# Lecture 9: Linear programming duality and sensitivity

#### The canonical primal-dual pair

 $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \text{ and } \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$\text{maximize} \quad z = \boldsymbol{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x} \tag{1}$$

subject to  $Ax \leq b$ ,

$$oldsymbol{x} \geq oldsymbol{0}^n$$

and

minimize 
$$w = \boldsymbol{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{y}$$
 (2) subject to  $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{y} \ge \boldsymbol{c}$ ,

$$oldsymbol{y} \geq oldsymbol{0}^m$$

#### The dual of the LP in standard form

minimize 
$$z = c^{T}x$$
 (P) subject to  $Ax = b$ ,  $x \ge 0^{n}$ 

and

maximize 
$$w = \boldsymbol{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{y}$$
 (D) subject to  $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{y} \leq \boldsymbol{c},$   $\boldsymbol{y}$  free

#### Rules for formulating dual LPs

- We say that an inequality is canonical if it is of  $\leq$  [respectively,  $\geq$ ] form in a maximization [respectively, minimization] problem
- We say that a variable is canonical if it is  $\geq 0$
- The rule is that the dual variable [constraint] for a primal constraint [variable] is canonical if the other one is canonical. If the direction of a primal constraint [sign of a primal variable] is the opposite from the canonical, then the dual variable [dual constraint] is also opposite from the canonical

- Further, the dual variable [constraint] for a primal equality constraint [free variable] is free [an equality constraint]
- Summary:

# primal/dual constraint dual/primal variable canonical inequality $\iff \geq 0$

non-canonical inequality  $\iff \leq 0$ 

equality  $\iff$  unrestricted (free)

#### Weak Duality Theorem

- If x is a feasible solution to (P) and y a feasible solution to (D), then  $c^{T}x \geq b^{T}y$
- Similar relation for the primal—dual pair (2)–(1): the max problem never has a higher objective value
- Proof.

• Corollary: If  $c^T x = b^T y$  for a feasible primal—dual pair (x, y) then they must be optimal

#### Strong Duality Theorem

- Strong duality is here established for the pair (P), (D)
- If one of the problems (P) and (D) has a finite optimal solution, then so does its dual, and their optimal objective values are equal
- Proof.

#### Complementary Slackness Theorem

• Let  $\boldsymbol{x}$  be a feasible solution to (1) and  $\boldsymbol{y}$  a feasible solution to (2). Then  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is optimal to (1) and  $\boldsymbol{y}$  optimal to (2) if and only if

$$x_j(c_j - \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{A}_{\cdot j}) = 0, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n,$$
 (3a)

$$y_i(\mathbf{A}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} - b_i) = 0, \qquad i = 1 \dots, m, \tag{3b}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_{i\cdot}$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $\mathbf{A}$ 

• Proof.

Necessary and sufficient optimality conditions: Strong duality, the global optimality conditions, and the KKT conditions are equivalent for LP

- We have seen above that the following statement characterizes the optimality of a primal—dual pair  $(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})$ :
- $\boldsymbol{x}$  is feasible in (1),  $\boldsymbol{y}$  is feasible in (2), and complementarity holds
- In other words, we have the following result (think of the KKT conditions!):

- Take a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $\mathbf{x}$  to be an optimal solution to the linear program (1), it is both necessary and sufficient that
  - (a)  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is a feasible solution to (1);
- (b) corresponding to  $\boldsymbol{x}$  there is a dual feasible solution  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  to (2); and
- (c)  $\boldsymbol{x}$  and  $\boldsymbol{y}$  together satisfy complementarity (3)
- This is precisely the same as the KKT conditions!
- Those who wishes to establish this—note that there are no multipliers for the " $x \geq 0$ " constraints, and in the KKT conditions there are. Introduce such a multiplier vector and see that it can later be eliminated

- ullet Further: suppose that  $oldsymbol{x}$  and  $oldsymbol{y}$  are feasible in (1) and
  - (2). Then, the following are equivalent:
  - (a)  $\boldsymbol{x}$  and  $\boldsymbol{y}$  have the same objective value;
  - (b)  $\boldsymbol{x}$  and  $\boldsymbol{y}$  solve (1) and (2);
  - (c)  $\boldsymbol{x}$  and  $\boldsymbol{y}$  satisfy complementarity

## The Simplex method and the global optimality conditions

- The Simplex method is remarkable in that it satisfies two of the three conditions at every BFS, and the remaining one is satisfied at optimality:
- $\boldsymbol{x}$  is feasible after Phase-I has been completed
- x and y always satisfy complementarity. Why? If  $x_j$  is in the basis, then it has a zero reduced cost, implying that the dual constraint j has no slack. If the reduced cost of  $x_j$  is non-zero (slack in dual constraint j), then its value is zero

• The feasibility of  $\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{c}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1}$  is not fulfilled until we reach an optimal BFS. How is the incoming criterion related to this? We introduce as an incoming variable that variable which has the best reduced cost. Since the reduced cost measures the dual feasibility of  $\mathbf{y}$ , this means that we select the most violated dual constraint; at the new BFS, that constraint is then satisfied (since the reduced cost then is zero). The Simplex method hence works to try to satisfy dual feasibility!

