

# Class Lectures (for Chapter 9)

# Total Variation

## Definition

If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the **total variation** of  $f$  on  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$

# Total Variation

## Definition

If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the **total variation** of  $f$  on  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$  to be

$$TV_{[a,b]}(f) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| : a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}. \quad (1)$$

# Total Variation

## Definition

If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ , we define the **total variation** of  $f$  on  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$  to be

$$TV_{[a,b]}(f) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| : a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}. \quad (1)$$

We say  $f$  is of **bounded variation** on  $[a, b]$  if  $TV_{[a,b]}(f) < \infty$ ;

# Total Variation

## Definition

If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the **total variation** of  $f$  on  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$  to be

$$TV_{[a,b]}(f) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| : a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}. \quad (1)$$

We say  $f$  is of **bounded variation** on  $[a, b]$  if  $TV_{[a,b]}(f) < \infty$ ; otherwise we say  $f$  is of **unbounded variation** on  $[a, b]$ .

# Total Variation

## Definition

If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the **total variation** of  $f$  on  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$  to be

$$TV_{[a,b]}(f) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| : a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}. \quad (1)$$

We say  $f$  is of **bounded variation** on  $[a, b]$  if  $TV_{[a,b]}(f) < \infty$ ; otherwise we say  $f$  is of **unbounded variation** on  $[a, b]$ .

Remarks:

(i). If  $f$  is monotone increasing, then  $TV_{[a,b]}(f) = f(b) - f(a)$ .

# Total Variation

## Definition

If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ , we define the **total variation** of  $f$  on  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$  to be

$$TV_{[a,b]}(f) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| : a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}. \quad (1)$$

We say  $f$  is of **bounded variation** on  $[a, b]$  if  $TV_{[a,b]}(f) < \infty$ ; otherwise we say  $f$  is of **unbounded variation** on  $[a, b]$ .

Remarks:

- (i). If  $f$  is monotone increasing, then  $TV_{[a,b]}(f) = f(b) - f(a)$ .
- (ii).  $TV_{[a,b]}(-f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f)$ .

# Total Variation

## Definition

If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the **total variation** of  $f$  on  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$  to be

$$TV_{[a,b]}(f) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| : a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b \right\}. \quad (1)$$

We say  $f$  is of **bounded variation** on  $[a, b]$  if  $TV_{[a,b]}(f) < \infty$ ; otherwise we say  $f$  is of **unbounded variation** on  $[a, b]$ .

Remarks:

- (i). If  $f$  is monotone increasing, then  $TV_{[a,b]}(f) = f(b) - f(a)$ .
- (ii).  $TV_{[a,b]}(-f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f)$ .
- (iii). If  $f$  is the indicator function of the rationals, then  $f$  is of unbounded variation on every (nontrivial) interval.



# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

## Theorem

*$f$  is of bounded variation on an interval*

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

## Theorem

*$f$  is of bounded variation on an interval if and only if there exist increasing functions  $g$  and  $h$  so that  $f = g - h$ .*

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

## Theorem

*$f$  is of bounded variation on an interval if and only if there exist increasing functions  $g$  and  $h$  so that  $f = g - h$ .*

Proof outline:

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

## Theorem

*$f$  is of bounded variation on an interval if and only if there exist increasing functions  $g$  and  $h$  so that  $f = g - h$ .*

Proof outline: IF:

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

## Theorem

*$f$  is of bounded variation on an interval if and only if there exist increasing functions  $g$  and  $h$  so that  $f = g - h$ .*

Proof outline: IF:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |(g - h)(x_i) - (g - h)(x_{i-1})| \leq$$

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

## Theorem

*$f$  is of bounded variation on an interval if and only if there exist increasing functions  $g$  and  $h$  so that  $f = g - h$ .*

Proof outline: IF:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |(g-h)(x_i) - (g-h)(x_{i-1})| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})| + |h(x_i) - h(x_{i-1})|$$

