hausdorff dimension.
- Trivial: $s(\alpha, \eta) \leq \max(\alpha, 1)$, since projections do not increase Hausdorff dimension and the dimension of a subset of the line is ≤ 1 .
- Also trivial: $s(\alpha, \eta)$ is non-decreasing in α and η .

Classical results on dimensions of projections

Theorem (Kaufman 1968)

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \min(\alpha, \eta).$$

Classical results on dimensions of projections

Theorem (Kaufman 1968)

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \min(\alpha, \eta).$$

Theorem (Falconer 1982)

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \alpha + \eta - 1 \text{ assuming } \alpha + \eta \leq 2.$$

Classical results on dimensions of projections

Theorem (Kaufman 1968)

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \min(\alpha, \eta).$$

Theorem (Falconer 1982)

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \alpha + \eta - 1 \text{ assuming } \alpha + \eta \leq 2.$$

Theorem (Folklore, D. Oberlin 2012)

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \alpha/2 \text{ (if } \eta > 0\text{)}.$$

Classical results on dimensions of projections

Theorem (Kaufman 1968)

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \min(\alpha, \eta).$$

Theorem (Falconer 1982)

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \alpha + \eta - 1 \text{ assuming } \alpha + \eta \leq 2.$$

Theorem (Folklore, D. Oberlin 2012)

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \alpha/2 \text{ (if } \eta > 0\text{)}.$$

Observation

All three estimates yield $s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2$.

Bourgain's projection theorem, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}$ version

Theorem (Bourgain 2010)

If $\eta > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, then

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} + c(\alpha, \eta)$$

for some $c(\alpha, \eta) > 0$. In particular,

$$s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2 + c.$$

Bourgain's projection theorem, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}$ version

Theorem (Bourgain 2010)

If $\eta > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, then

$$s(\alpha, \eta) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} + c(\alpha, \eta)$$

for some $c(\alpha, \eta) > 0$. In particular,

$$s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2 + c.$$

Remark

The conjecture, based partly on Szemerédi-Trotter heuristics, is that s is linear in η ; in particular, $s(1, 1/2) = 3/4$.

The Erdős-Volkmann conjecture

Proposition (Erdős-Volkmann 1966)

There are Borel subgroups of the reals of every Hausdorff dimension in $[0, 1]$.

The Erdős-Volkmann conjecture

Proposition (Erdős-Volkmann 1966)

There are Borel subgroups of the reals of every Hausdorff dimension in $[0, 1]$.

Conjecture (Erdős-Volkmann 1966)

*If B is a Borel **subring** of the reals, then $\dim_{\text{H}}(B) \in \{0, 1\}$.*

The Erdős-Volkmann conjecture

Proposition (Erdős-Volkmann 1966)

There are Borel subgroups of the reals of every Hausdorff dimension in $[0, 1]$.

Conjecture (Erdős-Volkmann 1966)

*If B is a Borel **subring** of the reals, then $\dim_{\text{H}}(B) \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Theorem (Edgard-Miller 2003, Bourgain 2003)

The Erdős-Volkmann conjecture holds.

The Erdős-Volkmann conjecture

Proposition (Erdős-Volkmann 1966)

There are Borel subgroups of the reals of every Hausdorff dimension in $[0, 1]$.

Conjecture (Erdős-Volkmann 1966)

*If B is a Borel **subring** of the reals, then $\dim_{\text{H}}(B) \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Theorem (Edgard-Miller 2003, Bourgain 2003)

The Erdős-Volkmann conjecture holds.

Observation

In fact, this is immediate from Bourgain's projection theorem: since $\eta(\dim_{\text{H}}(B \times B), \dim_{\text{H}}(B)) > \dim_{\text{H}}(B)$, there is $b \in B$ such that

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(B + bB) > \dim_{\text{H}}(B).$$

δ -discretized projections: applications

- Bourgain's Projection Theorem is deduced from a δ -discretized “single-scale” version.

