Numerical Algorithms for Electron Beams

Samir Naqos

Department of Mathematics

Numerical Algorithms for Electron Beams - p.1

Outline

Radiation Oncology

- Electron Beams: Physical properties
- Radiation Therapy planning
- Algorithms for Electron Beams
 - A model Problem
 - The fully discrete scheme
 - Characteristic Schemes
- Implementation
- Results and conclusions

 1 person in 3 will develop some form of cancer in their life time.

 1 person in 3 will develop some form of cancer in their life time.

I person in 5 will die from that cancer.

- 1 person in 3 will develop some form of cancer in their life time.
- I person in 5 will die from that cancer.
- Cancer is the second leading cause of death, but exceeds all other diseases in terms of years of working life lost.

- 1 person in 3 will develop some form of cancer in their life time.
- I person in 5 will die from that cancer.
- Cancer is the second leading cause of death, but exceeds all other diseases in terms of years of working life lost.
- About half of all cancer patients receive radiation therapy.

Any improvements to radio therapy will benefit a great number of people.

Radiation Oncology

- Use of radiation to kill diseased cells
- The ultimate goal:
 Destroy the tumor with minimal damage to the normal tissue.

Radiation Oncology

- Use of radiation to kill diseased cells
- The ultimate goal:
 Destroy the tumor with minimal damage to the normal tissue.
- Electrons, photons (X-ray), protons, neutrons

Radiation Oncology



They typically deliver 4 to 5MeV electron beams.
 Electron therapy is used to treat superficial tumor.

Radiation therapy planning

Calculate dose, or energy deposited per unit mass.

- 3D image of the tumor and surronding tissue.
- choose a set of beams (intuitively).
- calculate the dose.
- generate a graph showing the dose volume distribution.
- Decision weither the chosen set is optimal.

Electron beams: Physical and clinical

Interactions, ionization.
 Energy deposition.
 Collisional losses
 Radiative losses
 Biological effects.

Electron beams: Mathematical models

- Variants of Boltzmann transport equation.
- The Fermi pencil beam equation.
 - Determine quatitatively the broadening of the beam.

The monoenergetic transport equation

let $\tilde{Q} := [0, L] \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ be a homogeneous slab.

$$\omega \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} \psi(\boldsymbol{X}, \omega) + \sigma_t(\boldsymbol{X}) \psi(\boldsymbol{X}, \omega) = \int_{S^2} \sigma_s(\boldsymbol{X}, \omega \cdot \omega') \psi(\boldsymbol{X}, \omega) \, d\omega' \quad \text{in} \quad \tilde{Q} \times S^2,$$
(0)
and associated with the boundary conditions

(0)
$$\begin{cases} \psi(L, y, z, \omega) = 0 & \text{if } \xi < 0\\ \psi(0, y, z, \omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(1 - \xi) \delta(y) \delta(z) & \text{if } \xi > 0, \end{cases}$$

The monoenergetic transport equation

with $X = (x, y, z) \in \tilde{Q}, \omega = (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in S^2$. describing the spreading of a pencil beam of particles normally incident at the boundary (0, y, z) of the slab \tilde{Q} . ψ is the density of particles at the point X moving in the direction of ω . σ_t is the total cross section, whereas σ_s is the scattering cross section.

The Fermi pencil beam equation

Derived from the Fokker-Planck equation.

(0)
$$\begin{cases} \omega_0 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \psi^F = \sigma \Delta_{\eta\zeta} \psi^F, \\ \psi^F(0, y, z, \eta, \zeta) = \delta(y) \delta(z) \delta(\eta) \delta(\zeta), & \text{if } \xi > 0, \\ \psi^F(L, y, z, \eta, \zeta) = 0, & \text{if } \xi < 0, \end{cases}$$

here $\omega_0 = (1, \eta, \zeta)$, where $(\eta, \zeta) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ and $\Delta_{\eta, \zeta} = \partial^2 / \partial \eta^2 + \partial^2 / \partial \zeta$.