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

## Theorem

*$f$  is of bounded variation on an interval if and only if there exist increasing functions  $g$  and  $h$  so that  $f = g - h$ .*

Proof outline: IF:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n |(g-h)(x_i) - (g-h)(x_{i-1})| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})| + |h(x_i) - h(x_{i-1})| \\ &= g(b) - g(a) + h(b) - h(a)\end{aligned}$$



# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

Step 1:

$$TV_{[a,c]}(f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f) + TV_{[b,c]}(f).$$

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

Step 1:

$$TV_{[a,c]}(f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f) + TV_{[b,c]}(f).$$

In particular  $TV_{[a,x]}(f)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

## Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

Step 1:

$$TV_{[a,c]}(f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f) + TV_{[b,c]}(f).$$

In particular  $TV_{[a,x]}(f)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

Step 2:  $TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

Step 1:

$$TV_{[a,c]}(f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f) + TV_{[b,c]}(f).$$

In particular  $TV_{[a,x]}(f)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

Step 2:  $TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

subproof: If  $0 \leq x < y \leq 1$ ,

## Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

Step 1:

$$TV_{[a,c]}(f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f) + TV_{[b,c]}(f).$$

In particular  $TV_{[a,x]}(f)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

Step 2:  $TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

subproof: If  $0 \leq x < y \leq 1$ ,

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq TV_{[x,y]}(f) = TV_{[0,y]}(f) - TV_{[0,x]}(f)$$

where the last equality comes from Step 1. Now rewrite. subQED

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

Step 1:

$$TV_{[a,c]}(f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f) + TV_{[b,c]}(f).$$

In particular  $TV_{[a,x]}(f)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

Step 2:  $TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

subproof: If  $0 \leq x < y \leq 1$ ,

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq TV_{[x,y]}(f) = TV_{[0,y]}(f) - TV_{[0,x]}(f)$$

where the last equality comes from Step 1. Now rewrite. subQED

Step 3: Note that

# Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

Step 1:

$$TV_{[a,c]}(f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f) + TV_{[b,c]}(f).$$

In particular  $TV_{[a,x]}(f)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

Step 2:  $TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

subproof: If  $0 \leq x < y \leq 1$ ,

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq TV_{[x,y]}(f) = TV_{[0,y]}(f) - TV_{[0,x]}(f)$$

where the last equality comes from Step 1. Now rewrite. subQED

Step 3: Note that

$$f(x) = \frac{TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)}{2} - \frac{TV_{[0,x]}(f) - f(x)}{2}.$$



## Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

Step 1:

$$TV_{[a,c]}(f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f) + TV_{[b,c]}(f).$$

In particular  $TV_{[a,x]}(f)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

Step 2:  $TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

subproof: If  $0 \leq x < y \leq 1$ ,

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq TV_{[x,y]}(f) = TV_{[0,y]}(f) - TV_{[0,x]}(f)$$

where the last equality comes from Step 1. Now rewrite. subQED

Step 3: Note that

$$f(x) = \frac{TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)}{2} - \frac{TV_{[0,x]}(f) - f(x)}{2}.$$

The two summands are increasing in  $x$  by Step 2,

## Characterization of functions with finite Total Variation

Step 1:

$$TV_{[a,c]}(f) = TV_{[a,b]}(f) + TV_{[b,c]}(f).$$

In particular  $TV_{[a,x]}(f)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

Step 2:  $TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ .

subproof: If  $0 \leq x < y \leq 1$ ,

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq TV_{[x,y]}(f) = TV_{[0,y]}(f) - TV_{[0,x]}(f)$$

where the last equality comes from Step 1. Now rewrite. subQED

Step 3: Note that

$$f(x) = \frac{TV_{[0,x]}(f) + f(x)}{2} - \frac{TV_{[0,x]}(f) - f(x)}{2}.$$

The two summands are increasing in  $x$  by Step 2, where for the second term we also use the fact that  $TV_{[0,x]}(-f) = TV_{[0,x]}(f)$ .

