

## NOTES ON TIME DEPENDENT PROBLEMS IN 2D

## 1. THE MODEL PROBLEM

We first consider the following time dependent model problem,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{u} - \nabla \cdot (a \nabla u) &= f, & x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega, & 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, t) &= 0, & x = (x_1, x_2) \in \partial\Omega, & 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u = u(x, t) = u(x_1, x_2, t)$  is the unknown function that we wish to compute, with time derivative,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , denoted by  $\dot{u}$ . We assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  has a polygonal boundary. The functions  $a = a(x, t)$  and  $f = f(x, t)$  are *data* to the problem. We also need to specify *boundary data*: in this model problem we have *homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions* ( $u = 0$ ) on the entire boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , for all times,  $0 < t < T$ , and *initial data*:  $u_0(x)$ , which specifies the solution, for  $x \in \Omega$ , at time  $t = 0$ .

## 2. THE NUMERICAL METHOD

We shall construct a numerical method by *first discretizing in space* (using finite elements) to obtain a finite dimensional system of linear, ordinary differential equations, which we finally solve numerically using, e.g., the backward Euler method.

## 2.1. Space Discretization.

2.1.1. *Variational Formulation.* Multiply the differential equation in (1) by a *test function*  $v = v(x_1, x_2)$  such that  $v = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ :

$$\iint_{\Omega} \dot{u}v \, dx_1 dx_2 - \iint_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (a \nabla u)v \, dx_1 dx_2 = \iint_{\Omega} fv \, dx_1 dx_2, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

We now integrate by parts (see the notes on *Robin Boundary Conditions in 2D* for details):

$$\iint_{\Omega} \dot{u}v \, dx_1 dx_2 - \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot (a \nabla u)) v \, ds + \iint_{\Omega} a \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx_1 dx_2 = \iint_{\Omega} fv \, dx_1 dx_2, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

Since

$$v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

we obtain

$$\iint_{\Omega} \dot{u}v \, dx_1 dx_2 + \iint_{\Omega} a \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx_1 dx_2 = \iint_{\Omega} fv \, dx_1 dx_2, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

We thus state the following *variational formulation* of (1):

Find  $u(x_1, x_2, t)$  such that, for every *fixed*  $t$ :  $u(x_1, x_2, t) \in V_0$ , and

$$(2) \quad \iint_{\Omega} \dot{u}v \, dx_1 dx_2 + \iint_{\Omega} a \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx_1 dx_2 = \iint_{\Omega} fv \, dx_1 dx_2, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad \forall v \in V_0,$$

where  $V_0$  denotes the vector space of functions  $v = v(x_1, x_2)$  such that  $v = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , that are sufficiently regular for the integrals in (2) to exist.

**2.1.2. Discretization in space.** In order to discretize (2) in space, we introduce the vector space  $V_{h0}$  of *continuous, piecewise linear* functions,  $v(x_1, x_2)$ , on a *triangulation*,  $\mathcal{T}_h = \{K_i\}_{i=1}^{ntri}$ , of  $\Omega$ , with the corresponding set of *internal nodes*,  $\mathcal{N}_{h0} = \{N_i\}_{i=1}^{nintnodes}$ , such that  $v = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , and state the following (*space*) *discrete* counterpart of (2):

Find  $U(x_1, x_2, t)$  such that, for every *fixed*  $t$ :  $U(x_1, x_2, t) \in V_{h0}$ , and

$$(3) \quad \iint_{\Omega} \dot{U}v \, dx_1 dx_2 + \iint_{\Omega} a \nabla U \cdot \nabla v \, dx_1 dx_2 = \iint_{\Omega} fv \, dx_1 dx_2, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad \forall v \in V_{h0}.$$