#### Farkas' Lemma revisited

• Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then, exactly one of the systems

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} &= oldsymbol{b}, \ oldsymbol{x} &\geq oldsymbol{0}^n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{y} \leq \mathbf{0}^{n},$$
 (II)  $\boldsymbol{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{y} > 0,$ 

has a feasible solution, and the other system is inconsistent

• Proof.

## Sensitivity analysis, I: Shadow prices are derivatives of a convex function!

- Suppose an optimal BFS is non-degenerate. Then,  $\boldsymbol{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{x}^{*} = \boldsymbol{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{y}^{*} = \boldsymbol{c}_{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b}$  varies linearly as a function of  $\boldsymbol{b}$  around its given value
- Non-degeneracy also implies that  $y^*$  is unique. Why?
- Perturbation function  $\boldsymbol{b} \mapsto v(\boldsymbol{b})$  given by

$$v(\boldsymbol{b}) = \min \boldsymbol{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x} = \max \boldsymbol{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{y} = \max_{k \in K} \boldsymbol{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{y}_{k}$$
  
s.t.  $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$ , s.t.  $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{y} \leq \boldsymbol{c}$   
 $\boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{0}^{n}$ 

K: set of DFS. v a piece-wise linear, convex function

- Fact: v convex (and finite in a neighbourhood of  $\boldsymbol{b}$ ) implies that v differentiable at  $\boldsymbol{b}$  iff it has a unique subgradient there
- Here: derivative w.r.t.  $\boldsymbol{b}$  is  $\boldsymbol{y}^*$ , that is, the change in the optimal value from a change in the right-hand side  $\boldsymbol{b}$  equals the dual optimal solution

#### Sensitivity analysis, II: Perturbations in data

- How to find a new optimum through re-optimization when data has changed
- If an element of  $\boldsymbol{c}$  changes, then the old BFS is feasible but may not be optimal. Check the new value of the reduced cost vector  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}$  and change the basis if some sign has changed

- If an element of  $\boldsymbol{b}$  changes, then the old BFS is optimal but may not be feasible. Check the new value of the vector  $\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b}$  and change the basis if some sign has changed. Since the BFS is infeasible but optimal, we use a dual version of the Simplex method: the Dual Simplex method
- Find a negative basic variable  $x_j \to \text{outgoing basic}$  variable  $x_s$
- Choose among the non-basic variables for which the element  $B^{-1}N_{sj} < 0$ ; means that the new basic variable will become positive
- ullet Choose the incoming variable so that  $\tilde{m{c}}$  keeps its sign

#### Decentralized planning

• Consider the following profit maximization problem:

maximize 
$$z = \boldsymbol{p}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_{i},$$

s.t.

$$\boldsymbol{x}_i \geq \mathbf{0}^{n_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

for which we have the following interpretation:

- ullet We have m independent subunits, responsible for finding their optimal production plan
- While they are governed by their own objectives, we (the Managers) want to solve the overall problem of maximizing the company's profit
- The constraints  $B_i x_i \leq b_i$ ,  $x_i \geq 0^{n_i}$  describe unit *i*'s own production limits, when using their own resources

- The units also use limited resources that are the same
- The resource constraint is difficult as well as unwanted to enforce directly, because it would make it a centralized planning process
- We want the units to maximize their own profits individually
- ullet But we must also make sure that they do not violate the resource constraints  $Cx \leq c$
- (This constraint is typically of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_i x_i \leq c$ )
- How?
- ANSWER: Solve the LP dual problem!

- ullet Generate from the dual solution the dual vector  $oldsymbol{y}$  for the joint resource constraint
- Introduce an *internal price* for the use of this resource, equal to this dual vector
- Let each unit optimize their own production plan, with an additional cost term
- This will then be a decentralized planning process
- Each unit i will then solve their own LP problem to

$$egin{aligned} & ext{maximize} & [oldsymbol{p}_i - oldsymbol{C}_i^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{y}]^{ ext{T}} oldsymbol{x}_i, \ & ext{subject to} & oldsymbol{B}_i oldsymbol{x}_i \leq oldsymbol{b}_i, \ & oldsymbol{x} \geq oldsymbol{0}^{n_i}, \end{aligned}$$

resulting in an optimal production plan!

- Decentralized planning, is related to Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition, which is a general technique for solving large-scale LP by solving a sequence of smaller LP:s
- More on such techniques in the Project course