QED

# Signed measures and function of finite Variation

# Signed measures and function of finite Variation

There is a 1-1 correspondence between signed measures and functions of bounded variation. The bijection is given by  $\mu$  a signed measure on  $[0, 1]$  is sent to the bounded variation function

$$F_\mu(x) := \mu([0, x].$$

# Absolute continuity

# Absolute continuity

## Definition

$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **absolutely continuous**

# Absolute continuity

## Definition

$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **absolutely continuous** if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

# Absolute continuity

## Definition

$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **absolutely continuous** if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$



# Absolute continuity

## Definition

$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **absolutely continuous** if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots, x_n < y_n \leq 1$

# Absolute continuity

## Definition

$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **absolutely continuous** if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots, x_n < y_n \leq 1$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) < \delta,$$

# Absolute continuity

## Definition

$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **absolutely continuous** if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots, x_n < y_n \leq 1$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) < \delta,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(y_i) - f(x_i)| < \epsilon.$$

# Absolute continuity

## Definition

$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **absolutely continuous** if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots, x_n < y_n \leq 1$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) < \delta,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(y_i) - f(x_i)| < \epsilon.$$

$n = 1$  corresponds to uniform continuity.

# Absolute continuity

## Definition

$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **absolutely continuous** if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots, x_n < y_n \leq 1$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) < \delta,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(y_i) - f(x_i)| < \epsilon.$$

$n = 1$  corresponds to uniform continuity.

The Cantor Ternary function is *not* absolutely continuous.

How do our two definitions of absolute continuity relate?

## How do our two definitions of absolute continuity relate?

Proposition: Let  $f$  be a nonnegative monotone increasing function on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ .

## How do our two definitions of absolute continuity relate?

Proposition: Let  $f$  be a nonnegative monotone increasing function on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  where  $m$  is Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ .



## How do our two definitions of absolute continuity relate?

Proposition: Let  $f$  be a nonnegative monotone increasing function on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  where  $m$  is Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ .

Proof:

## How do our two definitions of absolute continuity relate?

Proposition: Let  $f$  be a nonnegative monotone increasing function on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  where  $m$  is Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ .

Proof:

First, note that  $f$  is continuous if and only if  $\mu_f$  has no atoms.

## How do our two definitions of absolute continuity relate?

Proposition: Let  $f$  be a nonnegative monotone increasing function on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  where  $m$  is Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ .

Proof:

First, note that  $f$  is continuous if and only if  $\mu_f$  has no atoms. If these equivalent conditions fail, then both sides in the proposition fail.

## How do our two definitions of absolute continuity relate?

Proposition: Let  $f$  be a nonnegative monotone increasing function on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  where  $m$  is Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ .

Proof:

First, note that  $f$  is continuous if and only if  $\mu_f$  has no atoms. If these equivalent conditions fail, then both sides in the proposition fail. Hence we can assume that  $f$  is continuous or equivalently  $\mu_f$  is continuous (i.e. no atoms).

# Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $\mu_f \ll m$ , then  $f$  is absolutely continuous.

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $\mu_f \ll m$ , then  $f$  is absolutely continuous.

Proof: Let  $\epsilon > 0$

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $\mu_f \ll m$ , then  $f$  is absolutely continuous.

Proof: Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$m(A) < \delta \text{ implies that } \mu_f(A) < \epsilon.$$



## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $\mu_f \ll m$ , then  $f$  is absolutely continuous.

Proof: Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$m(A) < \delta \text{ implies that } \mu_f(A) < \epsilon.$$

Now if  $0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots, x_n < y_n \leq 1$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) < \delta$ ,

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $\mu_f \ll m$ , then  $f$  is absolutely continuous.