**2.1.3. Ansatz.** We now seek a solution,  $U(x_1, x_2, t)$ , to (3), expressed (for every *fixed*  $t$ ) in the basis of *tent functions*  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{nintnodes} \subset V_{h0}$ . (Note that only “tents” with “poles” at the internal nodes belong to the basis, since all functions in  $V_{h0}$  are zero on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .) In other words, we make the *Ansatz*

$$(4) \quad U(x_1, x_2, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{nintnodes} \xi_j(t) \varphi_j(x_1, x_2),$$

and seek to determine the (time dependent) coefficient vector

$$\xi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1(t) \\ \xi_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{nintnodes}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U(N_1, t) \\ U(N_2, t) \\ \vdots \\ U(N_{nintnodes}, t) \end{bmatrix},$$

of nodal values of  $U(x_1, x_2, t)$ , in such a way that (3) is satisfied.

Consider *very carefully* the structure of  $U(x_1, x_2, t)$  in (4): For every *fixed* time,  $t$ , we note that  $U(x_1, x_2, t)$ , as a function of  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ , is a continuous, piecewise linear function with weights given by  $\xi(t)$ .

**2.1.4. Construction of space discrete system of ODE.** We substitute (4) into (3),

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{nintnodes} \dot{\xi}_j(t) \left( \iint_{\Omega} \varphi_j v \, dx_1 dx_2 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{nintnodes} \xi_j(t) \left( \iint_{\Omega} a \nabla \varphi_j \cdot \nabla v \, dx_1 dx_2 \right) = \iint_{\Omega} fv \, dx_1 dx_2, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad \forall v \in V_{h0}.$$

Since  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{nintnodes} \subset V_{h0}$  is a *basis* of  $V_{h0}$ , (5) is equivalent to

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\text{nintnodes}} \dot{\xi}_j(t) \left( \iint_{\Omega} \varphi_j \varphi_i dx_1 dx_2 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\text{nintnodes}} \xi_j(t) \left( \iint_{\Omega} a \nabla \varphi_j \cdot \nabla \varphi_i dx_1 dx_2 \right) = \iint_{\Omega} f \varphi_i dx_1 dx_2, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad i = 1, \dots, \text{nintnodes},$$

which is an *nintnodes*-dimensional system of linear, ordinary differential equations. Introducing the notation

$$m_{i,j} = \iint_{\Omega} \varphi_j(x_1, x_2) \varphi_i(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2,$$

$$a_{i,j}(t) = \iint_{\Omega} a(x_1, x_2, t) \nabla \varphi_j(x_1, x_2) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2,$$

$$b_i(t) = \iint_{\Omega} f(x_1, x_2, t) \varphi_i(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2,$$

we can write the system of linear, ordinary differential equations (6), as (we denote *nintnodes* by *nin*):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} m_{1,1} \dot{\xi}_1(t) + \dots + m_{1,nin} \dot{\xi}_{nin}(t) + a_{1,1}(t) \xi_1(t) + \dots + a_{1,nin}(t) \xi_{nin}(t) = b_1(t), \\ m_{2,1} \dot{\xi}_1(t) + \dots + m_{2,nin} \dot{\xi}_{nin}(t) + a_{2,1}(t) \xi_1(t) + \dots + a_{2,nin}(t) \xi_{nin}(t) = b_2(t), \\ \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \\ m_{nin,1} \dot{\xi}_1(t) + \dots + m_{nin,nin} \dot{\xi}_{nin}(t) + a_{nin,1}(t) \xi_1(t) + \dots + a_{nin,nin}(t) \xi_{nin}(t) = b_{nin}(t), \end{array} \right.$$

$$0 < t < T.$$

In *matrix form*, this reads,

$$(7) \quad M \dot{\xi}(t) + A(t) \xi(t) = b(t), \quad 0 < t < T,$$

where  $M = \begin{bmatrix} m_{1,1} & \dots & m_{1,nin} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{nin,1} & \dots & m_{nin,nin} \end{bmatrix}$  is the *mass matrix*,

$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1}(t) & \dots & a_{1,nin}(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{nin,1}(t) & \dots & a_{nin,nin}(t) \end{bmatrix}$  is the (possibly time dependent) *stiffness matrix*, and

$b(t) = \begin{bmatrix} b_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ b_{nin}(t) \end{bmatrix}$  is the (possibly time dependent) *load vector*.

