Discontinuous Galerkin for transport equation and critical eigenvalues

- M. Asadzadeh(1) (*) and L. Thevenot(2) (**)
- (1) Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University, SE 412 96 Göteborg, Sweden.
- (2) Department of Mathematics, University of Besancon, France.

Summary. — In this paper we study the discontinuous Galerkin (DG) finite element method for approximate solution of the mono-energetic, critical transport equation in an infinite cylindrical domain $\widetilde{\Omega}$ in \mathbf{R}^3 with a polygonal convex cross-section Ω . Assuming that all involved functions are constant in the direction of the symmetry axis of the cylinder, the problem is reduced to \mathbf{R}^2 by projection along the symmetry axis. By embedding between Sobolev and Besov interpolation spaces, see [3], we derive superconvergence estimates for fully discrete scalar flux and the critical eigenvalue. The velocity discretization rely on a special quadrature rule developed in [1]-[2]. For the critical eigenvalues studies see, e.g. [5]-[7]

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm PACS~28.20.Fc~- Neutorn~absorption.} \\ {\rm PACS~28.20.Gd~- Neutron~diffusion.} \\ {\rm PACS~28.20.Cz~- Neutron~scattering.} \end{array}$

1. - Description

The critical eigenvalue is a positive parameter λ for which there exists a nonnegative function $\varphi = \varphi(x, v)$ satisfying the, so-called, critical transport equation:

(1)
$$\begin{cases} -v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi - \Sigma \varphi + \int_V \sigma_s \varphi(x, v') d\mu(v') + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_V \sigma_f \varphi(x, v') d\mu(v') = 0, \\ \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma^- := \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times V : v \cdot n(x) < 0\}, \end{cases}$$

where the space variable x is in an open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, the domain of the core of the reactor, and the velocity variable v is in a closed subset $V \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, the admissible velocity

?

^(*) The research of this author was supported by the Swedish Foundation of Strategic Research (SSF)in Göteborg Mathematical Modeling Cerntre (GMMC)

^(**) The research of this authorwas supported by TMR European Research Project.

domain. Further, Γ^- denotes the inflow boundary and n(x) is the outward unit normal at the point $x \in \partial \Omega$. The kernels $\sigma_s := \sigma_s(x, v, v')$ and $\sigma_f := \sigma_f(x, v, v')$ describe the pure scattering and fission, respectively, while $\Sigma := \Sigma(x, v)$ represents the total cross-section.

In this note we study the numerical solution of the *mono-energetic* critical equation in a cylindrical domain $\widetilde{\Omega}$ in \mathbf{R}^3 with a polygonal convex cross-section Ω . Thus the velocity domain is the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^2 \subset \mathbf{R}^3$. All involved functions are assumed to be constant in the direction of the symmetry axis of the cylinder. This allows us to reduce the problem to \mathbf{R}^2 by projection along the symmetry axis of the cylinder. Therefore we study the *mono-energetic* version of the (1) in a bounded convex polygonal domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$, where due to the projection the integration over velocity domain $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ is now associated by the measure $(1 - |\eta|^2)^{-1/2} d\eta$. Furthermore, we assume that the kernels satisfy

$$\Sigma(x,v) = \Sigma(|v|), \quad \sigma_s(x,v,v') = \sigma_s(v,v') \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_f(x,v,v') = \sigma_f(|v|,|v'|) = 1.$$

Since Σ and σ_f depend only on |v|, thus for the mono-energetic model they are constant. We may normalize σ_f to 1, and use the same notation for λ by a corresponding "stretch" mapping $\lambda \to \lambda |\sigma_f|$.