A model problem

(0)
$$\begin{cases} u_x + zu_y = \epsilon u_{zz}, & (x, x_{\perp}) \in Q, \\ u_z(x, y, \pm z_0) = 0, & \text{for}(x, y) \in I_x \times I_y, \\ u(x, \pm y_0, z) = 0, & \Gamma_{\tilde{\beta}}^- \setminus \{suppf\}, \\ u(0, x_{\perp}) = f(x_{\perp}), \end{cases}$$

where $\Gamma_{\tilde{\beta}}^{-} := \{(x, x_{\perp}) \in \partial Q : \tilde{\beta} \cdot n < 0\}, \tilde{\beta} = (1, z, 0), \text{ and } x_{\perp} \equiv (y, z) \text{ is the transversal variable. Further, } n := n(x, x_{\perp}) \text{ is the outward unit normal to } \Gamma \text{ at } (x, x_{\perp}) \in \Gamma.$

A model problem

Our model problem corresponds to a forwardbackward (z changes the sign), convection dominated (ϵ is small), convection-diffusion equation of degenerate type (convection in (x, y) and diffusion in z).

Standard Galerkin

Find $u_h \in V_{h,\beta}$, such that

(0)
$$\begin{cases} (u_{h,x},\chi)_{\perp} + (zu_{h,y},\chi) + (\epsilon u_{h,z},\chi_z)_{\perp} = 0, & \forall \chi \in V_{h,\beta}, \\ u_h(0,x_{\perp}) = f_h(x_{\perp}), \end{cases}$$

where f_h is a finite element approximation of f. The mesh size h is related to ϵ according to:

 $h^2 \le \epsilon \le h.$

A Semi Streamline Diffusion Method

The *test* function has the form $v + \delta v_{\beta}$ with $\delta \ge \epsilon$, $\beta = (z, 0), v_{\beta} = \beta \cdot \nabla_{\perp} v$ and $\nabla_{\perp} = (\partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial z)$, and v satisfies the boundary conditions.

 $(u_x + \overline{u_\beta} - \epsilon u_{zz}, v + \overline{\delta v_\beta})_\perp = (u_x, \overline{v})_\perp + \overline{\delta (u_x, v_\beta)}_\perp + (v_z, v_\beta)_\perp +$

The fully discrete problem

We split the SSD variational formulation as follows:

$$(-1) a(u,v) = (u_{\beta},v)_{I_{\perp}} + \delta(\epsilon u_{\beta},u_{\beta})_{I_{\perp}} + (\epsilon u_z,v_z)_{I_{\perp}} + \delta(\epsilon u_z,(v_{\beta})_z)_{I_{\perp}},$$

(-1)
$$b(u,v) = \delta(u,v_{\beta})_{I_{\perp}} + (u,v)_{I_{\perp}},$$

and rewrite the problem as

(-1)
$$\begin{cases} \text{ find a solution } u \in H^1_{\beta}(I_{\perp}) \text{ such that} \\ b(u_x, v) + a(u, v) = 0, \qquad \forall v \in H^1_{\beta}(I_{\perp}) \end{cases}$$

The fully discrete problem

We consider the "space-time-discrete" ansatz

(-1)
$$u_h(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=1}^M \xi_i(x)\phi_i(y, z),$$

where $M \sim 1/h$. We replace v by ϕ_j for $j = 1, \dots, M$. This gives the discretization method

(-1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \xi'_i(x) b(\phi_i, \phi_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \xi_i(x) a(\phi_i, \phi_j), \qquad j = 1, \cdots, M.$$

Or in matrix form,

-1)
$$B\xi'_i(x) + A\xi_i(x) = 0.$$

The fully discrete problem

Now we discretize in x using Backward Euler to get

(-1)
$$B(U_h^n - U_h^{n-1}) + k_n A U_h^n = 0.$$

 $B = (b_{ij})$ is the matrix with entries $b_{ij} = b(\phi_i, \phi_j)$ and $A = (a_{ij})$ with entries $a_{ij} = a(\phi_i, \phi_j)$.

Characteristic schemes

The idea is exact transport + projection.

Characteristic schemes

(-1)
$$\begin{cases} J_x + zJ_y = \epsilon J_{zz}, & (x, x_\perp) \in Q, \\ J_z(x, y, \pm z_0) = 0, & \text{for}(x, y) \in I_x \times I_y, \\ J(x, \pm y_0, z) = 0, & \Gamma^-_{\tilde{\beta}} \setminus \{suppf\}, \\ J(0, x_\perp) = f(x_\perp), \end{cases}$$

where Q is a bounded domain $Q \equiv I_x \times I_y \times I_z = [0, L] \times [-y_0, y_0] \times [-z_0, z_0],$ $\Gamma_{\tilde{\beta}}^- := \{(x, x_\perp) \in \partial Q : \tilde{\beta} \cdot n < 0\}, \tilde{\beta} = (1, z, 0), x_\perp \equiv (y, z)$ is the transversal variable and $n := n(x, x_\perp)$ is the outward unit normal to Γ at $(x, x_\perp) \in \Gamma$.

