An algebraic derivation of the pricing step

$$z^* = ext{infimum } oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{x} = ext{infimum } oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{x}_B + oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{x}_N \ ext{subject to } oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{x} = oldsymbol{b}, \quad ext{subject to } oldsymbol{B} oldsymbol{x}_B + oldsymbol{N} oldsymbol{x}_N = oldsymbol{b}, \ oldsymbol{x} oldsymbol{z} oldsymbol{b}^{n-m} \\ = oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{b} + \quad ext{infimum } oldsymbol{c} oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{N} oldsymbol{x}_N \\ = oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{b} + \quad ext{infimum } oldsymbol{c} oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{N} oldsymbol{x}_N \\ = oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{b} + \quad ext{Infimum } oldsymbol{c} oldsymbol{c}^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{N} oldsymbol{x}_N \\ = oldsymbol{c} olds$$

- ullet $oldsymbol{x}_{oldsymbol{N}} = oldsymbol{0}^{n-m}$ feasible. Let $ilde{oldsymbol{c}}_{oldsymbol{N}} := oldsymbol{c}_{oldsymbol{N}}^{\mathrm{T}} oldsymbol{c}_{oldsymbol{B}}^{\mathrm{T}} oldsymbol{N}^{-1} oldsymbol{N}$
- If reduced cost $\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{N} \geq \boldsymbol{0}^{n-m}$ then $\boldsymbol{x}_{N} = \boldsymbol{0}^{n-m}$ is optimal

1

- If $\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{N} \not\geq \boldsymbol{0}^{n-m}$ then $\exists j \in N$ with $\tilde{c}_{j} < 0$. Then the current point $\boldsymbol{x}_{N} = \boldsymbol{0}^{n-m}$ may be non-optimal
- Generate a feasible descent direction
- Choose one that leads to a neighboring extreme point
- Swap one variable in B for one in N
- \bullet Increase one variable in N from zero
- \bullet Choose \mathbf{j}^* to be among $\arg\min \min_{j \in N} \ \tilde{c}_j$
- We have then decided on the search direction

The basis change

- What is this direction?
- ullet In $oldsymbol{x_N}$ -space: $oldsymbol{p_N} = oldsymbol{e_{J^*}}$ (unit vector)
- In x_B -space: $x_B = B^{-1}b B^{-1}Nx_N \Longrightarrow p_B = -B^{-1}Np_N = -B^{-1}N_{J^*}$
- So, search direction in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$oldsymbol{p} = egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{p}_B \ oldsymbol{p}_N \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} -oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{N}_{\mathtt{J}^*} \ oldsymbol{e}_{\mathtt{J}^*} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Descent? Yes, because $\boldsymbol{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{p} = \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{j}^*} < 0!$

- Feasible? Must check that $Ap = 0^m$ and that $p_i \ge 0$ if $x_i = 0, i \in B$. The first true by construction:
- (a) $Ap = Bp_B + Np_N = -BB^{-1}N_{1^*} + Ne_{1^*} = 0^m$
- (b) Suppose that $x_B > 0^m$. Then, at least a small step in p keeps $x_B \ge 0^m$. But if there is an 1* with $(x_B)_{1^*} = 0$ and $(p_B)_{1^*} < 0$ then it is not a feasible direction
- Must then perform a basis change without moving! A degenerate basis change: swap x_{j^*} for x_{i^*} in the basis
- Otherwise (and normally), we utilize the unit direction

• Line search? Linear objective; move the maximum step!

- Maximum step: If $p_B \geq 0^m$ there is no finite maximum step! We have found an extreme direction p along which $c^T x$ tends to $-\infty$! Unbounded solution
- Otherwise: Some basic variables will reach zero eventually. Choose as the outgoing variable a variable $i \in B$ with minimum in

$$x_{\mathsf{J}^*} := \underset{i \in B}{\operatorname{minimum}} \left\{ \left. \frac{(\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b})_i}{(\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{N}_{\mathsf{J}^*})_i} \right| (\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{N}_{\mathsf{J}^*})_i > 0 \right\}$$

- Done. In the basis, replace 1* by J*; goto pricing step
- If $x_{J^*} = 0$ then the above corresponds to a "degenerate basis change"

Computational notes—how do we do all of this?

 \bullet Given basis matrix \boldsymbol{B} , solve

$$Bx_B = b$$

- Gives us BFS: $\boldsymbol{x_B} = \boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b}$
- Pricing step: (a) Solve

$$oldsymbol{B}^{\mathrm{T}}oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{c}_{B} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad oldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{T}} = oldsymbol{c}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}oldsymbol{B}^{-1}$$

- ullet (b) Calculate $\tilde{m{c}}_{m{N}}^{\mathrm{T}} = m{c}_{m{N}}^{\mathrm{T}} m{y}^{\mathrm{T}} m{N},$ the reduced cost vector
- Choose the incoming variable, x_{1*}

• Outgoing variable: Solve

$$oldsymbol{B}oldsymbol{p}_B = -oldsymbol{N}_{ exttt{J}^*}$$

- Quotient rule for $(\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b})_i/(-\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{B}})_i$ gives outgoing variable, x_{1^*} , and value of the new basic variable, x_{J^*}
- ullet Note: Three similar linear systems in $m{B}!$ LU factorization + three triangular substitutions
- Factorizations can be updated after basis change rather than done from scratch
- LP solvers like Cplex and XPRESS-MP have excellent numerical solvers for linear systems
- Linear systems the bulk of the work in solving an LP

Convergence

- If all of the basic feasible solutions are non-degenerate, then the Simplex algorithm terminates after a finite number of iterations
- Proof: (Rough argument) Non-degeneracy implies that the step length is > 0; hence, we cannot return to an old BFS once we have left it. There are finitely many BFSs

9

- Degeneracy: Can actually lead to cycling—the same sequence of BFSs is returned to indefinitely!
- Remedy: Change the incoming/outgoing criteria!

 Bland's rule: Sort variables according to some index ordering. Take the first possible index in the list.

 Incoming variable first in the list with the right sign of the reduced cost; outgoing variable the first in the list among the minima in the quotient rule

Initial BFS: Phase I of the Simplex method

- If a starting BFS cannot be found, do the following:
- Suppose $b \geq 0^m$. Introduce artificial variables a_i in every row (or rows without a unit column)
- Solve the following Phase-I problem:

minimize
$$w = (\mathbf{1}^m)^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{a}$$

subject to $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{I}^m \boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{b},$
 $\boldsymbol{x} \geq \mathbf{0}^n,$
 $\boldsymbol{a} \geq \mathbf{0}^m$

• Possible cases: (a) $w^* = 0$, meaning that $\mathbf{a}^* = \mathbf{0}^m$ must hold. There is then a BFS in the *original* problem

- Start Phase-II, to solve the original problem, starting from this BFS
- (b) $w^* > 0$. The optimal basis then has some $a_i^* > 0$; due to the objective function construction, there exists no BFS in the original problem. The problem is then infeasible!
- What to do then? Modelling errors? Can be detected from the optimal solution. In fact, some LP problems are pure feasibility problems