Proof: Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$m(A) < \delta \text{ implies that } \mu_f(A) < \epsilon.$$

Now if  $0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots, x_n < y_n \leq 1$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) < \delta$ , then we have that

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i, y_i)\right) < \delta$$

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $\mu_f \ll m$ , then  $f$  is absolutely continuous.

Proof: Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$m(A) < \delta \text{ implies that } \mu_f(A) < \epsilon.$$

Now if  $0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots, x_n < y_n \leq 1$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) < \delta$ , then we have that

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i, y_i)\right) < \delta$$

implying that

$$\mu_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i, y_i)\right) < \epsilon$$

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $\mu_f \ll m$ , then  $f$  is absolutely continuous.

Proof: Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$m(A) < \delta \text{ implies that } \mu_f(A) < \epsilon.$$

Now if  $0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \dots, x_n < y_n \leq 1$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) < \delta$ , then we have that

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i, y_i)\right) < \delta$$

implying that

$$\mu_f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i, y_i)\right) < \epsilon$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(y_i) - f(x_i)| < \epsilon.$$

# Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

# Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ .

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ .



## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ .

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $A$  with  $m(U) < \delta$  and write  $U$  as a disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ .

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $A$  with  $m(U) < \delta$  and write  $U$  as a disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ . Since we have for any  $N$

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $A$  with  $m(U) < \delta$  and write  $U$  as a disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ . Since we have for any  $N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) < \delta,$$

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $A$  with  $m(U) < \delta$  and write  $U$  as a disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ . Since we have for any  $N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) < \delta,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \epsilon$$

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $A$  with  $m(U) < \delta$  and write  $U$  as a disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ . Since we have for any  $N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) < \delta,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \epsilon$$

and so  $\mu_f(\bigcup_{i=1}^N (a_i, b_i)) < \epsilon$ .

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $A$  with  $m(U) < \delta$  and write  $U$  as a disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ . Since we have for any  $N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) < \delta,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \epsilon$$

and so  $\mu_f(\bigcup_{i=1}^N (a_i, b_i)) < \epsilon$ . By letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $A$  with  $m(U) < \delta$  and write  $U$  as a disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ . Since we have for any  $N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) < \delta,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \epsilon$$

and so  $\mu_f(\bigcup_{i=1}^N (a_i, b_i)) < \epsilon$ . By letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\mu_f(U) \leq \epsilon$ .



## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $A$  with  $m(U) < \delta$  and write  $U$  as a disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ . Since we have for any  $N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) < \delta,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \epsilon$$

and so  $\mu_f(\bigcup_{i=1}^N (a_i, b_i)) < \epsilon$ . By letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\mu_f(U) \leq \epsilon$ . Since  $A \subseteq U$ , this gives  $\mu_f(A) \leq \epsilon$

## Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of absolute continuity

If  $f$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu_f \ll m$ .

Proof: Assume that  $m(A) = 0$  for some Borel set  $A$ . We need to show that  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose the corresponding  $\delta$  in the definition of absolute continuity of  $f$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $A$  with  $m(U) < \delta$  and write  $U$  as a disjoint union of open intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ . Since we have for any  $N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) < \delta,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \epsilon$$

and so  $\mu_f(\bigcup_{i=1}^N (a_i, b_i)) < \epsilon$ . By letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\mu_f(U) \leq \epsilon$ . Since  $A \subseteq U$ , this gives  $\mu_f(A) \leq \epsilon$  and since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we get  $\mu_f(A) = 0$ .

## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ .

## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ .  
Choose  $N$  to be an integer larger than  $1/\delta$ .

## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ .

Choose  $N$  to be an integer larger than  $1/\delta$ . Choose an arbitrary partition  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = 1$ .

## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ . Choose  $N$  to be an integer larger than  $1/\delta$ . Choose an arbitrary partition  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = 1$ . Since refining a partition only increases the sum in the definition of total variation,

## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ . Choose  $N$  to be an integer larger than  $1/\delta$ . Choose an arbitrary partition  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = 1$ . Since refining a partition only increases the sum in the definition of total variation, we can assume that  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$  contain the points  $k/N$  for each integer  $k$ .

## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ . Choose  $N$  to be an integer larger than  $1/\delta$ . Choose an arbitrary partition  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = 1$ . Since refining a partition only increases the sum in the definition of total variation, we can assume that  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$  contain the points  $k/N$  for each integer  $k$ . Then by breaking

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|$$

into pieces corresponding to  $[0, 1/N], [1/N, 2/N], \dots, [(N-1)/N, 1]$ ,



## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ . Choose  $N$  to be an integer larger than  $1/\delta$ . Choose an arbitrary partition  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = 1$ . Since refining a partition only increases the sum in the definition of total variation, we can assume that  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$  contain the points  $k/N$  for each integer  $k$ . Then by breaking

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|$$

into pieces corresponding to  $[0, 1/N], [1/N, 2/N], \dots, [(N-1)/N, 1]$ , the sum over each piece is at most  $\epsilon = 1$

## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ . Choose  $N$  to be an integer larger than  $1/\delta$ . Choose an arbitrary partition  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = 1$ . Since refining a partition only increases the sum in the definition of total variation, we can assume that  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$  contain the points  $k/N$  for each integer  $k$ . Then by breaking

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|$$

into pieces corresponding to  $[0, 1/N], [1/N, 2/N], \dots, [(N-1)/N, 1]$ , the sum over each piece is at most  $\epsilon = 1$  since the length of each interval is less than  $\delta$ .

## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ . Choose  $N$  to be an integer larger than  $1/\delta$ . Choose an arbitrary partition  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = 1$ . Since refining a partition only increases the sum in the definition of total variation, we can assume that  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$  contain the points  $k/N$  for each integer  $k$ . Then by breaking

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|$$

into pieces corresponding to  $[0, 1/N], [1/N, 2/N], \dots, [(N-1)/N, 1]$ , the sum over each piece is at most  $\epsilon = 1$  since the length of each interval is less than  $\delta$ . Since there are  $N$  intervals, we get a bound of  $N$  on the total variation.

QED

## Finite total variation and absolute continuity

Proposition If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely continuous, then it has bounded variation.

Proof:

Let  $\delta$  correspond to  $\epsilon = 1$  in the definition of absolute continuity for  $f$ . Choose  $N$  to be an integer larger than  $1/\delta$ . Choose an arbitrary partition  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = 1$ . Since refining a partition only increases the sum in the definition of total variation, we can assume that  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$  contain the points  $k/N$  for each integer  $k$ . Then by breaking

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|$$

into pieces corresponding to  $[0, 1/N], [1/N, 2/N], \dots, [(N-1)/N, 1]$ , the sum over each piece is at most  $\epsilon = 1$  since the length of each interval is less than  $\delta$ . Since there are  $N$  intervals, we get a bound of  $N$  on the total variation.

QED (Recall the Cantor Ternary function)

# The second fundamental theorem of calculus

## The second fundamental theorem of calculus

Recall that an increasing function has a derivative a.e.

## The second fundamental theorem of calculus

Recall that an increasing function has a derivative a.e. One can ask if the second fundamental theorem of calculus holds.

## The second fundamental theorem of calculus

Recall that an increasing function has a derivative a.e. One can ask if the second fundamental theorem of calculus holds.

**Question:** If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing, does

$$\int_0^1 f'(x) = f(1) - f(0)?$$



## The second fundamental theorem of calculus

Recall that an increasing function has a derivative a.e. One can ask if the second fundamental theorem of calculus holds.

**Question:** If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing, does

$$\int_0^1 f'(x) = f(1) - f(0)?$$

## The second fundamental theorem of calculus

Recall that an increasing function has a derivative a.e. One can ask if the second fundamental theorem of calculus holds.