Characteristic schemes

(-1) $\mathcal{L}(J) := J_x + \beta \cdot \nabla_{\perp} J - \epsilon \Delta_{\perp} J = 0,$

where $\epsilon \approx C\sigma$. $\Delta_{\perp} := \partial^2/\partial y^2 + \partial^2/\partial z^2$ is the transversal Laplacian operator, and $\beta \equiv (z, 0)$.

Characteristic Galerkin

For
$$n = 1, 2..., N$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{find } J^{h,n} \in \mathcal{V}_n \text{ such that} \\ \int_{I_y \times I_z} J^{h,n}(x_\perp) v(x_\perp) \, dx_\perp = \int_{I_y \times I_z} J^{h,n-1}(x_\perp - \hbar_n \beta) v(x_\perp) \, dx_\perp, \end{cases}$$
(-1)

where $\hbar_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$ and $J^{h,0} = f$.

Characteristic Galerkin

 $J^{h,n} = \mathcal{P}_n T_n J^{h,n-1},$

Numerical Algorithms for Electron Beams – p.24

For n = 1, 2, ..., N, find $\hat{J}^h \equiv \hat{J}^h | S_n \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}_n$ such that

$$\int_{S_n} (\hat{J}_x^h + \beta \cdot \nabla_\perp \hat{J}^h) (v + \delta(v_x + \beta \cdot \nabla_\perp v)) \, dx \, dx_\perp + \int_{S_n} \hat{\epsilon} \nabla_\perp \hat{J}^h \cdot \nabla_\perp v \, dx \, dx_\perp + \int_{I_\perp} (-1)$$
where $v_{\pm}^n(x_\perp) = \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} v(x \pm \Delta x, x_\perp)$,
 $\hat{\epsilon} = max(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}(Ch^{\alpha}\mathcal{R}(\hat{J}^h))/M_n, \text{ with}$

$$(-1) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{R}(\hat{J}^h) = |\hat{J}^h + \beta \cdot \nabla_\perp \hat{J}^h| + |[\hat{J}^h]|/\hbar$$

The streamline diffusion modification is given by $\delta(v_x + \beta \cdot \nabla_{\perp} v)$. If β is approximated by piecewise constants on each slab, the streamline diffusion modification will disappear in the CSD-method.

For n = 1, 2, ..., N, find $J^{h,n} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ such that

$$\int_{I_{\perp}} \hat{\epsilon} \nabla_{\perp} J^{h,n} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} v \, dx dx_{\perp} + \int_{I_{\perp}} J^{h,n} v \, dx_{\perp} = \int_{I_{\perp}} T_n J^{h,n-1} v \, dx_{\perp}, \qquad \forall \hat{v} \in \mathcal{V}$$
(-1)
where $J^{h,0} = f$ and $\hat{\epsilon} = \mathcal{F}(Ch_n^{\alpha} | J^{h,n} - T_n J^{h,n-1} |) / M_n$.

We introduce

(-1)
$$(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n w, v) = (\hat{\epsilon} \nabla_{\perp} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n w, \nabla_{\perp} v) = (w, v), \quad \forall v \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n,$$

to get
(-1) $J^{h,n} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_n T_n J^{h,n-1}.$

Implementation

DOLFIN
user level.
module level.
kernel level.
Object Orientedness.

Implementation

Exact Solution.

(-1)
$$J(x, y, z) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi \epsilon x^2} e^{-2(3(y/x)^2 - 3(y/2)z + z^2)/(\epsilon x)}.$$

Implementation

Exact Solution.



Results

Initial conditions used





Exact solution at x = 1.0





Computed solution using CSD.



Numerical Algorithms for Electron Beams – p.34

Results

Formation of layers.





Oscillatory bahaviour.





Streamline Diffusion and Characteristic Streamline Diffusion are stable and accurate methods for similar problems.