

FELL BUNDLES

- Definition
- Examples
- Construction of C^* -algebras from Fell bundles
- Saturation

3.1 Definition: A Fell bundle over a group G is a collection

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} \mathcal{B}_g$$

of Banach spaces, each of which is called a fiber. In addition, the total space $\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} \mathcal{B}_g$ is equipped with a multiplication and an involution

$$\cdot \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \quad * : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

satisfying the following properties for all $g, h \in G$, $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$:

$$(a) \mathcal{B}_g \mathcal{B}_h \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{gh}$$

$$(b) \text{multiplication is bi-linear from } \mathcal{B}_g \times \mathcal{B}_h \text{ to } \mathcal{B}_{gh}$$

$$(c) \text{multiplication on } \mathcal{B} \text{ is associative}$$

$$(d) \|bc\| \leq \|b\| \|c\|$$

$$(e) (\mathcal{B}_g)^* \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{g^{-1}}$$

$$(f) \text{involution is conjugate linear from } \mathcal{B}_g \text{ to } \mathcal{B}_{g^{-1}}$$

$$(g) (bc)^* = c^* b^*$$

$$(h) b^{**} = b$$

$$(i) \|b^*\| = \|b\|$$

$$(j) \|b^* b\| = \|b\|^2$$

$$(k) b^* b \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{B}_+$$

- Note that (a)-(j) imply that \mathcal{B}_+ is a C^* -algebra with the restricted operations.
- The positivity in (k) is taken with respect to the standard order relation in \mathcal{B}_+ .

3.2 Example: GROUP BUNDLE

$$B = \mathbb{C} \times G$$

where G is any group. The fibers

$$B_g = \mathbb{C} \times g, \quad g \in G$$

have the usual linear and norm structure, and we define the product and involution as

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda, g)(\mu, h) &:= (\lambda\mu, gh), \quad g, h \in G, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}. \\ (\lambda, g)^* &:= (\bar{\lambda}, g^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Example: SEMI-DIRECT PRODUCT BUNDLE

Fix a C^* -algebraic partial action $\Theta = (\{D_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G})$ of a group G on a C^* -algebra A . Define the total space as

$$B = \{(b, g) \in A \times G : b \in D_g\}$$

We write $b \delta_g$ to refer to (b, g) , whenever $b \in D_g$.

The fibers of our bundle are

$$B_g = \{b \delta_g : b \in D_g\}$$

with the linear and norm structure borrowed from D_g .

The multiplication and involution are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \delta_g)(b \delta_h) &:= \theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(\alpha)b)\delta_{gh}, \quad \forall \alpha \in D_g, \quad b \in D_h \\ (\alpha \delta_g)^* &:= \theta_{g^{-1}}(\alpha^*)\delta_{g^{-1}}, \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \forall \alpha \in D_g \end{aligned}$$

We have that B is a Fell bundle, called semi-direct product bundle relative to Θ .

3.4 Example: FELL BUNDLES GIVEN IN TERMS OF PARTIAL REPRESENTATIONS

Let $u: G \rightarrow A$ be a $*$ -partial representation of a given group G in a unital C^* -algebra A . For each $g \in G$, consider

$$B_g^u := \left[\{u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad h_i \in G, \quad h_1 \dots h_n = g\} \right]$$

We have that

$$B^u = \{B_g^u\}_{g \in G}$$

is a Fell bundle with the operations borrowed from A .

$$\cdot B_g^u B_h^u \subseteq B_{gh}^u : \left. \begin{array}{l} u_{g_1} \dots u_{g_n} \in B_g^u, \quad g_1 \dots g_n = g \in G \\ u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_m} \in B_h^u, \quad h_1 \dots h_m = h \in G \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow (u_{g_1} \dots u_{g_n})(u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_m}) \in B_{gh}^u \text{ as } g_1 \dots g_n h_1 \dots h_m = gh$$

By taking limits of sums of elements like above, the result follows.

Construction of a C^* -algebra from a given Fell bundle

Fix $B = \{B_g\}_{g \in G}$ an arbitrary Fell bundle.