δ -discretized projections: applications

- Bourgain's Projection Theorem is deduced from a δ -discretized “single-scale” version.
- It is the discretized version that gets used in applications:

δ -discretized projections: applications

- Bourgain's Projection Theorem is deduced from a δ -discretized “single-scale” version.
- It is the discretized version that gets used in applications:
 - ▶ **Dimensions of Furstenberg(-type) sets** (N. Katz-T. Tao, T. Orponen, K. Héra-P.S.-A. Yavicoli, P.S.).

δ -discretized projections: applications

- Bourgain's Projection Theorem is deduced from a δ -discretized “single-scale” version.
- It is the discretized version that gets used in applications:
 - ▶ **Dimensions of Furstenberg(-type) sets** (N. Katz-T. Tao, T. Orponen, K. Héra-P.S.-A. Yavicoli, P.S.).
 - ▶ **Kekeya sets in \mathbb{R}^3 have dimension $\geq 5/2 + \varepsilon$** (N. Katz and J. Zahl).

δ -discretized projections: applications

- Bourgain's Projection Theorem is deduced from a δ -discretized “single-scale” version.
- It is the discretized version that gets used in applications:
 - ▶ **Dimensions of Furstenberg(-type) sets** (N. Katz-T. Tao, T. Orponen, K. Héra-P.S.-A. Yavicoli, P.S.).
 - ▶ **Kekeya sets in \mathbb{R}^3 have dimension $\geq 5/2 + \varepsilon$** (N. Katz and J. Zahl).
 - ▶ **Quantitative equidistribution of orbits for non-Abelian semigroups on tori** (J. Bourgain, A. Furman, E. Lindenstrauss, S. Mozes).

δ -discretized projections: applications

- Bourgain's Projection Theorem is deduced from a δ -discretized “single-scale” version.
- It is the discretized version that gets used in applications:
 - ▶ **Dimensions of Furstenberg(-type) sets** (N. Katz-T. Tao, T. Orponen, K. Héra-P.S.-A. Yavicoli, P.S.).
 - ▶ **Kekeya sets in \mathbb{R}^3 have dimension $\geq 5/2 + \varepsilon$** (N. Katz and J. Zahl).
 - ▶ **Quantitative equidistribution of orbits for non-Abelian semigroups on tori** (J. Bourgain, A. Furman, E. Lindenstrauss, S. Mozes).
 - ▶ **Estimates on exponential sums, Fourier decay in nonlinear dynamics** (J. Bourgain, S. Dyatlov).

δ -discretized projections: applications

- Bourgain's Projection Theorem is deduced from a δ -discretized “single-scale” version.
- It is the discretized version that gets used in applications:
 - ▶ **Dimensions of Furstenberg(-type) sets** (N. Katz-T. Tao, T. Orponen, K. Héra-P.S.-A. Yavicoli, P.S.).
 - ▶ **Kekeya sets in \mathbb{R}^3 have dimension $\geq 5/2 + \varepsilon$** (N. Katz and J. Zahl).
 - ▶ **Quantitative equidistribution of orbits for non-Abelian semigroups on tori** (J. Bourgain, A. Furman, E. Lindenstrauss, S. Mozes).
 - ▶ **Estimates on exponential sums, Fourier decay in nonlinear dynamics** (J. Bourgain, S. Dyatlov).
 - ▶ **Discretized Elekes-Rónyai and dimension-expanding polynomials** (O. Raz, J. Zahl).

δ -discretized projections: the setting

- Let $A \subset B^2(0, 1)$ be δ -separated with $|A| = \delta^{-\alpha}$.

δ -discretized projections: the setting

- Let $A \subset B^2(0, 1)$ be δ -separated with $|A| = \delta^{-\alpha}$.
- Let $E \subset S^1$ be δ -separated with $|E| = \delta^{-\eta}$.

δ -discretized projections: the setting

- Let $A \subset B^2(0, 1)$ be δ -separated with $|A| = \delta^{-\alpha}$.
- Let $E \subset S^1$ be δ -separated with $|E| = \delta^{-\eta}$.
- Let $|\cdot|_\delta$ denote the covering number by balls/intervals of radius δ .

