

MSA 410/ TMS 087

## Financial Time Series

Exam 1, June 2, 2017

Lösung 2016/17, 1p 4

Examiner Annika Lang

Given the TS

$$X_t = (1+\mu) X_{t-1} + \beta z_t,$$

where

- $z_t = (z_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$  IID(0,1) and  $z_t \sim U(0,1), \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$

- $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  fixed with

- \*  $E(X_{t_0}) = 0$

- \*  $E(X_{t_0}^2) = -\frac{\beta^2}{2\mu + \mu^2}$

- $-2 < \mu < 0, \mu \neq -1$

15 Problem 1Assume that  $\text{Cov}(X_t, z_{t+h}) = 0 \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}, h > 0$ 3 (a) Let  $t > t_0$ , then

$$E(X_t) = E((1+\mu)X_{t-1} + \beta z_t)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{linearity}}{=} (1+\mu)E(X_{t-1}) + \beta E(z_t) \quad (\frac{1}{2})$$

$$\stackrel{z_t \sim U(0,1)}{=} (1+\mu)E(X_{t-1}) + 0$$

$$= \dots \text{ [recursively]}$$

$$= (1+\mu)^{t-t_0} E(X_{t_0})$$

$$\stackrel{E(X_{t_0})=0}{=} 0$$

(\frac{1}{2})

Let next  $t < t_0$ . Observe that

$$X_t = (1+\mu) X_{t-1} + \delta z_t$$

is equivalent to

$$(1+\mu) X_{t-1} = X_t - \delta z_t \quad (1)$$

and since  $\mu \neq -1$  equivalent to

$$X_{t-1} = (1+\mu)^{-1} X_t - \frac{\delta}{1+\mu} z_t$$

or with  $t \rightarrow t+1$

$$X_t = (1+\mu)^{-1} X_{t+1} - \frac{\delta}{1+\mu} z_{t+1}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_t) &= \mathbb{E}\left((1+\mu)^{-1} X_{t+1} - \frac{\delta}{1+\mu} z_{t+1}\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{lin } \mathbb{E}}{=} (1+\mu)^{-1} \mathbb{E}(X_{t+1}) - \frac{\delta}{1+\mu} \mathbb{E}(z_{t+1}) \\ &\stackrel{z_{t+1} \sim \text{NID}(0,1)}{=} (1+\mu)^{-1} \mathbb{E}(X_{t+1}) - 0 \\ &= \dots \quad [\text{recursively}] \\ &= (1+\mu)^{-(t_0-t)} \mathbb{E}(X_{t_0}) \quad (1) \\ &\stackrel{\mathbb{E}(X_{t_0})=0}{=} 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  We have shown

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(5/2)

(b) Observe first the general recursion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_t^2) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\left((1+\mu)X_{t-1} + \delta z_t\right)^2\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left((1+\mu)^2 X_{t-1}^2 + (1+\mu)\delta X_{t-1} z_t + \delta^2 z_t^2\right) \quad (1/2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{lin } \mathbb{E}}{=} (1+\mu)^2 \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1}^2) + (1+\mu)\delta \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1} z_t) + \delta^2 \mathbb{E}(z_t^2) \quad (2) \\ &\stackrel{z_t \sim \text{NID}(0,1)}{=} (1+\mu)^2 \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1}^2) + (1+\mu)\delta \text{Cov}(X_{t-1}, z_t) + \delta^2 \quad (3) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Assume Cov}(X_{t-1}, z_t)=0}{=} (1+\mu)^2 \mathbb{E}(X_{t-1}^2) + \delta^2 \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Set  $t = t_0 + 1$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{t_0+1}^2) = (1+\mu)^2 \mathbb{E}(X_{t_0}^2) + \delta^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (1+\mu)^2 \left( -\frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} \right) + \beta^2 \\
 &= -(1+2\mu+\mu^2) \frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} + \beta^2 \\
 &= -\frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} - \frac{(2\mu+\mu^2)\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} + \beta^2 \\
 &= -\frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\mathbb{E}(X_{t_0+t}^2) = \mathbb{E}(X_{t_0}^2)$  and recursively  
for all  $t \geq t_0$ .  $(1/2)$

To get results for  $t < t_0$ , observe first that

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} &= \mathbb{E}(X_{t_0}^2) \\
 &\stackrel{\substack{t=t_0 \text{ in} \\ \text{the prob.} \\ \text{comp.}}}{=} (1+\mu)^2 \mathbb{E}(X_{t_0-1}^2) + \beta^2 \quad (1/2)
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent (since  $\mu \neq -1$ ) to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}(X_{t_0-1}^2) &= \left( -\frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} - \beta^2 \right) \frac{1}{(1+\mu)^2} \\
 &= -\frac{\beta^2(1+2\mu+\mu^2)}{2\mu+\mu^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1+2\mu+\mu^2} \\
 &= -\frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} = \mathbb{E}(X_{t_0}^2) \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Recursively, we therefore obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t^2) = \mathbb{E}(X_{t_0}^2) \quad \forall t \leq t_0 \quad (1/2)$$

and all together

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t^2) = -\frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