3.5 Definition: A section of B is a function $y: G \rightarrow B$ such that $y_g \in B_g$, $\forall g \in G$.

$$C_c(B) := \{y: G \rightarrow B \text{ section} \mid \text{supp } y \text{ is finite}\}$$

Given $y, z \in C_c(B)$, define the convolution product by

$$(y * z)_g := \sum_{h \in G} y_h z_{h^{-1}g}, \quad \forall g \in G$$

and the adjoint operation by

$$(y^*)_g := (y_{g^{-1}})^*, \quad \forall g \in G.$$

- $C_c(B)$ is an associative $*$ -algebra.
- If B is the semi-direct product bundle from Example 3.3, then

$$C_c(B) \cong A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$$

Indeed, given $y \in C_c(B)$, we have that $y_g \in B_g = \{b \delta_g : b \in D_g\}$

$\Rightarrow y_g = a_g \delta_g$ for some $a_g \in D_g$. Consider

$$\varphi: C_c(B) \longrightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$$

$$y \longmapsto \sum_{g \in G} y_g = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \delta_g.$$

Let us see that φ is multiplicative (rest is clear):

Consider $y, z \in C_c(B)$ and write $y_g = a_g \delta_g$, $z_g = b_g \delta_g$, $\forall g \in G$

$$\varphi(y * z) = \sum_{g \in G} (y * z)_g = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h \in G} y_h z_{h^{-1}g} \right) = \sum_{g \in G} (a_g \delta_g)(b_{g^{-1}} \delta_{g^{-1}}) =$$

$$= \sum_{g \in G} a_g (\theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_{g^{-1}}) \delta_g = \sum_{g \in G} \theta_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_g \delta_g =$$

$$= \sum_{g \in G} (a_g \delta_g)(b_g \delta_g) = \left(\sum_{h \in G} a_h \delta_h \right) \left(\sum_{s \in G} b_s \delta_s \right) = \varphi(y) \varphi(z).$$

3.6 Definition: A $*$ -representation of a Fell bundle $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_g\}_{g \in G}$ in a $*$ -algebra C is a collection $\pi = \{\pi_g\}_{g \in G}$ of linear maps

$$\pi_g: \mathcal{B}_g \longrightarrow C$$

such that

$$(i) \pi_g(b)\pi_h(c) = \pi_{gh}(bc)$$

$$(ii) \pi_g(b^*) = \pi_{g^{-1}}(b^*),$$

for all $g, h \in G$, and all $b \in \mathcal{B}_g$, and $c \in \mathcal{B}_h$.

Remark: Given a Fell bundle $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_g\}_{g \in G}$, for each g in G , consider (the natural inclusion)

$$j_g: \mathcal{B}_g \longrightarrow C_c(\mathcal{B})$$

given by

$$j_g(b)|_h = \begin{cases} b, & \text{if } g=h \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The collection of maps $j = \{j_g\}_g$ is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{B} in $C_c(\mathcal{B})$.

Recall that we define

$$A \rtimes G = \overline{A \rtimes_{\alpha_G} G}^{\|\cdot\|_{\max}}$$

where

$$\|\cdot\|_{\max} = \sup \{ C^*-seminorm(\cdot) \text{ on } A \rtimes_{\alpha_G} G \}$$

We will construct a C^* -algebra from $C_c(\mathcal{B})$ in the same way.

3.7 Proposition: Let ρ be a C^* -seminorm on $C_c(B)$. Then, for every $y \in C_c(B)$, we have

$$\rho(y) \leq \sum_{g \in G} \|y_g\|.$$

Proof: For $b \in B_g$, we have

$$\rho(j_g(b))^2 = \rho(j_g(b)^* j_g(b)) = \rho(j_{g^*}(b^*) j_g(b)) = \rho(j_1(b^* b))$$

ρ is C^* -seminorm def of $*$ -repres.

$[B$ C^* -algebra, φ seminorm on $B \Rightarrow \varphi(b) \leq \|b\|$, $\forall b \in B]$.

We have that $\rho \circ j_1$ is a seminorm on B_1 . Thus

$$\rho(j_g(b))^2 = \rho(j_1(b^* b)) \leq \|b^* b\| = \|b\|^2$$

So, we have that $\rho(j_g(b)) \leq \|b\|$, $\forall b \in B_g$. $(*)$

Note that for $y \in C_c(B)$ we can write

$$y = \sum_{g \in G} j_g(y_g) \quad \left(j_g(y_g)|_h = \begin{cases} y_g, & \text{if } g=h \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right)$$

thus,

$$\rho(y) = \rho\left(\sum_{g \in G} j_g(y_g)\right) \stackrel{\rho \text{ seminorm}}{\leq} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(j_g(y_g)) \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \sum_{g \in G} \|y_g\|.$$

□

Given $y \in C_c(B)$, we define

$$\|y\|_{\max} := \sup \{ \rho(y) : \rho \text{ is } C^*\text{-seminorm on } C_c(B) \}$$

From the previous prop. we have that $\|y\|_{\max} < \infty$, $\forall y \in C_c(B)$.