δ -discretized projections: the setting

- Let $A \subset B^2(0, 1)$ be δ -separated with $|A| = \delta^{-\alpha}$.
- Let $E \subset S^1$ be δ -separated with $|E| = \delta^{-\eta}$.
- Let $|\cdot|_\delta$ denote the covering number by balls/intervals of radius δ .
- **What can we say about $|\pi_\theta A|_\delta$ for a typical $\theta \in E$?**

δ -discretized projections: the setting

- Let $A \subset B^2(0, 1)$ be δ -separated with $|A| = \delta^{-\alpha}$.
- Let $E \subset S^1$ be δ -separated with $|E| = \delta^{-\eta}$.
- Let $|\cdot|_\delta$ denote the covering number by balls/intervals of radius δ .
- **What can we say about $|\pi_\theta A|_\delta$ for a typical $\theta \in E$?**
- Without additional assumptions, not much!

δ -discretized projections: the theorem

Theorem (Bourgain 2010)

Given $\eta > 0$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, there exist $\varepsilon(\alpha, \eta) > 0$ and $\tau(\alpha, \eta) > 0$ such that the following holds.

Let $A \subset B^2(0, 1)$, $|A|_\delta = \delta^{-\alpha}$, and let $E \subset S^1$ satisfy

$$|A \cap B(x, r)|_\delta \leq \delta^{-\tau} r^\eta |A|_\delta \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2, r \in [\delta, 1],$$

$$|E \cap B(\theta, r)|_\delta \leq \delta^{-\tau} r^\eta |E|_\delta \quad \text{for all } \theta \in S^1, r \in [\delta, 1].$$

Then there is $\theta \in E$ such that for any set $A' \subset A$ with $|A'|_\delta \geq \delta^\tau |A|_\delta$, one has

$$|P_\theta A'|_\delta \geq \delta^{-\alpha/2-\varepsilon} = \delta^{-\varepsilon} |A|_\delta^{1/2}.$$

In fact, this holds for all $\theta \in E$ outside of a set E' with $|E'|_\delta \leq \delta^\tau |E|_\delta$.

δ -discretized projections: remarks

- It is crucial in applications (and the proof of the Hausdorff dimension version) that **the same angle θ works simultaneously for all subsets $A' \subset A$ of relative size $\geq \delta^\tau$.**

δ -discretized projections: remarks

- It is crucial in applications (and the proof of the Hausdorff dimension version) that **the same angle θ works simultaneously for all subsets $A' \subset A$ of relative size $\geq \delta^\tau$.**
- The non-concentration assumptions on A and E rule out the possibility that they are too concentrated in a square/interval of size $\delta^{1/2}$ (in fact, they say that they are not too concentrated in a square/interval of size δ^ρ , where $\rho > 0$ is not explicit). It seems plausible that $\rho = 1/2$ should work. Spoiler alert: $\rho = 1/2$ works for A .

δ -discretized projections: remarks

- It is crucial in applications (and the proof of the Hausdorff dimension version) that **the same angle θ works simultaneously for all subsets $A' \subset A$ of relative size $\geq \delta^\tau$.**
- The non-concentration assumptions on A and E rule out the possibility that they are too concentrated in a square/interval of size $\delta^{1/2}$ (in fact, they say that they are not too concentrated in a square/interval of size δ^ρ , where $\rho > 0$ is not explicit). It seems plausible that $\rho = 1/2$ should work. Spoiler alert: $\rho = 1/2$ works for A .
- On the other hand, the non-concentration assumption on A is still quite weak: the set A doesn't have to look like the discretization of a fractal.

Beyond Bourgain's (proof of the) projection theorem

- The proof of Bourgain's projection theorem is quite complicated.

Beyond Bourgain's (proof of the) projection theorem

- The proof of Bourgain's projection theorem is quite complicated.
- The proof is effective, but tracking the dependencies is quite cumbersome, and it is clear that one would end up with tiny numbers that also have poor qualitative dependence on α and η .

Beyond Bourgain's (proof of the) projection theorem

- The proof of Bourgain's projection theorem is quite complicated.
- The proof is effective, but tracking the dependencies is quite cumbersome, and it is clear that one would end up with tiny numbers that also have poor qualitative dependence on α and η .
- The proof seems to depend strongly on the linear nature of the projections, but some well-known problems would benefit from a non-linear analog.