**Exercise 1.** Show that, for the time dependent reaction-diffusion problem with Robin boundary conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u} - \nabla \cdot (a \nabla u) + cu &= f, & x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega, \quad 0 < t < T, \\ -n \cdot (a \nabla u) &= \gamma(u - g_D) + g_N, & x = (x_1, x_2) \in \partial\Omega, \quad 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

the system (7) generalizes to,

$$(8) \quad M \dot{\xi}(t) + (A(t) + M_c(t) + R(t)) \xi(t) = b(t) + rv(t), \quad 0 < t < T,$$

where  $M_c(t)$  is the *mass matrix* coming from the reactive term,  $c(x_1, x_2, t)u(x_1, x_2, t)$ , and  $R(t)$ ,  $rv(t)$  are the contributions from the Robin boundary conditions to the system matrix and right-hand side, respectively. (Compare with the notes on *Robin Boundary Conditions in 2D*). Note that (8) is an  $n_{nodes}$ -dimensional system of linear, ordinary differential equations, since in this case we also include the nodes on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

**2.2. Time Discretization.** In order to discretize (7) in time, we let  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_L = T$  be discrete time levels with corresponding time steps  $k_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, L$ . Further, we let  $\xi^n$  denote an *approximation* of  $\xi(t_n)$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, L$ .

There are different possible choices of *initial data*,  $\xi^0 = \xi(0)$ , to (7): the simplest is to let

$$\xi^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1(0) \\ \xi_2(0) \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{nintnodes}(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_0(N_1) \\ u_0(N_2) \\ \vdots \\ u_0(N_{nintnodes}) \end{bmatrix},$$

which corresponds to letting  $U(x_1, x_2, 0) = \sum_{j=1}^{nintnodes} \xi_j(0) \varphi_j(x_1, x_2)$  be the *nodal interpolant* of  $u_0(x_1, x_2) = u(x_1, x_2, 0)$ . (An alternative would be to choose  $U(x_1, x_2, 0)$  as the  $L_2(\Omega)$ -projection of  $u_0$ , but then we would need to *compute*  $\xi^0$ .)

We now *integrate* (7) (element-wise) over one time interval  $[t_{n-1}, t_n]$ ,

$$\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} M \dot{\xi}(t) dt + \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} A(t) \xi(t) dt = \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} b(t) dt.$$

Since  $M$  is a constant matrix, we get,

$$(9) \quad M(\xi(t_n) - \xi(t_{n-1})) + \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} A(t) \xi(t) dt = \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} b(t) dt.$$

Given an approximation,  $\xi^{n-1}$ , of  $\xi(t_{n-1})$ , approximating the integrals in (9) using *right end-point quadrature* gives the *backward Euler method* defining  $\xi^n$  by,

$$M(\xi^n - \xi^{n-1}) + A(t_n)\xi^n k_n = b(t_n)k_n,$$

i.e.,

$$M \frac{\xi^n - \xi^{n-1}}{k_n} + A(t_n)\xi^n = b(t_n).$$

The *backward Euler method* for solving (7) thus becomes: Given  $\xi^0 = \xi(0)$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, L$ , solve the linear system of equations,

$$(M + k_n A_n)\xi^n = M\xi^{n-1} + k_n b_n,$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$A_n = A(t_n), \quad b_n = b(t_n).$$

**Exercise 2.** Show that the backward Euler method for solving (8) reads: Given  $\xi^0 = \xi(0)$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, L$ , solve the linear system of equations:

$$(M + k_n(A(t_n) + M_c(t_n) + R(t_n)))\xi^n = M\xi^{n-1} + k_n(b(t_n) + rv(t_n)).$$