Moreover, $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ defines a C^* -seminorm on $C_c(B)$

3.8 Definition: Consider the ideal $N := \{g \in C_c(B) : \|g\|_{\max} = 0\} \triangleleft C_c(B)$

On $C_c(B)/N$, $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ induces a C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^N$.

The coarse sectional C^* -algebra of B is defined as

$$C^*(B) := \frac{C_c(B)}{N} \quad \|\cdot\|_{\max}^N$$

We denote by $k: C_c(B) \rightarrow C^*(B)$ the canonical mapping arising from the completion process

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_c(B) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \overline{C_c(B)} \\ & \searrow k & \downarrow i \text{ inclusion} \\ & & C^*(B) \end{array} \quad k := i \circ \pi$$

Since k is a $*$ -homomorphism and $j = \{j_g\}_{g \in G}$ is a $*$ -representation of B in $C_c(B)$, if we set $\hat{j}_g := k \circ j_g$

$$\hat{j}_g := k \circ j_g : B_g \longrightarrow C^*(B)$$

we have that $\hat{j} = \{\hat{j}_g\}_g$ is a $*$ -representation of B in $C^*(B)$.

\hat{j} is called the universal representation.

3.9 Proposition: Consider $\theta = (\{D_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G})$ a C^* -algebraic partial action of a group G on a C^* -algebra A , and let B be the corresponding semi-direct product bundle (Example 3.3). Then $A \rtimes G$ is naturally isomorphic to $C^*(B)$.

3.10 Proposition: Let $\pi = \{\pi_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a representation of the Fell bundle B in a C^* -algebra C . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_g & \xrightarrow{\pi_g} & C \\ \downarrow j_g & \curvearrowleft & \uparrow \varphi \\ C^*(B) & & \end{array} \quad \exists! \text{ } *-\text{homomorphism } \varphi: C^*(B) \rightarrow C \text{ such that } \varphi \circ \hat{j}_g = \pi_g \quad \forall g \in G.$$

φ is called the integrated form of π .

Proof: Define $\varphi_0: C_c(B) \rightarrow C$ as

$$\varphi_0(y) = \sum_{g \in G} \pi_g(y_g), \quad \forall y \in C_c(B)$$

We have that φ_0 is a $*$ -homomorphism.

Then $\varphi(y) := \|\varphi_0(y)\|$, $\forall y \in C_c(B)$ defines a C^* -seminorm on $C_c(B)$.

Thus,

$$\|\varphi_0(y)\| = \varphi(y) \leq \sup \{ \varphi(y) : \varphi \text{ is } C^*\text{-seminorm on } C_c(B) \} = \|y\|_{\max}$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi_0$ is continuous $\Rightarrow \exists! *-\text{homomorphism } \varphi: C^*(B) \rightarrow C$ that extends φ_0 . \blacksquare

Saturation

Consider $B = \{B_g\}_{g \in G}$ a Fell bundle. We have (from the definition)

$$B_g B_h \subseteq B_{gh}, \quad \forall g, h \in G$$

$\Rightarrow \underbrace{[B_g B_h]}_{\text{closed linear span}}$ is a closed subspace of B_{gh} .

If $[B_g B_h] = B_{gh}$, $\forall g, h \in G$, we say that the Fell bundle B is saturated.

3.11 Lemma: $[B_g B_{g^{-1}} B_g] = B_g, \forall g \in G$.

We have that $[B_g B_{g^{-1}}] \subseteq B_1$ is a closed ideal in B_1

3.12 Proposition: A Fell bundle $B = \{B_g\}_{g \in G}$ is saturated if and only if $[B_g B_{g^{-1}}] = B_1, \forall g \in G$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow): B saturated $\Rightarrow [B_g B_h] = B_{gh}, \forall g, h \in G$
 $\Rightarrow [B_g B_{g^{-1}}] = B_1, \forall g \in G$.