**Question:** If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing, does

$$\int_0^1 f'(x) = f(1) - f(0)?$$

Note that for the Cantor Ternary function, the LHS is 0 and the RHS is 1. This is indicative of how this inequality may fail for monotone increasing functions.

**Proposition:** If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is monotone increasing, then

$$\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0).$$

Proof of  $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1.

## Proof of $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1. For  $h \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

## Proof of $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1. For  $h \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , let

$$\text{Diff}_h f(x) := \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \text{ and}$$

## Proof of $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1. For  $h \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , let

$$\text{Diff}_h f(x) := \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \text{ and } \text{Av}_h f(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt$$

## Proof of $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1. For  $h \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , let

$$\text{Diff}_h f(x) := \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \text{ and } \text{Av}_h f(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt$$

$$\text{One has } \int_0^1 \text{Diff}_h f(x) dx = \text{Av}_h f(1) - \text{Av}_h f(0).$$

## Proof of $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1. For  $h \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , let

$$\text{Diff}_h f(x) := \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \text{ and } \text{Av}_h f(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt$$

$$\text{One has } \int_0^1 \text{Diff}_h f(x) dx = \text{Av}_h f(1) - \text{Av}_h f(0).$$

Now, we have that for a.e.  $x$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \text{Diff}_h(x) = f'(x).$$



## Proof of $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1. For  $h \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , let

$$\text{Diff}_h f(x) := \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \text{ and } \text{Av}_h f(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt$$

$$\text{One has } \int_0^1 \text{Diff}_h f(x) dx = \text{Av}_h f(1) - \text{Av}_h f(0).$$

Now, we have that for a.e.  $x$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \text{Diff}_h(x) = f'(x).$$

Also all functions are nonnegative since  $f$  is increasing.

## Proof of $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1. For  $h \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , let

$$\text{Diff}_h f(x) := \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \text{ and } \text{Av}_h f(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt$$

$$\text{One has } \int_0^1 \text{Diff}_h f(x) dx = \text{Av}_h f(1) - \text{Av}_h f(0).$$

Now, we have that for a.e.  $x$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \text{Diff}_h(x) = f'(x).$$

Also all functions are nonnegative since  $f$  is increasing. It then follows from Fatou's Lemma that

## Proof of $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1. For  $h \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , let

$$\text{Diff}_h f(x) := \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \text{ and } \text{Av}_h f(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt$$

$$\text{One has } \int_0^1 \text{Diff}_h f(x) dx = \text{Av}_h f(1) - \text{Av}_h f(0).$$

Now, we have that for a.e.  $x$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \text{Diff}_h(x) = f'(x).$$

Also all functions are nonnegative since  $f$  is increasing. It then follows from Fatou's Lemma that

$$\int_0^1 f'(x) dx \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \text{Diff}_h(x) dx$$

## Proof of $\int_0^1 f'(x) \leq f(1) - f(0)$

Extend  $f$  to be  $f(1)$  to the right of 1. For  $h \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , let

$$\text{Diff}_h f(x) := \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \text{ and } \text{Av}_h f(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt$$

$$\text{One has } \int_0^1 \text{Diff}_h f(x) dx = \text{Av}_h f(1) - \text{Av}_h f(0).$$

Now, we have that for a.e.  $x$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \text{Diff}_h(x) = f'(x).$$

Also all functions are nonnegative since  $f$  is increasing. It then follows from Fatou's Lemma that

$$\int_0^1 f'(x) dx \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \text{Diff}_h(x) dx = f(1) - f(0).$$

QED

# Putting it **all** together

## Theorem

## Putting it **all** together

### Theorem

*Let  $f$  be nonnegative monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ .*

## Putting it **all** together

### Theorem

*Let  $f$  be nonnegative monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Write*

$$\mu_f = \mu_s + \mu_{ac}.$$

## Putting it **all** together

### Theorem

*Let  $f$  be nonnegative monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Write*

$$\mu_f = \mu_s + \mu_{ac}.$$

*Then the following hold.*



## Putting it **all** together

### Theorem

*Let  $f$  be nonnegative monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Write*

$$\mu_f = \mu_s + \mu_{ac}.$$

*Then the following hold.*

- 1. The Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu_{ac}$  with respect to Lebesgue measure is given by  $f'$ .*

## Putting it **all** together

### Theorem

Let  $f$  be nonnegative monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Write

$$\mu_f = \mu_s + \mu_{ac}.$$

Then the following hold.