2. – The continuous problem

By geometry the projection of the mono-energetic version of the critical equation (1) onto the cross-section Ω of $\widetilde{\Omega}$ satisfies the equation

(2)
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\mu \cdot \nabla_x \varphi - \Sigma \varphi + \int_{\mathbf{D}} \sigma_s(\mu, \eta) \varphi(x, \eta) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \varphi(x, \eta) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}} = 0 \\ \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma^- := \left\{ (x, \mu) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbf{D} : \ \mu \cdot n(x) < 0 \right\}. \end{array} \right.$$

In contrary to the mono-energetic version of (1), where $\mu \in S^2 \Rightarrow |\mu| = 1$, the projected equation (2) allows small velocities as well and we have $|\mu| \leq 1$. cross-section and all kernels are space homogeneous. Our functional space setting will be

$$(3a) \quad L_w^p(\Omega \times \mathbf{D}) = L^p\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{D}, w \ dx d\mu\right), \qquad 1 \le p < \infty, \qquad w(\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\mu|^2}},$$

$$(3b) \ \ W^p_w(\Omega \times \mathbf{D}) = \Big\{ \varphi \in L^p_w(\Omega \times \mathbf{D}), \ \mu \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \in L^p_w(\Omega \times \mathbf{D}) \Big\},$$

The total cross-section Σ is split into the scattering (Σ_s) and fission (Σ_f) cross-sections: $\Sigma = \Sigma_s + \Sigma_f$, with $\Sigma_s > 0$ and $\Sigma_f > 0$, and Σ_s is given by

(4)
$$\Sigma_s = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \sigma_s(\eta, \mu) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}}.$$

Further, using the notation $C_s(\varphi) := \Sigma_s \varphi(x, \mu) - \int_{\mathbf{D}} \sigma_s(\mu, \eta) \varphi(x, \eta) w(\eta) \ d\eta$, we also get $\left(C_s \varphi(\cdot, \mu), |\varphi(\cdot, \mu)|^{p-2} \varphi(\cdot, \mu) \right)_{w(\mu)} \ge 0, \ 1 \le p < \infty.$ That is, $\forall \varphi \in L_w^p(\Omega \times \mathbf{D})$

$$(5) \int_{\Omega \times \mathbf{D}} \Sigma_s \frac{|\varphi(x,\mu)|^p \ d\mu}{\sqrt{1-|\mu|^2}} \ dx \ge \int_{\Omega \times \mathbf{D}^2} \sigma_s(\mu,\eta) |\varphi(x,\mu)|^{p-2} \frac{\varphi(x,\mu) \ d\mu}{\sqrt{1-|\mu|^2}} \cdot \frac{\varphi(x,\eta) d\eta}{\sqrt{1-|\eta|^2}} \ dx.$$

To continue let $L_w^p := L_w^p(\Omega \times \mathbf{D})$, and define the operators S, K_s, K_f and A by

$$S\varphi = -\mu \cdot \nabla_x \varphi - \Sigma \varphi, \qquad \mathcal{D}(S) = \{ \varphi : \varphi \in L_w^p, \ \mu \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \in L_w^p, \ \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_- \},$$

$$K_s \varphi(x, \mu) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \sigma_s(\mu, \eta) \varphi(x, \eta) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}}, \qquad K_f \varphi(x, \mu) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \varphi(x, \eta) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}},$$

$$A\varphi = S\varphi + K_s \varphi, \qquad \mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(S).$$

Note that the operators K_s and K_f are bounded on $L^p_w(\Omega \times \mathbf{D})$. We also recall that the operators S and A generate strongly continuous semigroups on $L^p_w(\Omega \times \mathbf{D})$ denoted by $\{e^{tS}, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{e^{tA}, t \geq 0\}$, respectively. In the sequel, we may replace the conservative assumption (4) by a somewhat stronger one, viz $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

(6)
$$\Sigma_s \ge \int_{\mathbf{D}} \sigma_s(\eta, \mu) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}} + \delta.$$

In the numerical approximations we combine a quadrature rule for the angular variable with a discontinuous Galerkin finite element scheme for the spatial discretization.