Beyond Bourgain's (proof of the) projection theorem

- The proof of Bourgain's projection theorem is quite complicated.
- The proof is effective, but tracking the dependencies is quite cumbersome, and it is clear that one would end up with tiny numbers that also have poor qualitative dependence on α and η .
- The proof seems to depend strongly on the linear nature of the projections, but some well-known problems would benefit from a non-linear analog.

Remark

M. Hochman-E. Lindenstrauss-P. Varjú have work in progress that yields, using totally different methods, explicit estimates for a related problem (using entropy instead of dimension). They have very good qualitative dependence on the parameters, but the constants are still tiny.

A simple(r) proof of Bourgain's projection theorem

- In joint work in progress with H. Wang, we obtain a fairly short and elementary self-contained proof of Bourgain's projection theorem.

A simple(r) proof of Bourgain's projection theorem

- In joint work in progress with H. Wang, we obtain a fairly short and elementary self-contained proof of Bourgain's projection theorem.
- Guth-Katz-Zahl (2018) obtained a quantitative and elementary proof of a discretized sum product estimate: if $|E|_\delta = \delta^{-\eta}$, with $s \in (0, 1)$, then under a suitable non-concentration assumption on E at scale δ , either $|E + E|_\delta \geq |E|_\delta^{1+c}$ or $|E \cdot E|_\delta \geq |E|_\delta^{1+c}$, for some explicit $c = c(\eta) > 0$.

A simple(r) proof of Bourgain's projection theorem

- In joint work in progress with H. Wang, we obtain a fairly short and elementary self-contained proof of Bourgain's projection theorem.
- Guth-Katz-Zahl (2018) obtained a quantitative and elementary proof of a discretized sum product estimate: if $|E|_\delta = \delta^{-\eta}$, with $s \in (0, 1)$, then under a suitable non-concentration assumption on E at scale δ , either $|E + E|_\delta \geq |E|_\delta^{1+c}$ or $|E \cdot E|_\delta \geq |E|_\delta^{1+c}$, for some explicit $c = c(\eta) > 0$.
- We use some of the ideas of Guth-Katz-Zahl and combine them with a small part of Bourgain's argument. A new idea is a bootstrapping argument to show that projections of A satisfy the non-concentration estimates needed to apply a generalized sum-product estimate derived from (the proof of) Guth-Katz-Zahl.

A simple(r) proof of Bourgain's projection theorem

- In joint work in progress with H. Wang, we obtain a fairly short and elementary self-contained proof of Bourgain's projection theorem.
- Guth-Katz-Zahl (2018) obtained a quantitative and elementary proof of a discretized sum product estimate: if $|E|_\delta = \delta^{-\eta}$, with $s \in (0, 1)$, then under a suitable non-concentration assumption on E at scale δ , either $|E + E|_\delta \geq |E|_\delta^{1+c}$ or $|E \cdot E|_\delta \geq |E|_\delta^{1+c}$, for some explicit $c = c(\eta) > 0$.
- We use some of the ideas of Guth-Katz-Zahl and combine them with a small part of Bourgain's argument. A new idea is a bootstrapping argument to show that projections of A satisfy the non-concentration estimates needed to apply a generalized sum-product estimate derived from (the proof of) Guth-Katz-Zahl.
- This approach is fully quantitative but the resulting estimates are very poor unless $\eta \approx \alpha/2$.

An effective projection theorem for $\alpha \approx 2\eta$

Theorem (P.S. and H. Wang 2021?, special case)

We have

$$s(1, 0.4996) \geq 1/2 + 1/500,$$

$$s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2 + 1/250.$$

In other words, if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a Borel set with $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) \geq 1$ and $E \subset S^1$, then

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(E) > 0.4996 \implies \dim_{\text{H}}(P_{\theta}A) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{500}$$

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(E) > 1/2 \implies \dim_{\text{H}}(P_{\theta}A) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{250}$$

for some (in fact “nearly all”) $\theta \in E$.

Remarks on effective projection theorem

- Recall that $s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2$ follows from three different classical results and $s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon$ from Bourgain's projection theorem. We provide an explicit value $\varepsilon = 1/250$.