1. The Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu_{ac}$  with respect to Lebesgue measure is given by  $f'$ .

2.

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

## Putting it **all** together

### Theorem

Let  $f$  be nonnegative monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Write

$$\mu_f = \mu_s + \mu_{ac}.$$

Then the following hold.

1. The Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu_{ac}$  with respect to Lebesgue measure is given by  $f'$ .

2.

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

3.  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = f(1) - f(0)$ .

## Putting it **all** together

### Theorem

Let  $f$  be nonnegative monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Write

$$\mu_f = \mu_s + \mu_{ac}.$$

Then the following hold.

1. The Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu_{ac}$  with respect to Lebesgue measure is given by  $f'$ .

2.

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

3.  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = f(1) - f(0)$ . (So the second fundamental theorem of calculus holds if and only if  $f$  is absolutely continuous.)

## Putting it **all** together

### Theorem

Let  $f$  be nonnegative monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Write

$$\mu_f = \mu_s + \mu_{ac}.$$

Then the following hold.

1. The Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu_{ac}$  with respect to Lebesgue measure is given by  $f'$ .

2.

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

3.  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = f(1) - f(0)$ . (So the second fundamental theorem of calculus holds if and only if  $f$  is absolutely continuous.)

4.  $\mu_f$  is singular if and only if  $f'(x) = 0$  a.e.

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement.

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.



## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  if and only if  $\mu_f = \mu_{ac}$

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  if and only if  $\mu_f = \mu_{ac}$  if and only if  $\mu_f[0, 1] = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  if and only if  $\mu_f = \mu_{ac}$  if and only if  $\mu_f[0, 1] = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if  $f(1) - f(0) = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  if and only if  $\mu_f = \mu_{ac}$  if and only if  $\mu_f[0, 1] = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if  $f(1) - f(0) = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if (step 2)  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = f(1) - f(0)$ .

For the fourth step,

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  if and only if  $\mu_f = \mu_{ac}$  if and only if  $\mu_f[0, 1] = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if  $f(1) - f(0) = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if (step 2)  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = f(1) - f(0)$ .

For the fourth step,  $\mu_f$  is singular

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  if and only if  $\mu_f = \mu_{ac}$  if and only if  $\mu_f[0, 1] = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if  $f(1) - f(0) = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if (step 2)  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = f(1) - f(0)$ .

For the fourth step,  $\mu_f$  is singular if and only if  $\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = 0$



## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  if and only if  $\mu_f = \mu_{ac}$  if and only if  $\mu_f[0, 1] = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if  $f(1) - f(0) = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if (step 2)  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = f(1) - f(0)$ .

For the fourth step,  $\mu_f$  is singular if and only if  $\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = 0$  if and only if (step 2)  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = 0$

## Proof of "putting it **all** together"

$$\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = \int_0^1 f'(x) dx.$$

Proof:

We have seen the first statement. Second statement follows.

For the third statement,  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $\mu_f \ll m$  if and only if  $\mu_f = \mu_{ac}$  if and only if  $\mu_f[0, 1] = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if  $f(1) - f(0) = \mu_{ac}[0, 1]$  if and only if (step 2)  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = f(1) - f(0)$ .

For the fourth step,  $\mu_f$  is singular if and only if  $\mu_{ac}[0, 1] = 0$  if and only if (step 2)  $\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = 0$  if and only if  $f'(x) = 0$  a.e.

QED