3. - The semi-discrete problem - Quadrature rule

Let $\Delta_n = \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbf{D}$ be a discrete set of quadrature points associated with the quadrature weights w_{μ_i} and introduce the discrete operators K_s^n and K_f^n , approximating the operators K_s and K_f , respectively

(7a)
$$K_s^n \varphi(x,\mu) := \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \sigma_s(\mu,\eta) \varphi(x,\eta) w_{\eta} \approx \int_{\mathbf{D}} \sigma_s(\mu,\eta) \varphi(x,\eta) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{1-|\eta|^2}},$$

$$(7b) \qquad K_f^n \varphi(x,\mu) := \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \varphi(x,\eta) w_\eta \approx \int_{\mathbf{D}} \varphi(x,\eta) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{1-|\eta|^2}}.$$

We also introduce the semi-discrete $l_w^2(\Delta_n; L^2(\Omega))$ space associated with the norm

$$\Bigl(\sum_{\mu\in\Delta_n}w_\mu\int_\Omega|\varphi(x,\mu)|^2dx\Bigr)^{1/2}.$$

Note that the operators K_s^n and K_f^n are bounded on $l_w^2(\Delta_n; L^2(\Omega))$ and we have

$$||K_s^n|| \le \sup_{(\mu,\eta) \in \mathbf{D}^2} \left(\sigma_s(\mu,\eta) \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} w_\eta \right), \qquad ||K_f^n|| \le \left(\sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} w_\eta \right).$$

More specifically writing $\eta \in \Delta$ in polar coordinates as $\eta = r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$, $r = |\eta|$ we may choose a uniform quadrature rule on θ with a uniform weight of $2\pi/M$, where M is the number of quadrature points in θ (unit circle). Here, for the radial quadrature, we choose a particular Gauss rule on (0,1) with the quadrature points and weights given by (r_k, A_k) , $k = 1, \ldots, N$, where N is the number of quadrature points in $r \in (0,1)$. We let n = MN be the total number of quadrature points on \mathbf{D} , then we can prove that

Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{4,r}_{2,\theta}(\mathbf{D}, L_1(\Omega))$, then \exists constants C > 0 and small $\varepsilon_1 > 0$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} f(x, \mu, \eta) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}} - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x, \mu, \eta_i) w_{\eta_i} \right| \le C \left(\frac{1}{N^4} + \frac{1}{M^{2 - \varepsilon_1}} \right) ||f||_{L_1(\Omega)},$$

where $C_{2,\theta}^{4,r}(\mathbf{D}, L_1(\Omega))$ denotes the space functions, defined in $\mathbf{D} \times \Omega$ that are in $L_1(\Omega)$ and are continuously differentiable 4 times in r and twice in θ .

Lemma 3.2. Assume (6) then for sufficiently large n and all $\mu \in \mathbf{D}$ we have that

(8)
$$\Sigma_s \ge \max \Big(\sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} (\sigma_s(\eta, \mu) w_{\eta} , \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \sigma_s(\mu, \eta) w_{\eta} \Big).$$

The proof is based on (6) and Lemma 1 which for sufficiently large n, yields

$$\sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \sigma_s(\eta, \mu) w_{\eta} \leq \left| \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \sigma_s(\eta, \mu) w_{\eta} - \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\sigma_s(\eta, \mu) d\eta}{\sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}} \right| + \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\sigma_s(\eta, \mu) d\eta}{\sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}} \\
\leq C \left(\frac{1}{N^4} + \frac{1}{M^{2 - \varepsilon_1}} \right) - \delta + \Sigma_s \leq \Sigma_s.$$

4. - The Fully-Discrete Problem - Discontinuous Galerkin method

Let $\{C_h\}$ be a family of quasiuniform triangulations $C_h = \{K\}$ of Ω indexed by the parameter h, the maximum diameter of triangles $K \in C_h$ and introduce the finite element space V_h of functions which are allowed to be discontinuous over enterelement boundaries:

$$V_h = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : v \Big|_{K} \text{ is linear, } \forall K \in \mathcal{C}_h \right\}.$$

Given $\mu \in D$ and $g \in L_2(\Omega)$, we define $T^h_{\mu}g \in V_h$ as the solution $u(.,\mu) \in V_h$ for