**3** (c) Let  $j > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}(X_t X_{t+j}) &= \mathbb{E}(\left( (1+\mu)X_{t+1} + \beta Z_t \right) X_{t+j}) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{lin}}{=} (1+\mu) \mathbb{E}(X_{t+1} X_{t+j}) + \beta \mathbb{E}(Z_t X_{t+j}) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{cov}(X_{t+j}, Z_t) = 0}{=} (1+\mu) \mathbb{E}(X_{t+1} X_{t+j}) + \beta \cdot 0 \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (1+\mu) \mathbb{E}(X_{t+1}, X_{t+j}) \\
&= \dots \quad [\text{recursively}] \\
&= (1+\mu)^{|j|} \mathbb{E}(X_{t+|j|}^2) \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} -(1+\mu)^{|j|} \frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

For  $j < 0$ , set

$$t' = t - j > t' + j = t' - (-j) = t$$

and plug in to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(X_t X_{t+j}) &= \mathbb{E}(X_{t+(-j)} X_{t'}) \\
&= -(1+\mu)^{-|j|} \frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} \quad (1/2)
\end{aligned}$$

So in conclusion

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t X_{t+j}) = -(1+\mu)^{|j|} \frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} \quad (1/2)$$

3 1/2

(d) We have seen in (a) that the mean is constant for all  $t$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t) = 0 \quad (1/2)$$

We have seen in (b) that

$$\text{Var}(X_t) = \mathbb{E}(X_t^2) = -\frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} < +\infty \quad (1/2)$$

since  $\mu < 0$ .  $(1/2)$

We have seen in (c) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+j}) &= \mathbb{E}(X_t X_{t+j}) \\
&= -(1+\mu)^{|j|} \frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2}
\end{aligned}$$

just depends on the distance  $|t - t + j| = |j|$  and not on  $t$ .  $(1)$

$$\gamma_X(h) = -(1+\mu)^{|h|} \frac{\beta^2}{2\mu+\mu^2} \quad (1)$$

is the ACVF for all  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

10

Problem 2

[3] (a) We have shown in Problem 1 that  $X$  is stationary. (1/2)

It remains to show that  $X$  has a representation

$$X_t - \phi_1 X_{t-1} = \tilde{z}_t, \quad (1/2)$$

where  $\tilde{z}_t \sim WN(0, \tilde{\sigma}^2)$  for some  $\tilde{\sigma}^2 > 0$ .

Set

$$\phi_1 := 1 + \mu \quad (1/2)$$

$$\tilde{z}_t := \sigma z_t \quad (1/2)$$

Since  $z_t \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $z \sim ID(0, 1)$ ,

$$\tilde{z}_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{z} \sim ID(0, \sigma^2) \quad (1/2)$$

and since

$$ID(0, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow WN(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\tilde{z} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$$

Therefore we are done setting

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2 := \sigma^2.$$

[4] (b) We know that  $X$  is causal

def  $\Leftrightarrow \exists (\psi_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  s.t.  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < +\infty$  and

$$\textcircled{1} \quad X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \tilde{z}_{t-j} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Lemma

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \Leftrightarrow 1 - \phi_1 z \neq 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| \leq 1$$

Using (a), we obtain

$$1 - (1 + \mu)z = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (1 + \mu)z = 1$$

$$\stackrel{\mu \neq -1}{\Leftrightarrow} z = \frac{1}{1 + \mu} \quad (1/2)$$

Since

$$-2 < \mu < 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -1 < 1+\mu < 1$$

(1/2)

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{1+\mu} > 1 \text{ or } \frac{1}{1+\mu} < -1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{1}{1+\mu} \right| > 1$$

(1/2)

Therefore

$$1 + (1+\mu)z = 0 \quad \mu \neq -1 \quad \Leftrightarrow z = \frac{1}{1+\mu}$$

with

$$|z| > 1,$$

i.e.,  $X$  is causal  $\forall \mu \in (-2, 0) \setminus \{-1\}$ . (1/2)