Remarks on effective projection theorem

- Recall that $s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2$ follows from three different classical results and $s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon$ from Bourgain's projection theorem. We provide an explicit value $\varepsilon = 1/250$.
- Arguably the more interesting result is $s(1, 0.4995) > 1/2 + 1/500$ since in particular it covers the case when the set of projections has dimension = $1/2$ which is known to be much trickier (and an explicit interval to the left of $1/2$).

Remarks on effective projection theorem

- Recall that $s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2$ follows from three different classical results and $s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon$ from Bourgain's projection theorem. We provide an explicit value $\varepsilon = 1/250$.
- Arguably the more interesting result is $s(1, 0.4995) > 1/2 + 1/500$ since in particular it covers the case when the set of projections has dimension = $1/2$ which is known to be much trickier (and an explicit interval to the left of $1/2$).
- We also get (worse but still quantitative) estimates for $s(\alpha, \eta)$ when $\alpha \approx 2\eta$ and η is small.

Remarks on effective projection theorem

- Recall that $s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2$ follows from three different classical results and $s(1, 1/2) \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon$ from Bourgain's projection theorem. We provide an explicit value $\varepsilon = 1/250$.
- Arguably the more interesting result is $s(1, 0.4995) > 1/2 + 1/500$ since in particular it covers the case when the set of projections has dimension = $1/2$ which is known to be much trickier (and an explicit interval to the left of $1/2$).
- We also get (worse but still quantitative) estimates for $s(\alpha, \eta)$ when $\alpha \approx 2\eta$ and η is small.
- I stated the Hausdorff dimension version for simplicity, but in fact this is a corollary of a fully effective version of Bourgain's projection theorem under the original assumptions.

Some ideas in the proof I

- We say that X is a (δ, s) -set if

$$|X \cap B_r|_\delta \lesssim r^s |X|_\delta$$

for $r \in [\delta, 1]$. So X looks like a set of dimension s at scale δ .

Some ideas in the proof I

- We say that X is a (δ, s) -set if

$$|X \cap B_r|_\delta \lesssim r^s |X|_\delta$$

for $r \in [\delta, 1]$. So X looks like a set of dimension s at scale δ .

- Fix a small scale δ and let $E \subset [1, 2]$ be a $(\delta, 1/2)$ -set.

Some ideas in the proof I

- We say that X is a (δ, s) -set if

$$|X \cap B_r|_\delta \lesssim r^s |X|_\delta$$

for $r \in [\delta, 1]$. So X looks like a set of dimension s at scale δ .

- Fix a small scale δ and let $E \subset [1, 2]$ be a $(\delta, 1/2)$ -set.
- By Bourgain's projection theorem, there is $x \in E$ such that $E + xE$ contains a $(\delta, 1/2 + c)$ -set F .

Some ideas in the proof I

- We say that X is a (δ, s) -set if

$$|X \cap B_r|_\delta \lesssim r^s |X|_\delta$$

for $r \in [\delta, 1]$. So X looks like a set of dimension s at scale δ .

- Fix a small scale δ and let $E \subset [1, 2]$ be a $(\delta, 1/2)$ -set.
- By Bourgain's projection theorem, there is $x \in E$ such that $E + xE$ contains a $(\delta, 1/2 + c)$ -set F .
- Now $(-E) \times (-E)$ is a $(\delta, 1)$ -set and $E \times F$ is a $(\delta, 1 + c)$ -set. By a theorem of T. Orponen, the set of directions spanned by $(-E \times -E)$ and $E \times F$ has Lebesgue measure $\gtrsim 1$.

Some ideas in the proof II

- Now fix a $(\delta, 1/2)$ -set B . By Kaufman's (or Marstrand's) Theorem, there is a direction θ spanned by $(-E) \times (-E)$ and $F \times E$ such that

$$|P_\theta(B \times B)|_\delta \gtrsim \delta^{-1}.$$

Some ideas in the proof II

- Now fix a $(\delta, 1/2)$ -set B . By Kaufman's (or Marstrand's) Theorem, there is a direction θ spanned by $(-E) \times (-E)$ and $F \times E$ such that

$$|P_\theta(B \times B)|_\delta \gtrsim \delta^{-1}.$$

- Since $F \subset E + xE$, there are $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5 \in E$ such that