(9)
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} \left[\left(\mu.\nabla u + \Sigma u, v \right)_K + \int_{\partial K_-} [u] v_+ |\mu \cdot n| d\sigma \right] = \int_{\Omega} g v dx, \quad \forall v \in V_h \\ u = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{\mu}^- := \left\{ x \in \partial \Omega : \ \mu \cdot n(x) < 0 \right\}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(u, v)_K = \int_K uv dx, \qquad \partial K_- = \{ x \in \partial K : \ \mu \cdot n(x) < 0 \},$$

$$[v] = v_+ - v_-, \ v_\pm(x) = \lim_{s \to 0_+} v(x + s\mu) \text{ for } x \in \partial K,$$

n=n(x) is the outward unit normal to ∂K at $x\in\partial K$, $d\sigma$ is the surface measure on ∂K . To continue we need to introduce the adjoint operator $\left(T_{\mu}^{h}\right)^{\star}$ of T_{μ}^{h} . For a given $\mu\in D$ and $f\in L^{2}(\Omega)$, we define $\left(T_{\mu}^{h}\right)^{\star}f\in V_{h}$ as the solution $u(\cdot,\mu)\in V_{h}$ of the dual problem

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} \left[\left(-\mu \cdot \nabla u + \Sigma u, v \right)_K - \int_{\partial K_-} [u] v_- |\mu \cdot n| d\sigma \right] = 0, & \forall v \in V_h \\ u = \tilde{g}, & \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{\mu}^+ := \left\{ x \in \partial \Omega : \; \mu \cdot n(x) > 0 \right\}, & (\tilde{g} \text{ is given}), \end{cases}$$

 $\left(T_{\mu}^{h}\right)^{\star}$ is well defined adjoint of the operator T_{μ}^{h} in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. We simplify the notation by introducing $T=(-S)^{-1}$ on L_{w}^{p} . Then the critical eigenvalue problem is formulated as

$$\varphi - TK_s\varphi = \frac{1}{\lambda}TK_f\varphi.$$

The fully discrete scheme: Find the parameter $\lambda_n^h > 0$ and a nonnegative function $\varphi_n^h \in l_w^2(\Delta_n; L^2(\Omega))$ such that

(10)
$$\begin{cases} \varphi_n^h - T_n^h K_s^n \varphi_n^h = \frac{1}{\lambda_n^h} T_n^h K_f^n \varphi_n^h, \\ T_n^h \varphi(x, \mu) = T_\mu^h \varphi(\cdot, \mu) \in V_h, \quad \forall \mu \in \Delta_n, \quad \forall \varphi \in l_w^2 \left(\Delta_n; L^2(\Omega)\right). \end{cases}$$

According to [1]-[3], the discrete operator T_n^h is bounded on $l_w^2(\Delta_n; L^2(\Omega))$, i.e. λ_n^h and $\varphi_n^h(.,\mu) \in V_h$, $\forall \mu \in \Delta_n$, are solution of the fully discrete critical eigenvalue equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} \left[\left(\mu. \nabla \varphi_n^h + \Sigma \varphi_n^h, v \right)_K + \int_{\partial K_-} [\varphi_n^h] v_+ | \mu \cdot n | d\sigma \right] - \int_{\Omega} v(x) \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \sigma_s(\mu, \eta) \varphi_n^h(x, \eta) w_\eta \\ - \frac{1}{\lambda_n^h} \int_{\Omega} v(x) \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \varphi_n^h(x, \eta) w_\eta \ dx = 0, \qquad \forall \mu \in \Delta_n, \quad \forall v \in V_h \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_n^- := \left\{ x \in \partial \Omega : \ \mu \cdot n(x) < 0 \right\}, \quad \forall \mu \in \Delta_n. \end{array} \right.$$

Lemma 4.1. For sufficiently large n, the operators $T_n^h K_f^n$ and $T_n^h K_s^n$ are uniformly bounded on $l_w^2(\Delta_n; L^2(\Omega))$. Moreover, there exists a constant $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that $||T_n^h K_s^n|| < \alpha$. Consequently the operator $(Id - T_n^h K_s^n)$ is invertible on $l_w^2(\Delta_n; L^2(\Omega))$ and the inverse operator $(Id - T_n^h K_s^n)^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof. Let $\tau \in l_w^2(\Delta_n; L^2(\Omega))$ and $u = T_n^h K_s^n \tau$. For a given $\mu \in \Delta_n$ it follows from the definition of T_n^h , with the choice of u as a test function in (9), that

$$(11) \qquad \qquad \int_{\Omega}uK_{s}^{n}\tau dx = \sum_{K\in\mathcal{C}_{h}}\Bigl[\bigl(\mu\cdot\nabla u+\Sigma u,u\bigr)_{K}+\int_{\partial K_{-}}[u]u_{+}|\mu\cdot n|d\sigma\Bigr].$$

