

Here $E[\log f_j(X|\theta_j)] \approx E[\log f_j(X|\theta_0)] - \frac{1}{2n} [n(\theta_j - \theta_0)^T \ddot{f}_j(\theta_0)(\theta_j - \theta_0)]$
 (under $\theta_j = \theta_0$ especially. (think of θ_j as a number))

$$- \frac{1}{2n} [n(\theta_j - \theta_0)^T \ddot{f}_j(\theta_0)(\theta_j - \theta_0)]$$

$$= E[\log f_j(X|\theta_0)]$$

$$\ddot{f}_j(\theta_0)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (\theta_j - \theta_0)^T \ddot{f}_j(\theta_0) (\theta_j - \theta_0) \bigg|_{\theta_0}$$

$$\approx E[\log f_j(X|\theta_0)]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (\theta_j - \theta_0)^T \ddot{f}_j(\theta_0) (\theta_j - \theta_0) \bigg|_{\theta_0}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (E[\log f_j(X|\theta_0)]) \bigg|_{\theta_0} (\theta_j - \theta_0)$$

= 0 by definition of θ_0

$$E[\log f_j(X|\theta_j)] = E[\log f_j(X|\theta_0)]$$

(Here all E 's are over $X \sim g$)

We want to expand $E[\log f_j(X|\theta_j)]$ near θ_0

Now take expectation over X^n (i.e. over θ_j)

$$E_x \left(E_{\theta_j} [\log f(X|\theta_j)] \right) \approx E_x [\log f(X|\theta_j)]$$

$$Q_j = -\frac{1}{20} \text{Trace} \{ J_j(\theta_j) F_j(\theta_j)^{-1} \}$$

Our validation

intension

Compare with result from before (page 6)

$$n E [\log f(X|\theta_j)] \approx E \left(l(\theta_j) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Trace} \{ J_j(\theta_j) F_j(\theta_j)^{-1} \}$$

$$E \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log f_j(x_i) \right)$$

$$= E \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log f_j(x_i | \hat{\theta}_j(x_i)) \right)$$

Average training loglikelihood at MLE for each training set

$$\Rightarrow n Q_j \approx E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log f_j(x_i | \hat{\theta}_j(x_i)) - \text{Trace} \{ J_j(\theta_j) F_j(\theta_j)^{-1} \} \right]$$

This average training loglike

is estimated by the observed

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log f_j(x_i | \hat{\theta}_j(x_i))$$

We have more at the common

9

$$n \Delta_j \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n \log b(x_i | \theta_j(x_i)) - \text{Trace} \{ J(\theta_j) F J(\theta_j) \}$$

$$= E_{\theta_j} \{ \log b(x_i | \theta_j(x_i)) - \text{Trace} \{ J(\theta_j) F J(\theta_j) \} \}$$

this depends on g

and so Δ_j — do not

very practical

but if we assume

θ_j is not too far from g

then $J(\theta_j) \approx F J(\theta_j)$

$$\Rightarrow n \Delta_j \triangleq E_{\theta_j} \{ \log b(x_i | \theta_j(x_i)) - \text{Trace} \{ I_{\theta_j} x_{\theta_j} \} \}$$

$$= E_{\theta_j} \{ \log b(x_i | \theta_j(x_i)) \} - p_j$$

Usually we see this as the $ALG_j = -2n \Delta_j$

$$ALG_j = -2 \log L + \log b(x_i | \theta_j(x_i)) + 2g$$

deviance

penalty on model complexity

⑩

Strategy $\rightarrow$ find θ such f maximizes $\hat{\theta}$

$\rightarrow$ evaluate maximum loglike $\log L(\hat{\theta}|X)$

$\rightarrow$ evaluate $Alt_j = Deviance_j + 2P_j$

$\rightarrow$ choose f_j with minimum AIC

Alternative - use cross-validation procedure

4 Estimate $\hat{\theta}_j$ by creating a training & test data

from data available

Example leave-one-out CV or jackknife

$$\hat{\theta}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_j(x_i | \hat{\theta}_j(x_{-i})) = L.OOCV_j$$

$\hat{\theta}_j(x_{-i})$ is maximizer of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log f_j(x_i | \theta_j)$$

(can show $Alt_j \approx -2n L.OOCV_j$)

Can try leave-out procedures as well

* High variance of $\hat{\theta}_j$ estimate a problem for LOOCV