$$|(xy_1 + y_2 + y_3)B + (y_4 + y_5)B|_\delta \gtrsim \delta^{-1}.$$

Some ideas in the proof II

- Now fix a $(\delta, 1/2)$ -set B . By Kaufman's (or Marstrand's) Theorem, there is a direction θ spanned by $(-E) \times (-E)$ and $F \times E$ such that

$$|P_\theta(B \times B)|_\delta \gtrsim \delta^{-1}.$$

- Since $F \subset E + xE$, there are $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5 \in E$ such that

$$|(xy_1 + y_2 + y_3)B + (y_4 + y_5)B|_\delta \gtrsim \delta^{-1}.$$

- Plünnecke-Ruzsa gives the claim for product sets $A = B \times B'$; the general case is obtained by following Bourgain's argument.

Nonlinear Bourgain: the problem

Question

Let $\{\Delta_\omega : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ be a family of smooth maps, where the index set Ω is a metric space. What assumptions on the family give rise to an analog of Bourgain's projection theorem, that is, to the statement that for ω outside of a set of small dimension η ,

$$\dim_H(\Delta_\omega A) \geq \frac{\dim_H(A)}{2} + \varepsilon(\dim_H(A), \eta) ?$$

Nonlinear Bourgain: the problem

Question

Let $\{\Delta_\omega : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ be a family of smooth maps, where the index set Ω is a metric space. What assumptions on the family give rise to an analog of Bourgain's projection theorem, that is, to the statement that for ω outside of a set of small dimension η ,

$$\dim_H(\Delta_\omega A) \geq \frac{\dim_H(A)}{2} + \varepsilon(\dim_H(A), \eta) ?$$

Remark

Unlike e.g. Kaufman's/Marstrand's projection theorem, that has been generalized to quite general families satisfying a "transversality" assumption, Bourgain's proof appears to be intrinsically linear.

Nonlinear Bourgain: motivation

Motivation

An important problem in analysis/geometric measure theory/combinatorics is “counting patterns” in a set in terms of its Hausdorff dimension.

Key examples are *distances* and *radial projections* corresponding to the families:

$$\Delta_y(x) = |x - y|,$$

$$\Delta_y(x) = N(x - y), \quad N \text{ some smooth norm,}$$

$$\Delta_y(x) = \frac{x - y}{|x - y|}.$$

(In all these cases, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$.)

Nonlinear Bourgain's Projection Thm, \dim_H version

Theorem (P.S. 2020)

Given $0 < \alpha < 2$, $0 < \eta < 1$, there is $\varepsilon(\alpha, \eta) > 0$ such that the following holds:

Let $\{\Delta_\omega : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ be a family of C^2 maps with no singular points. Let

$$\theta_x(\omega) = \text{dir}(\Delta'_\omega(x)) : \Omega \rightarrow S^1.$$

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel with $\dim_H(A) = \alpha$, and suppose that there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\nu(\theta_x^{-1}(B(\theta, r))) \leq C_x r^\eta$$

for all $x \in A$. Then for ν -almost all $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\dim_H(\Delta_\omega A) \geq \frac{\dim_H(A)}{2} + \varepsilon(\alpha, \eta).$$

Nonlinear Bourgain's Projection Thm: remarks

- When Δ_ω is projection in direction ω , θ_x is the identity map and this reduces back to Bourgain's projection theorem.

Nonlinear Bourgain's Projection Thm: remarks

- When Δ_ω is projection in direction ω , θ_x is the identity map and this reduces back to Bourgain's projection theorem.
- There is a corresponding discretized single-scale analog, which again should be considered as the base result.

Nonlinear Bourgain's Projection Thm: remarks

- When Δ_ω is projection in direction ω , θ_x is the identity map and this reduces back to Bourgain's projection theorem.
- There is a corresponding discretized single-scale analog, which again should be considered as the base result.
- In fact, in the discretized version I am able to weaken the non-concentration assumption on A to the natural one: recall that in Bourgain's thm, the assumption was (essentially)

$$|A \cap B_{\delta^\rho}|_\delta \leq \delta^\eta |A|_\delta$$

where η is any positive number and $\rho = \rho(\eta) > 0$ is not explicit. I need to assume

$$|A \cap B_{\delta^{1/2}}|_\delta \leq \delta^\eta |A|_\delta,$$

with the "dimension gain" ε obviously depending on η .