Let $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup \partial K$, $\partial K \subset \Omega \setminus \partial \Omega$, *i.e.* \mathcal{E} is the set of all the sides of the triangles $K \in \mathcal{C}_h$ which are not included in $\partial \Omega$. By using Green's formula we get that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{K\in\mathcal{C}_h} \left[\left(\mu\cdot\nabla u,u\right)_K + \int_{\partial K_-} [u]u_+|\mu\cdot n|d\Gamma\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{K\in\mathcal{C}_h} \left[\int_{\partial K_+} |\mu\cdot n||u_-|^2d\Gamma - \int_{\partial K_-} |\mu\cdot n||u_+|^2d\Gamma + \int_{\partial K_-} [u]u_+|\mu\cdot n|d\Gamma\right] \\ &= \sum_{\partial K_-\in\mathcal{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial K_-} |\mu\cdot n||u_-|^2d\Gamma + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial K_-} |\mu\cdot n||u_+|^2d\Gamma - \int_{\partial K_-} |\mu\cdot n|u_-u_+d\Gamma\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_\mu^+} |\mu\cdot n||u_-|^2d\Gamma = \sum_{\partial K_-\in\mathcal{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial K_-} |\mu\cdot n| \; [u]^2d\Gamma\right] + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_\mu^+} |\mu\cdot n||u_-|^2d\Gamma \geq 0. \end{split}$$

Consequently, summing (11) over Δ_n , it follows that

(12)
$$\sum_{\mu \in \Delta_n} \int_{\Omega} u(x,\mu) K_s^n \tau(x,\mu) dx \ w_{\mu} \ge \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_n} \int_{\Omega} |u(x,\mu)|^2 dx \ w_{\mu}.$$

On the other hand by the repeated use of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\mu \in \Delta_n} \int_{\Omega} u(x,\mu) K_s^n \tau(x,\mu) dx \ w_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_n} \int_{\Omega} u(x,\mu) \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \sigma_s(\mu,\eta) \tau(x,\eta) w_{\eta} w_{\mu} \ dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_n} |u(x,\mu)| \left(\sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \sigma_{(\mu},\eta) w_{\eta} \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \sigma_s(\mu,\eta) |\tau(x,\eta)|^2 w_{\eta} \right)^{1/2} w_{\mu} \ dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_n} \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} |u(x,\mu)|^2 \sigma_s w_{\mu} w_{\eta} \ dx \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_n} \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} \sigma_s |\tau(x,\eta)|^2 w_{\mu} w_{\eta} \ dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \Sigma_s \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_n} |u(x,\mu)|^2 w_{\mu} \ dx \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} |\tau(x,\eta)|^2 w_{\eta} \ dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Hence from the inequality (12) we deduce that

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_n} |u(x,\mu)|^2 w_{\mu}\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\sum_s}{\Sigma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\eta \in \Delta_n} |\tau(x,\eta)|^2 w_{\eta}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore the operator norm of $T_n^h K_s^n$ is strictly smaller than $\Sigma_s \Sigma^{-1} < 1$. A similar, but simpler, calculus yields $||T_n^h K_f^n|| < \Sigma^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.2. Given μ in D, the operator T_{μ}^{h} is positive on $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Proof. For $\mu \in \mathcal{D}$, let $u = T_{\mu}^{h}g$, where $g \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ is nonnegative. We write $u = u^{+} - u^{-}$ with $u^{-} = \max(0, -u)$ and $u^{+} = \max(0, u)$. Choosing u^{-} as a test function in (8), and using the fact that the supports of u^{+} and u^{-} are disconnected, we get