(\Leftarrow): Assume that $[B_g B_{g^{-1}}] = B_1, \forall g \in G$, then for $g, h \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{gh} &= [B_g B_{gh} B_{g^{-1}}] \subseteq [B_1 B_{gh}] = [[B_g B_{g^{-1}}] B_{gh}] = [B_g B_{g^{-1}} B_{gh}] \subseteq [B_g B_N] \subseteq B_{gh} \\ &\stackrel{\text{3.11}}{\subseteq} B_1 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow [B_g B_N] = B_{gh}$, ie, B is saturated.



3.13 Proposition: Consider $\theta = (\{D_g\}, \{\theta_g\})$ a C^* -algebraic partial action of the group G on the C^* -algebra A , and let B be the associated semi-direct product bundle. Then

B is saturated $\Leftrightarrow \theta$ is a global action.

Proof: Consider $g \in G$, $a \in D_g$, $b \in D_{g^{-1}}$. Then

$$(a\delta_g)(b\delta_{g^{-1}}) = \theta_g(\underbrace{\theta_{g^{-1}}(a)b}_{\in D_{g^{-1}}})\delta_1 = \theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a))\theta_g(b)\delta_1 = a\theta_g(b)\delta_1$$

$\theta_g: D_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow D_g$ *-isom. $\theta_{g^{-1}} = (\theta_g)^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow (D_g\delta_g)(D_{g^{-1}}\delta_{g^{-1}}) = D_g\underbrace{\theta_g(D_{g^{-1}})}_{D_g \leftarrow \theta_g \text{ surj.}}\delta_1 = D_g D_g \delta_1 = D_g \delta_1 \quad (*)$$

Cohen-Hewitt factorization Theorem

Therefore, $\forall g \in G$

$$[B_g B_{g^{-1}}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [(D_g\delta_g)(D_{g^{-1}}\delta_{g^{-1}})] \stackrel{(*)}{=} [D_g \delta_1] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_g \delta_1 \quad (**)$$

(\Rightarrow) Assume that B is saturated. Then $\forall g \in G$

$$\{b\delta_1 : b \in D_1\} = B_1 = [B_g B_{g^{-1}}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_g \delta_1 \stackrel{(**)}{=} \{b\delta_1 : b \in D_g \cap D_1\} \Rightarrow D_g = D_1 = A$$

$\Rightarrow \theta$ is a global action.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that θ is global ($D_g = A$, $\forall g \in G$). Then,

$$B_1 = D_1 \delta_1 = D_g \delta_1 = [B_g B_{g^{-1}}] \stackrel{(**)}{=} \Rightarrow B \text{ is saturated.}$$

■

3.14 Definition: Let G be a group and A a unital C^* -algebra. A unitary group representation is a map $u: G \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(i) u_1 = 1 \quad (ii) \underbrace{u_g u_h = u_{gh}}_{\cdot u_g u_h u_{h^{-1}} = u_{gh} u_{h^{-1}}} \quad (iii) u_{g^{-1}} = (u_g)^*.$$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \cdot u_g u_h u_{h^{-1}} = u_{gh} u_{h^{-1}} \\ \cdot u_{g^{-1}} u_g u_h = u_{g^{-1}} u_{gh} \end{array} \right\} \text{for } *-\text{partial rep.}$

3.15 Proposition: Given a $*$ -partial representation u of a group G in a nonzero unital C^* -algebra A , consider its associated Full bundle B^u (Example 3.4). Then B^u is saturated if and only if u is a unitary group representation.

Proof: (\Leftarrow) Assume that u is a unitary group representation. Then, $\forall g \in G$

$$B_g^u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\underbrace{\{u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n}\}}_{u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} = u_g} : n \geq 1, h_i \in G, h_1 \dots h_n = g \right] = \left[\{u_g\} \right] = \mathbb{C} u_g \quad (*)$$

Thus,

$$\left[B_g^u B_{g^{-1}}^u \right] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \left[(\mathbb{C} u_g) (\mathbb{C} u_{g^{-1}}) \right] = \left[\mathbb{C} u_g u_{g^{-1}} \right] = \left[\mathbb{C} u_1 \right] = \mathbb{C} u_1 \stackrel{(*)}{=} B_1^u.$$

Prop. 3.12

$\Rightarrow B^u$ is saturated.