3

(c)  $X$  is invertible

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \exists (\pi_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}) \text{ s.t. } \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\pi_j| < +\infty \text{ and} \quad (1)$$

$$\tilde{z}_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_j X_{t-j} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Set

$$\pi_0 := 1,$$

$$\pi_1 := -(1+\mu),$$

$$\pi_j := 0 \quad \forall j > 1,$$

(1)

then

$$\tilde{z}_t = X_t - (1+\mu)X_{t-1} = \pi_0 X_t + \pi_1 X_{t-1}$$

and

$$|\pi_0| + |\pi_1| = 1 + |-(1+\mu)|$$

$$< 2 < +\infty,$$

(1/2)

i.e.,  $X$  is invertible by definition. (1/2)

9 | Problem 3

5 | (a) For an AR(1) process, the PACF for all lags  $|k| > 1$  is zero. (1)

By the CLT, all lags  $|k| > 1$  are <sup>approx. indep.</sup> normally distributed and 95% of the values should fall within the bounds  $\pm \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}}$  of the sample PACF. (1)

data set 1:  $\pm \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{380}} \approx \pm 0.1005$  (1)

data set 2:  $\pm \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{100}} \approx \pm 0.1960$  (1)

The same bounds hold for the sample ACF, but it is just known to be decreasing (and known from Problem 1).

lines: put in in sample PACF. (1)

4 | (b) For data set 1, 1 out of 6 for lags  $> 1$  of the sample PACF is outside the confidence interval, i.e., 16.67% which is  $>> 5\%$  (for 95% confidence). (1)  
 $\Rightarrow$  data set 1 is not good to be modeled as AR(1). (1)

For data set 2, all lags  $> 1$  of the sample PACF fall within the 95% confidence interval (and the sample ACF is decreasing outside the confidence intervals). (1)  
 $\Rightarrow$  data set 2 can be modeled as AR(1). (1)

5

## Problem 4

Yule-Walker estimation sets

$$\hat{\phi}_1 = \hat{R}_1^{-1} \hat{p}(1), \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\gamma}(0) (1 - \hat{p}(1) \hat{R}_1^{-1} \hat{p}(1)), \quad (1)$$

where

$\hat{p}$  : sample ACF

$\hat{\gamma}$  : sample ACVF

$\hat{R}_1 = (\hat{p}(0)) = 1$  : sample autocorrelation matrix

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\phi}_1 = 1 \cdot \hat{p}(1) = \frac{\hat{\gamma}(1)}{\hat{\gamma}(0)} \stackrel{(1/2)}{=} 1 + \hat{\mu}$$

$$\hat{\mu} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\gamma}(1)}{\hat{\gamma}(0)} \stackrel{(1/2)}{=} -0.74$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\gamma}(0) (1 - \hat{p}(1)^2) = \hat{\gamma}(0) \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\gamma}(1)^2}{\hat{\gamma}(0)^2}\right) \stackrel{(1/2)}{}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{\gamma}(0)^2 - \hat{\gamma}(1)^2}{\hat{\gamma}(0)} = \hat{\gamma}(0) - \frac{\hat{\gamma}(1)^2}{\hat{\gamma}(0)}$$

$$= 1.97 \quad \stackrel{(1/2)}{}$$

Problem 5

9 1/2 (a) We are looking for the best representations

$$X_{n+1} = a_0 + a_1 X_n + a_2 X_{n-1} \quad (1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$X_{n+2} = a_0' + a_1' X_n + a_2' X_{n-1} \quad (2) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

These can be found with Proposition 2.3.5

$$a_0 = \bar{x}_n (1 - a_1 - a_2) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}(0) & \hat{y}(1) \\ \hat{y}(1) & \hat{y}(0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}(1) \\ \hat{y}(2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{y}(0) a_1 + \hat{y}(1) a_2 = \hat{y}(1) \\ \hat{y}(1) a_1 + \hat{y}(0) a_2 = \hat{y}(2) \end{cases}$$

$\hat{y}(1) \neq 0$   
 $\hat{y}(0) \neq 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 + \frac{\hat{y}(1)}{\hat{y}(0)} a_2 = \frac{\hat{y}(1)}{\hat{y}(0)} \\ a_1 + \frac{\hat{y}(0)}{\hat{y}(1)} a_2 = \frac{\hat{y}(2)}{\hat{y}(1)} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\stackrel{(1)-(2)}{\Rightarrow} \left( \frac{\hat{y}(1)}{\hat{y}(0)} - \frac{\hat{y}(0)}{\hat{y}(1)} \right) a_2 = \frac{\hat{y}(1)}{\hat{y}(0)} - \frac{\hat{y}(2)}{\hat{y}(1)}$$

$$\stackrel{\neq 0}{\Rightarrow} a_2 = \frac{\frac{\hat{y}(1)}{\hat{y}(0)} - \frac{\hat{y}(2)}{\hat{y}(1)}}{\frac{\hat{y}(1)}{\hat{y}(0)} - \frac{\hat{y}(0)}{\hat{y}(1)}} = 0.03 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$a_1 = \frac{\hat{y}(1)}{\hat{y}(0)} - \frac{\hat{y}(1)}{\hat{y}(0)} a_2 = 0.25 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$a_0 = \bar{x}_n (1 - a_1 - a_2) = -0.02 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