A few words about the proof

The proof relies on:

- A lower bound for the entropy of $\Delta_\omega \mu$ based on projected entropies of a **multi-scale decomposition of μ** (M.Hochman-P.S, T. Orponen, T.Keleti-P.S.)

A few words about the proof

The proof relies on:

- A lower bound for the entropy of $\Delta_\omega \mu$ based on projected entropies of a **multi-scale decomposition of μ** (M.Hochman-P.S, T. Orponen, T.Keleti-P.S.)
- A decomposition of μ into measures “with Moran (tree) structure” plus a negligible term (J. Bourgain, T. Keleti-P.S.)

A few words about the proof

The proof relies on:

- A lower bound for the entropy of $\Delta_\omega \mu$ based on projected entropies of a **multi-scale decomposition of μ** (M.Hochman-P.S, T. Orponen, T.Keleti-P.S.)
- A decomposition of μ into measures “with Moran (tree) structure” plus a negligible term (J. Bourgain, T. Keleti-P.S.)
- A new way of choosing the scales in the multi-scale decomposition of Moran measures, so that “all the conditional measures are almost Frostman measures, with no entropy loss”.

A few words about the proof

The proof relies on:

- A lower bound for the entropy of $\Delta_\omega \mu$ based on projected entropies of a **multi-scale decomposition of μ** (M.Hochman-P.S, T. Orponen, T.Keleti-P.S.)
- A decomposition of μ into measures “with Moran (tree) structure” plus a negligible term (J. Bourgain, T. Keleti-P.S.)
- A new way of choosing the scales in the multi-scale decomposition of Moran measures, so that “all the conditional measures are almost Frostman measures, with no entropy loss”.
- Applying Bourgain’s discretized projection theorem (and also Kaufman’s and Falconer’s classical theorems on exceptional projections) to this multiscale decomposition.

The Falconer distance set problem

Conjecture (Originating in Falconer 1985)

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel set with $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) = 1$. Then $\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta(A)) = 1$ where $\Delta(A) = \{|x - y| : x, y \in A\}$.

The Falconer distance set problem

Conjecture (Originating in Falconer 1985)

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel set with $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) = 1$. Then $\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta(A)) = 1$ where $\Delta(A) = \{|x - y| : x, y \in A\}$.

Theorem (Katz-Tao 2001+Bourgain 2003)

If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a Borel set with $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) = 1$, then $\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta(A)) = 1/2 + c$, where $c > 0$ is universal.

The Falconer distance set problem

Conjecture (Originating in Falconer 1985)

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel set with $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) = 1$. Then $\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta(A)) = 1$ where $\Delta(A) = \{|x - y| : x, y \in A\}$.

Theorem (Katz-Tao 2001+Bourgain 2003)

If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a Borel set with $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) = 1$, then $\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta(A)) = 1/2 + c$, where $c > 0$ is universal.

Stronger Conjecture

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel set with $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) = 1$. Then there is $x \in A$ such that $\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta^x(A)) = 1$ where $\Delta^x(A) = \{|x - y| : y \in A\}$.
Moreover, this also holds if the Euclidean norm is replaced by any C^∞ norm with positive curvature everywhere.

Falconer's problem: recent progress for $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) > 1$

Theorem (T. Keleti, P.S. (2018))

If $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) > 1$ then there is $x \in A$ such that

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta^x A) > 2/3 + 1/42.$$

Falconer's problem: recent progress for $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) > 1$

Theorem (T. Keleti, P.S. (2018))

If $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) > 1$ then there is $x \in A$ such that

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta^x A) > 2/3 + 1/42.$$

Theorem (L. Guth, A. Iosevich, Y. Ou and H. Wang (2018))

If $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) > 5/4$ then there is $x \in A$ such that $|\Delta^x A| > 0$.