(\Rightarrow) Assume that B is saturated, and denote $e_g := u_g u_{g^{-1}}$, $\forall g \in G$. Then

$$B_1^u = [B_g^u B_{g^{-1}}^u] \stackrel{(1G.8.-iii)}{=} [B_1^u u_g u_{g^{-1}} B_1^u] = [B_1^u e_g B_1^u] \stackrel{(*)}{=} [e_g B_1^u]$$

Thus,

$$\left[\{u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} : h_1 \dots h_n = 1\} \right] = B_1^u = [e_g B_1^u] = \left[\{e_g u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} : h_1 \dots h_n = 1\} \right] \Rightarrow u_g u_{g^{-1}} = e_g = 1$$

Then, $\forall h, g \in G$

$$u_h u_g = \underbrace{u_h u_{h^{-1}}}_{=e_h=1} u_h u_g \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} \underbrace{u_h u_{h^{-1}}}_{=e_h=1} u_{hg} = u_{hg} \Rightarrow u \text{ is a unitary group representation.}$$

u is $*$ -partial rep.

Now let us show (**), i.e., $[B_1^u e_g B_1^u] = [e_g B_1^u]$:

• Claim 1: $u_g e_h = e_{gh} u_g \quad \forall g, h \in G$.

Proof: $u_g e_h = u_g u_h u_{h^{-1}} \stackrel{\text{def of } *-\text{rep.}}{=} u_{gh} u_{h^{-1}} = 1 u_{gh} u_{h^{-1}} = \underbrace{u_{(gh)(gh)^{-1}}}_{\text{def of } *-\text{rep.}} u_{gh} u_{h^{-1}} =$

$$= \underbrace{u_{gh} u_{gh}^{-1}}_{u_{(gh)^{-1}} u_{gh}} u_{gh} u_{h^{-1}} = u_{gh} u_{gh}^{-1} u_{gh} = e_{gh} u_g. \quad \square$$

- Claim 2: $eg_{\mathcal{B}_1} = e_n eg \quad \forall g, h \in G$

Proof: $eg_{\mathcal{B}_1} = ug_{\mathcal{B}_1} g^{-1} e_n = ug_{\mathcal{B}_1} g h_{\mathcal{B}_1} h_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{-1} = eg_{\mathcal{B}_1} ug_{\mathcal{B}_1} g^{-1} = e_h eg$ □

Claim 1

- Claim 3: Given $h_1, \dots, h_n \in G$, we have

$$u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} = e_{h_1} e_{h_2} \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n}$$

Proof: We prove by induction in n .

For $n=1$:

$$u_{h_1} = 1 u_{h_1} = u_1 u_{h_1} = u_{h_1} h_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{-1} u_{h_1} = u_{h_1} u_{h_1}^{-1} u_{h_1} = e_{h_1} u_{h_1}$$

Assuming $n \geq 2$ and that the result is valid for $n-1$:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{h_1} u_{h_2} \dots u_{h_n} &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{h_n} \\ &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{h_n} \\ &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{(h_1 \dots h_n)(h_1 \dots h_n)^{-1}} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{h_n} \\ &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{(h_1 \dots h_n)^{-1}} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{h_n} \\ &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{(h_1 \dots h_n)^{-1}} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} \\ &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} \\ &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} \\ &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{(h_1 \dots h_n)^{-1}} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} \\ &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{(h_1 \dots h_n)^{-1}} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} \\ &= e_{h_1} e_{h_2} h_2 \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, consider $a = u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n}$, $b = ug_1 \dots ug_m \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1^u$ ($h_1 \dots h_n = 1 = g_1 \dots g_m$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} aegb &= u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} eg_{\mathcal{B}_1} ug_1 \dots ug_m = e_{h_1} e_{h_2} \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} eg_{\mathcal{B}_1} ug_1 \dots ug_m \\ &\stackrel{\substack{\text{Claim 2} \\ \text{Claim 3}}}{=} eg_{\mathcal{B}_1} e_{h_2} \dots e_{h_n} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n} ug_1 \dots ug_m = \underbrace{eg_{\mathcal{B}_1} u_{h_1} \dots u_{h_n}}_{\in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1^u} ug_1 \dots ug_m \in eg_{\mathcal{B}_1}^u \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\bar{\mathcal{B}}_1^u eg \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1^u] \subseteq [eg \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1^u].$$

On the other hand, note that $u_1 = 1 \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1^u$. Thus, $[eg \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1^u] \subseteq [\bar{\mathcal{B}}_1^u eg \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1^u]$. □