(13)
$$\int_{\Omega} u^{-}g dx = -\sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_{h}} \left[\left(\mu \cdot \nabla u^{-} + \Sigma u^{-}, u^{-} \right)_{K} + \int_{\partial K_{-}} [u^{-}] u_{+}^{-} |\mu \cdot n| d\sigma \right].$$

We assume that u^- has a non-empty support and proceed as in the proof of lemma 3 we can prove using the Green's formula that (we omit the details),

$$-\sum_{K\in\mathcal{C}_h} \left[\left(\mu\cdot\nabla u^- + \Sigma u^-\,,\,u^-\right)_K + \int_{\partial K_-} [u^-]u_+^-|\mu\cdot n| d\Gamma < 0. \right.$$

But $\int_{\Omega} u^- g dx \ge 0$, therefore, Equality (13) implies that $u^- \equiv 0$. We are now in position to solve the spectral problem (10).

Theorem 4.1. There exists a real and positive eigenvalue λ_n^h associated with a unique normalized nonnegative eigenfunction $\varphi_n^h \in l_w^2(\Delta_n; L^2(\Omega))$ such that

$$\varphi_n^h - T_n^h K_s^n \varphi_n^h = \frac{1}{\lambda_n^h} T_n^h K_f^n \varphi_n^h.$$

Proof. To simplify the notation let $B := (Id - T_n^h K_s^n)^{-1} T_n^h K_f^n$. By Lemma 3 we have

$$B = \left(Id - T_n^h K_s^n \right)^{-1} T_n^h K_f^n = \sum_{m > 0} \left(T_n^h K_s^n \right)^m T_n^h K_f^n.$$

By Lemma 4 the operator B is positive. Since T_{μ}^{h} and $\left(T_{\mu}^{h}\right)^{\star}$ have finite dimensional ranges, and $\left(Id-T_{n}^{h}K_{s}^{n}\right)^{-1}$ is bounded, we deduce that B and its adjoint B^{\star} have also finite dimensional ranges. Consequently, $\left(\ker B\right)^{\perp}=R(B^{\star})$ has finite dimensional range, and the operator B acting from $\left(\ker B\right)^{\perp}$ into R(B) is a bijective positive matrix. Then the spectral radius of B is a positive eigenvalue, not necessary simple, associated with a unique normalized nonnegative eigenfunction, i.e. $\varphi_{n}^{h} \in l_{w}^{2}(\Delta_{n}; L^{2}(\Omega))$, (see also [5]-[7]).

Theorem 4.2. Let u and u_h be the solutions of (2) and (9), respectively. Then we have

$$(14) ||u - u^h|| \le Ch^{1-\varepsilon} ||u||_{H^{3/2-\varepsilon}(\Omega)}, \forall small \varepsilon > 0.$$

Proof [sketchy]. The geometry of Ω (convex polygonal) yields to a solution u with the first partial derivatives depending on the outward unit normal n to $\partial\Omega$, i.e. a linear combination of Heaviside functions. Thus, by a trace estimate, the maximal available regularity of u is $u \in H^{3/2-\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ and hence the optimal convergence order for DG in this case is $\mathcal{O}(h^{1-\varepsilon})$. To deal with such fractional derivatives, we need embeddings between Sobolev and Besov spaces. We skip these technical details and refer the reader to the methodology strategy developed in [3].

5. - Eigenvalue estimates

Below we show that the largest eigenvalue λ^{-1} of the transport operator T, (which makes $(I - \lambda T)^{-1}$ singular), can be found more accurately than the pointwise scalar flux. Observe that, cf [2] the kernel of the integral operator T is symmetric and positive. Hence T is self-adjoint (on $L_2(\Omega)$), and thus has only real eigenvalues. Furthermore, by the Krien-Rutman theory, its largest eigenvalue is positive and simple. We prove that

Lemma 5.1. Let κ , κ_n and κ_n^h be the largest eigenvalues of the operators T, T_n and T_n^h , respectively. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, and any arbitrary quadrature set Q, there are constants $C = C(\varepsilon_1, \kappa)$ and $C(Q) = C(\varepsilon, \kappa, Q)$ such that for sufficiently large N and M (even) and sufficiently small h,