For  $X_{n+2}$  the linear system reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta(0) & \delta(1) \\ \delta(1) & \delta(0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1' \\ a_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta(2) \\ \delta(3) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

which leads with the same computations to

$$a_2' \stackrel{1/2}{=} \frac{\frac{\delta(2)}{\delta(0)} - \frac{\delta(3)}{\delta(1)}}{\frac{\delta(1)}{\delta(0)} - \frac{\delta(0)}{\delta(1)}} = -0.07 \quad (1/2)$$

$$a_1' \stackrel{1/2}{=} \frac{\delta(2)}{\delta(0)} - \frac{\delta(1)}{\delta(0)} a_2' = 0.11 \quad (1/2)$$

and

$$a_0' = x_n (1 - a_1' - a_2') = -0.03 \quad (1/2)$$

$$\Rightarrow b_{n+1}^e(X_{n-1}, X_n) = -0.02 + 0.25X_n + 0.03X_{n-1} = 0.11 \quad (1/2)$$

$$b_{n+2}^e(X_{n-1}, X_n) = -0.03 + 0.11X_n - 0.07X_{n-1} = -0.08 \quad (1/2)$$

(1/2)

(b) We know from Proposition 2.3.3 that the best predictor given  $X^n = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is the conditional expectation, i.e.,

$$b_{n+1}^e(X^n) = \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1} | (X_1, \dots, X_n)) \quad (1)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}((1+\hat{\mu})X_n + Z_{n+1} | (X_1, \dots, X_n))$$

$$\stackrel{\text{lin. cond. exp.}}{=} (1+\hat{\mu}) \mathbb{E}(X_n | (X_1, \dots, X_n)) + \mathbb{E}(Z_{n+1} | (X_1, \dots, X_n))$$

$$\stackrel{\text{① } X_n \text{ is } X_n\text{-meas.}}{=} (1+\hat{\mu}) X_n + \mathbb{E}(Z_{n+1})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{① } \mathbb{E}(Z_{n+1} | (X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \mathbb{E}(Z_{n+1})}{=} (1+\hat{\mu}) X_n = 0.26 X_n \quad (1/2)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{since lin. function of } X^n}{=} b_{n+1}^e((X_1, \dots, X_n)) = 0.09 \quad (1)$$

Similarly

$$b_{n+2}^e((X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \mathbb{E}(X_{n+2} | (X_1, \dots, X_n))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}((1+\hat{\mu})X_{n+1} + Z_{n+2} | (X_1, \dots, X_n))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}((1+\hat{\mu})((1+\hat{\mu})X_n + Z_{n+1}) + Z_{n+2} | (X_1, \dots, X_n)) \quad (1)$$

lin. cond. exp.

$$= (1+\hat{\mu})^2 E(X_n | (X_{1..}, X_n)) + (1+\hat{\mu}) E(z_{n+1} | (X_{1..}, X_n)) + E(z_{n+2} | (X_{1..}, X_n))$$

①  $z_{n+2} | (X_{1..}, X_n)$   
 $z_{n+1}$   
 ①  $X_n$  is  $X_n$ -meas.

$$(1+\hat{\mu})^2 X_n + (1+\hat{\mu}) E(z_{n+1}) + E(z_{n+2})$$

since linear relation

$$(1+\hat{\mu})^2 X_n = 0.07 X_n$$

$$b_{n+2}^e((X_{1..}, X_n)) = 0.02$$

①  $\frac{1}{2}$

We observe that

- the best linear predictor using the model just depends on  $X_n$  while
  - the best linear predictor using the sample ACVF depends on  $X_n$  and  $X_{n-1}$
- ①

Reason: sample ACVF is just <sup>an</sup> approximation that does not precisely "recooks" the model

①  $\frac{1}{2}$

	$b_{n+1}^e$	$b_{n+2}^e$
(a)	0.11	-0.08
(b)	0.09	0.02

Overall are the computed numbers are very similar, i.e.,  $b_{n+1}^e \approx 0.1$  and  $b_{n+2}^e \approx 0$ .

①

alternative solutions

- Use the innovations algorithm as presented in the lecture notes "Forecasting of ARMA processes"
- Use Proposition 2.3.5 with an abstract  $n \times n$  matrix and observe that there is only one solution to this system.

all methods lead due to the uniqueness of  $b_{n+i}^e((X_{1..}, X_n))$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , to the same result.