Falconer's problem: recent progress for $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) > 1$

Theorem (T. Keleti, P.S. (2018))

If $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) > 1$ then there is $x \in A$ such that

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta^x A) > 2/3 + 1/42.$$

Theorem (L. Guth, A. Iosevich, Y. Ou and H. Wang (2018))

If $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) > 5/4$ then there is $x \in A$ such that $|\Delta^x A| > 0$.

Remark

The proofs of these results rely on a spherical projection theorem of Orponen that requires $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) > 1$ in an essential way.

Nonlinear Bourgain to Falconer's problem

Theorem (P.S. 2020)

Given $0 < \alpha < 2$, $0 < \eta < 1$, there is $\varepsilon(\alpha, \eta) > 0$ such that the following holds: if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a Borel set of dimension α , then

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta_y A) \geq \frac{\dim_{\text{H}}(A)}{2} + \varepsilon(\alpha, \eta)$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ outside of a set of exceptions of dimension $\leq \eta$.

The same holds if the Euclidean norm is replaced by a C^2 norm whose unit circle has everywhere positive Gaussian curvature.

Remarks on the improvement in Falconer's problem

- To my knowledge, the proof of Katz-Tao+Bourgain doesn't extend to pinned distance sets nor to other smooth norms.

Remarks on the improvement in Falconer's problem

- To my knowledge, the proof of Katz-Tao+Bourgain doesn't extend to pinned distance sets nor to other smooth norms.
- Even though the result is an application of the nonlinear Bourgain projection theorem, it is not a direct consequence: it relies on a (different!) radial projection theorem of Orponen.

Remarks on the improvement in Falconer's problem

- To my knowledge, the proof of Katz-Tao+Bourgain doesn't extend to pinned distance sets nor to other smooth norms.
- Even though the result is an application of the nonlinear Bourgain projection theorem, it is not a direct consequence: it relies on a (different!) radial projection theorem of Orponen.
- Combining the effective and nonlinear versions of Bourgain's projection theorem, it is possible to obtain explicit values for ε (work in progress). For example, it seems plausible that $\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta^x A) \geq 1/2 + 1/1000$.

Remarks on the improvement in Falconer's problem

- To my knowledge, the proof of Katz-Tao+Bourgain doesn't extend to pinned distance sets nor to other smooth norms.
- Even though the result is an application of the nonlinear Bourgain projection theorem, it is not a direct consequence: it relies on a (different!) radial projection theorem of Orponen.
- Combining the effective and nonlinear versions of Bourgain's projection theorem, it is possible to obtain explicit values for ε (work in progress). For example, it seems plausible that $\dim_{\text{H}}(\Delta^x A) \geq 1/2 + 1/1000$.
- Very recently, and relying on the non-linear Bourgain projection thm (plus many other ideas), O. Raz and J. Zahl proved an ε -improvement for the discretized distance set problem considering only 3 non-collinear vantage points x .

An improvement on Kaufman's projection theorem?

- Both Kaufman's and Falconer's classic bounds yield $s(1, 3/4) \geq 3/4$ (in other words, the projection of a set of dimension 1 has dimension at least $3/4$, outside of a set of exceptional directions of dimension $\leq 3/4$).

An improvement on Kaufman's projection theorem?

- Both Kaufman's and Falconer's classic bounds yield $s(1, 3/4) \geq 3/4$ (in other words, the projection of a set of dimension 1 has dimension at least $3/4$, outside of a set of exceptional directions of dimension $\leq 3/4$).
- Since already $s(3/4, 3/4) = 3/4$, no gain is obtained by increasing the dimension of A from $3/4$ to 1. Moreover, Bourgain's projection theorem is vacuous here.

An improvement on Kaufman's projection theorem?

- Both Kaufman's and Falconer's classic bounds yield $s(1, 3/4) \geq 3/4$ (in other words, the projection of a set of dimension 1 has dimension at least $3/4$, outside of a set of exceptional directions of dimension $\leq 3/4$).
- Since already $s(3/4, 3/4) = 3/4$, no gain is obtained by increasing the dimension of A from $3/4$ to 1. Moreover, Bourgain's projection theorem is vacuous here.

Theorem* (P.S. work in progress)

If $\eta \in (0, 1)$, then $s(1, \eta) > \eta + \varepsilon(\eta)$.

The end

Many thanks!!!