(15a)
$$\|\kappa - \kappa_n\| \le C \left(\frac{1}{N^4} + \frac{1}{M^{2-\varepsilon_1}}\right),\,$$

$$\|\kappa - \kappa_n^h\| \le C\left(\frac{1}{N^4} + \frac{1}{M^{2-\varepsilon_1}}\right) + C(Q)h^{3-\varepsilon}.$$

These estimates, are rather involved, and follow from the results in [1]- [3]. The assumption on the number of angular quadrature points M (even), makes the quadrature set Δ symmetric, so that, $\mu \in \Delta$ implies $-\mu \in \Delta$. Then it follows that T_n is self-adjoint and thus its eigenvalues are real, which is crucial in the proof of (15b).

Remark 5.1. In the two-dimensional case with $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ and the velocities in the unit circle S. Using a quadrature rule with N discrete points on a quadrature set $Q \subset S$, and by a duality argument for spatial discretization, it is possible to show that for the largest eigenvalue κ_N , of the corresponding semidiscrete operator T_N , there exists an eigenvalue κ_N^h , for the fully discrete operator T_N^h such that

(16)
$$\|\kappa_N - \kappa_N^h\| \le C(Q)h^{3-\varepsilon}.$$

Whereas, for discrete ordinates with N uniformly distributed points on S, the optimal result, based on error analysis for DG for scalar flux estimates in [4], yields

(17)
$$\|\kappa_N - \kappa_N^h\| \le Ch^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Similarly, in the case of infinite cylindrical domains using Theorem 4. 2 and cf [4], we get

(18)
$$\|\kappa_n - \kappa_n^h\| \le Ch^{1-\varepsilon},$$

here κ_n and κ_n^h are the largest eigenvalues of T_n and T_n^h respectively, n is the number of discrete points on the unit disc and the constant C is independent of the quadrature set. Combining (15a) and a duality argument applied to (18) gives (15b).

Concluding remarks. We present a mathematical framework that picks up the maximal available regularity of the exact solution and yields an optimal convergence for the DG method for transport equation. In eigenvalue estimates (15b), if the quadrature set $Q \subset \mathbf{D}$ is properly chosen, so that C(Q) does not cause a decay on efficiency of the scheme, then to obtain sharper fully discrete eigenvalue estimates, a change of the compatibility concept; i.e. the condition $h \sim \frac{1}{N}$, is necessary. The optimal relations h = h(N), as well as M = M(N), in the above estimates should be chosen in such a way that the contributions of the spatial and angular errors, to the global error, be of the same order of magnitude. Omitting ε and ε_1 powers of h and M, respectively, we conclude that in the case of the infinite cylindrical domains, with the duality argument $h \sim N^{-4/3} \sim M^{-2/3}$ is optimal whereas the corresponding condition without using the duality is $h \sim N^{-4} \sim M^{-2}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ASADZADEH, M., Analysis of a fully discrete scheme for neutron transport in twodimensional geometry. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 23(1986), 543-561.
- [2] ASADZADEH, M., L_p and eigenvalue error estimates for two-dimensional neutron transport. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 26(1989), 66-87.
- [3] ASADZADEH, M., A finite element method for the neutron transport equation in an infinite cylindrical domain. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 35(1998), 1299-1314.
- [4] ASADZADEH, M., Convergence of a discontinuous Galerkin scheme for the neutron transport. Transport Theory and Stat. Phys, (2001), 357-383.
- [5] MOKHTAR-KHARROUBI, M. and THEVENOT, L., On the diffusion theory of neutron transport on the torus. Asymptot. Anal. 30 (2002), no. 3-4, 273-300.
- [6] PROROPOPESCU, V. and THEVENOT, L., Diffusion approximation for linear transport with multiplying boundary conditions. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 65 (2005), no. 5, 1657–1676.
- [7] THEVENOT, L. On the optimization of the fuel distribution in a nuclear reactor. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 35 (2004), no. 5, 1133-1159.